Incidence and Risk Factors for Development of Third and Fourth Degree Perineal Tears: A Four Year Experience in a Single Saudi Center
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Abstract

Background: Vaginal delivery is a physiological process that holds multiple complications. Perineal trauma and vaginal laceration is considered a common complication associated with vaginal delivery. Well established risk factors, recognized by the Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, are ethnicity, birth weight over 4 kg, persistent occipital posterior position, nulliparity, induction of labor, shoulder dystocia, instrumental delivery. There are other risk factors that were suggested in the literature but data are conflicting, such as Prolonged second stage of labor, episiotomy and obesity.

Objectives: This study aimed to evaluate third and fourth degree tears rates and the impact of related risk factors on perineal tears in a single Saudi center.

Study Design: A retrospective observational cohort study.

Methods: This retrospective cohort study analyzed all vaginal deliveries from January 2011 to December 2015 in Security Forces Hospital, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. The Hospital has around 6000 deliveries per year. Data were extracted from dedicated database software for antenatal care through Hospital System (Medical Record Viewer-MRV) and from Midwife Head Nurse daily record system. During the period of interest 28325 records were identified. Caesarean section was performed in 7322 of them (25.8%). Of the remaining 21003 records, 20300 were included in the study according to the inclusion criteria mentioned above. 56 patients (0.28%) had a severe perineal tear because of delivery and were included in group A (Study Group). Group B (Control Group) consisted of remaining 20244 patients. Univariate analysis indicated the following as risk factors for severe perineal tears: gestational age >40 weeks, nulliparity, moderate/severe obesity, instrumental delivery, shoulder dystocia, pushing stage >90 min, birth weight >4 kg, head circumference at birth >34 cm and length at birth >50 cm. Risk factors still significant in the final multivariate model were moderate/severe obesity (OR=2.8, CI=1.3-6.1), instrumental delivery (OR=2.6, CI=1.2-5.6) and birth weight (OR=1.1/kg, CI=1.1-1.2).

Conclusions: Moderate/severe obesity, vacuum delivery and fetal weight resulted as independent risk factors for severe obstetrical tears.
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Introduction

Vaginal delivery is a physiological process that holds multiple complications. Perineal trauma and vaginal laceration is considered a common complication associated with vaginal delivery [1,2]. The most recognized classification of perineal tears by most obstetricians is the one adopted by Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (RCOG). They have classified perineal tears into four degrees; First degree: involving vaginal mucosa only; Second Degree: involving vaginal mucosa and perineal muscles; Third Degree involving anal sphincter; Fourth degree: involving the mucosa of the rectum. Third degree perineal is further subdivided into: 3A if less than 50% of the external anal sphincter is involved, 3B if more than 50% and 3C if the internal anal sphincter is involved [3].

First and second perineal tears are considered mild tears, however, third and fourth are severe tears [4]. Failure to distinguish between the degree of perineal tears will lead to deficient management and repair of that tear and hence jeopardize the patient’s quality of life in the future. It is actually reported that up to 35% of severe tears are not recognized at delivery [4]. Prevalence varies from 0.6 to 8% between different population and many risk factors play role, starting from parity and ending with quality of obstetrical care [5]. Complications such as fecal incontinence, dyspareunia chronic perineal pain and fistula or abscess formation are all not uncommon post third and fourth perineal tear [1]. Multiple studies have taken place to identify the risk factors of severe perineal tears and to establish guidelines to prevent them and their sequel. Well established risk factors, recognized by the RCOG, are ethnicity, birth weight over 4 kg, persistent occipital posterior position, nulliparity, induction of labor, shoulder dystocia, instrumental delivery [3]. There are other risk factors that were suggested in the literature but data are conflicting, such as Prolonged second stage of labor,
episiotomy and obesity [6-15]. Some preventive measures were proposed but only limited measures have good evidence of a protective role for developing severe perineal tears such as positioning of warm packs on the perineum during the active phase of labor [16]. On the other hand, perineal massage nor vaginal devices meant to protect perineum have shown any efficacy in preventing severe tears [17,18]. This study aimed to evaluate III and IV degree tears rates and the impact of related risk factors on perineal tears in a single Saudi center.

Materials and Methods

Settings

This retrospective cohort study analyzed all vaginal deliveries from January 2011 to December 2015 in Security Forces Hospital, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. The Hospital has around 6000 deliveries per year. Data were extracted from dedicated database software for antenatal care through Hospital System (Medical Record Viewer MRV) and from Midwife Head Nurse daily record system. The data used were already available for the analysis for all patients as part of the clinical report of the Obstetrics and Gynecology Department of Security Forces Hospital.

Subjects

There were a total of 28325 deliveries from January 2011 till December 2015. 7322 deliveries (25.8%) were cesarean deliveries and excluded from the study.

Inclusion criteria

Singleton pregnancy, cephalic presentation and vaginal delivery after 20 weeks of gestational age.

Exclusion criteria

Any delivery not meeting the inclusion criteria and any delivery with missing data. The study population included a total of 20300 delivery, 56 of them had severe perineal tears (Third or fourth degree tear).

Data regarding population characteristics, relevant pre-gestational disease such as diabetes and recourse to artificial reproductive technology were noted. Interventions such as analgesia and use of oxytocin were performed according to specific clinical protocols for delivery care. Partograms were routinely used to monitor labor, woman’s position, fetal head degree of flexion, plotting first and second stage times. Instrumental delivery was reserved for usual indications, such as arrested progression or fetal distress. All operative deliveries were carried out through vacuum extraction (in all cases Kiwi Omnicup according to manufacturer’s instructions), without fundal pressure or forceps, by experienced and trained obstetricians. Great attention was paid after the delivery to assess the presence and the severity of obstetrical tears. Lacerations were classified into 1, 2, 3 or 4 according to RCOG. Patients who developed a severe perineal tear (third and fourth degree) were included in group A (Study group), otherwise in group B (control group).

Descriptive statistics about population characteristics, antenatal care, onset of labor, use of oxytocin, duration of second stage of labor, use of episiotomy, type of analgesia used and fetal parameters were calculated.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) version 17.0 (Chicago, IL, USA) for Windows. Descriptive statistics are presented as the arithmetic mean standard deviation (SD). The Pearson chi-squared test was used for analysis of categorical variables. The Fisher exact and Student t-tests were used when applicable to compare continuous variables. Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were calculated. Odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals were computed to assess the overall association between each possible risk factor and the occurrence of severe perineal tear. The adjusted ORs were estimated using a multivariate logistic regression model. A value of P<0.05 was considered significant.

Results

During the period of interest 28325 records were identified. Cesarean section was performed in 7322 of them (25.8%). Of the remaining 21003 records, 20300 were included in the study according to the inclusion criteria mentioned above. 56 patients (0.28%) had a severe perineal tear because of delivery and were included in group A (Study Group). Group B (Control Group) consisted of remaining 20244 patients. Univariate analysis is shown in Table 1.

Univariate analysis indicated the following as risk factors for severe perineal tears

Gestational age >40 weeks, nulliparity, moderate/severe obesity, instrumental delivery, shoulder dystocia, pushing stage >90 min, birth weight >4 kg, head circumference at birth >34 cm and length at birth >50 cm. Risk factors still significant in the final multivariate model were moderate/severe obesity (OR=2.8, CI=1.3-6.1), instrumental delivery (OR=2.6, CI=1.2-5.6) and birth weight (OR=1.1/hg, CI=1.1-1.2) (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Study Group N=56</th>
<th>Control Group N=20244</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age ≥ 30 years</td>
<td>33 (58.9)</td>
<td>12632 (62.4)</td>
<td>0.694 (0.412, 1.17)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age &gt;40 weeks</td>
<td>38 (67.8)</td>
<td>8300 (41)</td>
<td>1.791 (1.083, 2.964)</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nulliparity</td>
<td>40 (71.4)</td>
<td>17450 (86.2)</td>
<td>2.197 (1.278, 3.775)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate/severe obesity BMI ≥ 35</td>
<td>78 (12.5)</td>
<td>871 (4.3)</td>
<td>2.916 (1.38, 6.16)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epidural analgesia</td>
<td>14 (25)</td>
<td>3928 (19.4)</td>
<td>1.375 (0.777, 2.434)</td>
<td>0.274</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

The long term consequences of severe perineal tear might cause great physical and psychological impact on patient’s quality of life. Therefore, great effort was made in order to identify risk factors of severe perineal tears and establishing a guide for obstetricians in order to stratify those risk factors and choose the best plan suitable for those patients at risk.

Literature review revealed some conflicting data about some risk factors

In our study, severe perineal tears occurred with a low prevalence rate (0.28%). Univariate analysis confirmed that nulliparity, Birth weight >4 kg, vacuum delivery and prolonged second stage of labour as significant risk factors. Moreover, it suggested several emerging risk factors such as gestational age >40 weeks, moderate/severe obesity and increase fetal length and head circumference at birth. Episiotomy did not represent either a risk or a protective factor for perineal damage. In multivariate analysis only moderate/severe obesity, vacuum delivery and prolonged second stage of labour as independent risk factors for severe obstetrical tears. Multivariate logistic regression was used to evaluate a predictive risk score for severe perineal tears with a fair accuracy.

A great variability in reported rates of severe perineal tears has been described in literature. Our results prevalence rate (0.28%) is positioned in the lower part of the reported ranges compared to reported ranges (0.1-7.3%) [11,12]. This might be explained as an effect of active perineum support. It is actually reported that countries in which a policy of active manual perineum protection is performed have lower rates of OASIS [13]. Another explanation can be the periodic training courses that are being carried out in the department for identification and treatment of OASIS attended by the delivery room staff in our hospital. There is a great agreement between several studies that nulliparity, ethnicity, heavier birthweight, shoulder dystocia, persistent occipital-posterior position, instrumental delivery and prolonged second stage of labour are consistently found as significant risk factors [5].

Our univariate analysis suggested several emerging risk factors such as gestational age >40 weeks, moderate/severe obesity, and increased biometry at birth. It was reported that Gestational age might be directly related to perineal injuries with an increase in the risk of OASIS of 77% each week of gestation [17]. Labour augmentation, as well, shows to increase the probability of severe perineal tears in a meta-analysis of 22 studies and 651,934 patients [18]. Data regarding maternal position at delivery are limited. However, non-upright positions are related to anal sphincter injuries [19]. In particular lithotomy results into a twice risk of OASIS compared to sitting position [20]. On the fetal side, increased head circumference is associated with severe perineal tears, and some studies advocate a direct relationship between biparietal diameter and severe perineal tears [21-25]. Conversely, episiotomy did not show to be associated with severe perineal damage. This finding is reported in several studies and supports the restrictive use of episiotomy compared to routine use [9,26].

Our multivariate analysis showed moderate/severe obesity, vacuum delivery and heavier birthweight as independent risk factors for severe obstetrical tears. While heavier birthweight and instrumental delivery are well-established risk factors [5], obesity represents a recent identified factor for OASIS. It is true that most studies report no association between BMI and severe perineal tears [27], however, two papers suggest a protective effect of obesity on severe perineal tears [28,29]. One of them argued that this result is maybe due to the voluminous amount of fat tissue in the perineal region may make examination and identification more difficult and hence severe perineal tears diagnosis to be missed. [28,29]. On the other hand, another study shows an increasing risk of recurrent OASIS in obese women [30]. Moreover, it has already been demonstrated that moderate and severe obesity represent a risk factor for pelvic floor damage and related dysfunctions [31]. The study limitation is being a retrospective design which might affect the quality of data. As well as the low rate of anal sphincter injury. However, the strength of the study is our large study population in a single center.

Table 1: Descriptive measures and univariate logistic regression (Absolute numbers and percentages or mean and standard deviations are shown) for variables with missing values, the total amount of available data in each group is also reported. ORs with 95% confidence interval are shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age &gt;40 weeks</td>
<td>1.245 (0.721; 2.151)</td>
<td>0.432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nulliparity</td>
<td>1.974 (1.091; 3.573)</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate/severe obesity</td>
<td>2.844 (1.315; 6.149)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacuum delivery</td>
<td>2.587 (1.191; 5.619)</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight &gt;4 kg</td>
<td>1.122 (1.055; 1.194)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Multivariate Analysis-ORs with 95% confidence interval are shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight &gt;4 kg</td>
<td>8 (14.2)</td>
<td>851 (4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pushing stage ≥ 90 min</td>
<td>10 (17.8)</td>
<td>526 (5.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Episiotomy</td>
<td>12 (21.4)</td>
<td>3603 (17.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight &gt;4 kg</td>
<td>7 (12.5)</td>
<td>1053 (5.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head circumference at birth &gt;34 cm</td>
<td>29 (51.7)</td>
<td>9575 (47.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length at birth &gt;50 cm</td>
<td>36 (64.2)</td>
<td>12106 (59.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first work evaluating 3rd and 4th degree tears in a single Saudi center. In our study moderate/severe obesity, vacuum delivery and birth weight resulted as independent risk factors for severe obstetrical tears. Great care of evaluating the patient’s risk factors of perineal tear should be employed to prevent the subsequent complications of perineal tears.
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