Interests of Residual Renal Function in Peritoneal Dialysis
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Abstract

Background: Decline of Residual renal function (RRF) is commonly observed in dialysis patients. RRF may play a significant role in the treatment adequacy and outcomes of dialysis patients. Several prospective observational and interventional studies have shown that the presence of RRF was associated with an improved outcome and a reduced mortality in dialysis patients. Preserving RRF is an old holistic dream that still remains to date a source of debate among the nephrologists.

The aim of our study was to evaluate the impact of preservation of residual renal function in peritoneal dialysis (PD).

Methods: The study was retrospective, descriptive and analytical including patients on peritoneal dialysis in the Nephrology Department of Sahloul Hospital in Sousse during the period from 1 December 2006 to 30 June 2014.

We noted clinical and biological parameters for patients with and without RRF. The FRR was defined as a residual clearance upper than 1 ml/min.

All statistical analysis was performed with SPSS statistical software version 20. For each parameter the mean ± deviation was calculated. The significance of effects was tested using analysis of variance (ANOVA). Values <0.05 were considered significant. Multiple regression analysis was performed in order to investigate the relationship between RRF and various independent variables (age, gender, blood pressure, and etiology of end stage renal disease).

Results: During the study period, 48 patients were collected. RRF was found in 71% of patients with PD. Several benefits were demonstrated: better control of anemia (9.2 vs. 8.01 g/dl), better balance of uricemia (303 vs. 393 μmol/l) a better balance of phosphorus (1.4 vs. 1.8 mmol/l) and a lower risk of peritonitis (absence of peritonitis in 71 vs. 30%).

Conclusion: The FRR is an important parameter that should be taken into account in our medical care in dialysis. Its protection should therefore be considered and it responds to many factors.
The best clearance measure is still uncertain and different approaches have been used. Renal creatinine clearance is most frequently used, but it overestimates Glomerular Filtration (GFR) and has errors of accuracy related to urine collection.

Alternatively, the average of renal creatinine and urea clearances balances the overestimation of GFR by creatinine clearance with the underestimation by urea clearance. The presence of residual diuresis is required for RRF to exist. However, there may be discrepancies between the amount of residual diuresis and the residual GFR.

The method of RRF measurement is the eGFR, as recommended by the European Best Practice Guidelines. The eGFR can be calculated by taking the average of the urea and creatinine clearance (GFR = [Creatinine + urea]/2).

The aim of our study was to evaluate the impact of preservation of residual renal function in peritoneal dialysis.

### Methods

#### Type of the study

The study was retrospective, descriptive and analytical.

#### Study population

These were patients on peritoneal dialysis in the Nephrology Department of Sahloul Hospital in Sousse during the period from 1 December 2006 to 30 June 2014.

#### Inclusion criteria

We included adult patients, at least 18 years old, achieved at least 3 months of follow up. Whose were still dialyzed in the center at the time of data collection?

#### Exclusion criteria

We excluded patients whose had beneficed successively two modalities of treatment (DP and HD).

#### Procedure for collecting data

The data were collected on a pre-established form containing the following information:

- Age, sex, type of nephropathy, comorbidity (high blood pressure, diabetes and heart disease).

- Dialysis parameters: Dialysis duration in months, type of PD (continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis (CAPD) or automated peritoneal dialysis (APD)).

- Clinical parameters: The overload and dehydration episodes, episodes of peritonitis.

  - We have defined 2 times: T0: start of PD and T1: data collection.

  - At these two times, we noted the following biological parameters: The levels of hemoglobin (Hb), nutritional status, GFR, peritoneal clearance, Residual Diuresis, net peritoneal ultrafiltration, calcium, phosphorus, potassium, alkaline reserve, uric acid, the Kt/V.

  - Oligoanuri was defined as urine output of <250 mL/24 hours.

  - The FRR was defined as a residual clearance upper than 1 ml/min.

  - Baseline characteristics were compared between the two groups (Group 1: with RRF and Group 2: without RRF) by using chi-square tests.

All statistical analysis was performed with SPSS statistical software version 20. For each parameter the mean ± deviation was calculated. The significance of effects was tested using analysis of variance (ANOVA). Values <0.05 were considered significant. Multiple regression analysis was performed in order to investigate the relationship between RRF and various independent variables (age, gender, blood pressure, and etiology of ESRD).

### Results

During the study period, 48 patients were collected. Of these 35 (71%) retained a RRF at T1.

Table 1 shows the demographic characteristics of the two groups (with and without RRF).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RRF&gt;1 ml/min</th>
<th>RRF&lt;1 ml/min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>42 [20-85]</td>
<td>42 [18-72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (M/F)</td>
<td>24/11</td>
<td>9/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High blood pressure</td>
<td>24 (68%)</td>
<td>8 (61%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>diabetes</td>
<td>8 (22%)</td>
<td>4 (30%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of PD (months)</td>
<td>26 [3-77]</td>
<td>41 [9-104]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APD’</td>
<td>12 (34%)</td>
<td>4 (31%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAPD’</td>
<td>23 (65%)</td>
<td>9 (69%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

APD: Automated Peritoneal Dialysis; CAPD: Continuous Ambulatory Peritoneal Dialysis

Table 1: Demographic characteristics of patients with and without RRF in peritoneal dialysis.

Through an analytical study, we were able to identify the impact of RRF preservation on the clinical level (Table 2). Patients with RRF had fewer episodes of overload (fewer episodes of acute lung edema). They had fewer episodes of peritonitis; the Figures 1 and 2 shows the distribution of episodes of peritonitis between the two groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RRF&gt;1 ml/min</th>
<th>RRF&lt;1 ml/min</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No episodes of acute lung edema</td>
<td>31 (68.8%)</td>
<td>10 (76.9%)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No episodes of edema of the lower limbs</td>
<td>22 (62.8%)</td>
<td>4 (30%)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
At T1, the mean total clearance was significantly higher for group 1 (p=0.04). At T1, 85.3% of patients in group 1 had a clearance >50 l/week and 76.9% of patients in the second group had a clearance > 50 l/week.

At T1, only 29% of patients were anemic in group 1, while in the second group, the proportion was 76%.

At T1, Hemoglobin levels were significantly higher in group 1 (p=0.03), while it was close between the two groups at T10 (Table 4).

In group 1, there was a significant increase in hemoglobin level between T0 and T1 (p=0.01) whereas a decrease in hemoglobin level between T0 and T1 in group 2 was noted.

At T1: 30% of patients in group 2 had hypocalcaemia whereas in the first group hypocalcaemia was found in 37% of patients. Hyperphosphatemia was found in 52% of group 1 patients and 53% of group 2 patients. At T1, the phosphor level was significantly higher in group 2 (p<0.05), although there was no significant difference between the two groups at T0 (p=0.1).

At T1, 51% of Group 1 had hypoalbuminaemia whereas in the second group 84% had hypoalbuminemia.

At T1: Patients in group 2 had significantly higher uric acid levels (p=0.01) whereas there was no difference between the two groups at T0.

Patients with FRR had a significantly higher rate of Urinary sodium and Urinary potassium than those without FRR.

Table 3 compares the dialysis parameters of groups 1 and 2. For both groups of patients, the RRF decreased progressively between T0 and T1. This decrease was greater for group 2. Over time between T0 and T1, there was a decrease in UF for both groups of patients and a comparable level of UF between the 2 groups.

At T1, all patients in the first group had Kt/V >1.7 and 70.5% of patients in group 2 had Kt/V >1.7. Kt/V means were comparable in both groups at both times (P=0.5).

For both groups 1 and 2, there was a decrease in total clearance over time. The decrease in total clearance was significant for group 2 (p=0.02).
For its part, Cheng et al. [7] did not find any difference in the extracellular volume between the two groups.

The impact of RRF on PD adequacy

Residual renal function is obviously an important factor for dialysis patients. It helps to achieve and/or to maintain dialysis adequacy [8]. It may contribute an important component (native renal clearance) of the overall treatment efficacy delivered by the renal replacement therapy modality (dialysis clearance). Solute clearances ensured by the native kidney are qualitatively more significant than clearances delivered by renal replacement therapies.

In our series, patients with Kt/V>1.7 were significantly more frequent in group with RRF>1 ml/min.

Both in our series and in the literature, total clearance was significantly better in patients with RRF. It was 81 l/wk in the group maintaining RRF and 61 l/wk in the second group of our series.

On the other hand, our levels of total clearance are similar to those of the literature. In the series of Chung et al. [9] the mean total clearance of RRF patients was 95 l/wk compared to 65.4 l/wk in the second group with a significant difference. In the series of Marro'n et al. [10] the mean total clearance of patients with RRF was 109.22 l/wk compared to 65.88 l/wk in the second group with a significant difference.

Better balance of uric acid

There is an increasing body of evidence indicating that hyperuricaemia may have a role in the development of hypertension, kidney disease, cardiovascular events and mortality [11,12]. Uric acid-induced pregglomerular arterial disease, renal inflammation and activation of RAS and COX-2 have been demonstrated as potential mechanisms by which uric acid acts on the progression of renal disease [13-15].

Since the kidney excretes approximately two-thirds of the uric acid produced daily, serum uric acid tends to rise in patients approaching ESRD.

Due to the progressive loss of glomerular filtration, ESRD patients have lower renal uric acid clearance and higher serum uric acid levels.

In JT Park et al. study, it was demonstrated the association between uric acid hyperuricemia and the decline of RRF [16]. This was confirmed for our patients with an average of 303 μmol/l in the RRF group and 393 μmol/l in the second group (p=0.028).

This difference is explained by the kidney elimination of uric acid in patients with RRF.

Phosphorus control

The kidney plays an important role in phosphorus excretion. As renal function declines, phosphorus homeostasis is maintained through a compensatory decrease in renal tubular reabsorption, mediated partly by the phosphaturic hormones PTH and FGF-23. Our data clearly show that the kidney remains important for phosphorus control after the initiation of maintenance dialysis.

Hyperphosphatemia is a well-known risk factor for all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in dialysis patients. It has been associated with secondary hyperparathyroidism, renal osteodystrophy, and with the development of vascular calcifications.
Several studies have shown the role of RRF in the control of hyperphosphoemia in PD. Suggesting that a beneficial role of RRF on phosphate control may to a certain extent be explained by increased urinary removal. In the Wang AY study, one-third of the peritoneal dialysis patients with preserved residual renal function were found to be hyperphosphatemia versus nearly half of the anuric patients. Of more importance is the finding that the degree of residual glomerular filtration rate, despite average being below 2 ml/min per 1.73 m², remained strongly associated with phosphate control in peritoneal dialysis patients. Even though peritoneal dialysis clearance contributes significantly to the phosphorus control in peritoneal dialysis patients, this study clearly demonstrated the limitation of peritoneal dialysis alone in achieving adequate phosphate control in anuric patients taking the Dialysis Outcome Quality Initiative (DOQI)-recommended dietary protein of at least 1 g/kg per day. In the series of Han et al. [17] the level of phosphorus was 5.2 mg/dl (1.67 mmol/l) in the group without FRR and 4.8 mg/dl (1.54 mmol/l) in the second group [18]. In the study presented here patients with RRF had better phosphate control with an average of 1.4 mmol/l versus 1.8 mmol/l in the second group.

**Control of anemia**

Anemia is one of the most frequent complications of ESRD and is mainly related to a decrease in the level of erythropoietin. It can lead to cardiovascular complications and alter the quality of life of dialysis patients. In fact, intense fatigue, disorders of concentration and lack of energy often accompany the daily life of patients suffering from anemia. It is therefore one of the most difficult complications to support. The correction of anemia in dialysis allows an improvement of the quality of life and a reduction of the cardiovascular complications.

Several studies have shown that there is a combination of RRF with better correction of anemia and reduced consumption of synthetic erythropoietin. The presence of intrinsic renal function may be at the origin of a higher formation of erythropoietin with better control of anemia.

In the Han et al. [17] the hemoglobin level was 11.3 g/dl in the DP group maintaining a FRR and 10.5 g/dl in the second group. This is comparable to that found in our series. Patients in the first group had better hemoglobin compared to the second group.

**Less risk of peritonitis**

Infectious peritonitis is the most frequent and severe complication in PD. It is a strong predictor of mortality in PD.

In this study, the number of peritonitis was more important in patients who lost the RRF. The majority of patients with RRF had no episodes of peritonitis (78%) whereas only 30.7% of patients who had lost RRF had no episodes of peritonitis with a significant difference (p=0.03).

Several studies have investigated the potential association between RRF and the incidence of peritonitis during PD therapy. During an analysis on the global prognostic significance of RRF, the incidence of peritonitis in patients presenting a GFR<1 ml/min at the start of follow-up was found to be three-fold greater than that of patients with GFR>1 ml/min. Han et al. showed that for every 1-mL/min/1.73 m² increase in GFR at the start of PD therapy, there was a 20% decrease in risk of peritonitis [9].

Fontan et al. [19] showed a 25% greater risk of peritonitis-related mortality for every 1 mL/min/minute decrease in baseline residual GFR in a similar multivariate-adjusted analysis.

One hypothesis for this observation is that the presence of RKF may offer immunomodulatory effects that protect against the development of peritonitis and its associated morbidity and mortality. However, it also is likely that the association may be caused by the presence of the residual confounding effects of baseline comorbid conditions that may serve as risk factors for the development of future peritonitis episodes.

**Conclusion**

RRF facilitates the achievement of dialysis adequacy in end stage chronic kidney disease (CKD-5) patients. It facilitates patients’ acceptance of renal replacement therapy (RRT) in minimizing dietary and fluid restriction. Maintenance of residual renal function proves to be an important objective in the care of our PD patients, with benefits for their survival, quality of life (reduced severity of fluid restriction), and risk of complications.

In this present study we have confirmed several benefits including control fluid balance, decrease risk of peritonitis, control of anemia, phosphor and uric acid. Given the important contribution of RRF to this benefit of PD patients, it is easy to assume that RRF may improve quality of life as perceived by PD patients. Hence the importance of the identification of factors that affect RRF. Several studies are interested in determining the factors influencing the RRF. The Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative (KDOQI) has published guidelines establishing several risk factors for a decline in GFR in patients with chronic kidney disease, such as age, race, blood pressure, and proteinuria.
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