Influence of Sleeping Habits on Adaptive Thermogenesis during Weight Loss in Adults
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Abstract

Objective: To verify whether sleeping habits affect adaptive thermogenesis (i.e. greater than predicted decrease in resting energy expenditure, REE) in overweight and obese adults subjected to caloric restriction.

Methods: A total of 123 overweight and obese men and women (mean ± SD age, 41.1 ± 6.0 years; mean ± SD body mass index, 33.2 ± 3.6 kg/m²) were tested before and 17.2 ± 3.7 weeks after dietary treatment (-300 kcal/day on average). Body fat mass (dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry), REE (indirect calorimetry) and sleep duration and quality (Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index, PSQI) were assessed at both baseline and at the end of the weight-loss program. Two sets of formula were used to predict changes in REE and the difference between the changes in the predicted REE from the reference equations and the changes in the measured REE were compared between sleep duration groups.

Results: The mean weight loss of all participants over the dietary intervention was 5.9 ± 4.6 kg, 73% of which came from fat losses. The small dietary restriction led to a 57 kcal/day reduction in REE at the end of the weight-loss program (P<0.01). Using multivariable linear regression models, none of sleep duration or quality was associated with a greater than predicted decrease in REE. Similarly, adaptive thermogenesis was not significantly different between short- (<6 h/night) and average-duration (7-9 h/night) sleepers and between poor (PSQI score >5) and good (PSQI score ≤ 5) sleepers.

Conclusion: This study provides evidence that sleeping habits are not associated with a greater than predicted decrease in REE during weight loss in adults exposed to small caloric restriction.
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Introduction

One of the better documented effects of weight loss is that it is accompanied by a decrease in resting energy expenditure (REE) [1]. In fact, the evidence is accumulating in favor of adaptive modifications during weight loss that result in greater than expected decreases in REE than that which can be predicted through changes in fat mass (FM) and fat-free mass (FFM) [2]. Although controversy persists [3], there is increased recognition that adaptive thermogenesis in response to a negative energy balance may damper efforts to lose body fat [4-7]. Adaptive thermogenesis is a broad term applicable to increases or decreases of metabolic rate in response to a variety of different environmental factors. In the present paper, the term “adaptive thermogenesis” is defined as a greater than predicted decrease in REE after weight loss. In recent papers, we reported the quantitative importance of adaptive thermogenesis for weight-reduced obese individuals [8-10], which may in fact be more important than initially perceived.

The idea that adaptive thermogenesis could be influenced by environmental factors (such as the gut microbiota, infection with adenovirus 36 and sleep deprivation) has not been thoroughly addressed. Interestingly, organochlorine pollution seems to be a new factor affecting the control of thermogenesis in some obese individuals experiencing body weight loss [11]. In this previous study, we observed that increased plasma organochlorine concentration as a result of weight loss was the factor explaining the greatest proportion of the variance (47%) in adaptive thermogenesis. More recently, we observed that sleeping habits can predict the magnitude of fat loss in adults exposed to moderate caloric restriction [12]. These results agree with those from Nedeltcheva et al. [13], who recently reported that insufficient sleep undermines dietary efforts to reduce adiposity. In their crossover study, 10 overweight adults were randomly assigned to sleep either 5.5 h or 8.5 h each night for 14 days in conjunction with moderate caloric restriction in a closed clinical research environment. Compared with participants who slept 8.5 h per night, participants who slept only 5.5 h lost less body fat and more fat-free body mass. Of note, the REE was significantly lower at the end of the 5.5-h vs. 8.5-h time-in-bed condition and this decrease in absolute REE was greater than expected on the basis of the observed loss of fat and fat-free body mass alone [13]. A decreased REE after total sleep deprivation has also been previously reported [14]; however, experimentally-induced sleep deficit in the absence of caloric restriction does not seem to significantly impact REE [15-17].

In contrast, sleep deprivation has been shown to elevate metabolic rate and increase UCPI gene expression in brown adipose tissue of rats [18,19]. However, the influence of sleeping habits on adaptive thermogenesis during weight loss is largely unknown in humans. The objective of the present study is to verify whether sleeping habits affect adaptive thermogenesis (i.e. greater than predicted decrease in REE)
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in overweight and obese adults subjected to a small caloric restriction. We hypothesize that both short sleep duration (<6 h per night) and poor sleep quality (score >5 on the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index) at baseline are associated with a greater than predicted decrease in REE during weight loss in adults.

**Subjects and Methods**

**Subjects**

In order to maximize power, three weight loss studies performed in our facilities (Laval University, Quebec, Canada) have been pooled together. Details on these studies have been published elsewhere [20-22]. Briefly, these weight-reducing interventions aimed at verifying the effect of either calcium + vitamin D supplementation, milk supplementation or a functional diet on body fat loss and various indicators of health. Only individuals involved in the control groups of these studies have been kept for statistical analyses in order to avoid the influence of the active ingredient on the main outcome measure (i.e. adaptive thermogenesis). Briefly, healthy overweight and obese men and women aged between 25 and 50 years were subjected to a weight loss intervention consisting of a small decrease in energy intake (-300 kcal/day on average), which was supervised by a dietician. The following inclusion criteria were also considered: absence of menopause (determined by the cessation of menstruation), stable body weight (body weight change <3 kg for 2 months before intervention), <3 periods of 20 min of physical activity per week, no use of medication that could affect body weight, no smoking, normal blood pressure values (<140/90 mmHg), consumption of ≤10 alcoholic beverages per week, and consumption of ≤5 cups of coffee per day. The length of the intervention varied between 15 and 24 weeks, depending on the study. Each participant met his/her assigned dietician every 2 weeks until the completion of the study. Compliance was assessed by comparing the diet prescribed (total daily energy intake and macronutrient composition) to the actual diet composition of the participants, the latter assessed every 2 weeks by means of 24 h food recalls. Study protocols were approved by the Laval University Ethics Committee. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

**Anthropometric and body composition measurements**

Height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm using a standard stadiometer, and body weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg using a digital panel indicator scale (Beckman Industrial, Scotland, UK). Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as body weight divided by height squared (kg/m²). Body FM and FFM were measured by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (GE Medical Systems Lunar, Diegem, Belgium). All measurements were performed according to standardized procedures at both baseline and at the end of the weight loss program.

**Measurement of resting energy expenditure**

REE was measured by indirect calorimetry after a 12 h overnight fast, as previously described in detail [23]. After a 15 min resting period, expired gas was collected through a mouthpiece for 15 min while the nose was clipped. Oxygen and carbon dioxide concentrations were determined by non-dispersive infrared analysis (Uras 10 E, Hartmann & Braun, Frankfurt, Germany) whereas pulmonary ventilation was determined with a S-430A measurement system (KL Engineering, Ventura, CA, USA). The Weir formula [24] was used to determine the energy equivalent of oxygen volume. As previously reported [25], metabolic rate measurements as performed in our facilities provide a reliability coefficient of 0.9 and a coefficient of variation of less than 6%.

**Calculation of predicted changes in resting energy expenditure**

Two sets of formula were used to predict changes in REE. First, the Harris-Benedict prediction equations [26] were used to determine whether the changes in REE by sleep duration group were greater than what was expected. The following equations were used:

REE (kcal/day) in men = [66.5 + (13.75 × weight in kg) + (5.003 × height in cm) – (6.755 × age in years)]

REE (kcal/day) in women = [655.1 + (9.563 × weight in kg) + (1.850 × height in cm) – (4.676 × age in years)]

Furthermore, a second formula was used from our previous work [23] which includes FM and FFM to predict REE before and after the weight loss intervention. The following equations were used:

REE (kcal/day) in men = [1.28 + (0.023 × FM in kg) + (0.052 × FFM in kg)] × 344

REE (kcal/day) in women = [0.85 + (0.016 × FM in kg) + (0.059 × FFM in kg)] × 344

These reference equations were established from a control group of men (n = 112) and women (n = 166) of the same age group from the Quebec Family Study, as reported previously [23]. These equations have been shown to be equally applicable to the individuals of varying degrees of adiposity within the control population [23]. Changes in REE from baseline for the predicted and measured (actual) values were calculated for statistical analysis. Adaptive thermogenesis in this paper was considered as the difference between the changes in the predicted REE from the reference equations and the changes in the measured REE. In other words, adaptive thermogenesis represents here the greater than predicted decrease in REE induced by the weight-reducing program.

**Sleep assessment**

At baseline, each participant completed the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI), a self-rated questionnaire that assesses sleep quality and disturbances over the preceding 1-month time interval [27]. Briefly, nineteen individual items generate seven component scores: subjective sleep quality, sleep latency, sleep duration, habitual sleep efficiency, sleep disturbances, use of sleeping medication, and daytime dysfunction. The sum of the component scores yields one total score with a maximum of 21. A total PSQI score greater than 5 is highly sensitive and specific in distinguishing good from poor sleepers [27] and has been validated in a number of populations [28]. For the purpose of this study, both sleep quality (total PSQI score) and sleep duration (self-reported from the PSQI) were used for statistical analyses. Data were analyzed as continuous and with the use of categories for sleep length (short-duration sleepers, <6 h per night vs. average-duration sleepers, 7-9 h per night) and sleep quality (poor sleepers, PSQI score >5 vs. good sleepers, PSQI score ≤5), as previously reported [29,30].

**Diet assessment**

In addition to assess the compliance of the participants every 2 weeks by means of 24 h food recalls, diet was evaluated with a 3-day food record, including 2 weekdays and 1 weekend day, at baseline and at the end of the intervention. Participants were shown how to complete the record by a dietician, who provided instructions about measuring the quantities of ingested foods. This method of dietary assessment has been shown to provide a relatively reliable measure of diet in this population [31]. However, self-reported energy intakes should be interpreted with caution because of well-known bias and...
imprecision [32,33]. Mean daily energy intake was estimated by a dietician using a computerized version of the Canadian Nutrient File [34]. The change in total energy intake from baseline (in kcal/day) was used in the analyses as a covariate.

Statistical analysis

Sleep quantity (h/day) and quality (PSQI score) at baseline were used to predict adaptive thermogenesis (i.e. difference between the changes in the predicted REE from the reference equation and the changes in the measured REE) using multivariable linear regression analyses. The models were adjusted for age, sex, baseline BMI, length of the intervention and the change in energy intake as covariates. Paired t tests were also performed to compare predicted and measured changes in REE between sleep duration groups. All regression models and t tests were performed using the JMP version 9 program (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

Results

Characteristics of subjects participating in the study are shown in Table 1. Almost all variables showed significant differences; however, a full discussion of the effects of the weight-loss program on the overall physiological constitution is beyond the scope of this paper. A full discussion of the effects of the weight-loss program on the overall physiological constitution is beyond the scope of this paper. A difference between the changes in the predicted REE from the reference equation and the changes in the measured REE were not significantly different between short-(<6 h/night) and average-duration (7-9 h/night) sleepers (Table 3) and between poor (PSQI score >5) and good (PSQI score ≤5) sleepers (Table 4). Although absolute REE tended to be higher in short-duration sleepers compared to average-duration sleepers because of their higher body mass (Table 3), this difference was not significantly different in relative terms (i.e. REE/kg of body weight). Of note, analysis of our data using mass-corrected REE (i.e. REE/kg of body weight) instead of total REE yielded the same results (data not shown).

Discussion

Collectively, we observed that both total sleep time (h/night) and sleep quality (PSQI score) were not predictive of a greater than

### Table 1. Characteristics of participants before and after the dietary intervention.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (kg)</td>
<td>94.7 ± 14.5</td>
<td>88.8 ± 13.7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>33.2 ± 3.6</td>
<td>31.3 ± 3.4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat mass (kg)</td>
<td>35.7 ± 8.3</td>
<td>31.4 ± 7.9*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat mass (%)</td>
<td>38.1 ± 7.1</td>
<td>35.6 ± 7.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat-free mass (kg)</td>
<td>59.0 ± 5.2</td>
<td>57.4 ± 4.7**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep duration (h)</td>
<td>7.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td>7.4 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSQI (total score)</td>
<td>4.78 ± 2.83</td>
<td>3.68 ± 2.72*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy intake (kcal/d)</td>
<td>1253 ± 485</td>
<td>976 ± 385*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REE (kcal/d)</td>
<td>1804 ± 299</td>
<td>1747 ± 284*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adaptive thermogenesis (kcal/d) = Predicted REE (kcal/d) - Measured REE (kcal/d) - Body weight (kg) * 7.1

### Table 2: Multivariable linear regression models for the associations between sleeping habits and adaptive thermogenesis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Short-duration sleepers (&lt;6 h/night)</th>
<th>Average-duration sleepers (7-9 h/night)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before</td>
<td>After</td>
<td>Before</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Harris-Benedict formula (26)

| Predicted REE (kcal/d) | 1867 ± 262 | 1837 ± 265 | 1727 ± 303** | 1614 ± 237* |
| Measured REE (kcal/d) | 1801 ± 321 | 1829 ± 291 | 1692 ± 297 | 1621 ± 256* |
| Adaptive thermogenesis (kcal/d) | 21 ± 86 | 23 ± 89 |

Doucet et al. formula (23)

| Predicted REE (kcal/d) | 1725 ± 253 | 1718 ± 232 | 1598 ± 268** | 1505 ± 229* |
| Measured REE (kcal/d) | 1801 ± 321 | 1829 ± 281 | 1692 ± 297 | 1621 ± 256* |
| Adaptive thermogenesis (kcal/d) | 21 ± 86 | 23 ± 89 |

REE: Resting Energy Expenditure

Values are mean ± SD.

*P<0.05 vs. before the intervention.

**P<0.05 vs. after the intervention.

**P<0.05 vs. short-duration sleepers before the intervention.

Data on adaptive thermogenesis are not significantly different between sleep duration groups (paired t test).

**P<0.05 vs. short-duration sleepers after the intervention.

Table 3: Predicted and measured resting energy expenditure before and after the dietary intervention.
et al. [13] observed that the proportion of body weight lost as fat during the sleep restriction condition was only 25% (as opposed to 73% in the present study). These considerable catabolic effects of sleep deprivation are much less common in a real-life setting and can help explain the decrease in REE [35]. Thus, the observed differences between our findings and those from Nedeltcheva et al. [13] can readily be explained by the different study designs used and by the severity of sleep restriction.

It has been reported that prescribing dietary interventions to obese, short-duration sleepers might be counterproductive as most of them already have higher hunger levels [36]. Moreover, lack of sleep has been reported in some studies to decrease plasma leptin levels, increase plasma ghrelin and cortisol levels, alter glucose homeostasis and activate the orexin system, all of which impact the control of appetite and might compromise the efficacy of dietary interventions [37]. In the present naturalistic study, the compliance of participants was as good in poor as in good sleepers. This finding suggests that the small caloric restriction used in our study might have been well tolerated by the participants, independently of their sleeping habits.

It is well known that thermogenesis in humans is largely a function of sympathetic nervous system activity [38] and sympathetic nervous system has been shown to decrease in response to weight loss [39]. Interestingly, lack of sleep is a stressor for the human organism and is associated with an increased sympathetic tone [40]. Although speculative, this adaptation might help counteract the greater than expected decrease in REE in response to weight loss in short-duration sleepers. However, more studies are needed to better quantify the influence of sleeping habits on adaptive thermogenesis and to better document the underlying mechanisms.

The present study has some limitations that need to be taken into consideration before generalizing our study findings. Firstly, the small sample size and preliminary nature of this investigation precludes any definitive conclusion. For example, it is unknown for the moment whether a larger energy restriction would have more impact on adaptive thermogenesis among poor sleepers. Furthermore, the data for this study have been gathered from 3 different studies and therefore limits the potential to analyze the participants in more detail. However, we hope that this study will generate larger and well-designed studies to address the effects of poor sleeping habits on adaptive thermogenesis in human. Secondly, we have to keep in mind the well-known limitations of questionnaire-based measurements (e.g. sleep duration and diet assessment) as well as the possibility of residual confounding factors. Finally, the present study is only suited for the identification of associations and does not permit causal inferences.

In summary, our study provides evidence to the effect that sleeping habits are not associated with a greater than predicted decrease in REE during weight loss in adults exposed to a small caloric restriction, either with the use of total REE or mass-corrected REE. Future studies should verify whether a more severe dietary restriction would give the same outcome.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Poor sleepers (PSQI score &gt;5)</th>
<th>Good sleepers (PSQI score ≤5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Before</strong></td>
<td><strong>After</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harris-Benedict formula [26]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predicted REE (kcal/d)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaptive thermogenesis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(kcal/d)*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doucet et al. formula [23]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Predicted and measured resting energy expenditure before and after the dietary intervention.
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