In Vitro Antibacterial Effects of Crateva adansonii, Vernonia amygdalina and Sesamum radiatum Used for the Treatment of Infectious Diarrhoeas in Benin

Agbankpe AJ1, Dougnon TV*, Bankole SH1, Houngbegnon O1, Dah-nouvlessounon D2 and Baba-moussa2

1Laboratoire de Recherche en Biologie Appliquée (LARBA), École Polytechnique d’Abomey-Calavi (EPAC), Université d’Abomey-Calavi (UAC), 01 BP 2009 Cotonou, Bénin
2Laboratoire de Biologie et de Typage Moléculaire en Microbiologie, Faculté des Sciences et Techniques/Université d’Abomey-Calavi, 05 BP 1604 Cotonou, Bénin

Abstract

Diarrhoeal and infectious diseases are the leading causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide. With the emergence of multidrug resistant bacteria, the treatment of these diseases is problematic. This situation stresses the need to search for alternative antibacterial sources notably medicinal plants. The present study aimed to assess the antibacterial activity of three leafy vegetables commonly used to treat diarrheal diseases. Therefore, aqueous and hydro-ethanolic extracts of the leaves of Crateva adansonii, Vernonia amygdalina and Sesamum radiatum were prepared and tested against 12 clinical isolates and 4 reference strains. The antibacterial activities were measured using a microdilution method to determine the Minimal Inhibitory Concentration, Minimal Bactericidal Concentration and the antibiotic power. Susceptibility tests of the extracts were carried out using well diffusion method.

The hydro-ethanolic extracts of the leaves of S. radiatum and C. adansonii and the aqueous extract of S. radiatum had an effective antibacterial effect on the clinical and reference strains isolates. This was supported by Minimal Inhibitory Concentration values ranging between 0.3125 and 5 mg/ml, Minimal Bactericidal Concentration between 0.3125 and 10 mg/ml, a bactericidal power on S. aureus ATCC 25923, Pseudomonas mirabilis A 24974 (reference strains); Staphylococcus aureus, Vibrio cholera and Salmonella Typhi (clinical isolates). For the active extracts, the inhibition zone diameters were significantly different (p<0.05) and greater than 9 mm. Extracts of the leaves of S. radiatum showed the best antibacterial effects on the clinical and reference strains isolates, although reference strains and most of the clinical isolates still more sensitive to antibiotics.
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Introduction

In developing countries, infectious diarrhoeas represent a serious public health challenge because of their frequency and gravity. They are responsible for over 17 million deaths every year across the world with more than half of this burden occurring in Africa [1]. This problem affects all age groups but particularly the most sensitive ones such as infants and the elderly, as well as immunodeficient people. Up to date, vaccinations and antibiotic therapy are still the common means used to combat diarrheal infections. These remedies have contributed to the reduction of the impact of these infections especially in developed countries [2]. However, resource limited populations still rely on medicinal plants whenever these infections occur. Moreover, the use of medicinal plants has become the main alternative therapeutic solution against infectious diarrhoeas because of the steadily high cost of antibiotics together with the emergence of multidrug resistant microorganisms and the lack of vaccines for many enteric pathogens [3,4].

Plant resources occupy an important place in the life of rural populations of developing countries [5]. Furthermore, the African continent harbours a wide diversity of medicinal plants [6]. According to the World Health Organization, more than 80% of African populations depend on traditional medicine and pharmacopoeia to solve their health problems [7]. Out of the more or less 300000 medicinal plants species identified on the planet, more than 200000 are found in tropical Africa [8,9]. Some of these medicinal plants, mainly the leafy vegetables are used by populations as food and are very beneficial for health maintenance and for the prevention of many diseases [10,11].

An ethnobotanical survey conducted by Agbankpé et al. in southern Benin revealed 27 species of leafy vegetables commonly used in traditional medicine against infectious diarrhoeas [12]. Rural populations and traditional healers basically use them to combat diarrheal bacterial infections and against several other diseases. Some of these vegetables such as Vernonia amygdalina, Crateva adansonii and Sesamum radiatum possess high nutritional values that can help the rural populations to circumvent malnutrition [13]. Apart from the nutritional potentials of these vegetables, it is necessary to evaluate their antibacterial activity in order to validate their utilisation as phyto-medicines in the treatment of diarrheal diseases by rural populations and traditional healers.

The objective of the present study was to assess the antibacterial properties of the aqueous and hydro-ethanolic extracts of these three vegetables on bacteria species that are responsible for diarrheal infections.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Common consumables and materials of Bacteriology laboratory were used during the manipulations. The study also involved powders of the leaves of Crateva adansonii, Vernonia amygdalina and Sesamum radiatum. The used bacteria strains were obtained from the Bacteriology section of the National Laboratory of the Ministry of Health (LNMS).
They were constituted of 12 clinical strains isolated from diarrheal faeces samples: Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus, Salmonella Typhi, Salmonella choleraesuis, Shigella spp, Shigella flexneri, Vibrio cholerae, Citrobacter spp, Proteus mirabilis, Proteus vulgaris, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Klebsiella rhinoscleromatis and 4 reference strains (Escherichia coli ATCC 25922, Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 25923, Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 27853 and Proteus mirabilis A 24974).

Methods

a) Preparation of the extracts: 100 g of the vegetables’ powder was boiled for 30 minutes in 1000 ml of distilled water. The brew was cooled and filtered once using absorbent cotton and once with Whatman N°1 filters paper. The obtained filtrate was then dried at 50°C in an incubator and named as the aqueous extract (AE).

To make the hydro-ethanolic extract (HE), 100 g of powder was agitated for 72 hours in 1000 ml of 70% diluted ethanol. It was then filtered once on absorbent cotton and once on Whatman N°1 filters paper. The hydro-ethanolic phase was lastly dried at 50°C.

The aqueous and hydro-ethanolic extracts of the vegetables were reconstituted in distilled water at a concentration of 20 mg/ml. The prepared solutions were sterilized by filtration using filter-syringes on 0.22 µm Millipore membrane. The sterility of the stock solutions was verified by culturing aliquots of each solution on Mueller Hinton II media and incubated at 37°C for 24 to 48 hours.

b) Preparation of bacterial suspensions: A pure 24 hours colony of each bacteria strain was

emulsified in 5 ml of physiological water and adjusted to McFarland 0.5 standard.

c) Determination of minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimal bactericidal concentration (MBC): This was performed using 96 well plates method described by Houngbem et al. [14]. 100 µl of the stock solution of each extract was added to 100 µl of the different bacterial suspensions in a liquid media containing 100 µl of Mueller-Hinton broth. Positive and negative controls were prepared and respectively made of, 100 µl of MH broth + 100 µl of bacterial suspension and 100 µl of MH broth + 100 µl of the stock solution of the extracts being tested. The micro-plates were covered with parafilm paper and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. The MIC was estimated with naked eyes compared to the controls and each well was cultured on MH II agar and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours to determine the MBC.

The MBC is the smallest concentration of extract at which no bacterial colony can be observed. The antibiotic power (ap) of each extract was thereafter calculated with the formula CMB/CMI.

d) Susceptibility tests: A swab of each inoculum was cultured onto Mueller-Hinton II agar plates [15]. Using the tip of sterile pastor pipette, wells of 6 mm of diameter were dug in the agar and 50 µl of each extract was transferred to the wells. A well containing sterile distilled water served as negative control. The petri dishes were kept at ambient temperature for 30 min to 1h for a pre-diffusion of the substances before being incubated at 37°C for 24 h [16]. Meanwhile, swabs of each inoculum were cultured onto MH II plates and reference antibiotic disks were used as positive controls. The tests were repeated three times and the antibacterial activity of the extracts was determined by measuring the inhibition zone diameters around each well (Table 1).

e) Statistical analyses: Susceptibility tests were repeated thrice and the results analysed using Stata 11.0 software and presented as Mean ± standard error. An analysis of variance (ANOVA single factor) was used to compare the means of the inhibition zone diameters between the two extracts of the same plant, between the aqueous extracts of the three plants, between the hydro-ethanolic extracts of the three plants and between each reference antibiotic and the plant extracts. The level of significance was defined at 5%.

Results

Yield of extractions and sterility tests

The yields of the aqueous extraction were 12.7%; 10.5% and 12.4% being 12.7 g of Crateva adansonii, 10.5 g of Vernonia amygdalina and 12.4 g of Sesamum radiatum. The yields of the hydro-ethanolic extracts were 14.3%; 10.7% and 13.2% for Crateva adansonii, Vernonia amygdalina and Sesamum radiatum, respectively. The sterility tests revealed that both extracts of C. adansonii, V. amygdalina and S. radiatum do not contain any contaminant.

Minimal Inhibitory Concentration (MIC), Minimal Bactericidal Concentration (MBC) and the antibiotic power (ap)

The results of MIC and MBC are displayed in Tables 2 and 3. All the reference strains were sensitive to the hydro-ethanolic extract of the leaves of S. radiatum with MIC values ranging from 0.3125 to 1.25 mg/ml. This extract showed a bactericidal effect on S. aureus ATCC 25923

Table 1: Standard values used to interpret the results of the susceptibility tests of the plant extracts. Source: Tiirinirindraivo and Andrinarisoa [17], WHO [18].

Table 2: MIC (mg/ml), MBC (mg/ml) and a. p. of the aqueous and hydro-ethanolic extracts of the three tested vegetables on reference bacteria strains.
and *P. mirabilis* A24974 and a bacteriostatic activity on *E. coli* ATCC 25922 and *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 27853. *S. aureus* ATCC 25923 was sensitive to the aqueous extract of *S. radiatum* and the hydro-ethanolic extract of *C. adansonii* with the same MIC of 0.625 mg/ml. None of the reference strains was susceptible to the extracts of *V. amygdalina*. However, a MIC of 2.5 mg/ml was recorded for these extracts on *S. aureus* ATCC 25923 (Table 2).

*S. aureus*, *E. coli*, *V. cholerae*, *S. Typhi* and *P. vulgaris* were the only clinical isolates susceptible to the hydro-ethanolic extract of *S. radiatum* with MIC values from 0.3125 to 1.25 mg/ml and a bactericidal effect on *S. aureus*, *V. cholerae* and *S. Typhi*. At the same MIC of 0.625 mg/ml, *S. aureus*, *V. cholerae*, *S. Typhi* and *P. vulgaris* were susceptible to the aqueous extract of *S. radiatum* with a bactericidal power on *S. aureus*. Similarly, *V. cholerae* and *P. vulgaris* were susceptible to the hydro-ethanolic extract of *C. adansonii* (MIC = 0.625 mg/ml). No MBC was recorded for the extracts of the leaves of *V. amygdalina*. Nevertheless, some MIC values were obtained on *S. aureus* (*V. amygdalina* AE = 5 mg/ml; *V. amygdalina* HE = 1.25 mg/ml) (Table 3).

**Susceptibility tests**

As shown in Table 4 and Figure 1a, *S. aureus* ATCC 25923 is susceptible to the hydro-ethanolic extract of the leaves of *C. adansonii*, and *S. radiatum* and the aqueous extract of *S. radiatum*.

The inhibition zone diameters obtained with the hydro-ethanolic extract of the leaves of *S. radiatum* were significantly higher than (p <0.05) those recorded using the aqueous extract of the same plant but also higher than those obtained with the hydro-ethanolic extracts of *C. adansonii* and *V. amygdalina*. Apart from *S. aureus* ATCC 25923, the other reference strains were only sensitive to the hydro-ethanolic extract of the leaves of *S. radiatum* with inhibition zone diameters varying between 9 ± 1 and 13 ± 1 mm.

For the clinical isolates, *V. cholerae* and *P. vulgaris* were sensitive to the hydro-ethanolic extract of the leaves of *C. adansonii* (10.67 ± 0.57 mm; 10.33 ± 0.57 mm) and *S. radiatum* (15.67 ± 0.57 mm; 14 ± 1 mm) and to the aqueous extract of the leaves of *S. radiatum* (11.67 ± 0.57 mm; 9 ± 1 mm).

### Table 3: MIC (mg/ml), MBC (mg/ml) and a.p. of the aqueous and hydro-ethanolic extracts of the three tested vegetables on clinical isolates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical isolates</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>S. aureus</th>
<th>E. coli</th>
<th>V. cholerae</th>
<th>S. Typhi</th>
<th>S. Choleraesius</th>
<th>S. Spp</th>
<th>S. flexneri</th>
<th>C. spp</th>
<th>P. vulgaris</th>
<th>P. mirabilis</th>
<th>K. pneumoniae</th>
<th>K. rhinoscleromatis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ca AE</td>
<td>CMI</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CMB</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a. p.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca HE</td>
<td>CMI</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CMB</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a. p.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Va AE</td>
<td>CMI</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CMB</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a. p.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Va HE</td>
<td>CMI</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CMB</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a. p.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr AE</td>
<td>CMI</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CMB</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a. p.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr HE</td>
<td>CMI</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CMB</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a. p.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MIC = Minimal Inhibitory Concentration; MBC = Minimal Bactericidal Concentration; a. p. = antibiotic power; Ca = *Crateva adansonii*; Va = *Vernonia amygdalina*; Sr = *Sesamum radiatum*; EA= aqueous extract; HE = hydro-ethanolic extract; a. p. with * = bactericidal power; a. p. without * = bacteriostatic power; *E. coli* = *Escherichia coli*; *S. aureus* = *Staphylococcus aureus*; *V. cholerae* = *Vibrio cholerae*; *S. Typhi* = *Salmonella Typhi*; *S. choleraesius* = *Salmonella choleraesius*; *S. spp* = *Shigella spp*; *S. flexneri* = *Shigella flexneri*; *C. spp* = *Citrobacter spp*; *P. mirabilis* = *Proteus mirabilis*; *P. vulgaris* = *Proteus vulgaris*; *K. pneumoniae* = *Klebsiella pneumoniae*; *K. rhinoscleromatis* = *Klebsiella rhinoscleromatis*.
Comparison of antibacterial effects between plant extracts and reference antibiotics

A significant statistical difference (p < 0.05) was observed between the antibacterial activity of reference antibiotics and the effective plant extracts (hydro-ethanolic extract of C. adansonii, aqueous and hydro-ethanolic extracts of S. radiatum). Inhibition zone diameters induced by reference antibiotics on reference bacteria strains were greater than (21 ± 1 to 32.67 ± 0.57 mm) those obtained with plant extracts (0 ± 0 to 18.67 ± 0.57 mm) (Table 6).

Furthermore, there was a significant difference between the antibacterial activity exercised by plant extracts on clinical isolates as compared to that of reference antibiotics (p < 0.05). The inhibition zone diameters produced by reference antibiotics were higher than (0 ± 0 to 38.33 ± 0.57 mm) those recorded from the active plant extracts (0 ± 0 to 17 ± 1 mm). V. cholerae was not susceptible to Cotrimoxazole but sensitive to Chloramphenicol and Ciprofloxacin (Table 7).
Table 6: Comparison of inhibition zone diameters (mm) of the active plant extracts and those of reference antibiotic discs on reference bacteria strains.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant extracts</th>
<th>Reference antibiotic discs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ca HE (1 mg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli ATCC 25922</td>
<td>0 ± 0°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. aureus ATCC 25923</td>
<td>13 ± 1°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. mirabilis A 24974</td>
<td>0 ± 0°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. aeruginosa ATCC 27853</td>
<td>0 ± 0°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means followed by the same letters in the same row are not significantly different (p<0.01); Ca = Crateva adansonii; Va = Vernonia amygdalina; Sr = Sesamum radiatum; EA= aqueous extract; HE = hydro-ethanolic extract; E. coli = Escherichia coli; S. aureus = Staphylococcus aureus; P. mirabilis = Proteus mirabilis; P. aeruginosa = Pseudomonas aeruginosa.

Table 7: Comparison of inhibition zone diameters (mm) of the active plant extracts and those of reference antibiotic discs on clinical isolates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant extracts</th>
<th>Reference antibiotic discs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ca HE (1 mg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical isolates</td>
<td>0 ± 0°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
<td>0 ± 0°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escherichia coli</td>
<td>0 ± 0°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vibrio cholerae</td>
<td>10.67 ± 0.57°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmonella typhi</td>
<td>0 ± 0°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmonella choleraeales</td>
<td>0 ± 0°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shigella flexneri</td>
<td>0 ± 0°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citrobacter sp</td>
<td>0 ± 0°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteus mirabilis</td>
<td>10.33 ± 0.75°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteus vulgaris</td>
<td>0 ± 0°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klebsiella rhinoscleromatis</td>
<td>0 ± 0°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means followed by the same letters in the same row are not significantly different (p<0.01); Ca = Crateva adansonii; Va = Vernonia amygdalina; Sr = Sesamum radiatum; EA= aqueous extract; HE = hydro-ethanolic extract.

Discussion

The present study aimed to assess the antibacterial activity of the aqueous and hydro-ethanolic extracts of three leafy vegetables commonly used in traditional medicine to treat infectious diarrhoeas. To this end, the extracts were tested on 12 clinical bacteria isolates obtained from diarrheal faeces samples and on 4 reference strains.

S. aureus ATCC 25923 was the most susceptible reference strain to the extracts of C. adansonii (aqueous extract: MIC=1.25 mg/ml; hydro-ethanolic extract: MIC=0.625 mg/ml). However, a total absence of MBC was recorded with the aqueous extract of C. adansonii at all the considered concentrations. Nevertheless, its hydro-ethanolic extract showed a bacteriostatic effect on S. aureus ATCC 25923 with a MBC of 2.5 mg/ml. Moreover, no MBC was induced by the aqueous extracts of C. adansonii on the clinical isolates. However, V. cholerae and P. vulgaris were susceptible to its hydro-ethanolic extract with a bacteriostatic power on these two isolates. In addition, S. aureus (CMI = 1.25 mg/ml) and Shigella spp (1.25 mg/ml) were also susceptible to this extract though without a MBC. These results are better than those reported by Lagnikia et al. [19] on S. aureus isolated from Thryonomys swinderianus (aqueous extract of the leaves of Crateva religiosa: MIC = 10 mg/ml; ethanolic extract of the leaves of Crateva religiosa: MIC=2.5 mg/ml). This discrepancy could be explained by the fact that the tested bacteria strains were not from the same origin and the two studies were not conducted in the same area. Furthermore, the findings of the current study are better than those obtained by Ayodeji et al. [20] on E. coli (12.5 mg/ml), S. Typhi (12.5 mg/ml), S. aureus (12.5 mg/ml) and K. pneumoniae (25 mg/ml). Agboke Ayodeji et al. actually carried out their study on the methanolic extract of the leaves of C. adansonii and the reported MIC was beyond the one of this study. This is probably due to the difference of extract used.

All used reference and clinical strains were resistant to the extracts of the leaves of V. amygdalina, except S. aureus ATCC and the isolated S. aureus that were susceptible to the hydro-ethanolic extract of this vegetable (MIC = 2.5 mg/ml; MIC = 5 mg/ml). However, this extract has no antibiotic power (absence of MBC). These results are similar to those of Anbuiwjon et al. [21] and Ogundare [22]. Anbuiwjon et al. [21] reported that S. aureus isolated from saliva sample were sensitive to the aqueous and ethanolic extracts of the leaves of V. amygdalina with MIC of 45 mg/ml and 60 mg/ml, respectively. The same situation is noted with the results of Ogundare [22] who reported that S. Typhi were resistant to the ethanolic extract of the leaves of V. amygdalina while S. aureus were sensitive to this same extract with a MIC of 25 mg/ml. The results of this study are also constant with those of Adetunji et al. [23], whereby there was a total absence of MBC with the hydro-ethanolic extract of V. amygdalina on P. aeruginosa, E. coli and S. aureus.

All the reference strains were susceptible to the extracts of S. radiatum with MICs from 0.3125 to 5 mg/ml. The most susceptible strains to the hydro-ethanolic extract were S. aureus ATCC 25923 (0.3125 mg/ml), E. coli ATCC 25922 (0.625 mg/ml), P. mirabilis A 24974 (0.625 mg/ml) and P. aeruginosa ATCC 27853 (1.25 mg/ml). The MBC values of the hydro-ethanolic extract of the leaves of S. radiatum on these reference strains range from 0.625 to 10 mg/ml, which confer to this extract its bactericidal power on S. aureus ATCC 25923 and P. mirabilis A 24974 and a bacteriostatic power on E. coli ATCC 25922 and P. aeruginosa ATCC 27853. The only sensitive strain to the aqueous extract of the leaves of S. radiatum was S. aureus ATCC 25923 with a MBC of 2.5 mg/ml, demonstrating the bacteriostatic power of this extract on the strain. Some similar results were reported by Seukep et al. [24], in which E. coli ATCC 8793 and P. aeruginosa ATCC 29916 were sensitive to the methanolic extract of the leaves of S. radiatum (MIC=1.024 mg/ml). These results are better than those obtained with
the hydro-ethanolic and ethanol extracts of Solanum incanum and Asparagus africanus (absence of MIC on S. aureus ATCC 25923, E. coli ATCC 25922 and P. aeruginosa ATCC 27853); Jatropha curcas, Khaya senegalensis (absence of antibiotic power on S. aureus ATCC 25923 and E. coli ATCC 25922); Clerodendrum splendens (bacteriostatic effect on E. coli ATCC 25922) and Moringa oleifera (absence of MIC on E. coli ATCC 25922) [14,25-27].

Among the clinical isolates used in this study, only S. aureus (0.3125 mg/ml), E. coli (1.25 mg/ml), V. cholerae (0.625 mg/ml), S. Typhi (0.625 mg/ml) and P. vulgaris (0.625 mg/ml) were susceptible to the hydro-ethanolic extract of S. radiatum with MICs from 0.3125 to 5 mg/ml. Besides, S. aureus, V. cholerae, S. Typhi and P. vulgaris were susceptible to the aqueous extract of S. radiatum with the same MIC of 0.625 mg/ml and MICs ranging between 1.25 and 5 mg/ml. The antibiotic powers of the hydro-ethanolic extract of S. radiatum show that it has a stronger antibacterial activity on the clinical isolates than the aqueous extract. These results are however better than those of Shittu et al. [28], who reported that S. aureus was resistant to the ethanolic extract of S. radiatum. This could be attributed to the difference in plant varieties because Shittu and his collaborators carried out their study in Lagos, Nigeria.

Many bacteria were susceptible to the hydro-ethanolic extract of C. adansonii (S. aureus ATCC 25923, V. cholerae and P. vulgaris) while a total absence of inhibition zone diameters was observed with the aqueous extract on the studied strains. It can therefore be concluded that the hydro-ethanolic extract of C. adansonii has a better antibacterial activity over its aqueous extract. These results are constant with those reported by Gowsaya and Saravanababu in 2013 [29], where 50 µl of the ethanolic extract of C. adansonii did not induce any inhibition zones on E. coli and S. aureus isolates.

Furthermore, all the studied bacteria strains (references and clinical isolates) did not show any sensitivity towards the extracts of the leaves of V. amygdalina. This is explained by the absence of inhibition zones. Opara et al. [30] reported similar results with an absence of inhibition zones by the aqueous and ethanolic extracts on E. coli, S. aureus and P. aeruginosa isolates.

S. aureus ATCC 25923, S. aureus, V. cholerae, S. Typhi and P. vulgaris were susceptible to the aqueous and hydro-ethanolic extracts of S. radiatum, with inhibition zone diameters varying from 9.67 ± 0.57 to 18.67 ± 0.57 mm. A comparison of the Means of inhibition zone diameters between these two extracts revealed a significant difference (p < 0.05). This confirms that the hydro-ethanolic extract of S. radiatum has a stronger antibacterial activity than its aqueous extract. Moreover, E. coli ATCC 25922 (13 ± 1 mm), P. mirabilis A 24974 (99 ± 1 mm), P. aeruginosa ATCC 27853 (11 ± 1 mm) and E. coli (9.67 ± 0.57 mm) were also susceptible to the hydro-ethanolic extract of S. radiatum. Osibote et al. (2009) reported similar results that E. coli ATCC 25922, E. coli, P. aeruginosa and S. aureus were susceptible to the essential oil of the stem of S. radiatum, with inhibition zone diameters from 10 to 19 mm. However, they also reported that Citrobacter spp. and P. mirabilis were sensitive to the essential oil of the leaves of S. radiatum while S. aureus ATCC 25923 were resistant to it. This dissimilarity could be explained by the differences in the parts of the plant used in the two studies and the type of extracts. Nevertheless, the results of this study are better than those reported using the hydro-ethanolic extract of the leaves of Argemone mexicana on S. aureus (7 ± 0 mm), E. coli (8 ± 1 mm), S. Typhi (11 ± 2 mm) and K. pneumoniae (8 ± 1 mm); Pupalia lappacea on E. coli and S. Typhi (a bacteriostatic activity) and Dalechampia clematidifolia on S. Typhi (6 mm) and S. aureus (14.5 mm) [17,31,32].

This confirms the antibacterial activity of the hydro-ethanolic extract of S. radiatum on bacterial strains responsible for diarrheal diseases and infections. Such antibacterial activity is stronger on reference strains than on clinical strains and can be explained by the fact that reference strains are sensitive strains which helped to validate the methodology and confirm the results of the clinical strains.

A two by two comparison of the Means of inhibition zone diameters of the aqueous extracts showed that there is a significant difference (p < 0.05) between the aqueous extracts of the leaves of S. radiatum and the one of C. adansonii and V. amygdalina. The aqueous extract of the leaves of S. radiatum therefore has a better antibacterial activity than those of the other vegetables. Additionally, a significant difference (p < 0.05) was recorded between the means of the inhibition zone diameters of the hydro-ethanolic extract of the leaves of S. radiatum and the one of the leaves of C. adansonii and V. amygdalina. This shows that the hydro-ethanolic extract of the leaves of S. radiatum has a stronger antibacterial activity than the one of the leaves of C. adansonii. Moreover, a significant difference was observed between the hydro-ethanolic extract of the leaves of S. radiatum and the aqueous extract of the same leaves. It can be concluded that out of the six studied vegetable extracts, the most active one is the hydro-ethanolic extract of the leaves of S. radiatum followed by its aqueous extract and the hydro-ethanolic extract of the leaves of C. adansonii. S. radiatum possess a strong antibacterial activity and the hydro-ethanolic extract of its leaves have broad spectrum antibacterial effects, capable of killing a number of enteric bacteria responsible for diarrheal infections. Therefore this extract can be recommended in the treatment of infectious diarrheas, food poisoning or infections due to enteric bacteria.

Chloramphenicol is commonly used as a reference antibiotic in studies involving antibacterial assessment of plant extracts on pathogens [22,24,33]. Ciprofloxacin belongs to the family of Fluoroquinolones, and often used in the treatment of acute bacterial diarrheas [34]. Cotrimoxazole is also an antibiotic used in the treatment of acute bacterial diarrheas, especially in children [22]. These three antibiotics (Chloramphenicol, Ciprofloxacin and Cotrimoxazole) were used as reference antibiotics in this study. The comparison of the Means of inhibition zone diameters of each antibiotic with those of the active extracts (hydro-ethanolic extract of the leaves of S. radiatum, aqueous extract of the leaves of S. radiatum and the hydro-ethanolic extract of the leaves of C. adansonii) on most of the studied strains, showed a significant difference (p < 0.05). The reference antibiotics have stronger antibacterial activities than those of the active plant extracts. Even at a higher load (1 mg), the active plant extracts induced significantly lower inhibition zone diameters than those recorded with reference antibiotics at smaller load (25 in 30 µg). This is attributable to the fact that reference antibiotics are made of chemical, synthetic and pure compounds, while plant extracts are mixtures of non-purified active principles (secondary metabolism compounds). Nevertheless, V. cholerae was resistant to Cotrimoxazole whereas this same bacterium was susceptible to the three active plant extracts. Although antibiotics are more effective in the treatment of acute bacterial diarrheas, the antibacterial activity of plant extracts should not be undermined especially to overcome the increasing challenges of bacteria's resistance to antibiotics.

**Conclusion**

This study revealed that among the six studied vegetable extracts, the hydro-ethanolic extract of the leaves of Sesamum radiatum possess the best antibacterial activity on the used bacterial strains followed by its aqueous extract and the hydro-ethanolic extract of the leaves of C. adansonii. These extracts are large spectrum products. They are active...
against a wide range of bacterial species responsible for diarrheal infections or diseases, especially those belonging to the family of Enterobacteriaceae. Probably, they can be used to treat diarrheas and food poisoning cases, as well as enteric bacterial infections.
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