

Influence of Dietary Sorghum Starch on Growth Performance, Digestibility Coefficient and Some Hepatic Enzyme Activities in Hybrid Red Tilapia (*Oreochromis mossambicus* × *Oreochromis niloticus*) Fingerlings

Abdel Moneim Yones M* and Atallah Metwalli A

National Institute of Oceanography and Fisheries (NIOF), Shakhshouk Aquatic Research Station, El-Fayoum, Egypt

Abstract

A 120-day feeding trial was conducted to investigate the effects of dietary sorghum starch on growth performance, feed utilization, apparent digestibility coefficient (ADC) and some hepatic enzyme activities regulating glycolytic and gluconeogenic metabolic pathways of fingerlings hybrid red tilapia (*Oreochromis mossambicus* × *O. niloticus*) with mean initial body weight of 10.9 ± 0.2 g. Five diets containing graded levels of sorghum starch (15%, 20%, 25%, 30% and 35%) were formulated. The results demonstrated that weight gain (WG), specific growth rate (SGR), protein efficiency ratio (PER) and net protein utilization (NPU) values increased with increasing dietary sorghum starch up to 30%. Hepatosomatic index, plasma glucose, triglycerides, liver glycogen and liver lipid concentration of fish significantly increased with increasing dietary sorghum starch level ($P < 0.05$). ADC of starch decreased significantly with increasing sorghum starch level over 30%. However, whole body compositions and ADC of protein and lipid showed no significant differences. Dietary sorghum starch supplements tended to enhance gluconokinase and pyruvate kinase activities of the liver but insignificant differences were observed in activities of hexokinase, phosphofructokinase-1, fructose-1, 6-bisphosphatase and glucose-6-phosphatase in the liver for all dietary treatments. Based on WG and FCR results, the appropriate dietary sorghum starch supplementations of fingerlings hybrid red tilapia (*O. mossambicus* × *O. niloticus*) can be incorporated up to 30% of diet.

Keywords: Hybrid red tilapia; Growth performance; Whole body composition; Digestibility coefficient; Sorghum starch; Hepatic enzyme activities

Introduction

Carbohydrates are the most economical source of energy available in abundant quantities at low prices and have a protein-sparing effect in some low-protein diets and for binding other ingredients [1,2]. Feed supply and feed costs are amongst the greatest challenges for the development of sustainable fish farming. Therefore, the aquaculture industry is searching for feed ingredients that can be used to formulate cheap fish feed [2]. It was noticed that fish meal and fish oil contribute 75% of the protein and 35% of the energy in aquaculture feed [3]. It has been estimated that the cost of feed constitutes 74% of total costs for farm-made feeds and 92% for manufactured pellet feeds [4]. The cost of aquaculture production can be reduced by efficient feed formulation [5].

Dietary carbohydrate inclusion in several fish species appears to produce positive effects on growth and digestibility [6-8]. However, using the appropriate level of carbohydrates in aqua feed is of great importance, because if the appropriate amount of carbohydrates is not provided, this may have negative effects on nutrient utilization, growth, metabolism and health [9,10]. Several studies have reported that an increase in dietary carbohydrate content improves metabolism and growth in tilapia [11-13]. Similarly, improved growth was observed in tilapia fed diets with 10%-40% inclusion of starch [14,15].

In most aquaculture feeds, complex carbohydrates such as starch have been introduced as the principal source of carbohydrate due to the fact that, dietary monosaccharides are rapidly absorbed but poorly utilized [16,17]. There are still many inter-specific differences in the utilization and metabolism of dietary CHO by fish. For example, there is a strong relationship between the natural trophic level of fish species and their ability to utilize CHO, with herbivorous and omnivorous species usually better at digesting and utilizing CHO than carnivorous

species [18-21]. Omnivorous fish species as Nile tilapia and common carp, which feed at low trophic levels, can efficiently utilize high dietary levels of carbohydrates (30%-50%) in comparison to the high trophic level carnivorous fish species [18-23].

In addition, digestibility of CHO by different species appears to be dependent on the complexity of the CHO as well as its dietary content with simpler CHO being more easily digested while digestibility of CHO generally decreases as dietary content increases [20,24-26]. Knowledge of the optimum inclusion of dietary carbohydrate is indispensable for improving growth performance of fish with reducing the amount of nitrogen waste and diet costs [27]. However, feeding excessive dietary carbohydrates of fish may have harmful effects on growth, feed efficiency, physiological dysfunction, and fat deposition by stimulating lipogenic enzymes [28,29].

Processing CHO by cooking-extrusion has been found to increase digestibility of CHO in most fish species, largely by breaking down the molecular structure of starch and increasing the degree of gelatinization [24]. Digestion is thought to be the primary limiting step in the utilization of starch for growth [20]. The inability of fish to utilize digested CHO is reflected in reduced growth, inferior feed conversion

*Corresponding author: Abdel Moneim Yones M, Professor of Fish Nutrition, National Institute of Oceanography and Fisheries (NIOF), Shakhshouk Aquatic Research Station, El-Fayoum, Egypt, Tel: 00201003473960; E-mail: yones_55200010@yahoo.com

Received December 11, 2015; Accepted March 04, 2016; Published March 15, 2016

Citation: Yones MAM, Metwalli AA (2016) Influence of Dietary Sorghum Starch on Growth Performance, Digestibility Coefficient and Some Hepatic Enzyme Activities in Hybrid Red Tilapia (*Oreochromis mossambicus* × *Oreochromis niloticus*) Fingerlings. Fish Aquac J 7: 162. doi:10.4172/2150-3508.1000162

Copyright: © 2016 Yones MAM, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ratio (FCR) and lower protein retention efficiency. Excessive dietary CHO may also decrease the palatability of feeds leading to reduced feed intake. However, fish which can tolerate and utilize high levels of CHO in their aqua-feed allow feed manufacturers much greater flexibility to explore reductions in dietary protein and lipid content of complete feeds and therefore feed cost.

The digestion and absorption of nutrients are mostly dependent on enzyme activities involved in the breakdown and assimilation of food [30]. Therefore, analysis of enzyme activities is a convenient and reliable technique that can provide comprehensive information relating to digestive physiology and nutritional conditions in the fish [31]. Digestible efficiency of digestible and non-digestible carbohydrates varies in herbivorous and carnivorous fish species [32-34]. The herbivorous fish species can utilize part of the non-starch carbohydrates in their diet due to symbiosis with the gut microbiota. However, most fish species are unable to utilize non-starch carbohydrates properly because they lack the adequate gut microbiota in their gut [26].

The optimal dietary carbohydrate level of hybrid red tilapia (*O. mossambicus* × *O. niloticus*) has not been reported yet. Therefore, this study was designed to evaluate the effect of dietary sorghum starch on the growth, feed utilization, body composition, apparent digestibility, and hepatic enzyme activities of carbohydrate metabolism on fingerlings hybrid red tilapia (*O. mossambicus* × *O. niloticus*).

Materials and Methods

Experimental diets

Five semi-purified experimental diets were formulated varying only in their dietary sorghum starch level (15%, 20%, 25%, 30% and 35%) (Table 1). The dietary 30% protein and 10% lipid level was selected because it is recommended to cover the requirements of this specie [20]. Fish meal and casein were used as protein sources where, fish oil and sunflower oil were used as lipid sources. Chromic oxide (Cr_2O_3) was used as an inert marker to determine the apparent digestibility of nutrients. Ingredients were ground into fine powder through a 150- μ m mesh before pelleting and an appropriate amount of water was added to produce stiff dough. The dough was pelleted using California pelleting machine with 2 mm diameter.

Fish and experimental design

Hybrid red tilapia (*O. mossambicus* × *O. niloticus*) fingerlings were obtained from the Kilo 21 hatchery belonging to General Authority for fish resource development, Alexandria road, Egypt. Fish were acclimated to the system and fed with the experimental diet twice daily for 2 weeks before the trial. After 24 hour of starvation, 1500 fish (initial body weight=10.9 ± 0.2 g) were randomly selected from the acclimatized fish and allocated into 15 circular cement ponds (size of each pond was 2 m³) in equal number (n=100 with stocking rate of 50 fish/m³). During the experiment, fish were hand-fed the experimental diets to apparent satiation twice daily (10:00 pm and 4:00 am) and weighting every two weeks to adjust the amount of feed consumption. The system contained two water pumps and upstream sandy filter units at a point between the water source (Lake Qaroun) and tanks. Each pump was drowning the water to the storage tanks and forced it through polyvinyl chloride (PVC) tubes into the rearing tanks in open system. The experimental period lasted 120 days after start. Physicochemical properties of water tanks were examined every week according to [35].

Sample collection and chemical analysis

Before the experiment, 20 fish from the same population were

randomly selected for determination of initial whole-body proximate composition. At the end of the feeding trial, fish were starved for 24 hours prior to sampling. Fish in each tank were weighed and counted for information on growth, feed efficiency and survival. Twenty fish from each tank were randomly selected and anesthetized with tricaine methanesulfonate (MS-222, 50 mg/L) for individual weight measurements. Blood was collected from the caudal vein of individuals using 2.5 mL sterile syringes. Plasma samples were collected after centrifugation at 3000 g for 20 min at 4°C and stored at 80°C prior to biochemical analysis. Then, the fish were quickly dissected for organ and tissue sampling. Liver and dorsal muscles were stored at 80°C immediately before further analysis. Finally, twenty fish per tank were randomly collected for determination of final whole-body proximate composition. After the sample collection described above, the remaining fish were fed with the same diets after adding 0.5% chromic oxide (Cr_2O_3) to determine the apparent digestibility coefficients (ADCs) for dry matter, crude protein, crude lipid, and starch. Faecal collection was conducted 5–6 h after the first meal at 10:00 pm. Fish from each replicate were anesthetized with MS-222 (50

Ingredients	Sorghum starch levels				
	15%	20%	25%	30%	35%
Fish meal	10	10	10	10	10
Poultry-by product meal ¹	15	15	15	15	15
Casein ² 26	15	15	15	15	15
Sorghum starch ³	15	20	25	30	35
Microcrystalline cellulose	34	29	24	19	14
Oil mix ⁴	6	6	6	6	6
Choline chloride	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Ascorbyl-2-monophosphate	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4
Vitamin. mineral mix ⁵	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Chromic oxide	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Sodium alginate	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Proximate analysis					
Dry matter	91.2	91.4	91.5	91.6	91.4
Crude protein	30.0	30.4	30.8	31.2	31.6
Crude lipid	10.2	10.1	10.2	10.1	10.1
Starch	15.0	19.9	25.1	30.2	34.9
Crude fiber	4.5	4.6	4.8	5.0	5.2
Ash	12.6	12.2	12.4	12.5	12.4
Tannin ⁶	0.25	0.3	0.32	0.36	0.42
Gross energy(MJ kg ⁻¹ diet) ⁷	13.86	14.77	15.82	16.77	17.7
ME (MJ kg ⁻¹ diet) ⁸	11.51	12.26	13.14	13.92	14.7

Table 1: Ingredients and proximate composition of the experimental diets (%DM basis).

1. Poultry-by product meal: crude protein-55%; crude lipid-14.4% (poultry production comp.cairo, Egypt).
2. Casein: crude protein-93.1%; crude lipid-1.5% (Gannanzhou Kerui Dairy Products Development Co., Ltd., Gansu, China).
3. International starch institute, Denmark.
4. Oil mixture: fish oil and sunflower oil were mixed as a ratio of 1:1.
5. Vitamin, mineral premix (g/kg of mixture): L-ascorbic acid monophosphate-120.0; L- α -tocopherylacetate-20.0; thiamin hydrochloride-4.0; riboflavin-9.0; pyridoxine hydrochloride-4.0; niacin-36.0; D-pantothenic acid hemicalcium salt-14.5; myoinositol-40.0; D-biotin-0.3; folic acid-0.8; menadione-0.2; retinyl acetate-1.0; cholecalciferol-0.05; cyanocobalamin-0.01; $MgSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ -80.0; $Na_2HPO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ -370.0; KCl-130.0; $FeSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ -40.0; $ZnSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ -20.0; Calcium lactate-356.5; $CuSO_4 \cdot 0.2$; $AlCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O$ -0.15; $Na_2Se_2O_3$ -0.01; $MnSO_4 \cdot H_2O$ -2.0; $CoCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ -1.0
6. Tannin=percent tannin on a catechin equivalent basis.
7. Gross energy (MJ Kg⁻¹ diet) was calculated by using the following calorific values: 23.9, 39.8 and 17.6 KJ g⁻¹ diet for protein, ether extract and nitrogen free extract, respectively [33].
8. The metabolizable energy (MJ Kg⁻¹ diet) of the experimental diets were calculated as 18.9, 35.7 and 14.7 KJ g⁻¹ diet for protein, lipid and nitrogen free extract, respectively [34].

mg/L) and manually stripped of faeces by applying gentle pressure in the anal area according to the method described by Ren [36]. Faeces were collected once a fortnight until sufficient dried faeces had been collected for analysis. Pooled faeces from each replicate were freeze-dried and stored at 20°C until analysis of nutrient contents. Analyses of ingredients, diets, faecal samples, whole body and muscle composition were made following the usual procedures [37]. Dry matter was determined by drying samples in an oven at 105°C until constant weight, crude protein was determined by measuring nitrogen (N × 6.25) after acid digestion using the Kjeldahl method, crude lipid was determined by petroleum ether extraction using the Soxhlet method, ash was determined by incineration in a muffle furnace at 550°C for 16 h and starch was determined using an enzymatic method as described by Hemre [25]. Tannin content of sorghum starch was determined using a modified version of Price's vanillin-HCl assay [38]. One gram of sorghum starch was placed in a 50 ml conical flask and 50 ml of analytical grade methanol was added. The flask was covered with a cork stopper, shaken thoroughly every few minutes for 2 hours and then left to stand at room temperature for an additional 20 h. Two ml of 2% vanillin, 4% HCl were added to one of the test tube and 5 ml of 4% HCl to other. The differences in two optical densities (the 4%HCl acting as the blank) was read on a Beckman spectrophotometer at 500 nm, then compared to catchin standard curve. Diets and faeces chromic oxide were determined using an inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrophotometer (IRIS Advantage [HR], Thermo Jarrell Ash, Woburn, MA, USA) after perchloric acid digestion, triplicate analyses were conducted for each sample.

Determination of enzyme activities

Liver samples were homogenized in four volumes of ice-cold 100 mM Tris-HCl buffer containing 0.1 mM EDTA and 0.1% Triton X-100 (v/v), pH 7.8. Homogenates were centrifuged (Kubota model 6900, Kubota Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) at 30,000 g at 4°C for 30 min and the resultant supernatants divided in aliquots and stored at 80°C for further enzyme assays. All enzyme activities were performed at 25°C and absorbance read at 340 nm in a micro plate reader (ELx808TM, Bio-Tek Instruments, USA). Hexokinase (HK; EC 2.7.1.1) and glucokinase (GK; EC 2.7.1.2) activities were measured as described by Enes using a reaction mixture containing 50 mM imidazole-HCl buffer (pH 7.4), 2.5 mM ATP, 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.4 mM NADP, 2 units mL⁻¹ G6PDH and 1 mM (HK) or 100 mM (GK) glucose [23]. Pyruvate kinase (PK; EC 2.7.1.40) activity was measured according to Panserat [39] with a reaction mixture consisting of 50 mM imidazole-HCl buffer (pH 7.4), 5 mM MgCl₂, 100 mM KCl, 0.15 mM NADH, 1 mM ADP, 2 units mL⁻¹ LDH and 2 mM PEP. Fructose 1,6-bisphosphatase (FBPase; EC 3.1.3.11) activity was measured as described by Foster using a reaction mixture consisting of 50 mM imidazole-HCl buffer (pH 7.4), 5 mM Mg Cl₂, 12 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 0.5 mM NADP, 2 units mL⁻¹ G6PDH, 2 units mL⁻¹ PGI and 0.5 mM fructose 1,6-bisphosphate [40]. Glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PDH; EC 1.1.1.49) activity was measured as described by Metón and Panserat, using a reaction mixture containing 50 mM imidazole-HCl buffer (pH 7.4), 5 mM Mg Cl₂, 2 mM NADP and 1 mM glucose-6-phosphate [41,42]. The reaction mixture contained 50 mM imidazole-HCl buffer (pH 7.4), 5 mM Mg Cl₂, 0.4 mM NADP and 2 mM L-malate. Protein concentration in liver crude extracts was determined at 600 nm according to the Bradford method using bovine serum albumin as a standard [43]. All enzyme activities were expressed as per mg of hepatic soluble activity was defined as the amount of enzyme that catalyzed the hydrolysis of 1 μmol of substrate per minute at assay temperature. Plasma glucose

and triacylglycerol concentration were determined using commercial kits from Enzyline, Biomerieux, Linda-A-Velha, Portugal (ALAT/GPT, ref. 63313; ASAT/GOT, ref. 63213). Liver and muscle glycogen concentration were determined at 620 nm using the anthrone reagent method [44].

Growth performance

The following growth performance parameters were calculated as follows:

- Specific growth rate (SGR)=100 × (Ln final weight-Ln initial weight)/120
- Condition factor (CF g/cm³)=(wet weight) / (total length-3) × 100
- Feed conversion (FCR)=(feed given per fish) / (weight gain per fish)
- Protein efficiency ratio (PER)=(weight gain per fish) / (protein intake per fish)
- Net protein Utilization (NPU%)=100 (Final body protein-initial body protein / protein intake)
- Hepatosomatic index (HSI%)=(liver weight) / (fish weight) × 100
- ADC of dry matter (%)=100-(100% Cr₂O₃ in diet / %Cr₂O₃ in faeces)
- ADC of nutrients (%)=100-(100 × %nutrient in faeces / %nutrient in diet × %Cr₂O₃ in diet / %Cr₂O₃ in faeces)

Statistical analyses

The results are presented as means ± SE of three replications. All data were subjected to one-way analysis of variance and tested. One way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was applied to test the effect of different sorghum starch levels on various growth parameters, nutrient utilization, chemical composition and hepatic enzyme activity of experimental fish according to Snedecore [45]. Duncan Multiple Range test was used to detect the significant differences between the means of treatments [46]. All analysis were performed using SAS (version 6, 2004 SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) [47].

Results

Water physico-chemical properties (Table 2) revealed that water temperature, salinity, p^H, dissolved oxygen and unionized ammonia are within the optimum ranges for rearing red tilapia. Similar physico-chemical condition was observed in all tanks of the present study as presented in Table 2.

After 120-days growth trial, survival rate of red hybrid tilapia (*Oreochromis mossambicus* × *O. niloticus*) was not affected by dietary starch levels (Table 3). As presented in the same table, averages of initial weights ranged between 10.85 to 10.96 g/fish with insignificant differences among the dietary groups indicating the random distribution of the experimental fish among treatment groups (Table 4). Fish in all dietary treatments survived well during the trial (97%), indicating that the tested diets had no effects on red hybrid tilapia survival rates, thus all mortalities were due to accidental factors during the samples collection every two weeks to adjust the feed amounts. Significant differences in weight gain (WG), specific growth rate (SGR), protein efficiency ratio (PER), feed conversion ratio (FCR), net protein utilization (NPU) and

Parameters	Sorghum starch levels				
	15%	20%	25%	30%	35%
Temperature (°C)	29.4 ± 0.3	29.5 ± 0.4	29.3 ± 0.2	29.4 ± 0.4	29.5 ± 0.3
Salinity (g/l)	32.2 ± 1.2	32.1 ± 1.1	32.2 ± 1.2	32.1 ± 1.1	32.1 ± 1.2
p ^H	7.8 ± 0.3	7.6 ± 0.4	7.7 ± 0.2	7.6 ± 0.4	7.6 ± 0.2
Dis.Oxy ¹ (mg/l)	7.2 ± 0.1	7.1 ± 0.2	7.2 ± 0.4	7.1 ± 0.2	7.0 ± 0.4
Uni.Am ² (mg/l)	0.03 ± 0.01	0.03 ± 0.01	0.04 ± 0.01	0.03 ± 0.01	0.04 ± 0.01

Table 2: An average of water physicochemical characteristic parameters during experimental period.

1. Dissolved oxygen.
2. Unionized ammonia.
3. Mean value ± SE.

Parameters	Sorghum starch levels				
	15%	20%	25%	30%	35%
Initial weight (g/fish)	10.85 ^a ± 0.32	10.91 ^a ± 0.32	10.96 ^a ± 0.32	10.94 ^a ± 0.32	10.88 ± 0.32
Final weight (g/fish)	61.6 ^b ± 2.47	64.4 ^b ± 1.8	78.5 ^a ± 1.6	81.0 ^a ± 2.4	50.4 ^c ± 2.2
Total gain (g/fish)	50.75 ^b ± 1.4	53.49 ^b ± 1.8	67.54 ^a ± 2.2	70.06 ^a ± 1.6	39.52 ^c ± 1.8
Average gain (g/fish/d)	0.42 ^b ± 0.1	0.44 ^b ± 0.1	0.53 ^a ± 0.1	0.58 ^a ± 0.1	0.32 ^c ± 0.1
Specific growth rate	1.74 ^b ± 0.1	1.78 ^b ± 0.2	1.97 ^a ± 0.12	2.0 ^a ± 0.2	1.27 ^c ± 0.1
Condition factor (g/cm ³)	1.8 ^b ± 0.2	1.9 ^b ± 0.3	2.32 ^a ± 0.3	2.4 ^a ± 0.1	1.5 ^c ± 0.2
Survival rate%	97	97	97	97	97
Feed consumed (g/fish)*	90.0	92.0	98.0	100.0	110
Feed conversion ratio	1.8 ^b ± 0.3	1.71 ^b ± 0.1	1.42 ^a ± 0.3	1.42 ^a ± 0.2	2.78 ^c ± 0.1
PER	1.87 ^b ± 0.2	1.85 ^b ± 0.1	2.23 ^a ± 0.2	2.24 ^a ± 0.1	1.13 ^c ± 0.2
NPU (%)	30.66 ^b ± 2.4	29.77 ^b ± 2.8	35.77 ^a ± 3.2	36.16 ^a ± 2.8	17.94 ^c ± 1.8
HSI (%)	1.84 ^b ± 0.1	1.76 ^b ± 0.4	2.20 ^a ± 0.2	2.32 ^a ± 0.4	2.75 ^c ± 0.2

Table 3: Growth performance mean values (Mean ± S.E n=3) of red tilapia fed on different experimental diets for 120 days.

Means in the same row with different superscript letters are significantly different (P<0.05).

*Non-consumed portion of food was collected, dried and deducted from total given ration.

Items	Sorghum starch levels					
	Initial	15%	20%	25%	30%	35%
Dry matter	27.3 ± 1.4	27.5 ^a ± 1.6	27.5 ^a ± 1.8	27.2 ^a ± 1.5	27.1 ^a ± 1.4	27.4 ^a ± 1.6
Protein	16.8 ± 1.2	16.4 ^a ± 1.4	16.2 ^a ± 1.2	16.1 ^a ± 1.5	16.2 ^a ± 1.2	16.0 ^a ± 1.2
Lipid	5.0 ± 1.2	5.2 ^a ± 1.1	5.4 ^a ± 1.2	5.7 ^a ± 1.1	5.9 ^a ± 1.2	6.4 ^a ± 1.1
Ash	5.5 ± 1.1	5.7 ^a ± 1.2	5.7 ^a ± 1.2	5.5 ^a ± 1.1	5.6 ^a ± 1.2	5.8 ^a ± 1.2

Table 4: Carcass analysis of red tilapia fed on the experimental diets, %w/w basis (Mean ± S.E n=3).

Means in the same row with different superscript letters are significantly different (P<0.05).

ADCs%	Sorghum starch levels				
	15%	20%	25%	30%	35%
Dry matter	70 ^c ± 2.4	71 ^c ± 1.2	74 ^b ± 1.6	76 ^b ± 1.5	80 ^a ± 1.2
Crude protein	91.5 ^a ± 2.2	91.6 ^a ± 1.8	91.4 ^a ± 2.5	91.6 ^a ± 2.4	91.2 ^a ± 1.8
Crude lipid	89.2 ^a ± 1.8	89.0 ^a ± 1.5	89.4 ^a ± 1.6	89.5 ^a ± 1.5	89.1 ^a ± 1.4
Starch	88.6 ^a ± 2.1	86.4 ^a ± 2.4	84.5 ^b ± 2.4	85.2 ^a ± 2.2	80.4 ^c ± 2.4

Table 5: Apparent digestibility coefficient (ADCs) of the experimental diets (Mean ± S.E n=3).

Means in the same row with different superscript letters are significantly different (P<0.05).

hepatosomatic index (HSI) were observed among dietary treatments (P<0.05). Fish fed with 30% sorghum starch diet had significantly higher WG, SGR, and PER, and lower FCR than those fish fed with diets containing 15%, 20%, 25% and 35% sorghum starch (P<0.05). NPU significantly increased with dietary sorghum starch level from 15 to 30% and then decreased with 35% level (P<0.05). Fish fed with diets containing 25%-30% sorghum starch had significantly higher HSI values than fish fed with 15 and 35% sorghum starch diet (P<0.05).

The ADCs of dry matter increased from 70%-80% with dietary sorghum starch levels increasing. Fish fed diets containing 30% and 35% sorghum starch were significantly higher than fish fed with 15% sorghum starch diet P<0.05 (Table 5). ADCs of starch were significantly lower when dietary sorghum starch level is more than 30% compared with fish fed with the other sorghum starch diet (P<0.05). On the other

hand, the ADCs of crude protein and crude lipid were not significantly different among dietary treatments (P>0.05).

As it is demonstrated in Table 6, significantly higher plasma glucose and triglyceride concentrations were obtained in fish fed diets containing 30% and 35% sorghum starch than those fish fed with 15%, 20% and 25% sorghum starch diets (P<0.05). However, lower liver glycogen concentrations were observed in fish fed with 15% sorghum starch diet compared with fish fed the other diets (P<0.05). Fish fed with 35% sorghum starch diet showed higher liver lipid concentrations than fish fed with the other diets (P<0.05).

As presented in Table 7, activities of GK and PK in liver were significantly affected by dietary sorghum starch levels. GK and PK activities were significantly higher and positively correlated with

Parameters	Sorghum starch levels				
	15%	20%	25%	30%	35%
Plasma glucose	3.12 ^c ± 0.14	3.18 ^c ± 0.18	3.45 ^b ± 0.15	3.52 ^b ± 0.12	3.86 ^a ± 0.14
Plasma triglycerides	4.25 ^c ± 0.16	4.32 ^c ± 0.14	4.66 ^b ± 0.18	4.72 ^b ± 0.16	4.98 ^a ± 0.11
Liver glycogen	26.5 ^c ± 1.12	27.12 ^c ± 1.15	32.52 ^b ± 1.22	32.62 ^b ± 1.44	34.44 ^a ± 1.22
Liver lipid	322.12 ^c ± 1.11	322.84 ^c ± 1.16	333.65 ^b ± 1.34	334.12 ^b ± 1.42	342.15 ^a ± 1.56

Table 6: Plasma glucose and triglycerides, liver glycogen and lipid concentrations of red tilapia fed different dietary sorghum starch levels (Mean ± S.E n=3). Means in the same row with different superscript letters are significantly different (P<0.05).

Parameters	Sorghum starch levels				
	15%	20%	25%	30%	35%
HK	2.98 ^a ± 0.18	3.12 ^a ± 0.14	3.22 ^a ± 0.12	3.26 ^a ± 0.15	3.52 ^a ± 0.16
GK	24.16 ^c ± 1.1	24.24 ^c ± 1.4	26.15 ^b ± 1.2	26.12 ^b ± 1.5	29.46 ^b ± 1.8
PK	26.12 ^c ± 1.4	26.22 ^c ± 1.2	29.14 ^b ± 1.6	29.45 ^b ± 1.4	34.16 ^a ± 1.2
PFK-1	1.14 ^a ± 0.16	1.8 ^a ± 0.14	1.21 ^a ± 0.11	1.25 ^a ± 0.12	1.22 ^a ± 0.15
FBPase	15.45 ^a ± 1.6	16.12 ^a ± 1.1	16.22 ^a ± 1.2	16.66 ^a ± 1.4	16.44 ^a ± 1.1
G6Pase	11.14 ^a ± 1.2	11.22 ^a ± 1.4	11.16 ^a ± 1.2	12.18 ^a ± 1.1	12.46 ^a ± 1.5

Table 7: Activities of glucoytic and gluconeogenic enzymes in liver of hybrid red tilapia fed different dietary sorghum starch levels (Mean ± S.E n=3). Means in the same row with different superscript letters are significantly different (P<0.05).

HK=Hexokinase, GK=Gluconokinase, PK=Pyruvate Kinase, PFK-1=6-Phosphofructo-1-Kinase, FBPase=Fructose-1, 6-Bisphosphatase, G6Pase=Glucose-6-Phosphatase.

dietary sorghum starch levels from 25-35 compared with fish fed with 15% sorghum starch diet (P<0.05). Insignificant differences were detected in activities of HK, PFK-1, FBPase, and G6Pase in liver among dietary treatments (P>0.05).

Discussion

In this study, the hybrid red tilapias were growing well under physico-chemical properties of water tanks. This important finding will improve the extension of red tilapia culture under scarce and restricted of fresh water supply to fish farms. These results are in agreement with that reported by Watanabe, where, this species can tolerate high salinity conduction [48,49].

The carbohydrate utilization varies greatly among fish species, where the appropriate dietary carbohydrate can improve growth and feed efficiency of fish [21,50]. The present study showed that WG, SGR and CF of hybrid red tilapia significantly increased with increasing dietary sorghum starch level from 15% to 30%, while FCR had a contrary tendency. In the same trend, PER and NPU showed a significance increase up to 30% sorghum starch level. Similar observations were also mentioned by Yones in red tilapia, Nile tilapia, in sea bream. However, in this study, fish fed diets with high sorghum starch contents (>30%) showed a decreased growth and feed utilization compared with those fed diets containing 30% or lower sorghum starch levels [51-53]. Same findings were also reported in sea bream, *Sparus aurata* [53], *Oncorhynchus mykiss* [54] and, *Carassius auratus* [28]. In experimental diets, it was clear that the maximum level of tannin was 0.42% in 35% sorghum starch diet and this value less than 0.59% in sea bream diet, which had no negative effects on growth performance, yones postulated same results [53]. In the present trial, noticed also that the depressed in growth performance in 35% sorghum starch diet, maybe due to the decreased in digestibility coefficient of nutrients in this diet.

Enlargement of liver size and glycogen concentration was increased with elevated levels of dietary carbohydrate in several fish [29]. Absorbed carbohydrate that is not used for energy usually accumulated in the liver of fish both as lipid and as glycogen after being converted [54]. This study showed that the value of HSI was increased with dietary sorghum starch levels. In the same manner, Tian reported that HSI, liver lipid, and glycogen concentrations increased with increasing

in dietary wheat starch level, and demonstrated that grass carp, *Ctenopharyngodon idella*, had a very high capacity of transforming absorbed starch into tissue lipids [29]. For instance, plasma glucose, triglycerides, liver glycogen and lipid concentration also significantly increased with increasing dietary sorghum starch levels. These results found that excess dietary carbohydrate was deposited as lipid and glycogen in hybrid red tilapia, similar to those observed in European sea bass, *Dicentrarchus labrax* [55]. In the present trial, whole-body lipid content of hybrid red tilapia was positively related to dietary sorghum starch levels and reflected with different response of this specie to glucose metabolic of starch. These results were in agreement with the previous results in several fish species [50,52,56]. However, Mohanta reported that the body lipid content of gibel carp was stable as the dietary starch level increased from 24% to 28% and decreased as the starch level increased from 28% to 40% [27]. This study showed that the dry matter, protein and ash contents of whole body and muscle were not affected by dietary sorghum starch levels, which agreed with the findings in European sea bass [23], gilthead sea bream [23,53] and silver carp [57].

Gelatinization of starch can enhance its digestibility compared to the low digestibility of native starch [55]. The present results indicated that sorghum starch was very well digested by hybrid red tilapia (ADC of starch ≥ 85.2%) when their levels were not more than 30%. However, ADC of starch reduced significantly when dietary sorghum starch level was up to 30%, which is similar to the results of sea bream [53], cobia, *Rachycentron canadum* [36], and large yellow croaker, *Pseudosciaena crocea* [56]. The progressive enhancement in apparent dry matter digestibility concomitant with increasing dietary sorghum starch level is in line with findings in grass carp [29] and it may be explained that higher dietary cellulose level caused the lowered apparent dry matter digestibility. Dietary starch level is found to highly influence digestibility of other nutrients, especially lipid [56,58]. The decrease in protein digestibility with increasing dietary carbohydrate level was reported in white sea bream, *Diplodus sargus* [59] and large yellow croaker [56]. However, the apparent protein and lipid digestibility in this trial were not affected by dietary sorghum starch levels, in agreement with the reported results in hybrid tilapia [60], sea bream [53], cod, *Gadus morhua* [25,61] and Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar* [5].

Carbohydrates are metabolized by glycolysis or the pentose phosphate pathway, leading to generation of energy transfer molecules

in fish [62,63]. Also, dietary carbohydrates could depress the increase rate of amino acid metabolism and utilization by gluconeogenic pathways in salmon fish [64]. Hepatic gluconeogenesis is an important metabolic pathway in fish, science available scientific data on its regulation and effects by dietary carbohydrate is relatively scarce and somewhat discordant. There are a few studies on the key hepatic glycolytic (HK, GK, PFK-1, and PK) and gluconeogenic (G6Pase and FBPase) enzymes involved in glucose metabolic pathway in red tilapia. GK catalyzes the phosphorylation of glucose to glucose-6-phosphate and G6Pase hydrolyzes the glucose-6-phosphate to glucose, the two key enzymes also catalyzes the hepatic glucose/glucose-6-phosphate cycle and both play a major role in glucose homeostasis [64]. Our results showed that GK activities in liver were positively correlated with dietary sorghum starch levels, confirming that this enzyme could be regulated by dietary carbohydrates in hybrid red tilapia, as previously observed in rainbow trout [37], European sea bass [23] and gilthead sea bream [65]. On the other hand, HK activity was not affected by dietary sorghum starch levels, similar to the findings in rainbow trout, European sea bass and gilthead sea bream [23,53,66]. In the same manner, our data showed that the G6Pase activity was not affected by dietary sorghum starch levels in hybrid red tilapia. Similar findings were reported in rainbow trout, gilthead sea bream and European sea bass suggesting that G6Pase gene expression and activity were also unaffected by dietary carbohydrate levels and sources [67-69]. PK is a key glycolytic enzyme that catalyzes the last step in glycolysis, the conversion of phosphoenol pyruvate to pyruvate [70]. Present data showed also that PK activities in liver were positively correlated with dietary sorghum starch levels, comparable to those observed in rainbow trout [39] and European sea bass [23]. In this study, the dietary sorghum starch levels did not affect PFK-1 and FBPase activities in liver, it was similar to those mentioned in other fish species [39,70-72], where these enzymes activities are not regulated by dietary carbohydrates. This finding was reported in rainbow trout, where FBPase and G6Pase activities were regulated by dietary protein levels and their activities were significantly higher with fish fed on 68% protein diet rather than 48% protein diet [73].

Conclusion

Our data suggest that the dietary sorghum starch level can incorporated up to 30% of diet, without negative effects on growth performance, nutrients utilization, digestibility coefficients, body composition and hepatic enzyme activities of carbohydrate metabolism in hybrid red tilapia fingerlings. The present study encourages the use of saline water as alternative to the limited sources of fresh water in fish culture.

References

1. Keshavanath P, Manjappa K, Gangadhara B (2002) Evaluation of carbohydrate rich diets through common carp culture in manured tanks. *Aquaculture Nutrition* 8: 169-174.
2. Stone DAJ, Allan GL, Anderson AJ (2003) Carbohydrate utilization by juvenile silver perch, *Bidyanus bidyanus* (Mitchell). III. The protein-sparing effect of wheat starch-based carbohydrates. *Aquaculture Research* 34: 123-134.
3. Tacon (1999) Overview of world aquaculture and aquafeed production. Data presented at World Aquaculture 99, Sydney, April 27-May 2.
4. Da CT, Hung LT, Berg H, Lindberg JE, Lundth T (2011) Evaluation of potential feed sources and technical and economic consideration of small-scale commercial striped catfish (*Pangasius hypophthalmus*) pond farming systems in the Mekong delta of Vietnam. *Aquaculture Research* 44: 427-438.
5. Ganguly S, Dora KC, Sarkar S, Chowdhury S (2013) Supplementation of prebiotics in fish feed: a review. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries* 23: 195-199.
6. Li XF, Wang Y, Liu WB, Jiang GZ, Zhu J (2013b) Effects of dietary carbohydrate/lipid ratios on growth performance, body composition and 51 glucose metabolism of fingerling blunt snout bream (*Megalobrama amblycephala*). *Aquaculture Nutrition* 19: 701-708.
7. Hung LT, Lazard J, Mariojouis C, Moreau Y (2003) Comparison of starch utilization in fingerlings of two Asian catfishes from the Mekong River (*Pangasius bocourti*) Sauvage, 1880, *Pangasius hypophthalmus* Sauvage, 1878). *Aquaculture Nutrition* 9: 215-222.
8. Watanabe T (2002) Strategies for further development of aquatic feeds. *Fisheries Science* 68: 242-252.
9. Xiang-Fei L, Wen-Bin L, Kang-Le L, Wei-Na X, Ying Wang (2012) Dietary carbohydrate/lipid ratios affect stress, oxidative status and non-specific immune responses of fingerling blunt snout bream (*Megalobrama amblycephala*). *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* 33: 316-323.
10. Erfanullah, Jafri AK (1998) Effect of dietary carbohydrate-to-lipid ratio on growth and body composition of walking catfish (*Clarias batrachus*). *Aquaculture* 161: 159-168.
11. Azaza MS, Khiari N, Dhraief MN, Aloui N, Kraïem MM, et al. (2013) Growth performance, oxidative stress indices and hepatic carbohydrate metabolic enzymes activities of juvenile Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*), in response to dietary starch to protein ratios. *Aquaculture Research* 46: 14-27.
12. Shiau SY (1997) Utilization of carbohydrates in warm water fish-with particular reference to tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* × *Oreochromis aureus*. *Aquaculture* 151: 79-96.
13. Tung PH, Shiau SY (1993) Carbohydrate utilization versus body size in tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* × *Oreochromis aureus*. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology part A: Physiology* 104: 585-588.
14. Amirkolaie AK, Verreth JAJ, Schrama JW (2006) Effect of gelatinization degree and inclusion level of dietary starch on the characteristics of digesta and feces in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Aquaculture* 260: 194-205.
15. Anderson J, Jackson AJ, Matty AJ, Capper BS (1984) Effects of dietary carbohydrate and fibre on the tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Aquaculture* 37: 303-314.
16. Deng DF, Refstie S, Hung SSO (2001) Glycemic and glycosuric responses in white sturgeon *Acipenser transmontanus* after oral administration of simple and complex carbohydrates. *Aquaculture* 199: 107-117.
17. Tan Q, Xie S, Zhu X, Lei W, Yang Y (2006) Effect of dietary carbohydrate sources on growth performance and utilization for gibel carp (*Carassius auratus gibelio*) and Chinese longsnout catfish (*Leiocassis longirostris* Günther). *Aquaculture Nutrition* 12: 61-70.
18. Hemre GI, Mommsen TP, Krogdahl A (2002) Carbohydrates in fish nutrition: effects on growth, glucose metabolism and hepatic enzymes. *Aquaculture Nutrition* 8: 175-194.
19. Moon TW (2001) Glucose intolerance in teleost fish: fact or fiction?. *Comp Biochem Physiol B Biochem Mol Biol* 129: 243-249.
20. NRC (2011) National Research Council, Nutrient requirement of fish and shrimps. National Academy Press, Washington.
21. Wilson R (1994) Utilization of dietary carbohydrate by fish. *Aquaculture* 124: 67-80.
22. Enes P, Panserat S, Kaushik S, Oliva-Teles A (2011) Dietary carbohydrate utilization by European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) and gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata*) Juveniles. *Reviews in Fisheries Science* 19: 201-215.
23. Enes P, Panserat S, Kaushik S, Oliva-Teles A (2006) Effect of normal and waxy maize starch on growth, food utilization and hepatic glucose metabolism in European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) juveniles. *Comp Biochem Physiol A Mol Integr Physiol* 143: 89-96.
24. Booth MA, Anderson AJ, Allan GL (2006) Investigation of the nutritional requirements of Australian snapper *Pagrus auratus* (Bloch & Schneider 1801): digestibility of gelatinized wheat starch and clearance of an intra-peritoneal injection of D-glucose. *Aquaculture Research* 37: 975-985.
25. Hemre GI, Lie Ø, Lied E, Lambertsen G (1989) Starch as an energy source in feed for cod (*Gadus morhua*): digestibility and retention. *Aquaculture* 80: 261-270.
26. Krogdahl A, Hemre GI, Mommsen TP (2005) Carbohydrates in fish nutrition: digestion and absorption in post larval stages. *Aquaculture Nutrition* 11: 103-122.

27. Mohanta KN, Mohanty SN, Jena JK (2007) Protein-sparing effect of carbohydrate in silver barb, *Puntius gonionotus* fry. *Aquaculture Nutrition* 13: 311-317.
28. Tan Q, Wang F, Xie S, Zhu X, Wu L, et al. (2009) Effect of high dietary starch levels on the growth performance, blood chemistry and body composition of gibel carp (*Carassius auratus* var. *gibelio*). *Aquaculture Research* 40: 1011-1018.
29. Tian LX, Liu YJ, Yang HJ, Liang GY, Niu J (2012) Effects of different dietary wheat starch levels on growth, feed efficiency and digestibility in grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*). *Aquaculture International* 20: 283-293.
30. Klein S, Cohn SM, Alpers DH (1998) The alimentary tract in nutrition. In: Shils ME, Olson AJ, Shike M, Ross AC (Eds.), *Modern Nutrition in Health and Disease* pp: 605-633.
31. Bolasina S, Perez A, Yamashita Y (2006) Digestive enzymes activity during ontogenetic development and effect of starvation in Japanese flounder (*Paralichthys olivaceus*). *Aquaculture* 252: 503-515.
32. Panserat S, Skiba-Cassy S, Selliez I, Lansard M, Plagnes-Juan E, et al. (2009) Metformin improves postprandial glucose homeostasis in rainbow trout fed dietary carbohydrates: a link with the induction of hepatic lipogenic capacities? *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* 297: 707-715.
33. Lozano NBS, Vidal AT, Martínez-Llorens S, Merida SN, Blanco JE, et al. (2007) Growth and economic profit of gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata* L.) fed on sunflower meal. *Aquaculture* 272: 528-534.
34. Jobling M (1994) *Fish bioenergetics, Series-13* published by Chapman & Hall, Boundary R, London SBI 8HN p: 300.
35. APHA (1992) *Standard methods for the examination of water and waste water*. American Public Health Association, Washington, DC p: 1134.
36. Ren MC, Ai QH, Mai KS, Ma HM, Wang XJ (2011) Effect of dietary carbohydrate level on growth performance, body composition, apparent digestibility coefficient and digestive enzyme activities of juvenile cobia, *Rachycentron canadum* L. *Aquaculture Research* 42: 1467-1475.
37. AOAC (2006) *Association of Official Analytical Chemists 14th edn*. Assoc Office, Anal Chem Washington, Dc.
38. Price ML, Vanscoyoc S, Butler LG (1978) A critical evaluation of the vanillin reaction as an assay for sorghum grain. *J Agric Food Chem* 26: 1214-1218.
39. Panserat S, Médale F, Blin C, Breque J, Vachot C, et al. (2000a) Hepatic glucokinase is induced by dietary carbohydrates in rainbow trout, gilthead sea bream, and common carp. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* 278: 1164-1170.
40. Foster G, Moon T (1990) Control of key carbohydrate-metabolizing enzymes by insulin and glucagon in freshly isolated hepatocytes of the marine teleost *Hemiramphus americanus*. *Journal of Experimental Zoology* 254: 55-62.
41. Metón I, Fernández F, Baanante IV (2003) Short and long-term effects of re-feeding on key enzyme activities in glycolysis–gluconeogenesis in the liver of gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata*). *Aquaculture* 225: 99-107.
42. Panserat S, Capilla E, Gutierrez J, Frappart P, Vachot C, et al. (2001) Glucokinase is highly induced and glucose-6-phosphatase poorly repressed in liver of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) by a single meal with glucose. *Comp Biochem Physiol B Biochem Mol Biol* 128: 275-283.
43. Bradford MM (1976) A rapid and sensitive method for the quantization of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Analytical Biochemistry* 72: 248-254.
44. Carroll NV, Longley RW, Roe JH (1956) The determination of glycogen in liver and muscle by use of anthrone reagent. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 220: 583-593.
45. Snedecore WG, Cochran WC (1967) *Statistical Methods*. Iowa state University, USA.
46. Duncan DB (1955) Multiple ranges and multiple F test. *Biometrics* 11: 1-42.
47. SAS (2004) *SAS User's Guide Version 6th Edition*. SAS Institute, Cary, NC. USA.
48. Watanabe W, French KE, Ernst DH, Olla B, Wicklund R (1989) Salinity during early development influence growth and survival of Florida red tilapia. *Journal World Aquaculture Society* 20: 134-142.
49. Garcia-ulloa M, Villa RL, Martínez TM (2001) Growth and feed utilization of the tilapia hybrid (*Oreochromis mossambicus* × *O. niloticus*) culture at different salinities under controlled laboratory conditions. *Journal World Aquaculture Society* 32: 117-121.
50. Wang Y, Liu YJ, Tian LX, Du ZY, Wang JT, et al. (2005) Effects of dietary carbohydrate level on growth and body composition of juvenile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* × *O. aureus*. *Aquaculture Research* 36: 1408-1413.
51. Yones AM (2010) Effect of lupin kernel meal as plant protein sources in diets of red hybrid tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* × *O. mossambicus*) on growth performance and nutrients utilization. *African J Biol Sci* 6: 1-16.
52. Yones AM, Abdel-Hakim NF (2010) Studies on growth performance and apparent digestibility coefficient on some common plant protein ingredients used in formulated diets of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Egyptian J Nutrition and Feeds* 13: 589-606.
53. Yones AM (2005) Effect of dietary sorghum as carbohydrate source and two lipid levels in feeds of gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata*) on its growth performance. *Egypt J Aquat & Fish* 9: 85-99.
54. Brauge C, Medale F, Corraze G (1994) Effect of dietary carbohydrate levels on growth, body composition and glycaemia in rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*, reared in seawater. *Aquaculture* 123: 109-120.
55. Peres H, Oliva-Teles A (2002) Utilization of raw and gelatinized starch by European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) juveniles. *Aquaculture* 205: 287-299.
56. Cheng ZY, Mai KS, Ai QH, Li Y, He ZG (2013) Effects of dietary pregelatinized corn starch on growth performance, apparent digestibility coefficient and digestive enzyme activities of large yellow croaker fingerlings, *Pseudosciaena crocea*. *Israeli Journal of Aquaculture-Bamidgeh* 65: 843-850.
57. Mohanta KN, Mohanty SN, Jena JK, Sahu NP, Patro B (2009) Carbohydrate level in the diet of silver barb, *Puntius gonionotus* (Bleeker) fingerlings: effect on growth, nutrient utilization and whole body composition. *Aquaculture Research* 40: 927-937.
58. Hemre GI, Sandnes K, Lie Ø, Torrissen O, Waagbø R (1995) Carbohydrate nutrition in Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar* L.: growth and feed utilization. *Aquaculture Research* 26: 149-154.
59. Sá R, Pousão-Ferreira P, Oliva-Teles A (2007) Growth performance and metabolic utilization of diets with different protein: carbohydrate ratios by white sea bream (*Diplodus sargus*, L.) juveniles. *Aquaculture Research* 38: 100-105.
60. Dong XH, Guo YX, Ye DY, Song WD, Huang XH, et al. (2010) Apparent digestibility of selected feed ingredients in diets for juvenile hybrid tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* × *Oreochromis aureus*. *Aquaculture Research* 41: 1356-1364.
61. Hemre GI, Karlsten Ø, Mangor-Jensen A, Rosenlund G (2003) Digestibility of dry matter, protein, starch and lipid by cod, *Gadus morhua*: comparison of sampling methods. *Aquaculture* 225: 225-232.
62. Polakof S, Panserat S, Soengas JL, Moon TW (2012) Glucose metabolism in fish: a review. *J Comp Physiol B* 182: 1015-1045.
63. Richard N, Kaushik S, Larroquet L, Panserat S, Corraze G (2006) Replacing dietary fish oil by vegetable oils has little effect on lipogenesis, lipid transport and tissue lipid uptake in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Br J Nutr* 96: 299-309.
64. Sanchez-Muros MJ, Garcia-Rejon L, Lupianez JA, De La Higuera M (1996) Long-term nutritional effects on the primary liver and kidney metabolism in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Adaptive response of glucose 6-phosphatase dehydrogenase activity to high-carbohydrate/low-protein and high-fat/non-carbohydrate diets. *Aquaculture Nutrition* 2: 193-200.
65. Enes P, Panserat S, Kaushik S, Oliva-Teles A (2008a) Growth performance and metabolic utilization of diets with native and waxy maize starch by gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata*) juveniles. *Aquaculture* 274: 101-108.
66. Kirchner S, Seixas P, Kaushik S, Panserat S (2005) Effects of low protein intake on extra-hepatic gluconeogenic enzyme expression and peripheral glucose phosphorylation in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Comp Biochem Physiol B Biochem Mol Biol* 140: 333-340.
67. Panserat S, Médale F, Breque J, Plagnes-Juan E, Kaushik S (2000) Lack of significant long-term effect of dietary carbohydrates on hepatic glucose-6-phosphatase expression in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *J Nutr Biochem* 11: 22-29.
68. Caseras A, Metón I, Vives C, Egea M, Fernández F, et al. (2002) Nutritional

- regulation of glucose-6-phosphatase gene expression in liver of the gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata*). Br J Nutr 88: 607-614.
69. Enes P, Panserat S, Kaushik S, Oliva-Teles A (2008) Hepatic glucokinase and glucose-6-phosphatase responses to dietary glucose and starch in gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata*) juveniles reared at two temperatures. Comp Biochem Physiol A Mol Integr Physiol 149: 80-86.
70. Enes P, Panserat S, Kaushik S, Oliva-Teles A (2009) Nutritional regulation of hepatic glucose metabolism in fish. Fish Physiol Biochem 35: 519-539.
71. Zhou P, Wang M, Xie F, Deng F, Zhou O (2016) Effect of dietary carbohydrate to lipid ratios on growth performance, digestive enzyme and hepatic carbohydrate metabolic enzyme activities of large yellow croaker (*Lamichthys crocea*). Aquaculture 452: 45-51.
72. Gao W, Liu YJ, Tian LX, Maj KS, Liang GY, et al. (2010) Effect of dietary carbohydrate to lipid ratios on growth performance, body composition, nutrient utilization and hepatic enzyme activities of herbivorous grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*). Aquaculture 16: 327-333.
73. Kirchner S, Kaushik S, Panserat S (2003) Low protein intake is associated with reduced hepatic gluconeogenic enzyme expression in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). J Nutr 133: 2561-2564.

Citation: Yones MAM, Metwalli AA (2016) Influence of Dietary *Sorghum* Starch on Growth Performance, Digestibility Coefficient and Some Hepatic Enzyme Activities in Hybrid Red Tilapia (*Oreochromis mossambicus* × *Oreochromis niloticus*) Fingerlings. Fish Aquac J 7: 162. doi:[10.4172/2150-3508.1000162](https://doi.org/10.4172/2150-3508.1000162)

OMICS International: Publication Benefits & Features

Unique features:

- Increased global visibility of articles through worldwide distribution and indexing
- Showcasing recent research output in a timely and updated manner
- Special issues on the current trends of scientific research

Special features:

- 700+ Open Access Journals
- 50,000+ Editorial team
- Rapid review process
- Quality and quick editorial, review and publication processing
- Indexing at major indexing services
- Sharing Option: Social Networking Enabled
- Authors, Reviewers and Editors rewarded with online Scientific Credits
- Better discount for your subsequent articles

Submit your manuscript at: <http://www.omicsonline.org/submission>