Influence of Physical Parameters on Growth and Bacteriocin Activity by Species of Lactic Acid Bacteria Isolated from Fermenting Foods
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Abstract

Predominant lactic acid bacteria (LAB) strains of Lactobacillus fermentum (NS9, IMAU62206, KLD1.0733, IMAU62166), L. plantarum (PON10014, OP, FSHS2, B23) and L. pentosus (NRIC1836, NBRC12011, OP4Dan) previously identified and isolated from fermenting cassava and maize were evaluated for the effect of physical parameters on their growth and bacteriocin production. The optical density (OD) and pH of the LAB strains were measured against time of growth in MRS broth. The effect of time, pH and temperature on the bacteriocin production was determined. The inhibitory activity of the bacteriocin produced by the LAB against some food spoilage microorganisms was evaluated. All the LAB strains showed similar growth pattern. Growth was at its peak at 12 h of incubation. There were variations in the OD of the different strains. The pH decreased with increase in the time of growth from 6.5-7.0 to 4.0-4.3. Optimum bacteriocin production (800-1000 AU/ml) occurred at 18 h of growth, pH 3.0-4.0 and at 40°C. The bacteriocin inhibited (7-12 mm) the test bacteria food pathogens but bacteriocin activity of LAB strains in the fermenting foods. The bacteriocin produced was inhibitory to many food spoilage microorganisms. This result is a guide to the control of fermentation needed to achieve safety in traditionally fermented foods.
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Introduction

Biological preservation refers to the extension of the shelf-life of food products and improvement of their microbial safety by using two different approaches: The inoculation of the food matrix with microorganisms, defined as protective cultures, with consequent in situ production of inhibitory molecules and/or a competitive effect against pathogen and spoilage bacteria and the use of microbial metabolites in purified form in particular bacteriocins. The use of microorganisms as protective cultures, e.g., bacteriocin producers, may have several advantages, as microorganisms can not only be the source of anti-microbial peptides but also of a wide spectrum of molecules, such as organic acids, carbon dioxide, ethanol, hydrogen peroxide and diacetyl, whose antimicrobial action is well known. Competition of protective cultures with potential pathogens is another way to restrict the growth of undesired microorganisms. Moreover, these microorganisms may have additional functional properties and, in some circumstances, they can be beneficial for the consumers. They can as well contribute to the flavor, texture and nutritional value of the products [1].

Bacteriocins are extracellular peptides or proteins that elicit antimicrobial compounds, which exhibit a bactericidal effect against closely related bacteria. Bacteriocins elicit antimicrobial activity against food spoilage organisms and food-borne pathogens, but do not affect the producing microorganism. Bacteriocins of lactic acid bacteria are considered as safe natural preservatives or bio preservatives. Several types of bacteriocins from food associated lactic acid bacteria have been identified and characterized. Some of the important ones are nisin, bacteriocin, diplococcin, acidophillin, bulgaricin, helveticins, lactacins, plantaricin, reuterin and reutericycin [2]. Of these, bacteriocin and nisin produced by Lactococcus lactis spp. lactis, have been the most extensively characterized [3,4]. At present, nisin is the only bacteriocin commercially available and marketed [5]. It has been reported that nisin is more active against Gram-positive bacteria, particularly the spore-formers. Other bacteriocins of lactic acid bacteria are effective against closely related species of mesophilic Lactobacillus as such, considered as potential natural food preservatives. Bacteriocins have also been reported to be active against Listeria monocytogenes [6]. Leuconostoc mesenteroides L124 and L. curvatus L442 isolated from dry fermented sausages, produced bacteriocins that were antagonistic towards closely related species and pathogens [7]. An isolate of Leuconostoc mesenteroides sub spp. cremoris was also found to produce a bacteriocin-like inhibitory compound against the lactic acid bacteria of wines [8]. The bactericidal activity of bacteriocins is attributable to destabilization of the functions of cytoplasmic membranes of the target cells which include altering the permeability properties of the membrane. Three most important aspects in the study of bacteriocins are their production, characterization and purification. Maximal bacteriocin production could be obtained by supplementing a culture medium with growth limiting factors, such as sugars, vitamins and nitrogen sources by regulating pH or by choosing the best adapted culture medium [9].

Traditionally fermented foods in Nigeria involving the use of roots and tubers basically undergo lactic fermentation. Microorganisms usually involved in these fermentations are lactic acid bacteria which...
lower the pH of the medium beyond what non-lactics in the fermentation can cope with thereby allowing the lactic acid bacteria to predominate the fermentation. Many antimicrobial substances including bacteriocins are produced in the process and confer some level of safety to the food products. This is practically evident when fermented cassava products are seen to have longer shelf life compared to non-fermented food products under rural traditional storage conditions. However, the production and efficacy of the antimicrobial substances can be affected by environmental conditions which may be intrinsic or extrinsic. There is paucity of information on how these factors influence the production of antimicrobials during traditional fermentations of foods. This study was aimed at determining how physical parameters affect growth of microorganisms, their bacteriocin production and activity.

Materials and Methods

Bacteriocin activity

Bacteriocin activity was detected by the deferred inhibition assay using lactic acid bacteria strains from fermented cassava and maize as the producing microorganisms. Cultures used for testing bacteriocin production were spotted (5 µl) onto the MRS agar plates and incubated for 24 h at 30°C. Indicator bacteria were inoculated into soft (0.75%) MRS agar which was used to overlay MRS agar plates. Plates were again incubated at 30°C to allow growth of the indicator bacteria as a ‘lawn’ and then examined for zones of bacteriocin activity surrounding the producer colony. Bacteriocin producing cultures were further assessed by confirming the proteinaceous nature of the inhibiting compound in separate experiments. This was determined by transferring 5 µl of proteinase K solution (10 mg/ml in bidistilled water) next to the bacteriocin producing colonies following overnight growth. Plates were thereafter incubated for 3 h at 37°C and over layered with indicator bacteria and incubated overnight as described above. The absence of a zone of inhibition next to the bacteriocin producer on the side of the colony where the proteinase K was spotted indicated the proteinaceous nature of the inhibiting compound.

In order to quantify the bacteriocin activity, supernatant of a bacteriocin producing isolate grown at 30°C for 24 h in MRS broth was adjusted to pH 6.5 (near neutral to avoid acid effect). It was then heated at 94°C for 10 min to inactivate any remaining cells. A double dilution series was made by adding 100 µl of the cell free neutralized supernatant to 100 µl sterile MRS in micro titer plates, and thus continuing to obtain a dilution series of 1:2, 1:4, 1:8 and 1:16 of the cell free neutralized supernatant in MRS broth. The bacteriocin activity was expressed in arbitrary activity units (AU), which is a reciprocal of the last (highest) dilution that showed a clear inhibition zone when 10 µl were spotted onto a plate that was over layered with indicator bacteria and subsequently incubated at 30°C overnight.

Results

Figure 1 shows the growth of lactic acid bacteria isolates on MRS broth expressed as optical density (OD). Growth temperature was generally at 30°C. The pattern of growth by these isolates indicated a lag phase for 6 h after which growth was exponential from 6-12 h of incubation. Optimal growth was at 12 h. They also exhibited the stationary and decline phases of growth. The isolates however showed some species-specific tendencies in their growth behavior. Hence there was significant difference (p<0.05) in their growth pattern. Figure 1A is the growth pattern of the Lactobacillus fermentum strains. L. fermentum strain KLDS1.0733 showed the highest OD of 0.9 while strain NS9 had the least growth of OD 0.7. This strain however, maintained a longer exponential phase than the others which was relatively complimentary to its slow growth behavior. The L. plantarum strains (Figure 1B) had an OD that ranged from 0.3-0.8 with strain FSHS2 having the least growth but did not indicate decline within the incubation period. The highest growth was shown by strain PON19914 and strain OP. The two strains were very competitive in their growth pattern until after the stationary phase. The OD of the L. pentosus strains is presented in Figure 1C. There were 3 strains in this species and they had OD from 0.6-0.8. The strain with the highest OD was NRIC1836 and OP4Dan the lowest.

Changes in pH over time by lactic acid bacteria isolates are presented in Figure 2. The pH reduction in all the strains followed a similar pattern over a period of 24-30 hours of incubation. Initial pH was 6.8. There was no significant difference (p>0.05) in pH values within the strains of a particular species. L. fermentum strains had pH reduction up to 3.6-3.8 (Figure 2A). The lowest reduction was achieved by strain IMAU62166. Figure 2B shows the level of pH reduction by L. plantarum strains. The lowest pH reduction here was 3.6 by strains PON10014 and B23. Among the L. pentosus strains (Figure 2C), the three strains were very close in terms of pH production.
Bacteriocin production over time by the lactic acid bacteria strains is shown in Figure 3. All the lactic acid bacteria strains under study started bacteriocin production after 6 h of growth. High levels of bacteriocins (700-950 AU/ml) were produced. The rate of production differed significantly (p < 0.05) within strains and between species. Production was optimal at 18 h of growth. Figure 3A presents bacteriocins produced by strains of *L. fermentum*, where KLDS1.0733 strains produced the highest quantity of bacteriocins and there was no significant difference in their production levels. Strain IMAU62166 produced the least amount of bacteriocin. Figure 3B shows the bacteriocin production by strains of *L. plantarum*. Strain PON10014 produced the highest level (950 AU/ml) of bacteriocins in all the lactic acid bacteria strains under study. Strain B23 optimal production was at 12 h and the quantity of bacteriocin produced at that time was 750 AU/ml while the three other strains had optimal production at 18 h. Significant differences in bacteriocin production existed among the strains. Bacteriocin production by *L. pentosus* strains is shown in Figure 3C. Maximum bacteriocin production by the three strains ranged from 700-750 AU/ml at 18 h. There was no significant difference among the levels of bacteriocins produced by the strains.

Many workers have confirmed the production of bacteriocins by species of Lactobacillus in fermented foods as indicated in these results [10,11]. In another work done on fermented foods from Senegal, a total of 220 strains of lactic acid bacteria isolated from 32 samples of traditional fermented foods were screened for bacteriocin production [12]. Two bacteriocin producers, *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. lactis and *Enterococcus faecium*, were identified from 12 bacteriocin-producing isolates on the basis of phenotypic analyses and 16S rDNA sequence. Both bacteriocins produced by new isolates showed antimicrobial activity against *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Bacillus coagulans* whereas only that produced by *Lactococcus lactis* had an activity against *Bacillus cereus*. Bacteriocin-producing *Lactococcus lactis* strains were found in a variety of traditional foods indicating a high potential of growth of this strain in variable ecological complex environment.

The effect of pH on bacteriocin production by lactic acid bacteria isolates from fermented foods is presented in Figure 4. The pH significantly affected bacteriocin production. All the strains produced bacteriocins between pH 3.6 and 4.0. Among the *L. fermentum* strains (Figure 4A), IMAU62295 had the highest bacteriocin production (13000 AU/ml) at pH 4.0. On the other hand, strain KLDS1.0733 recorded the lowest (10000 AU/ml) production at pH 4.0. The effect of bacteriocin production by strains of *L. plantarum* is presented in Figure 4B. Peak values of bacteriocin which ranged from 10000 to 11000 AU/ml were not significant. However, FSHS strain recorded the highest production and strain OP had the lowest. Figure 4C presents the effect of pH on bacteriocin production by strains of *L. pentosus*. The optimal bacteriocin production here was between 9000 to 11000 AU/ml at pH 4.0. Strain NRIC1836 was the highest bacteriocin producer and strain NBRC12011 was the lowest. Apart from the low
production by this strain, it had the most drastic drop to zero production at pH 5.0 as against the other strains that dropped gradually at pH 6.0.

Figure 4: Bacteriocin production by strains of (A) *Lactobacillus fermentum*, (B) *L. plantarum*, (C) *L. pentosus* at different pH.

The effect of temperature on bacteriocin production by lactic acid bacteria isolates is shown in Figure 5. Temperature affected bacteriocin production significantly. All the strains produced optimal bacteriocins at 40°C. At 50°C, the production dropped. Levels of production at 40°C differed from one strain to the other. Figure 5A indicates how temperature affected bacteriocin production in strains of *L. fermentum*. At peak levels, strains produced between 12300 to 12500 AU/ml of bacteriocins. There was no significant difference in bacteriocin production levels between the strains. The highest bacteriocin producer was strain NS9.

The effect of temperature on bacteriocin production by strains of *L. plantarum* is presented in Figure 5B. Optimal bacteriocin production ranged from 12000 AU/ml in strain OP to 14000 AU/ml in strain PON10014. The value of optimal bacteriocin production in strain OP was significantly different from the remaining three strains.

A similar effect on *L. pentosus* strains is shown in Figure 5C where bacteriocin production among the strains (13100 to 37000 AU/ml) did not differ significantly. Strain NRIC was the highest producer.

Ogunbanwo worked on the characterization of bacteriocin from *Lactobacillus* spp isolated from Nigerian fermented foods and reported similar results on how pH and temperature affect the production of bacteriocins by lactic acid bacteria [13].

Table 1 presents the inhibitory activity of bacteriocins produced by the lactic acid bacteria isolates against pathogenic organisms. The antimicrobial screening for all lactic acid bacteria strains against 9 pathogenic organisms gave average inhibition halos (measured in MRS agar) 6-12 mm. The lactic acid bacteria strains were able to inhibit the selected indicator microorganisms to varying degrees. The bacteriocin activity against the pathogenic microorganisms varied considerably among the isolates. *Escherichia coli* was greatly inhibited by *L. fermentum* strains IMAU62188 (12 mm), NS9 (10 mm), and 10 mm for each of *L. plantarum* strain B23 and *L. pentosus* strain NRIC1836. *Staphylococcus aureus* was inhibited up to 12 mm by 3 strains and 10 mm for each of *L. plantarum* strain B23 and *L. pentosus* strain NRIC1836. *Staphylococcus aureus* was inhibited up to 12 mm by 3 strains and 10 mm for each of *L. plantarum* strain B23 and *L. pentosus* strain NRIC1836. *Staphylococcus aureus* was inhibited up to 12 mm by 3 strains and 10 mm for each of *L. plantarum* strain B23 and *L. pentosus* strain NRIC1836. *Staphylococcus aureus* was inhibited up to 12 mm by 3 strains and 10 mm for each of *L. plantarum* strain B23 and *L. pentosus* strain NRIC1836. *Staphylococcus aureus* was inhibited up to 12 mm by 3 strains and 10 mm for each of *L. plantarum* strain B23 and *L. pentosus* strain NRIC1836. *Staphylococcus aureus* was inhibited up to 12 mm by 3 strains and 10 mm for each of *L. plantarum* strain B23 and *L. pentosus* strain NRIC1836. *Staphylococcus aureus* was inhibited up to 12 mm by 3 strains and 10 mm for each of *L. plantarum* strain B23 and *L. pentosus* strain NRIC1836. *Staphylococcus aureus* was inhibited up to 12 mm by 3 strains and 10 mm for each of *L. plantarum* strain B23 and *L. pentosus* strain NRIC1836. *Staphylococcus aureus* was inhibited up to 12 mm by 3 strains and 10 mm for each of *L. plantarum* strain B23 and *L. pentosus* strain NRIC1836. *Staphylococcus aureus* was inhibited up to 12 mm by 3 strains and 10 mm for each of *L. plantarum* strain B23 and *L. pentosus* strain NRIC1836. *Staphylococcus aureus* was inhibited up to 12 mm by 3 strains and 10 mm for each of *L. plantarum* strain B23 and *L. pentosus* strain NRIC1836. *Staphylococcus aureus* was inhibited up to 12 mm by 3 strains and 10 mm for each of *L. plantarum* strain B23 and *L. pentosus* strain NRIC1836. *Staphylococcus aureus* was inhibited up to 12 mm by 3 strains and 10 mm for each of *L. plantarum* strain B23 and *L. pentosus* strain NRIC1836. *Staphylococcus aureus* was inhibited up to 12 mm by 3 strains and 10 mm for each of *L. plantarum* strain B23 and *L. pentosus* strain NRIC1836. *Staphylococcus aureus* was inhibited up to 12 mm by 3 strains and 10 mm for each of *L. plantarum* strain B23 and *L. pentosus* strain NRIC1836.

Similar to these findings, observed varying degrees of inhibition of various food borne pathogens by cell-free filtrates of lactic acid bacteria. Kivanc M and Tadesse G showed that antimicrobial producing microorganisms had the ability to inhibit the growth of other bacteria which included both Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria [14-16]. Such antimicrobial activities were also demonstrated in the works of other researchers such as where lactic acid bacteria species were tested against *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Candida albicans*, *Escherichia coli*, and *Proteus vulgaris* [17]. Raccagh have demonstrated that the antimicrobial compounds produced by lactic acid bacteria can inhibit the growth of pathogenic bacteria of possible contaminants in fermented products [18-20].
Discussion

There is a growing interest on the technological properties of lactic acid bacteria isolated from traditionally fermented foods with the aim of developing the fermentation process further. In line with this, Olasupo et al. studied some properties of predominant lactic acid bacteria isolated from Nigerian fermented foods with results similar to this study [21].

These properties are significant for their application as starter cultures. Fundamental among these properties are rapid acid production and production of antimicrobial compounds like bacteriocin which is basic to the safety of locally fermented products [22]. Effect of bacteriocin production by lactic acid bacteria on target organisms has been discussed [23].

Bacteriocin production was not limited by the extreme narrow antibacterial spectrum as the case with some lactic acid bacteria. Bacteriocin from the lactic acid bacteria strains was tested against different bacterial pathogens and yeast organism which are pathogens present in foods and can cause various illnesses in human beings. The spectrum of inhibition proved the possibility of using these strains as bio-preservatives or probiotics in the acid fermentation of foods [23].

Bacteriocins have been proved active against many bacterial pathogens, hence its application has been demonstrated [24,25].

Bacteriocin production was strongly dependent on pH and incubation temperature. Previous investigations claim that apart from these factors, nutrient source can also affect bacteriocin production [26,27]. Many physicochemical factors seem to affect bacteriocin production and activity. In this study, the maximum bacteriocin activity at pH 4.0 and temperature 40°C indicated that the bacteriocin can be used in acidic foods. MRS medium appeared to be a suitable medium for growth and bacteriocin production by the lactic acid bacteria strains. The bacteriocin occurred as secondary metabolite, being produced at the stationary growth phase where optimum activity was also from pH 4-5 in strains of L. plantarum and L. pentosus. Similar reports have indicated that maximum bacterium activity was marked at the stationary growth phase suggesting the antimicrobial peptides as a secondary metabolite [28]. Bacteriocin of lactic acid bacteria is particularly necessary because of the important role the lactic acid bacteria play in the majority of fermented foods. Nisin is the first food preservative agent approved by Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to be used in processed cheese in 1988 as prescribed by Roseland [29]. The bacteriocins in this study have the potential to develop probiotic and bio-preservative qualities.

There are several reports of the ability of bacteriocins produced by lactic acid bacteria on pathogenic microorganisms in foods [11]. The ability to inhibit other microorganisms is due to the fact that lactic acid bacteria produce substances which are injurious to the indicator organisms depending on the concentration or quantity produced. These substances serve as competitive advantage to lactic acid bacteria when in mixed culture especially during fermentation and hence the dominance of lactic acid bacteria during fermentation of cassava, cereals and vegetables. Wakil and Osamwonyi indicated that lactic acid bacteria produce substances which are injurious to the indicator organism.
Conclusion

The high level of bacteriocins produced by lactic acid bacteria isolated from cassava fermentation indicates a measure of safety on the fermented food. Physical parameters affected the growth and bacteriocin production and activity by the isolates from the cassava fermentation. Bacteriocin production was optimal from 18-24 h of growth, pH 3.5-4.0 and temperature at 40°C. Bacteriocin produced by the test organisms was reasonably inhibitory to the indicator organisms of food spoilage except *Candida albicans* strain ATCC10231 - a reference strain. Some of these LAB strains with desired characteristics can be genetically modified to serve as starter culture for cassava *fufu* production.
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