Hypoalbuminemia and Hyperalbuminuria as Major Factors Regulating Circadian Blood Pressure Rhythm in Normal Subjects and Non-diabetic Patients with Early Stages of Chronic Kidney Disease
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Abstract

Study background: Albuminuria and nighttime blood pressure (BP) elevation are notable risk markers of chronic kidney disease (CKD). In non-diabetic patients with albuminuria, the effect of albuminuria on nocturnal BP and other risk factors that may disrupt circadian BP rhythm remain unclear. The aim of this study was to evaluate the relationship among albuminuria, serum albumin level and 24-hour BP rhythm in non-diabetic subjects.

Methods: This prospective study enrolled 778 subjects (aged 20-80 years, estimated glomerular filtration rate ≥ 60 mL/min/1.73 m²). Main outcome measure was sleeping/waking mean BP (MBP) ratio as an indicator of circadian BP rhythm. First, a cross-sectional study was performed in all individuals showing no proteinuria. Second, a study was done in subjects never treated for hypertension (hypertensive-naïve) stratified by urinary albumin excretion. Third, an intervention study was conducted in patients with massive albuminuria and hypoalbuminemia to examine circadian BP changes induced by treatment.

Results: In 441 subjects without proteinuria, multivariate regression analyses identified serum albumin, cholesterol level and circadian sympathovagal rhythm as independent predictors of sleeping/waking MBP ratio. Among hypertensive-naïve non-diabetic subjects (n=231), sleeping/waking MBP ratio increased with increasing albuminuria and decreasing serum albumin. Of 37 non-diabetic nephrotic patients who underwent treatment, 25 with serum albumin level increased to >3 g/dL showed a significant decrease (P=0.026) in sleeping/waking MBP ratio after treatment, whereas 13 with urinary albumin/creatinine lowered to <300 mg/g showed no significant difference (P=0.191).

Conclusions: This study identified low serum albumin as an independent predictor of loss of nocturnal BP decline, which was also associated with massive albuminuria. Furthermore, sleeping/waking MBP ratio was improved after hyperalbuminuria and hypoalbuminemia were reversed by treatment. These findings suggest that low serum albumin level and massive albuminuria are risk markers of disrupted circadian BP rhythm in non-diabetic early stage CKD.

Keywords: Ambulatory blood pressure; Autonomic nervous activity; Diabetic nephropathy; Glomerulonephritis; Proteinuria

Introduction

Increase in urinary albumin excretion and nighttime blood pressure (BP) elevation are notable risk markers of cardiovascular mortality and morbidity as well as end-stage chronic kidney disease (CKD). A large number of recent studies have focused on the relation between microalbuminuria and loss of nocturnal BP decline. This association is mostly recognized in patients with diabetic nephropathy [1,2] and usually complicated by autonomic nervous dysfunction [3-5]. Thus, urinary albumin excretion and imbalance in circadian autonomic nervous activities are suspected to be the major factors involved in loss of nocturnal BP fall. A similar relationship has been reported in non-diabetic hypertensive patients with microalbuminuria [6,7]. However, whether albuminuria is a cause or consequence of abnormal nocturnal BP change and whether other risk factors are involved in impaired circadian BP rhythm remain to be elucidated.

In patients with non-diabetic nephropathy or glomerulonephritis, serum albumin concentrations always change in proportion to urinary albumin levels, showing an inverse relationship. Thus, if albuminuria occurs concomitantly with loss of nighttime BP fall, hypoalbuminemia is always present. However, few studies have focused on the influence of serum albumin level on the circadian BP rhythm in patients with no major traditional risk factors such as diabetes, hypertension and advanced renal failure. Besides, many studies evaluated mainly the relationship between microalbuminuria and 24-h BP rhythm by treating hypertension and diabetes to eliminate major risk factors [8-11]. No studies so far have assessed how direct treatment of albuminuria and hypoalbuminemia influences 24-h BP rhythm, because it is difficult to resolve albuminuria in patients with primary glomerulonephritis. Whether vigorous changes in urinary and serum albumin levels through treatment affect the circadian BP rhythm can only be evaluated in patients with massive albuminuria but no traditional risk factors.

The aim of the present study was to evaluate, first, the relationship between serum albumin levels and sleeping to waking BP ratios in subjects without proteinuria or advanced renal dysfunction; second, the relations among serum and urinary albumin levels and sleeping/waking BP ratio in treatment-naïve subjects with various degrees of albuminuria; and third, how improvements of hypoalbuminemia and massive albuminuria influence circadian BP patterns.
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Methods

Patients

Subjects aged between 20 and 80 years who were referred to our hospital between January 1, 2000 and December 31, 2012 because of abnormal urinalysis found at primary care physicians’ offices or regular medical check-ups were enrolled in the study. Participants were eligible for the study if they had estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) ≥ 60 mL/min/1.73 m². Patients were excluded if they met the following criteria: malnutrition; protein-losing enteropathy; polycystic kidney disease; tubulointerstitial nephritis; endocrinological, hematological or hepatic disease; uncontrolled hypertension (>160 mm Hg in systolic BP or >100 mm Hg in diastolic BP); abnormal thyroid function; other major diseases. Informed consent was obtained from each subject. Detailed medical history, demographic characteristics, and anthropometric measurements were obtained from every subject. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Yokohama City University Center Hospital.

Study design

This prospective research consists of three studies to compare sleeping/waking BP ratios obtained by ambulatory BP monitoring (ABPM). The first was a cross-sectional study in all individuals who had a negative dipstick test for proteinuria. The second was a study in subjects who had never received treatment for hypertension (hypertensive-naïve) stratified into four subgroups by urinary albumin excretion (UAE). The third was an intervention study with intention-to-treat analysis in patients with massive albuminuria and hypoalbuminemia to examine the changes in circadian BP rhythm induced by treatment. In each study, blood samples for biochemical parameters and spot urine sample for UAE were collected when ABPM was performed. All subjects underwent ABPM using a device equipped with power spectral analysis of heart rate variability (TM2425, A & D, Tokyo, Japan). The methods of measurement have been described in detail elsewhere [12]. Mean BP (MBP) was calculated as the sum of diastolic BP and one-third of pulse pressure, for the waking and sleeping periods separately. Then, sleeping/waking MBP ratio was analyzed as an index of the circadian BP rhythm. The power spectral densities of the heart rate variability were divided into two components: a low frequency (LF) component (0.05-0.15 Hz), and a high frequency (HF) component (0.15-0.4 Hz). Sleeping/waking ratio of the LF/HF ratio (sleeping/waking LF/HF ratio) was analyzed to evaluate the circadian rhythm of sympathovagal nervous activities.

Study 1: Predictors for increased sleeping/waking MBP ratio in subjects without proteinuria

To analyze the clinical factors other than albuminuria which independently affect sleeping/waking MBP ratio, all patients with a negative dipstick test for proteinuria (no microalbuminuria) were selected among the enrolled subjects. Those who showed readings of trace or above in urine dipstick tests were excluded. Urine dipstcks were analyzed by an automated device capable of reading color changes of the strips to detect proteinuria. In a preliminary analysis, among 650 subjects who had a negative dipstick test, 551 (85%) showed urinary albumen excretion lower than 30 mg/g creatinine determined simultaneously by a turbidimetric immunoassay kit (Mitsubishi Chemical, Tokyo). Thus, a negative dipstick test for proteinuria indicated urinary protein levels lower than the cut-off for microalbuminuria of 30 mg/g creatinine. In all subjects, ABPM and blood and urine tests were conducted as described above. Multivariable regression analyses were performed to identify factors affecting circadian BP rhythm.

The multivariate model consisted of sleeping/waking MBP ratio as the dependent variable and other potential confounding predictors as independent variables, including age, gender, body mass index, diabetic or non-diabetic, hemoglobin, hemoglobin A1C, serum albumin, total cholesterol, triglycerides, eGFR, 24-h MBP, sleeping/waking LF/HF ratio, alcohol consumption, smoking, and the number of hypertensive drugs. Diabetes was defined as hemoglobin A1C higher than 6.5% or a positive oral glucose tolerance test. Smoking and alcohol consumption were classified as never versus current or past.

Study 2: Comparison of sleeping/waking MBP ratio with other parameters between diabetic and non-diabetic patients among hypertensive-naïve subjects

To control for the effect of anti-hypertensive medications, hypertensive-naïve patients were selected among the enrolled subjects. Furthermore, to control for the risk factor of diabetes, the hypertensive-naïve subjects were divided into diabetic and non-diabetic groups. Then both groups were further stratified into four subgroups based on UAE: normoalbuminuria group with UAE <30 mg/g creatinine, microalbuminuria group with 30 mg/g ≤ UAE <300 mg/g creatinine, subnephrotic group with 300 mg/g ≤ UAE <3,000 mg/g creatinine, and nephrotic group with UAE ≥ 3,000 mg/g creatinine. In all subjects, ABPM and blood and urine tests were conducted as described above. Sleeping/waking MBP ratio, other parameters obtained from ABPM and clinical characteristics were compared among the subgroups. The relations among serum and urinary albumin levels and sleeping/waking BP ratio were analyzed.

Study 3: Changes in sleeping/waking MBP ratio and other parameters after treatment in non-diabetic nephrotic patients

To examine the effect of immunosuppressive therapy for hypoalbuminemia and albuminuria on sleeping/waking MBP ratio, 37 hypertensive-naïve, non-diabetic, nephrotic patients identified in study 2 were selected. ABPM together with blood and urine tests were conducted before treatment. The patients initially received intravenous methylprednisolone (0.5 or 1.0 g/day for three days) followed by combination therapy with oral cyclosporine (2 to 3 mg/kg/day) and prednisolone (30 to 40 mg/day) or prednisolone monotherapy. The prednisolone and cyclosporine doses were tapered by 5-10 mg and 25 mg, respectively, every 4-8 weeks according to the urinary albumen excretion volume. Medications were ceased when remission was confirmed clinically. Patients were followed for 24 months from the start of therapy. All patients underwent the second ABPM between 6 and 24 months, regardless of whether or not they had remission. Remission was defined as a decrease in UAE to <300 mg/g creatinine or an increase in serum albumin level to >3.0 g/dL on two consecutive visits. To avoid false results caused by fluctuations in albuminuria, remission had to persist at least one month. Subjects who did not fulfill the criteria of remission were classified into the non-remission group. Patients treated with antihypertensive agents and diuretics prior to the second ABPM suspended the medications for at least two weeks before ABPM.

Statistical Analysis

Normally distributed parameters are presented as means ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Skewed distribution was normalized by logarithmic transformation before analyses. Based on previous studies [11,13-15], it was estimated that therapy would induce a difference in logarithm UAE of 0.4 or more with standard deviation of 0.8 mg/g creatinine. Then after adjustment for possible withdrawals and non-
compliance, the intention-to-treat effect was calculated to be 5-10% risk reduction. A minimum sample size of 36 cases was required to provide 80% power with a type error of 5%. Categorical variables were analyzed by χ² test. The differences in baseline parameters between two groups were assessed by the nonparametric Mann-Whitney’s U test. Data obtained before and after therapy were analyzed using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. The significance of differences among four subgroups was tested by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the Bonferroni’s method. Multivariate regression analysis was used to determine the contribution of the variables to the sleeping/waking BP ratio. Variables associated by univariate analysis were included in the multivariate model. A P value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 20 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

Predictors of increase in sleeping/waking MBP ratio in subjects without proteinuria

Among 778 subjects enrolled, 441 had a negative dipstick test for proteinuria (reading below “trace”). The clinical parameters of these subjects are summarized in Table 1. Multivariate regression analyses were conducted to examine the clinical parameters (independent variables) associated with sleeping/waking MBP ratio (dependent variable). The analyses identified serum albumin level as an independent predictor that correlated inversely with sleeping/waking MBP ratio. Sleeping/waking LF/HF ratio and serum total cholesterol level were also identified as independent predictors of sleeping/waking MBP ratio.

Comparison of sleeping/waking MBP ratio and other parameters between diabetic and non-diabetic patients in hypertensive-naïve subjects

All hypertensive-naïve subjects (n=297) were classified into a non-diabetic and a diabetic group, and further stratified into four subgroups based on UAE. Clinical parameters of the subgroups are summarized in Table 2. No significant differences in gender, body mass index, serum triglycerides and eGFR were detected among four subgroups both in diabetic and non-diabetic groups. All non-diabetic subgroups were younger than their counterparts in the diabetic group (Figure 3).

Changes in sleeping/waking MBP ratio and other parameters after treatment in the non-diabetic nephrotic subgroup

Thirty-seven non-diabetic nephrotic patients underwent ABPM before and after immunosuppressive therapy. The pathological findings in these patients were minimal change (n=17), membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis (n=3), membranous nephropathy (n=9), lupus nephritis (n=5), mesangial proliferative glomerulonephritis (n=1), and IgA nephropathy (n=2). The changes in clinical parameters from before to after treatment in the remission and non-remission groups are shown in Tables 3 and 4. When the criterion of remission was UAE lower than 300 mg/g creatinine, 13 patients entered complete remission. In these patients, sleeping/waking MBP ratio did not change significantly, although serum albumin level increased and UAE decreased after remission compared to before remission (Table 3). When serum albumin level higher than 3 g/dL was used as the criterion, however, 25 patients entered remission with a significant decrease in sleeping/waking MBP ratio (Table 4). The maintenance doses of prednisolone in the remission and non-remission groups were respectively 2.8 ± 4.0 and 3.3 ± 4.3 mg/day (P=0.584) using the criterion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Participants (n=441)</th>
<th>β coefficient</th>
<th>Standardized β coefficient</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>57 ± 1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (men/women)</td>
<td>158/283</td>
<td>-0.061</td>
<td>-0.080</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>23.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td>-0.140</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes (diabetes/non-diabetes)</td>
<td>72/369</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin (g/dL)</td>
<td>13.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-0.006</td>
<td>-0.121</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin A1C (%)</td>
<td>5.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin (g/dL)</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-0.050</td>
<td>-0.239</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mg/dL)</td>
<td>207 ± 2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mg/dL)</td>
<td>139 ± 4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-0.023</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR (ml/min/1.73m²)</td>
<td>79 ± 1</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>-0.117</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-hour mean blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>102 ± 1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleeping/waking LF/HF ratio (%)</td>
<td>79 ± 1</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.162</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol (never/current/past, %)</td>
<td>45/42/13</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking (never/current/past, %)</td>
<td>38/39/23</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of hypertensive medications used (none/one/two/three or more)</td>
<td>196/104/97/44</td>
<td>-0.008</td>
<td>-0.134</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.332</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Model summary, adjusted R²=0.249, P<0.001. eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HF, high frequency component; LF, low frequency component. Body mass index was calculated by the formula: body weight (kg)/height (m)²

Table 1: Multivariate regression analyses of background clinical parameters associated with sleeping/waking ratio of mean blood pressure.
of serum albumin concentrations >3 g/dL, and were 4.0 ± 4.6 and 2.5 ± 3.9 mg/day using the criterion of UAE <300 mg/g creatinine (P=0.247), showing no differences with both criteria. No patients received other immunosuppressive medications at the second ABPM. There were no changes in 24-h MBP and eGFR after treatment compared to before treatment, regardless of treatment outcome.

**Discussion**

In this study, we demonstrated that the serum albumin was an independent predictor for loss of nocturnal BP decline in subjects who had no albuminuria or dipstick-positive proteinuria. The non-diabetic subjects showed no significant loss of nighttime BP fall until they had massive albuminuria and hypoalbuminemia. Furthermore, in the non-diabetic nephrotic subgroup, improvements in hyperalbuminuria and hypoalbuminemia were associated with improvement in sleep/waking BP ratio. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report that nighttime BP fall is associated with vigorous changes in urinary and serum albumin levels.

Microalbuminuria and loss of nighttime BP dip are the established risk markers of cardiovascular diseases, and often coexist in patients with diabetes or hypertension [16,17]. However, it remains controversial which marker acts first and affects the other. Some studies [18-21] reported the possibility that microalbuminuria influences circadian BP pattern and predicts cardiovascular disease mortality.

**Table 2:** Background clinical parameters in four subgroups stratified by urinary albumin excretion in non-diabetic and diabetic groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Normoalbuminuria</th>
<th>Microalbuminuria</th>
<th>Subnephrotic</th>
<th>Nephrotic</th>
<th>ANOVA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>61 ± 3</td>
<td>61 ± 3</td>
<td>58 ± 4</td>
<td>54 ± 3</td>
<td>0.460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (men/women)</td>
<td>9/14</td>
<td>9/7</td>
<td>8/9</td>
<td>4/6</td>
<td>0.738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>21.5 ± 0.9</td>
<td>24.8 ± 1.7</td>
<td>21.8 ± 0.9</td>
<td>23.6 ± 1.5</td>
<td>0.214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum albumin (g/dL)</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mg/dL)</td>
<td>222 ± 10</td>
<td>202 ± 8</td>
<td>229 ± 12</td>
<td>289 ± 25</td>
<td>0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mg/dL)</td>
<td>186 ± 37</td>
<td>193 ± 42</td>
<td>167 ± 32</td>
<td>206 ± 36</td>
<td>0.915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR (mL/min/1.73m²)</td>
<td>74 ± 7</td>
<td>81 ± 7</td>
<td>85 ± 9</td>
<td>75 ± 12</td>
<td>0.382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log urinary albumin excretion (mg/g creatinine)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as mean ± SEM or number of patients unless noted otherwise. eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; ND, not detected. *P<0.01 vs. normoalbuminuria group; **P<0.01 vs. microalbuminuria group; ***P<0.01 vs. subnephrotic group; ****P<0.01 vs. counterpart in the non-diabetes group.
Data are expressed as mean ± SEM or number of patients unless noted otherwise. eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; MBP, mean blood pressure

...microalbuminuria to induce microalbuminuria. Thus, it is unlikely that microalbuminuria per se has predisposing pathophysiological effects to cause loss of nocturnal BP fall.

However, there still remains feasibility that preceding microalbuminuria causes loss of nighttime BP dipping in the early stage of CKD. Recently, microalbuminuria has been proposed to be a non-traditional risk factor of cardiovascular diseases independent of diabetes and hypertension [23]. Boulou et al. [13] pointed out that elevated urinary albumin excretion already exists in the early stages of hypertension. Arnlöv et al. [14] reported that urinary albumin excretion well below microalbuminuria level is a predictor of cardiovascular diseases even in non-hypertensive, non-diabetic individuals. However, Hoshide et al. [24] and Soylu et al. [25] showed that microalbuminuria causes no differences in the nighttime BP dip. Clausen et al. [26] also found normal nocturnal BP decline in normotensive subjects with elevated urinary albumin excretion. Our study showed that sleeping/waking BP ratio was not elevated in non-diabetic subjects with microalbuminuria. Taken together, these findings indicate that microalbuminuria does not directly elevate sleeping/waking BP ratio in early stage CKD at least in non-diabetic individuals. BP is regulated exclusively by cardiac and systemic vascular function, hemodynamic changes and neurohumoral factors. Thus, very low urinary albumin concentration does not seem to be powerful enough to directly cause nighttime BP elevation. We postulate that a greater burden of traditional cardiovascular risk factors persisting long-term would cause loss of nocturnal BP fall and elevate urinary albumin excretion.

...a complex dysmetabolic disorder as well as an autoimmune disease, is another major kidney disease manifesting wide-range albuminuria as is observed in diabetic nephropathy, but the site of pathophysiological action is limited to the glomeruli. Systemic vascular damages are less severe in early stages of CKD than in diabetes and hypertension. Our data showed that in non-diabetic subjects including those with glomerulonephritis, the sleeping/waking MBP ratio did not increase until they had massive albuminuria. This indicates that nephrogenic range albuminuria and disturbed circadian BP variation are closely related. The nephrotic syndrome is a complex dysmetabolic disorder as well as an autoimmune disease manifesting increased interstitial fluids together with changes in circulating blood volume and humoral factors [27,28]. Thus, the BP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Remission</th>
<th>Non-remission</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of subjects</td>
<td>Before treatment</td>
<td>After treatment</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-h MBP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>Before treatment</td>
<td>After treatment</td>
<td>94.4 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep/waking MBP ratio</td>
<td>Before treatment</td>
<td>After treatment</td>
<td>0.94 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum albumin (g/dL)</td>
<td>Before treatment</td>
<td>After treatment</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mg/dL)</td>
<td>Before treatment</td>
<td>After treatment</td>
<td>465 ± 46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²)</td>
<td>Before treatment</td>
<td>After treatment</td>
<td>77 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log urinary albumin excretion (mg/g creatinine)</td>
<td>Before treatment</td>
<td>After treatment</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as mean ± SEM or number of patients unless noted otherwise. eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; MBP, mean blood pressure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Remission</th>
<th>Non-remission</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of subjects</td>
<td>Before treatment</td>
<td>After treatment</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-h MBP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>Before treatment</td>
<td>After treatment</td>
<td>97.4 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep/waking MBP ratio</td>
<td>Before treatment</td>
<td>After treatment</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum albumin (g/dL)</td>
<td>Before treatment</td>
<td>After treatment</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mg/dL)</td>
<td>Before treatment</td>
<td>After treatment</td>
<td>407 ± 39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²)</td>
<td>Before treatment</td>
<td>After treatment</td>
<td>80 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log urinary albumin excretion (mg/g creatinine)</td>
<td>Before treatment</td>
<td>After treatment</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as mean ± SEM or number of patients unless noted otherwise. eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; MBP, mean blood pressure

Table 3: Comparisons of clinical parameters before and after treatment in nephrotic subjects based on the remission criterion of urinary albumin excretion>300 mg/g creatinine.

Table 4: Comparisons of clinical parameters before and after treatment in nephrotic subjects based on the remission criterion of serum albumin level>3 g/dL.
regulating mechanisms under these specific hemodynamic conditions should be different from those in minor albuminuria, resulting in loss of nighttime BP fall.

In the present study, we also evaluated the role of serum albumin level on the circadian BP rhythm, because hyperalbuminuria and hypoalbuminemia are inseparable signs and usually coexist. However, it is difficult to evaluate the relation between serum albumin concentration and circadian BP pattern without taking albuminuria into account. Only a few studies reported the association of serum albumin levels with less dipping BP [29]. Our study showed that even in cases of negative dipstick test for proteinuria or absence of microalbuminuria, serum albumin levels correlate inversely with nighttime BP fall. In nephrotic patients who achieved remission based on serum albumin levels by treatment, the sleeping/waking BP ratio improved as hypoalbuminemia and hyperalbuminuria were reversed. Recently low serum albumin level has also been considered to play a key role in systemic vascular damage. Yokoyama et al. [30] reported that hypoalbuminemia is an independent predictor of diabetic nephropathy and impaired renal function. Zimmermann et al. [31] reported a negative correlation between serum albumin level and C-reactive protein level, an indicator of inflammatory process of atherogenesis. In nephrotic syndrome, hypoalbuminemia contributes to increase cardiovascular disease by elevating lysophosphatidylcholine [32]. Considering these previous reports, we postulate that hypoalbuminemia is closely associated with vascular atherosclerosis and cardiovascular events for which loss of nighttime BP decline is the crucial risk marker. Even though the range of serum albumin levels is narrow, Fung et al. [33] and Mehrotra et al. [34] indicated that a decrease by as little as 0.2 g/dL increases cardiovascular risk and mortality. Thus, investigations so far indicate that serum albumin level alone should have potential influence on the circadian BP rhythm and consequently is a relevant predictor of cardiovascular disease.

Limitations

First, participants were recruited from patients referred to our outpatient clinic of internal medicine and this limits the generalizability of the findings. However, the subjects were not selected only on the basis of albuminuria, and hypertensive-naïve individuals were selected for the main studies. Therefore, selection bias and medication are unlikely to influence the findings. Second, the diabetic and non-diabetic groups differed in age. Thus comparison between the two groups requires caution. Nevertheless, our analysis has several strengths in comparing subgroups within the two groups. Third, due to the small numbers of subjects after stratification, we did not separate mildly hypertensive from normotensive patients based on baseline BP among the hypertensive-naïve subjects. However, an analysis showed that inclusion of the mildly hypertensive patients did not influence the ANOVA results (data not shown). Fourth, we regarded the nephrotic syndrome as a single entity, because although the syndrome consists of a diverse range of underlying renal histopathologies, there are no hemodynamic differences (unpublished data).

In conclusions, our study suggests that serum albumin level as well as urinary albumin excretion affect the circadian BP rhythm in early stage non-diabetic CKD. However, to confirm our hypothesis, a larger number of subjects with massive albuminuria or hypoalbuminemia of various non-renal causes should be evaluated.
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