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Abstract

The self-bag drop (SBD) system enables passengers to complete all boarding procedures, from issuing air tickets to consigning baggage, by themselves. The SBD system has various advantages, such as improving the speed of passenger circulation, decreasing waiting time during check-in, and reducing airlines’ operational costs. However, this system faces the potential threat of use as a tool for air terrorism, given that it is a new technology. This study intended to determine methods to improve the security and efficiency of the SBD system by investigating the existing literature on SBDs, self-check-in, airport security, air terrorism, aviation accidents, risk management, and information security. To gather real-time information about SBD operations, this study examined 12 airports in North America, Europe, and Asia based on previous studies of international SBD trends. The results reveal that the root causes of accidents and other problems are the absence of regulations and policies, human errors, and irregular information sharing among organizations. Thus, a process for justifying the necessity of new security measures through valid risk assessment is required.
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Introduction

In the past, aviation demand was low because air travel was hard to access. Today however, international airports are saturated by the ever-increasing number of air passengers. Airbus-2015 [1], an aircraft manufacturer, predicted aviation demand using Revenue Passenger Kilometers (RPK), as illustrated in Figure 1. RPK is the number of revenue passengers multiplied by the flight distance. Thus, it is not only a significant measure of judging airlines’ scale but is also a good indicator of increases in aviation demand.

In order to accommodate this forecasted demand, many international airports are currently in their expansion or planning stages. However, airports are congested repeatedly after a certain amount of time due to the fast-rising demand for air transport. Thus, airport expansion has some limitations, such as providing only short-term relief from congestion and the limited ability to improve airport capacity compared to the invested time and capital [2,3]. Accordingly, some studies investigate improvements to airports’ fundamental operating procedures to increase their passenger accommodation capacity [2,4].

As a part of their efforts to improve passenger circulation speed, airports utilize various self-check-in methods such as mobile/internet check-in. This has positive effects on airport congestion by reducing the time consumed by check-in procedures [5-11]. Nevertheless, the use rate of such methods is low since passengers have to eventually visit the airline’s check-in counter to consign their baggage [3]. Thus, luggage is a significant obstacle to achieving a complete self-check-in [9].

The self-bag drop (SBD) method was developed to solve this problem [12]. Using this method, passengers can complete all boarding procedures from issuing air tickets to consigning baggage by themselves. SBD has improved airport capacity by accelerating the speed of passenger circulation [13]. Kim and Yoon [14] demonstrated the feasibility of IATA’s Fast Travel Program, which aims to streamline passenger processing. With the current trend, achieving IATA’s goal appears achievable, though there are some challenges to address, such as the need for new regulations, equipment, and application scope [15].

Due to factors such as congested airports being a potential security threat, customer dissatisfaction, and potential revenue losses for airports and airlines due to overcrowding, SBD has a very positive effect on airport operations and airport security because it can reduce the level of...
airport congestion. However, since passengers independently handle all boarding procedures, there is a possibility that SBD can be used as a tool for air terrorism. Thus, although efforts to find relevant literature on SBD security are underway, they are difficult to identify from an aeronautical perspective. Alternatively, there are few studies on SBD’s overseas trends and system analyses. Accordingly, this study explores ways to improve SBD security and efficiency by analyzing the existing literature related to airport security and aviation accidents.

Research Method and Background

Research method

This research analyzed the existing international literature on SBD, self-check-in, airport security, airline terrorism, aviation accidents, risk management, and information security. To investigate aviation accidents, data was collected from related publications [16-18] and the Aeronautical Information Portal System website maintained by the Korean Ministry of Land and Transport [19]. Integrating the prior literature yielded several suggestions for the potential future direction of SBD. The results from this combined analysis were used to suggest measures to improve the security and efficiency of SBD.

SBD operations

SBDs can be operated by two methods: 1-step and 2-step. In the 1-step method, issuing both air tickets and bag tags in addition to baggage consignment is processed by SBD equipment. Since all boarding procedures are handled by one device, it increases airport spatial effectiveness. However, one drawback is that passengers not carrying any luggage also have to undergo the same procedures, which is more time-consuming for them.

The 2-step method uses two different types of equipment: the self-check-in kiosk to print boarding passes, and the SBD to issue bag tags and check-in luggage. Separating the printing of air tickets and baggage check-in can alleviate congestion in the SBD zone and reduce processing time by dispersing air passengers to two places. However, since the two devices are located in different locations, it can decrease airport space efficiency because passengers need to move to the SBD zone to consign their baggage [3].

To gather real-time information about SBD operations, this study examined 12 airports in North America, Europe, and Asia based on previous studies into international SBD trends conducted by Lee and Ji [2] and Lee and Lee (2015). The North American airports studied include the Los Angeles International Airport (LAX), Dallas Fort Worth International Airport (DFW), Chicago O’Hare International Airport (ORD), Philadelphia International Airport (PHL), and Toronto International Airport (YYZ) [20]. The European airports include the Orly International Airport (ORY), Frankfurt Airport (FRA), Schiphol International Airport (AMS), Brussels Airport (BRU), and London Heathrow Airport (LHR) [2]. The Asian airports include the Hong Kong International Airport (HKG) [2] and Incheon International Airport (ICN). The detailed illustrations of the SBDs’ security and operational items are described in Table 1.

Aviation accident data

While differences exist among countries in defining aviation accidents, the definition of Annex 13 is the international standard [21]. The aviation accident analysis covers the period from 1931 to 2014. The starting point is when the first air terror activity occurred. Since the data was collected at the end of 2015, the last point of the data is 2014. In this study, causes of aviation accidents are classified into four categories: air terrorism, natural accidents, human error, and unknown causes. The analysis results confirmed 1,362 accidents, comprising 169 cases of nature (12%), 180 cases of air terror (13%), 309 cases of human error (22%), and 704 cases of unknown causes (53%) were detected.

Results

SBD challenges

According to the analysis of the previous literature [20] regarding the overseas trends of SBD operation, SBDs are observed to face challenges in terms of security and operation. These are not general, characteristic to all airports, but are rather common aspects observed in several airports examined.

From the security perspective, there are two loopholes in the SBD system that could potentially lead to air terrorism. First, there are no compulsory identification procedures for entering the SBD Zone. This implies that a third person could easily check-in baggage if he/she can obtain the passenger’s passport. The second loophole is the absence of SBD security personnel in some airports, which could hamper undertaking security measures during emergencies and detecting strangers attempting to check-in using others’ passports. Accordingly, this can make terrorists consider SBD as a tool for air terrorism by consigning bombs/weapons in luggage without the threat of identity exposure.

Regarding SBD operation, four functional problematic cases are
errors could potentially have significant consequences during the
time. Regardless of whether the level of human errors is severe, these
airplanes and airports are operated by people, the fundamental reason
rather than an individual failure within a system [22]. Since both
isolated and occur in the air [18], which makes accident investigation
to identify its causes difficult, despite the existence of technological
tools such as the black box and pilot voice recording system. In
accident reporting and investigation of events [24,21,27].

**Discussion**

Considering that SBDs and self-service kiosks are located in
airports, this study explored the ways to improve airport security by
enhancing SBDs’ security and efficiency. However, there are some arguments that today’s airports are so safe that there is no need to
strengthen their security, as compared to stadiums, which have a high
concentration of crowds, and are more likely to be attacked and sustain
more damage [28]. However, loopholes in airport security could make it
easier for terrorist organizations to enter countries, which can cause
huge terrorism-related disasters aside from the direct consequences of
airport attacks. By analyzing related literature and aviation accidents,
this study yields several recommendations to improve SBD security
and efficiency as follows.

**SBD security**

**Implement security regulations for SBDs**: According to ICAO
Annex 17, aviation safety should be promoted with the aim to protect
civil aviation by establishing appropriate policies and regulations
that inhibit illegal activities [29,30]. Although drafting new security
policies is expensive [31,32], accidents caused by the lack of security
measures entail much higher costs. Thus, considering this, policies and
regulations that reflect reality should be made.

**Mandatory deployment of SBD security officers**: Since security is
the most important aspect in airport access control, security personnel
should be deployed in order to prohibit public access to the SBD Zone. However, as airports and airlines place SBD security personnel
according to their own plans, in some airports these personnel are
absent. Therefore, compulsory regulations should be enacted, which
require airports to deploy a certain number of SBD security personnel
if they wish to operate SBD services.

**Strengthened procedures to identify genuine passengers**: Additional identification procedures should be developed in addition to
the primary passport check, for instance, input of unique information
or biometrics such as fingerprints can be used. Furthermore, when the
check-in process starts, an authentication code could be sent to
passengers’ mobile phones with an aim to notice its initiation. This
can be helpful to prevent others from attempting to check-in with the
original travelers’ information.

**Improve information security of SBDs and self-kiosks**: Considering the system itself, cyber-attack technology has advanced along
with the information technology (IT) [33-36]. As the SBDs link various airlines’ boarding information based on certain software,
the information security of airports and airlines would be severely
threatened if the SBD faces a cyber-attack [37]. Therefore, these
systems should implement the ongoing information security checks by
establishing a managerial system for data security [36].

**Create a security database for active information sharing between organizations**: There are several aeronautical institutions
at present, and information sharing between them appears relatively
easy. However, it is actually quite difficult because of the exclusiveness and uncooperativeness of such institutions to take the initiative to share their information on policies and power [38]. In many cases, an organization was unable to prevent a crime due to failure in sharing information, although other organizations held the relevant data [27]. Thus, promoting cooperation among various institutions can be a good way to improve air security by sharing important security information within the governmental organizations [39].

Advertise the use and security of SBDs: Most air travelers generally do not intend to create problems by violating security procedures, aside from abnormal passengers, including terrorists and incidents of air rage. Hence, this current situation may indicate that most security problems occur from passengers' unawareness of the regulations. In fact, aeronautical laws and policies tend to change frequently, which can cause passengers to be confused about the security procedures. Therefore, if security policies are revised or a new technology is introduced, the changes should be advertised as widely as possible [40]. Eventually, this can not only decrease the number of security incidents, but also increase SBD usage rates.

Implement technology to move baggage checked via SBDs to security screening areas: Some airports deploy personnel to move luggage consigned by an SBD to the security zone [9]. This not only increases labor costs, but also causes potential threats to aviation security when problems occur during movement. Therefore, although it requires a high initial investment cost, the rearrangements of the airport or development of a new technology for automatic movement of the baggage should be introduced, as this could reduce operating costs and promote airport security [5].

SBD efficiency

Operate SBDs for both international and domestic routes: Since SBDs improve the speed of passenger circulation [3,13], airports can increase their passenger capacity by implementing SBDs. Furthermore, if SBDs are operated in relatively uncommon flights, baggage handling can be conducted without additional human resources. Airports can thus increase their long-term competitiveness by reducing operational costs [6].

Unify SBD software: Airports currently use individual self-kiosk systems and provide SBD operation only to certain airlines [9]. If SBDs are operated by a unified system as well as by all airlines, they could promote not only the growth of the self-check-in market but could also increase customer satisfaction. Furthermore, it appears that the simplified SBD program increases the speed of air passenger circulation since passengers on certain airlines can use any machine at the airport aside from those designated for a specific airline.

Improve SBDs and self-kiosks to avoid errors in recognizing reservation information: This can decrease customer reliability on and satisfaction with SBDs. Additionally, reservation confirmation errors can cause additional problems such as duplicated reservations or over booking a specific seat [5]. Thus, airlines should be careful to avoid such errors by enhancing the link between their Departure Control System (DCS) and system speed.

Manned check-in counters should cooperate with SBDs: Customers require some time to adapt to the new technology [6], and this time differs depending on their psychological state and age [10,11]. Sudden replacements of existing devices as soon as unmanned technology is invented causes dissatisfaction among customers [11]. Thus, airlines should operate manned counters and SBDs simultaneously for some time so that customers can learn how to use and adapt to the new equipment.

Further develop the 1-step operation method: Unlike the current system, which uses the 2-step method, the 1-step method can complete the entire check-in process with only one device without undergoing other tasks such as additional movement to finish consigning baggage. Therefore, a generalized 1-step method can increase both customer satisfaction and airport spatial efficiency. However, as illustrated above, passengers without any baggage would also have to undergo all procedures, leading to increased check-in time. Thus, based on the condition that there are different modes according to the existence of baggage, it appears that the 1-step method could result in considerably high efficiency.

Limitations

Since this study uses literature reviews as the main research method, it is possible that not only are there differences from real operations, but also all aviation accidents that occurred between 1931 and 2014 are not included. However, these limitations have less influence on the study results for several reasons. First, many SBD-related studies were published in 2014 and 2015, when SBD was initially introduced, so these publications are good sources to assess the current trends of SBDs. Second, aviation accident cases were collected from reliable Korean government agencies and several books. Therefore, these limitations do not interfere with the objective of the study.

Recommendations for Future Research

Since implementing new measures requires significant costs and time, risk assessments should be undertaken to enable the public to understand the necessity of new security regulations [32]. However, since risks in airport security comprise "services" and "human error" that are difficult to measure [25,10] security policy makers tend to avoid risk assessment [38-40]. Instead, most security measures tend to stem from reactions to damage from events without valid risk assessments conducted prior to the accidents. In addition, the media, which attribute air terror to the shortage of security [31,32] contribute significantly in creating new security policies.

Unfortunately, these extemporaneous responses have a high potential to create a chain of risks. Thus, airports need systematic countermeasures established via valid risk assessments that are conducted before the events occur. For this, new methods of risk assessment should be created, which can be applied in unmeasurable variables [41,42].

Security is a process of risk assessment that identifies major threats and analyzes a system's vulnerability [43]. Risks can be divided into four categories: identifiable risks by predicting the future environment when a new technology is fully adopted; implied risks by statistical data from accidental events; identified risks by experts' researches; and personally perceived risks by individuals [23]. Considering that SBD was introduced recently, the first concept of risks should be estimated for enhancement of SBD security. In other words, risk assessments should be undertaken by forecasting situations after the SBD is generalized.

No dangers would exist in reality if everything operates relatively smoothly [30]. However, considering that minor errors and problems can lead to an enormous accident, anything could be a risk. Furthermore, risk depends on the viewpoints about certain phenomena [30]. Accordingly, identification of essential risk is based
on imagination [30]. Since it is especially difficult to measure the airport security risk factors that exist in intangible air services [32], methods for assessing risks to validate new security measures should be selected after identifying potential risks based on forecasts.

Airport security includes physical security and information system security [37]. Thus, establishing airport security requires links between internal and external security. However, since an extremely strong security level decreases the service [40] a balance between them is necessary to achieve both airport safety and customer satisfaction [32]. Security policies are affected by costs for security measures, effectiveness of risk management, and public acceptance [32]. Therefore, to implement the methods to improve SBD security and efficiency identified by this study, cost effectiveness and social acceptability should be satisfied by initiating authentic risk assessments that can measure the level of accidents and dangers caused by problems with SBDs.

**Conclusion**

This study examined methods to improve the security and efficiency of SBD systems, which were introduced to address the lack of airport capacity. Additionally, SBDs have advantages in reducing not only passengers’ waiting time for check-in, but also airlines’ operational cost as SBDs can replace staffed check-in counters. According to the study results, the factors that lead to aviation accidents are absence of relevant regulations and policies, human errors, and inconsistent information sharing among organizations. In order to solve these problems, valid risk assessments should be undertaken. Further, justification for additional security measures should be provided.
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