Industrial Energy Management Gap Analysis

Aida Sa 1,2 *, Patrik Thollander 2 and Enrico Cagno 1

1 Department of Management, Economics and Industrial Engineering, Politecnico di Milano, Italy
2 Department of Management and Engineering, Linkoping University, Sweden

Keywords: Energy management; Practices; Industry; Energy efficiency

Introduction

Improved energy efficiency (EE) is recognized as an essential strategy in energy and climate change mitigation policies (IPCC, 2014). Greater competition, unpredictable energy markets and control on greenhouse gas emissions, drives manufacturing industries to manage their energy demand carefully and use it efficiently. Energy management (EnM) as a cost reduction and risk protection strategy against the unpredictable energy market is a robust tool and support function which helps industries to improve their EE level. However, since energy cost in comparison with total production costs in manufacturing companies has the small portion consequently it receives little attention. Previous studies of improving industrial EE through EnM have been conducted in energy auditing [1] optimizing industrial energy systems [2], manufacturing simulation [3], energy system modeling, Kissoc et al [4], energy efficient technologies [5-7] and barriers to EE in energy-intensive companies and non-energy-intensive companies [8,9]. One of the foremost means of improving industrial EE is through the use of Voluntary Programs or Long-Term Agreements. Mentioned means have played role in EnM standard through combination of energy management practices (EnMPs) and energy assessment and energy assessment/ energy auditing [10]. However, still there is no single accepted definition for EnMPs [11]. Also, research concerning EnMPs has so far been scarce. Moreover, studies concerning energy services in the industry have not been extensively exploited. There is no study on classification of EnMPs. Notably, energy policy measures for improved EE in industry is in need of clarifications in these regards, as policies involving EnM components forms the backbone of successful industrial energy policies, e.g. Voluntary Agreements, see, e.g. [12-14]. Therefore, the current paper intends to deliver how important is to focus on mentioned four gaps in industrial EnM related literature and policy.

Analysis

EnMPs are to be seen as more managerial actions, while others can be defined as technical and operational practices. The lack of single accepted definition for EnMPs causes imprecise understanding about EnMPs. As another result, no classification which could classify EnMPs based on their target exists in EnM literature. It also causes failure in choosing proper EnM configuration through single industry’s characteristics. In other word, the lack of a precise definition about EnMPs causes both technical and managerial failure towards improving companies’ EE. If major improvements in EE are the target for a single industry, many different policy instruments and pre-steps can and must be taken. As for effective EnM it is pillar to work continuously, for better result it is necessary to establish policies which could support EnM programs properly and continuously. Even though an EnM system as such cannot be seen as national policy, EnM standards often comprise the backbone of Voluntary Agreements. According to Jelic et al [15] comparison of national EnM standards, there is lower agreement on some elements. Management commitment, strategic planning, purchasing and design are all those listed with low agreement level. Policy makers, engineers and scholars are in a position to think about not only what to install to improve EnM programs, but also to how to implement these measures. Thus to converge an unanimously accepted strategy, close contact between practitioners, policy makers and scholars is the mainstay. Simultaneously, it causes not only to avoid any possible confusion in existing program when policy makers and scholars get in touch with the realness of the industry through the practitioners, but also to establish policies which support EnM program properly. Thus, regardless of any discipline which laws are relevant to, they constantly need to be revised by policy makers to innovate and inject new, necessary, and value added items to the existing program. In Figure 1 a presentation on how these four elements affect each other’s failure and/or success is seen.

Different definitions of a single concept not only cause inaccurate understanding about it, but also cause improper proceedings to obtain the desired results. The perspectives of management only or merely technical to the EnM concept has caused both mentioned problems in industries. However, EnMPs could be defined as total continuous or frequent managerial and technical actions in a company which aim primarily to reduce the energy costs or to secure the energy supply and secondary to reduce the pollution [16]. Some authors believe that there is overlap between EnMPs and EE measures. But apart from the existence of some overlaps it is possible to differentiate EnMPs from EE measure [17]. It is useful to list all EnMPs and group them based on where and how they improve the EE. Classification of EnMP through the EnM definition can be a light to better understanding of what EnM is.
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Figure 1: EnMPs gap analysis.
Based on EnM literature, there are indications that EnMPs positively link to a top management support and ambitious, productivity, and firm’s climate friendly R&D [18]. Meanwhile companies’ characteristics like energy intensity and size have a direct impact on energy practices. After all, even if there would have existed top management ambitious, in most of the cases two stumbling blocks are exist: 1) there is no energy manager by definition within the company and/or 2) since energy is not considered as a core business and strategic but mostly a secondary issue therefore it receives relatively little attention. Top managements are positioned in a strategic level of any organization. Since top management support is a key to EnM’s program success, energy manager should make the EE investments more strategic. The more strategic an investment the more opportunity it has to acquire the contest. While integrating energy and operations approaches erases the line between process energy services and ancillary energy services and spreads out the doors to strategic analysis, linking the operations and energy analyzes could bring better results from the EE improvement point of view. An investment is strategic if it contributes to create, maintain, or develop a sustainable competitive advantages [19]. To build a competitive advantage, making a balance between internal resources and external factors through resource allocation is essential [20].

Turner [21] has a very strategic view towards energy within the industry. Turner determined and clustered five energy related strategies for a single industry, which are: reliability, efficiency, low cost/no cost, funding, and awareness and practices which could maintain the targets are identified. Grouping energy related practices through their targets could make the situation more clear for the top managers to realize by performing which practices they could arrive at what kind of resolutions not only from the EE improvement point of perspective, but also from a strategic point of opinion.

Conclusion

EnM is a robust tool to improve the EE in industries and its main task is to reduce costs for the provision of energy in buildings and facilities without compromising the manufacturing processes. However, it needs some clarifications in regards to definitions, which points towards a direction on how to reach overall sustainability, not just to work in accordance with continuous improvements, this in order to inject into the current and future EnM policy programs for improved impacts. Although the requirements for industrial facilities, in turn, can differ, how EnMPs are defined helps to clear understanding about the in-house EnM program and choosing proper EnM configuration based on industry’s characterization and overall objectives.
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