

# Influence of Culture Medium on Production of Nitric Oxide and Expression of Inducible Nitric Oxide Synthase by Activated Macrophages *In Vitro*

Fumio Amano\*

Laboratory of Biodefense & Regulation, Osaka University of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Takatsuki, Osaka 569-1054, Japan

\*Corresponding author: Fumio Amano, Laboratory of Biodefense & Regulation, Osaka University of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Takatsuki, Osaka 569-1054, Japan, Tel: 81359271020; Fax: 81359521600; E-mail: [amano@gly.oups.ac.jp](mailto:amano@gly.oups.ac.jp)

Received date: May 02, 2016; Accepted date: June 14, 2016; Published date: June 19, 2016

Copyright: © 2016 Amano F. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited

## Abstract

Activated macrophage phenotypes were influenced by the culture medium; a murine macrophage-like cell line, J774.1/JA-4, expresses different activated-macrophage phenotypes induced by lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and/or interferon- $\gamma$  (IFN- $\gamma$ ) when the cells are incubated in either Ham's F-12 medium (F-12) or Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM). Among these phenotypes, NO production and iNOS expression are the most remarkably influenced by the medium; the induction of iNOS mRNA and iNOS protein is higher in DMEM than in F-12, but NO production by activated macrophages is less in DMEM than in F-12. These results suggest that the interpretation of the experimental results requires consideration of the possibility that the differences obtained by different laboratories were caused by the culture medium used.

**Keywords:** Macrophage activation; Culture medium; Ham's F-12 medium; Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium; iNOS; NO production

## Commentary

Macrophages play important roles in biology and pathology [1] including those in innate immune responses to pathogens, tumor cells, and apoptotic cells of the host [2-5]. Macrophages also have a unique phenotype, known as "macrophage activation," which refers to changes their properties in response to pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs), various cytokines, hormones, and other factors acting as both endogenous and exogenous stimuli, which changes occur through activation processes [1,6,7]. Among activated-macrophage phenotypes, the production of reactive oxygen species ( $O_2^-$  and  $H_2O_2$ ), nitric oxide (NO), and pro-inflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  (TNF- $\alpha$ ) and interleukin-1 $\beta$  (IL-1 $\beta$ ) [8-11] is the major, as well as an important, function of macrophages to exert pivotal roles in the body and to maintain homeostasis [5]. Much research on macrophage has been done by culturing primary macrophages and macrophage-like cell lines in various culture media *in vitro*. Although there have been some reports describing the effect of different culture media on the cell proliferation and differentiation of macrophages [12-14], no results have been reported precisely concerning the effects of different culture media on macrophage activation.

In a recent report [15], we showed that a murine macrophage-like cell line, J774.1/JA-4, expresses different activated-macrophage phenotypes induced by lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and/or interferon- $\gamma$  (IFN- $\gamma$ ) when the cells are incubated in either Ham's F-12 medium (F-12) or Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM). For example, the production of NO, TNF- $\alpha$ , and IL-1 $\beta$  is increased more in DMEM than in F-12 after incubation of the cells continuously for 20 h; whereas the LPS-induced  $O_2^-$ -generating activity is higher in F12 than in DMEM. Besides, after precise study on the mechanisms underlying the induction and expression of inducible NO synthase (iNOS) and its activity, we found that NO production and iNOS expression are the most remarkably influenced by the medium used:

the induction of iNOS mRNA and iNOS protein is higher in DMEM than in F-12, but NO production by activated macrophages is less in DMEM than in F-12. iNOS is the key enzyme for the production of NO during inflammation; and it is induced especially by macrophage activation with LPS+IFN- $\gamma$ , to produce NO from L-arginine (L-Arg),  $O_2$ , and NADPH as substrates [16]. Concerning  $Ca^{2+}$ , iNOS is  $Ca^{2+}$ /calmodulin independent, unlike endothelial NOS (eNOS); but there is a report that elevated intracellular calcium affects NO production by iNOS [17]. Comparing the chemical compositions of these media (Table 1), F-12 contains a 2.5 times higher amount of L-Arg than DMEM, whereas the latter contains a 6.0 times higher amount of  $Ca^{2+}$  than the former. Although it seems feasible that the difference in NO production might have been caused by the differences in L-Arg and  $Ca^{2+}$  levels in these media, our preliminary results showed that the addition of L-Arg to DMEM or that of  $Ca^{2+}$  to F-12 to adjust the concentration of each to be equal to that in the other medium failed to influence NO production from activated macrophages (data not shown). Aside from the differences in L-Arg and  $Ca^{2+}$ , DMEM contains higher amounts of glucose and phenol red; whereas F-12 contains higher ones of pyruvate and vitamins (Table 1), both of which have been reported to have some influence on iNOS activity [18,19]. However, none of them have been shown to have a noticeable effect on the expression of iNOS or production of NO (data not shown). It should be also noted that macrophages show a significantly reduced level of NADPH, a substrate of iNOS as well as NADPH oxidase, during incubation in DMEM [15], which reduction might lower iNOS activity.

Further study is necessary to determine which component of the chemical composition of these media is responsible for the altered macrophage phenotypes. We are now testing the effects of each component in these media on mouse peritoneal macrophages and RAW264.7 macrophage-like cells, especially with special interest regarding those which are at more than 2-, 10- or even 100-fold higher concentrations in one medium versus the other, as shown in Table 1.

Components	M.W	F-12 11765		DMEM 11965		#1 Ratio		#1 Ratio	
		mg/L	mM	mg/L	mM	F-12/DMEM(mM)	\$ Ratio	DMEM/ F-12(mM)	\$Ratio
<b>Amino Acids</b>									
Glycine	75	7.5	0.1	30	0.4	0.25		4	*
L-Alanine	89	8.9	0.1					0	
L-Arginine hydrochloride	211	211	1	84	0.398	2.51	*	0.4	
L-Asparagine-H <sub>2</sub> O	150	15.01	0.1					0	
L-Aspartic acid	133	13.3	0.1					0	
L-Cysteine hydrochloride-H <sub>2</sub> O	176	35.12	0.2					0	
L-Cysteine 2HCl	313			63	0.201	0			
L-Glutamic Acid	147	14.7	0.1					0	
L-Glutamine	146	146	1	584	4	0.25		4	*
L-Histidine hydrochloride-H <sub>2</sub> O	210	21	0.1	42	0.2	0.5		2	*
L-Isoleucine	131	4	0.031	105	0.802	0.04		26.25	**
L-Leucine	131	13.1	0.1	105	0.802	0.12		8.02	*
L-Lysine hydrochloride	183	36.5	0.199	146	0.798	0.25		4	*
L-Methionine	149	4.5	0.03	30	0.201	0.15		6.67	*
L-Phenylalanine	165	5	0.03	66	0.4	0.08		13.2	**
L-Proline	115	34.5	0.3					0	
L-Serine	105	10.5	0.1	42	0.4	0.25		4	*
L-Threonine	119	11.9	0.1	95	0.798	0.13		7.98	*
L-Tryptophan	204	2.04	0.01	16	0.078	0.13		7.84	*
L-Tyrosine disodium salt dihydrate	262	7.81	0.03	104	0.398	0.07		13.37	**
L-Valine	117	11.7	0.1	94	0.803	0.12		8.03	*
<b>Vitamins</b>									
Biotin	244	0.007	0.00003					0	
Choline chloride	140	14	0.1	4	0.029	3.5	*	0.29	
D-calcium pantothenate	477	0.5	0.001	4	0	0.13		8	*
Folic Acid	441	1.3	0.003	4	0.009	0.32		3.08	*
Niacinamide	122	0.036	0.0003	4	0.033	0.01		111.11	***
Pyridoxine hydrochloride	206	0.06	0.0003	4	0.019	0.02		66.67	**
Riboflavin	376	0.037	0.0001	0.4	0.001	0.09		10.81	**
Thiamine hydrochloride	337	0.3	0.001	4	0.012	0.08		13.33	**
Vitamin B12	1355	1.4	0.001					0	
i-Inositol	180	1.8	0.1	7.2	0.04	2.5	*	0.4	

<b>Inorganic Salts</b>									
Calcium Chloride (CaCl <sub>2</sub> ) (anhyd.)	111	33.22	0.299	200	1.802	0.17		6.02	*
Cupric sulfate (CuSO <sub>4</sub> ·5H <sub>2</sub> O)	250	0.003	0.00001					0	
Ferric sulfate (FeSO <sub>4</sub> ·7H <sub>2</sub> O)	278	0.834	0.003					0	
Ferric Nitrate (Fe(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> ·9H <sub>2</sub> O)	404			0.1	0.0002	0			
Magnesium Chloride (MgCl <sub>2</sub> ) (anhydrous)	95	57.22	0.602					0	
Magnesium Sulfate (MgSO <sub>4</sub> ) (anhyd.)	120			97.67	0.814	0			
Potassium Chloride (KCl)	75	223.6	2.981	400	5.333	0.56		1.79	
Sodium Bicarbonate (NaHCO <sub>3</sub> )	84	1176	14	3700	44.048	0.32		3.15	*
Sodium Chloride (NaCl)	58	7599	131.017	6400	110.345	1.19		0.84	
Sodium Phosphate monobasic (NaH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> ·H <sub>2</sub> O)	138			125	0.906	0			
Sodium Phosphate dibasic (Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> ) anhydrous	142	142	1					0	
Zinc sulfate (ZnSO <sub>4</sub> ·7H <sub>2</sub> O)	288	0.863	0.003					0	
<b>Other Components</b>									
D-Glucose (Dextrose)	180	1802	10.011	4500	25	0.4		2.5	*
Hypoxanthine Na	159	4.77	0.03					0	
Linoleic Acid	280	0.804	0.0003					0	
Lipoic Acid	206	0.21	0.001					0	
Phenol Red	376.4	1.2	0.003	15	0.04	0.08		12.5	**
Putrescine 2HCl	161	0.161	0.001					0	
Sodium Pyruvate	110	110	1					0	
Thymidine	242	0.7	0.003					0	

(Data modified from Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific catalog) (\$ Remarkable Ratios are shown as \* >2.0, \*\*\*>10.0, and \*\*\*\*>100.0.)

**Table 1:** Chemical compositions of F12 and DMED medium, and ratios of them.

Other things deserving comment are the following two: One is the importance of our findings [15] regarding performing experiments with different media. The cumulative literature concerning macrophage activation is based mainly on individual studies of researchers who have used different culture medium such as F-12, DMEM, or RPMI1640 in their macrophage-activation experiments. Therefore, the interpretation of their results seems to require consideration of the possibility that the differences obtained by different laboratories were caused by the culture medium used.

The other is the importance of our findings [15] with respect to future studies. Macrophages are located in a variety of tissues and organs as monocytes (progenitors of macrophages), tissue-specific macrophage-like Kupffer cells (liver), microglia cells (brain), alveolar macrophage (lung) [1], and also in tumors or inflammatory lesions in response to pathological stimulations. These varied distributions of macrophages seem to suggest the possibility of their exposure to different nutritional components in their micro-environments, leading to altered activation phenotypes of the macrophages. Through our

study, we hope to obtain further new findings concerning the chemical components having remarkable influence on macrophage activation.

## References

1. Biswas SK, Mantovani A (2014) Macrophages: Biology and role in the pathology of diseases. Springer New York.
2. Nathan CF, Murray HW, Wiebe ME, Rubin BY (1983) Identification of interferon-gamma as the lymphokine that activates human macrophage oxidative metabolism and antimicrobial activity. J Exp Med 158: 670-689.
3. Pham TV, MacDonald HR, Mauel J (1988) Macrophage activation in vitro by lymphocytes from Leishmania major infected healer and non-healer mice. Parasite Immunol 10: 353-368.
4. Higashi N, Higuchi M, Hanada N, Oeda J, Kobayashi Y, Osawa T (1993) Identification of human T cell hybridoma-derived macrophage activating factor as interleukin-2. J Biochem 113: 715-720.
5. Ferrante CJ, Leibovich SJ (2012) Regulation of Macrophage Polarization and Wound Healing. Adv Wound Care (New Rochelle) 1: 10-16.
6. Mosser DM, Edwards JP (2008) Exploring the full spectrum of macrophage activation. Nat Rev Immunol 8: 958-969.

7. Long KB, Beatty GL (2013) Harnessing the antitumor potential of macrophages for cancer immunotherapy. *Oncoimmunology* 2: e26860.
8. Hibbs JB, Taintor RR, Vavrin Z, Rachlin EM (1988) Nitric oxide: a cytotoxic activated macrophage effector molecule. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 157: 87-94.
9. Amano F, Akamatsu Y (1991) A lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-resistant mutant isolated from a macrophagelike cell line, J774.1, exhibits an altered activated-macrophage phenotype in response to LPS. *Infect Immun* 59: 2166-2174.
10. Assreuy J, Cunha FQ, Epperlein M, Noronha-Dutra A, O'Donnell CA, et al. (1994) Production of nitric oxide and superoxide by activated macrophages and killing of *Leishmania major*. *Eur J Immunol* 24: 672-676.
11. Koike A, Minamiguchi I, Fujimori K, Amano F (2015) Nitric oxide is an important regulator of heme oxygenase-1 expression in the lipopolysaccharide and interferon- $\gamma$ -treated murine macrophage-like cell line J774.1/JA-4. *Biol Pharm Bull* 38: 7-16.
12. Hou LT, Li TI, Liu CM, Liu BY, Liu CL, et al. (2007) Modulation of osteogenic potential by recombinant human bone morphogenic protein-2 in human periodontal ligament cells: effect of serum, culture medium, and osteoinductive medium. *J Periodontol* 42: 244-252.
13. Lopez-Cazaux S, Bluteau G, Magne D, Lieubeau B, Guicheux J, et al. (2006) Culture medium modulates the behaviour of human dental pulp-derived cells: technical note. *Eur Cell Mater* 11: 35-42.
14. Wu X, Lin M, Li Y, Zhao X, Yan F (2009) Effects of DMEM and RPMI 1640 on the biological behavior of dog periosteum-derived cells. *Cytotechnology* 59: 103-111.
15. Kawakami T, Kawamura K, Fujimori K, Koike A, Amano F (2016) Influence of the culture medium on the production of nitric oxide and expression of inducible nitric oxide synthase by activated macrophages in vitro. *Biochem Biophys Report* 5: 328-334.
16. Moncada S, Palmer RM, Higgs EA (1991) Nitric oxide: physiology, pathophysiology, and pharmacology. *Pharmacol Rev* 43: 109-142.
17. Korhonen R, Kankaanranta H, Lahti A, Lahde M, Knowles RG, et al. (2001) Bi-directional effects of the elevation of intracellular calcium on the expression of inducible nitric oxide synthase in J774 macrophages exposed to low and to high concentrations of endotoxin. *Biochem J* 354: 351-358.
18. Andrade J, Ramirez R, Conde M, Sobrino F, Bedoya FJ (1997) Nicotinamide inhibits inducible nitric oxide synthase enzyme activity in macrophages by allowing nitric oxide to inhibit its own formation. *Life Sci* 61: 1843-1850.
19. Kanouchi H (2013) Low pyridoxine concentrations enhance lipopolysaccharide-stimulated gene expression of cyclooxygenase-2 and inducible nitric oxide synthase in RAW264.7 cells. *J Nutr Sci Vitaminol (Tokyo)* 59: 548-551.