

Insights for the Inhibition of Cancer Progression: Revisiting Ca^{2+} and cAMP Signalling Pathways

Paolo Ruggero Errante, Afonso Caricati-Neto and Leandro Bueno Bergantim*

Department of Pharmacology-Federal University of São Paulo-Paulista School of Medicine, Laboratory of Autonomic and Cardiovascular Pharmacology, São Paulo, Brazil

*Corresponding author: Leandro Bueno Bergantim, Department of Pharmacology-Federal University of São Paulo-Paulista School of Medicine, Laboratory of Autonomic and Cardiovascular Pharmacology, Rua Pedro de Toledo, 669-Vila Clementino, São Paulo-SP, Brazil, CEP: 04039-032. Tel: +55 11 5576-4973; E-mail: leanbio39@yahoo.com.br

Received date: 17 February, 2017; Accepted date: 21 February 2017; Published date: 28 February 2017

Copyright: © 2017 Errante PR, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract

This editorial article gives insights for the inhibition of cancer progression. The pharmacological modulation of Ca^{2+} /cAMP signalling interaction is also cited.

Keywords: Cancer progression; Ca^{2+} /cAMP signalling interaction

Introduction

Classically, Ca^{2+} is accepted as an intracellular second messenger that controls gene transcription, cell cycle regulation, mobility and apoptosis. Usually, Ca^{2+} is stored in specific organelles, such as endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and mitochondria [1]. Indeed, intracellular Ca^{2+} homeostasis is regulated by numerous channels and transporters of Ca^{2+} , for example: by the receptor of inositol-1,4,5-triphosphate (IP₃R) and Ca^{2+} -ATPase pump. In addition, the Ca^{2+} influx across plasma membrane occurs through voltage-activated Ca^{2+} channels (VACCs) and transient receptor potential channels (TRPs). Intracellular Ca^{2+} homeostasis is also regulated by the Ca^{2+} -induced Ca^{2+} release (CICR) mechanism, $\text{Na}^+/\text{Ca}^{2+}$ exchanger (NCX) and mitochondrial Ca^{2+} uniporter (MCU) [2].

In fact, the release of Ca^{2+} from the ER to the cytoplasm is performed through classical signalling pathways, activated by specific agonists and receptors, located in the surface of plasma membrane, for example: by activating phospholipase C, it hydrolyzes phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PIP₂) of plasma membrane, so producing inositol-1,4,5-triphosphate (IP₃). The diffusion of IP₃ into the cells release intracellular Ca^{2+} of their stocks by the activation of specific receptors (IP₃R), which are localized in the cytoplasmic side of ER membrane [3]. The increase of expression, or activity, of Ca^{2+} channels in the plasma membrane leads to increase of Ca^{2+} influx, promoting Ca^{2+} -dependent cell proliferation, and differentiation [4]. In contrast, the nucleoplasmic reticulum can release Ca^{2+} independently of signals generated by cytosolic Ca^{2+} [5], microdomain where Ca^{2+} is able to bind to specific DNA promoter regions, modulating the activity of transcription factors, gene expression and cellular activity [6].

In addition, Ca^{2+} is crucial for the cancer progression. Carcinogenesis is a process of non-lethal genetic injury that can be acquired by the action of environmental agents, such as chemical substances, radiation or viruses, or can be inherited in the germ line. This implies in alteration in proto-oncogenes, genes that regulate apoptosis, and genes involved in DNA repair. Most antineoplastic chemotherapeutic agents act in cell division, affecting both normal and neoplastic cells. Indeed, there is a consensus that carcinogenesis

process is associated with an increased expression, or abnormal activation, of Ca^{2+} channels, Ca^{2+} transporters or Ca^{2+} -ATPases [2], making these structures therapeutic targets for inhibiting cancer growth. For example, this issue can be observed by the use of selective SERCA pump inhibitor, thapsigargin [7]; Ca^{2+} channel blockers (CCBs), such as amlodipine and mibefradil used in anti-hypertensive therapy [8,9]; and also a mibefradil derived novel compound, named NNC-55-0396 [10]; CICRs and TRP channel regulators; the imidazole compound, named SKF 96365; and related antimycotic compounds, including econazole, miconazole and clotrimazole [11].

Also, non-pharmacological strategies that buffer nucleoplasmic Ca^{2+} have been described to reduce the rate of cancer tumor proliferation [12], and in combination with existing antitumor therapies, may be able to reduce the doses and adverse effects generated by radiotherapy and chemotherapy, conferring better quality of life to patients, and increase of global survival rate of patients with cancer. This therapy could be used to control growth of cancer tumors with high rates of resistance to conventional radiotherapy and chemotherapy treatments [13]; or in combination with immunotherapy to decrease dose of monoclonal antibodies intravenously infused, and their adverse effects [14].

In addition to Ca^{2+} , cAMP has been implicated in the regulation of cancer progression [15]. From this concept in mind, phosphodiesterase IV inhibitors like rolipram, which increase cAMP have been proposed as potential adjuvant, chemotherapeutic or chemopreventive agents in hepatocellular carcinoma [15].

Role of Ca^{2+} /cAMP Signalling in Cancer Progression

Considering that Ca^{2+} and cAMP signalling pathways can interact in a universally-operated manner, in our studies [16-18] we proposed that the pharmacological handling of the Ca^{2+} /cAMP signalling interaction could be a more efficient therapeutic approach for increasing neurotransmission in psychiatric disorders, and producing neuroprotection in the neurodegenerative diseases. As the activity of adenylyl cyclase (AC) is regulated by Ca^{2+} , the reduction of $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_c$ produced by L-type CCBs results in increase of activity of ACs, and elevation of $[\text{cAMP}]_c$ [16-18]. Thus, whether this interaction may be a novel therapeutic target to alter cancer tumor growth, angiogenesis and metastasis, without affecting normal cell physiology deserves special attention. Then, it would not be a surprise the suggestion of using CCBs in combination with pharmaceuticals which increase cAMP to inhibit cancer progression [8,9,15].

Therefore, the current knowledge about regulation of intracellular Ca^{2+} and cAMP homeostasis in cancer tumor cells, and the search for

new pharmacological strategies to control these intracellular messengers may be able to lead the development of new pharmacological and non-pharmacological strategies that specifically alter tumor growth, angiogenesis and metastasis, without affecting normal cell physiology. Finally, the pharmacological handling of the Ca²⁺/cAMP signalling interaction could be a more efficient therapeutic approach to inhibit cancer tumor progression.

References

1. Berridge MJ, Lipp P, Bootman MD (2000) The versatility and universality of calcium signaling. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 1: 11-21.
2. Cui C, Merritt R, Fu L, Pan Z (2017) Targeting calcium signaling in cancer therapy. *Acta Pharmaceutica Sinica B* 7: 3-17.
3. Resende RR, Andrade LM, Oliveira AG, Guimarães ES, Guatimosim S, et al. (2013) Nucleoplasmatic calcium signaling and cell proliferation: calcium signaling in the nucleus. *Cell Commun Signal*. 11: 14.
4. Roderick HL, Cook SJ (2008) Ca²⁺ signaling checkpoints in cancer: remodeling Ca²⁺ for cancer cell proliferation and survival. *Nat Rev Cancer* 8: 361-375.
5. Echevarria W, Leite MF, Guerra MT, Zipfel WR, Nathanson MH (2003) Regulation of calcium signals in the nucleus by a nucleoplasmic reticulum. *Nat Cell Biol* 5: 440-446.
6. Andrade LM, Geraldo JM, Gonçalves OX, Leite MTT, Catarina AM, et al. (2012) Nucleoplasmic calcium buffering sensitizes human squamous cell carcinoma to anticancer therapy. *J Cancer Sci Ther* 4: 131-139.
7. Gu J, Liu H, Fu T, Xu Y (1995) Thapsigargin increases apoptotic cell death in human hepatoma BEL-7404 cells. *Cell Res* 5: 59-65.
8. Yoshida J, Ishibashi T, Nishio M (2007) G1 cell cycle arrest by amlodipine, a dihydropyridine Ca²⁺ channel blocker, in human epidermoid carcinoma A431 cells. *Biochem Pharmacol* 73: 943-953.
9. Krouse AJ, Gray L, Macdonald T, McCray J (2015) Repurposing and rescuing of mibefradil, an antihypertensive, for cancer: a case study. *Assay Drug Dev Technol* 13: 650-653.
10. Kim KH, Kim D, Park JY, Jung HJ, Cho YH, et al. (2015) NNC 55-0396, a T-type Ca²⁺ channel inhibitor, inhibits angiogenesis via suppression of hypoxia-inducible factor-1 α signal transduction. *J Mol Med* 93: 499-509.
11. Song M, Chen D, Yu SP (2014) The TRPC channel blocker SKF 96365 inhibits glioblastoma cell growth by enhancing reverse mode of the Na⁺/Ca²⁺ exchanger and increasing intracellular Ca²⁺. *Br J Pharmacol* 171: 3432-3447.
12. Rodrigues MA, Gomes DA, Leite MF, Grant W, Zhang L, et al. (2007) Nucleoplasmic calcium is required for cell proliferation. *J Biol Chem* 282: 17061-17068.
13. Andrade V, Guerra M, Jardim C, Melo F, Silva W, et al. (2011) Nucleoplasmic calcium regulates cell proliferation through legumain. *J Hepatol* 55: 626-635.
14. Sim DW, Park KH, Park HJ, Son YW, Lee SC, et al. (2016) Clinical characteristics of adverse events associated with therapeutic monoclonal antibodies in Korea. *Pharmacoepidemiol Dru Saf* 25: 1279-1286.
15. Massimi M, Cardarelli S, Galli F, Giardi MF, Ragusa F, et al. (2016) Increase of Intracellular Cyclic AMP by PDE4 Inhibitors Affects HepG2 Cell Cycle Progression and Survival. *J Cell Biochem*.
16. Bergantin LB, Souza CF, Ferreira RM, Smaili SS, Jurkiewicz NH, et al. (2013) Novel model for "calcium paradox" in sympathetic transmission of smooth muscles: role of cyclic AMP pathway. *Cell Calcium* 54: 202-12.
17. Caricati-Neto A, Garcia AG, Bergantin LB (2015) Pharmacological implications of the Ca²⁺/cAMP signaling interaction: from risk for antihypertensive therapy to potential beneficial for neurological and psychiatric disorders. *Pharmacol Res Perspect* 3: e00181.
18. Bergantin LB, Caricati-Neto A (2016) Challenges for the pharmacological treatment of neurological and psychiatric disorders: Implications of the Ca²⁺/cAMP intracellular signalling interaction. *Eur J Pharmacol* 788: 255-260.