Intraoperative Finding of Hepatic Hydatid Cyst with Fine Needle Aspiration: A Case Study with AFB and GMS Special Stains Highlighting the Echinococcal Hooklets
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Abstract

We report a case of an incidentally detected calcified liver lesion that was diagnosed as a hydatid cyst using fine-needle aspiration. The aspirated fluid from the thickened calcified cystic hepatic mass was clear. The cell block sections showed laminated membranes Echinococcus hooklets on the Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) stain as well as the AFB and GMS special stains. While needle aspiration may not indicated in cases of suspected hydatid disease, it may serve as a valuable diagnostic tool in cases of tumoral masses in which there is no clinical and radiological suspicion on this diagnosis. Awareness of the fact that the hooklets stain with AFB and GMS stains can facilitate the identification of the characteristic hooklets, which may be rare and can otherwise require painstaking search under lighting conditions that increase their refractivity. Similarly, awareness of the fact that PAS, AFB and GMS stains highlight the laminated membrane fragments, which may otherwise be missed or misinterpreted as mucus or artifacts, facilitates their recognition and orients the diagnosis towards the correct diagnosis.

Keywords: Echinococcosis; Liver; Cytology; FNA; GMS; AFB; Hooklets

Introduction

Hydatid cyst disease is a zoonotic infection that results from tissue infestation with the larval stage of the parasite Echinococcus granulosus [1]. The disease is endemic in sheep-raising regions of the world, especially in Mediterranean countries, the Middle East, Eastern Europe, South America, Australia and New Zealand. While still uncommon in the United States, travel and changes in immigration patterns over the past four decades have caused a rise in the number of cases hydatid disease throughout North America. This has led to the need for increased awareness of its clinical features, diagnosis, and management. Fine needle aspiration (FNA) cytology is typically not performed in cases with a suspicion of hydatid cyst due to the perceived risk of anaphylactic shock [2], which makes it difficult for cytopathologists to gain experience with such cases. Cytologically, protoscolices, hooklets, and fragments of the laminated membrane are commonly found in hydatid cysts [3-5].

Case Report

A 67-year-old Hispanic woman presented to the emergency room complaining of two week long left lower quadrant abdominal pain and recent-onset hematochezia. Her past medical history included a benign ovarian cyst and diverticulosis. Physical examination was unremarkable; no abdominal mass was palpated. Routine blood count, biochemistry and urine analysis were within normal limits. Serologic testing for echinococcosis was not performed. Her abdominal computed tomography (CT) scan revealed a 4.5 cm multi-laminated calcification in the inferior right lobe of the liver, in addition to cholelithiasis. The liver lesion was interpreted radiologically as “likely scar from old inflammatory lesion” (Figure 1).

During the laparoscopic cholecystectomy, an intraoperative cholangiogram, and FNA of the liver lesion were performed. Several passes targeting the calcified mass on the lateral edge of the right lobe were performed using a percutaneous Tru-Cut needle. The aspirated clear fluid was submitted in formalin for cytologic examination. No microbiologic examination was performed. No allergic reaction or other complication was observed following the FNA. The fluid was centrifuged and a cell block was prepared from the sediment using the thrombin technique. The cell block sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E), PAS, GMS, and AFB stains.

Figure 1: Computed tomography of the abdomen showing a multilaminated calcification in the right hepatic lobe.
Microscopic examination of the H&E-stained cell block sections revealed fragments of the laminated membrane. These appeared as acellular material with delicate undulating parallel striations in the background of amorphous necrotic debris and fine granular calcifications ("calcareous bodies"). No inflammatory cells were seen. In addition, upon lowering the condenser, we could appreciate detached hooklets that appeared as scimitar-shaped refractile structures (Figure 2).

Based on these cytomorphological findings, a diagnosis of hydatid cyst was made (Figure 4).

Discussion

Hydatid disease or human cystic echinococcosis is a parasitic infestation caused in the majority of cases by *Echinococcus granulosus* and multilocularis, the latter causing alveolar echinococcosis, characterized by the presence of multiple small cysts. Dogs and other canidae are the parasite's definite host, while sheep and goats, and occasionally other warm-blooded vertebrates serve as the parasite's intermediate hosts. Humans are accidental intermediate hosts and become infected by ingestion of raw fruits and vegetables contaminated with eggs shed by dogs or foxes. After ingestion, the eggs of *E. granulosus* hatch, and the larval oncospheres pass to the liver by the portal vein. The cysts most often occur in the liver (60-70% of cases), predominantly affecting the right lobe, although they may be multiple and involve all lobes of the liver. The second most frequently involved organ is the lung (20-25% of cases), but hydatid cysts can occur anywhere in the body, including in unusual locations such as bones, kidneys, spleen, muscles, CNS and orbit.

The adult *E. granulosus* is a small tapeworm that measures 3 to 5 mm in length and shows a scolex (head) with four suckers and a double crown of 28-50 hooks. The cysts, which can grow up to 10-20 cm, are composed of three layers[6]: an (1) outer adventitial layer (pericyst or ectocyst) consisting of dense fibrous tissue predominantly of host tissue derivation, (2) the middle 1 mm thick avascular, eosinophilic, refractile and anucleated laminated membrane and (3) an inner 10-25 µm thick cellular germinal layer. The cysts contain abundant clear fluid into which the daughter cysts ("brood capsules") formed by the germinal layer are released. Each of these daughter cysts contains scolices with numerous hooklets. The hydatid cysts grow slowly and ultimately the parasite dies, and the cysts degenerate and calcify; scolices may be lost in longstanding cysts with degeneration, but the hooklets and calcareous corpuscles persist, and can serve as diagnostic clues on FNA. Unless the parasite dies or the cyst ruptures, the host reaction to the cyst is minimal, which most likely explains why most patients with hydatid cysts are asymptomatic until the cysts attain a large size. Because of their slow growth, the diagnosis may be delayed for months to many years after the initial infection. The
presence and type of clinical manifestations depends on the cyst’s location and size. If the cyst is located in the liver it can cause hepatomegaly, obstructive jaundice, and cholangitis. In other locations, the symptoms are nonspecific, and the disease is rarely suspected outside endemic areas.

Hydatid disease can be diagnosed by serology and imaging studies, but these techniques have low sensitivity and specificity and are therefore not definitive. On imaging studies the cysts may have one of four appearances [7]: unilocular simple cysts (type I), complex cysts with daughter cysts or detached floating membranes (“water lily sign”) (type II), calcified cysts (type III) and complicated cysts with rupture or superimposed infection (type IV).

The hydatid cyst can be diagnosed preoperatively or intraoperatively through cyst aspiration by demonstrating characteristic findings of fragments of hyaline, laminated cyst wall membrane and confirmatory findings of scolices or hooklets in the smears. FNA is generally not recommended in suspected cases of hydatid cyst due to the possibility of allergic and even acute anaphylactic reaction. However, this appears to be more of a historical complication, which may have been due to the use of large-bore needles. Complications of needle aspiration, such as minor allergic [8] or major anaphylactic reactions [5] have only rarely been reported, usually when large needles (18G and below) were employed [5]; in most cases of fine needle (21G and above) aspiration of a clinically unsuspected hydatid cyst no complications were encountered [9,10]. No complications of needle aspiration were noted in our case either.

Although the majority of hydatid cysts occur in the liver, cases diagnosed by aspiration cytology are more likely to represent extrabiliary disease, such as lung involvement [11] or involvement of unusual sites like the breast [12,13], head and neck locations [1,14,15], soft tissues [16,17], probably reflecting the fact that hydatid cysts were not suspected in these cases. Hydatid cyst should be considered when the symptoms are definitive. The hydatid cyst can be diagnosed preoperatively or intraoperatively through cyst aspiration by demonstrating characteristic findings of fragments of hyaline, laminated cyst wall membrane and confirmatory findings of scolices or hooklets in the smears. FNA is generally not recommended in suspected cases of hydatid cyst due to the possibility of allergic and even acute anaphylactic reaction. However, this appears to be more of a historical complication, which may have been due to the use of large-bore needles. Complications of needle aspiration, such as minor allergic [8] or major anaphylactic reactions [5] have only rarely been reported, usually when large needles (18G and below) were employed [5]; in most cases of fine needle (21G and above) aspiration of a clinically unsuspected hydatid cyst no complications were encountered [9,10]. No complications of needle aspiration were noted in our case either.

Complete surgical removal of the cyst(s) is the main therapeutic option; when the diagnosis is made or suspected preoperatively, a short course of preoperative albendazole treatment is frequently used because it reduces the likelihood of intraoperative parasite seeding and disease recurrence. Medical treatment alone is not effective, but may reduce the size of the cysts and may therefore be used in patients who are poor surgical candidates due to comorbidities, multi-organ involvement and inoperable cyst location. Postoperative medical treatment with benzimidazole derivates (albendazole, mebendazole) is frequently combined with surgery to prevent recurrence [15].

Conclusion

We report a case of an incidentally detected calcified liver lesion that was diagnosed as a hydatid cyst using fine-needle aspiration. While needle aspiration may not indicated in cases of suspected hydatid disease, it may serve as a valuable diagnostic tool in cases of tumoral masses in which there is no clinical and radiological suspicion on this diagnosis. Awareness of the fact that the hooklets stain with AFB and GMS stains can facilitate the identification of the characteristic hooklets, which may be rare and can otherwise require painstaking search under lighting conditions that increase their refractivity. Similarly, awareness of the fact that PAS, AFB and GMS stains highlight the laminated membrane fragments, which may otherwise be missed or misinterpreted as mucus or artifacts, facilitates their recognition and orients the diagnosis towards the correct diagnosis.
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