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Introduction

Microorganisms are omnipresent. Information regarding viable pathogenic bacteria on inanimate objects had been reported by earlier investigators. Several studies have demonstrated colonization and contamination of objects such as door handles, mobile phones, money etc [1]. Majority (~80%) of infections are spread through hand contact with hands or other objects [2]. Various gram negative bacteria and gram positive cocci (GPC) were isolated from the daily used gadgets like computer, Mobile phones, Stethoscopes etc. [3].

Ladies hand bag (HB) is also another important source for the growth of microorganisms. Usually HB is one of the gadgets which are not shared or multipurpose, single use. But, due to its type of utilization we believe HBs are also an important environment for the growth of microorganisms [4].

Not only mobile phones, HBs also contain cosmetic items like face creams, lip stick, powder, partially consumed food items. In case of lactating women HBs contain fresh / used diapers, milk bottles etc. In addition to all these water bottle creates moist environment in the HB which is suitable for the growth of microorganisms. Due to speed and competitive life style, cleaning of HB is an important obstacle.

Material and Methods

Study was conducted in Department of Microbiology, GSL Medical College and Rajahmundry. Study was approved by the institutional ethics committee and consent was taken from the volunteers who participated in the study. Women and girls who are using hand bags were included in the study. The participants were divided in to four groups: illiterates, students (studying MBBS / BPT / BSc MLT), post graduates (PGs) and employees. After collection of preliminary information like cost of the HB, duration of utilization, type of cleaning method etc by using a sterile swab the internal area of HB was swabbed by using a sterile swab and immediately samples were sent to Microbiology laboratory by placing in BHI broth as transport medium.

In the laboratory first swabs were inoculated on Blood agar (supplemented with 5% defibrinated sheep blood), MacConkey agar & Nutrient agar, plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 to 48 hours aerobically. After incubation plates were observed for growth. Initially the isolates were identified by colony morphology. All isolates were classified bases on Grams staining. GPC were identified by catalase, coagulase, and modified oxidase tests. Gram negative bacilli (GNB) were identified by Motility, Oxidase, Catalase, IMViC, Urease, Triple Sugar Iron agar, Nitrate reduction.

After identifying the bacteria, antibiotic sensitivity of isolates was done on Muller-Hinton agar (MHA) by the disk diffusion method [5]. Briefly, five colonies of each of the isolates were emulsified in Bijou medium. The inoculated plates were allowed to dry for 4-5 minutes before each of the following antibiotic discs (Himedia) were placed on the plates: Ceftriaxone (30 μg), Ofloxacin (5 μg), Chloramphenicol (30 μg), Erythromycin (15 μg), Gentamycin (10 μg), Nitrofurantoin (300 μg), Tetracycline (30 μg), Cotrimoxazole (5 μg), Amoxycillin (10 μg), Pefloxacin (5 μg), Ciprofloxacin (5 μg), Methicillin (5 μg), Augmentin (12.5 μg) and Streptomycin (1 μg). The plates were incubated aerobically at 37°C for 18-24 hours. The diameters of the zones of...
inhibition were measured with a scale and compared with a zone-interpretation chart [5]. Escherichia coli ATCC 25922 were used as the control.

Results

During the study period 80 samples were collected from each group, total 320. Out of this, 45% (144) samples were sterile and bacterial growth was seen in the remaining 55% (176) samples (Table 1). *Staphylococcus aureus* is commonly isolated bacteria followed *Staphylococcus saprophyticus*, Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae sub species pneumoniae, Proteus mirabilis, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Name of the bacteria</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Illiterate</td>
<td>UGs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPC</td>
<td><em>Staph. aureus</em></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Staph. Saprophyticus</em></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNB</td>
<td><em>Esch. coli</em></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Klebsiella</em></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Pseudomonas</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Proteus</em></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sterile / No growth</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Growth results of the study group

Ψ² 26.1; P = 0.09

Discussion

Our study revealed that HBs contain numerous pathogenic bacteria. Out of 176 isolates GPC is predominant [63% (111)] followed by GNB [37% (65)]. Out of four study groups, highest number of pathogens were isolated from employees (63.75%), followed by illiterates (57.5%), PGs (55%) and students (43.5%).

As per the study design, employees were included in one group. This includes the employees working in GSL Medical College i.e. faculty, note teaching staff and patient attendants who come to GSL general hospital. In this group the cost of HB ranges from Rs 200 to 5000/-. These volunteers are aware of the disinfection methods and sterilization technique of HBs. Due to time factor they clean the outside of the HB only.

In illiterates the cost of HB is ranges between Rs 100 to 1000/-. These volunteers are not aware of HBs disinfection methods. But majority of these volunteers keep HBs in sunlight at least once in fifteen days. In the remaining study groups i.e. PGs and students, HB cost ranges between Rs 500 to 10000/-. None of these keep water bottle in HB. None of these disinfect the HB.

HB is also an important source of hospital acquired infection. Because clinicians (employees and PGs in the study group) usually keep their mobile phone and stethoscope in HB. The microorganisms present on the HB may contaminate the gadgets and infect the patients. Adding to this, mobile and stethoscope are the gadgets which are commonly shared among the colleagues. Jaya Chandra et al reported growth of nosocomial infections causing bacteria on mobiles in health care workers [6].

In the study no significant drug resistance was noticed. Microbes are sensitive to commonly used antibiotics.

Conclusion

The contamination rate of HBs in the study is 55%. However the usage of HB cannot be restricted completely in health care setup. But proper cleaning, disinfection and natural sterilization methods like sunlight drying may reduce the growth of bacteria to some extent.
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