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Abstract
This study aims to investigate the relationship between over-valuation stock price and debt costs of companies with financial health based on Black, Scholes and Merton (BSM) model, and then tests the hypotheses. This study is applied and according to the data collection method, it is descriptive (correlation) with ratio scale of measuring data. To test the hypotheses, we collect the accounting data from 2011 to 2015 and analyze the data based on it. According to the results, there is a positive and significant relationship between the over-valuation and the debt cost of companies with financial health, and the relationship is intensified by the information asymmetry. The results of this study confirm the findings of Chiou and Shu research on the existence of a positive and significant relationship between over-valuation and debt costs.
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Introduction
The over-valuation means higher stock price than its intrinsic value. These conditions not only encourage investors to overestimate the prices of products and services, but also cause managers to become involved in inappropriate practices. The over-valuation is often observed in inefficient markets and is often supported [1]. Restani et al. [2] point out that, managers also have the required motivation to maximize stock prices and make them permanent. Modigliani and Miller [3] stated that the more over-valuation would be increased; managers are less interested to use the earnings management within the accepted accounting principles framework. The findings of Houmes and Skantz [4] indicate that there is a reverse relationship between audit quality and discretionary accruals in over-valued companies. According to Polk and Sapienza [5], over-valuation has two effects: first, it produces high profits for current shareholders, and secondly, it increases the optimistic expectations of the company in order to invest in opportunities ahead. On the other hand, the results of Hua [6] stated that there is a significant relationship between financial leverage and information asymmetry. They also suggested that the cost of corporate capital also increases with increasing information asymmetry. Companies need to attract funds to finance their projects, which can finance by loans or bonds. That is, the debt cost is actually the cost that a company will incur for the funds provided by the loan or the long-term bonds. This rate reflects the expected returns of lenders. Therefore, financial providers are paying much attention to the ability of company to pay for debt. One of the factors that have a direct effect on the cost of debt is the default risk. In turn, default risk is associated with uncertainty about the ability of company to meet obligations and debt repayment. This risk is usually estimated by different criteria that the most common criteria include: Altman Z-score, Olson score, credit ranks, debt repayment differentials, and market criteria based on the BSM model [7]. In this study, for accurate estimation of the effect of over-valuation on debt cost and also innovation creation towards other research, we will examine the effect of over-valuation on debt cost. So, according to the above subject matter, we intend to answer the question about how much the over-valuation effects on the debt cost of companies with financial health in the Tehran Stock Exchange.

Theoretical Foundations, Research Background
The findings of Chiou and Shu [1] show that over-valuation of companies has a direct and positive relationship with the cost of financing. Also, these findings show that the high cost rate of the bank loan would reduce the over-valuation of companies and representative costs. Evidence from Loughran and Ritter’s research [8] study, companies that are unrealistically valued are more exposed to the risk of falling stock prices. The results by Mc Laughlin et al. [9] confirm that information asymmetry and the fraud possibility are minimized by increasing information transparency, capital cost decreases and company value increases. Bhattacharya et al. [10] stated that low earning quality leads to increase the information asymmetry in financial markets. The findings of Wassan and Boone [11] reported that there is no significant positive relationship between discretionary accruals and information asymmetry. The results of Spiess and Graves [12] suggested that the overall quality of company’s disclosure transparency has a positive relationship with financial performance of companies. The findings of Habib and Hasan [13] show that over-valued companies are at a higher risk than other companies. The findings of Izadinia and Mirzaei [14] show that there is a positive and significant relationship between the interactive effect of over-valuation of stock and the criteria audit quality. According to findings, Rezaei [15] states that there is a positive correlation between the over-valued stock and profit smoothing variables. The results of Vadiee and Azimifar [16] show that high valued companies will report more current discretionary accruals compared to the low-valued companies at current year. According to Babrbaian [17], managers manipulate earnings to conceal over-
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valuation of company. Mousavi et al. [18] stated that there is a positive and significant relationship between stock valuation and increasing earnings management in subsequent periods.

According to that investigating the relationship between over-valuation and the debt cost of bank is an essential factor for investing and making investors and creditors better familiar with the capital market, it is necessary to study in this field; and we try to answer the question whether there is a significant effect of over-valuation of stock and information asymmetry on the debt cost of bank of the listed companies in the Tehran Stock Exchange; and if this is the case, how can this effect be interpreted? In this regard, the following hypotheses are defined:

1. The over-valuation has a positive and significant relationship with the debt cost.
2. The information asymmetry will intensify the relationship between over-valuation and debt cost.

Research Method

This study is applied and according to the data collection method, it is descriptive (correlation) with ratio scale of measuring data. To test the hypotheses, we collected the accounting data from 2011 to 2015, which have the following characteristics:

1. The fiscal year of the companies will end in March.
2. There is a company’s balance sheet information. Meanwhile, companies’ stocks have no negative book value or zero.
3. There is no stop trading for more than five months (they have return at least in nine months of the year).
4. The companies’ market value is available at the end of each year.

So, we use the all active companies in the stock exchange between 2011 and 2015 which are eligible.

Meanwhile, the researcher is used the companies with financial health to investigate the effect of over-valuation factor on debt costs. To identify and extract the companies with financial health, we use proposed BSM model by Li and Xia [19] as follows:

$$P_{adj}=N \left( \frac{E_n + F_n}{E_n} + \left( \frac{\sigma_n^2}{2} \right) T \right)$$

$$\sigma_n = \frac{E_n + F_n}{E_n} \sigma_n + \frac{F_n}{E_n + F_n} \left( 0.05 + 0.25 \sigma_n \right)$$

BSM is a company-specific criterion that calculates the value of each company based on the financial situation and financial resources and capital resources, not based on its credit rating, as a result it provides a more accurate rating [7]. Based on the results, the results of companies’ model can be divided into three categories (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The result of computing BSM</th>
<th>Company status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BSM&lt;0.33</td>
<td>Companies with financial health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.33&lt;BSM&lt;0.66</td>
<td>Companies with moderate inability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSM&gt;0.66</td>
<td>Companies with high inability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Dividing companies based on the results of BSM.

As explained in the previous steps, in this study we use the companies with financial health. As a result, considering the above descriptions, 43 companies are selected for analysis.

Research model

According to the data of the study, which are a combination of time series and cross-sectional data, in other words, the combined data or panel, we use the data panel regression model. In this study, the following statistical model is used to examine the hypotheses:

$$SL_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 OV_{it} + \beta_2 Size_{it} + \beta_3 ROA_{it} + \beta_4 ROE_{it} + \beta_5 LEV_{it} + \epsilon_i$$

(3)

Where $SL_i$ is the cost of financing (bank debt) through a bank loan for company $i$ in year $t$, $OV_{it}$ is over-valuation of stock valuation for company $i$ in year $t$, $ROA_{it}$ is Return on Assets for company $i$ in year $t$, $ROE_{it}$ is Return on Equity for company $i$ in year $t$, $Size_{it}$ is size for company $i$ in year $t$, $LEV_{it}$ is the financial leverage for company $i$ in year $t$, $\epsilon_i$ is model waste.

$$SL_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 OV_{it} + \beta_2 Size_{it} + \beta_3 ROA_{it} + \beta_4 ROE_{it} + \beta_5 LEV_{it} + \epsilon_i$$

(4)

$$HL\_Ini: \text{Information asymmetry}$$

Introducing the variables of research

In this study, we use the over-valuation calculation of Rhodes-Kropf et al. [20] model. In this model, the market value to book value $\frac{M}{B}$ is used as a representative for inappropriate stock valuations and growth opportunities. They reported that if there is a correct criterion for the company’s real value, the ratio of market value to book value can be divided into two parts:

$$\frac{M}{B} = \frac{M}{V} \frac{V}{B}$$

(5)

In above equation, the market value to real value represents an incorrect valuation, and real value to book value represents growth opportunities. The logarithmic form of the above pattern can be explained as follows:

$$m-b=(m-v)+(v-b)$$

(6)

Rhodes-Kropf et al. [20] argued that the real value is a linear function of the company’s-specific accounting information at a point in time (qit) and a vector of accounting and financial multiples (α) [1].

In this study, we use the bank debt ratio (paid interest and commission and total received credits) to calculate the debt cost of bank.

The natural logarithm of assets has been used to calculate the size of the company.

$$\text{Size} = \ln(\text{Size})$$

(7)

The rate of Return on Assets in this research is calculated as follows:
ROA = Net Profit / Total Assets \tag{8}

ROA\_i is Return on Assets, Net Profit is net income of company i in year t, Total Assets is total assets of company i in year t.

Information Asymmetry (HL\_IN\_i): In this study, we use the ownership percentage of non-institutional shareholders has been used to compute information asymmetry [21].

Analyzing the Research Results

Descriptive statistics of research variables

It is necessary to familiar with the descriptive statistics related to the variables in order to investigate the general and basic specifications of the variables in order to estimate the model, to analyze them accurately and to recognize the population of the study. Table 2 illustrates the summary of the descriptive statistics characteristics related to the variables used in this study.

Description: In this study, the variable SL is the dependent variable. OV and HL\_IN are independent variables and ROE and Size, and ROA and LEV are control variables. SL: Debt cost of bank; OV: Over-valuation; HL\_IN: Information asymmetric index; LEV: Financial leverage; ROA: Total Return on Assets; ROE: Return on Equity; Size: Company Size.

Testing the first model of research

In this study, hybrid data is used to test hypotheses. Limer Test is used in combined data method to choose between panel and pooling methods. In this test, we consider two hypotheses:

- $H_1$: intercepts are the same
- $H_2$: intercepts are anisotropy

Based on the results of Table 3 and according to that the probability F-statistic with probability value is zero, the $H_2$ hypothesis is rejected based on intercept and the data panel method is selected. Hausman test is used to select between fixed effects and random effects methods. According to the results of the Hausman test, the probability is more than 5%, therefore, the sample random method should be used in the c regression model (Table 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Possibility</th>
<th>Degrees of freedom</th>
<th>Amount of statistics</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0837</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.225468</td>
<td>F-statistic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Hausman test results.

As mentioned in previous steps, the first hypothesis of this research is:

There is a significant relationship between the over-valuation and the bank’s debt cost of company.

As a result, research hypothesis will be as follows:

- $H_2$: There is a no a signification relationship between the over-valuation and the bank’s debt cost of companies.
- $H_1$: There is a significant relationship between the over-valuation and the bank’s debt cost of company.

Description: In this study, the variable SL is the dependent variable. OV and HL\_IN are independent variables and ROE and Size, and ROA and LEV are control variables. SL: debt cost of bank, OV: over-valuation, HL\_IN: Information asymmetric index, LEV: Financial leverage, ROA: Total Return on Assets, ROE: Return on Equity, SIZE: Company size.

In this study, if the hypothesis $H_2$ is correct, it means that none of the explanatory variables (independent and control) has a significant effect on the changes of the dependent variable (debt cost), and the changes are merely random. According to the results of the main model in Table 5, it can be seen that the P-value is related to F-statistic, which indicates the significance of the total regression equal to 0.000 and show that the model at the level of confidence 99% is significant. Meanwhile, according to the independent variable coefficient (over-valuation), it can be stated that there is a positive and significant relationship between this variable and the bank cost. In addition, according to the results, because the Watson Camera test statistic is 2.052, So, it can be accepted the assumption of the lack of correlation between the remainder. The results presented in Table 5 also show that 0.38% of the changes associated with the dependent variable are explained by independent variables.

Testing the first model of research

As described in the previous steps, the data panel model is used to test the general specification, at Limer f-statistic should be used to verify the panel’s data and then, using the Hausman test, one of two models of fixed or random effects is selected for model estimation. The results of F Limer test are mentioned in Table 6.

Based on the results of Table 6, and according to that the F-statistic is less than 5%, then the $H_2$ hypothesis that the equality of intercepts is rejected and the data panel method is selected. Also, according to the results of two tests in the F test and the Hausman test, the probability is less than 5%, so the fixed effects model should be used in the corresponding regression model. The results are shown in Tables 7 and 8.

Description: in this study, the variable SL is the dependent variable. OV and HL\_IN variables are independent variables and ROE and Size, and ROA and LEV are control variables.

According to the results of the main model test in Table 5, it can be seen that the P-value is related to F-statistic, which indicates the significance of the total regression equal to 0.000 and show that the model at the level of confidence 99% is significant. Meanwhile, according to the independent variable coefficient (over-valuation), it can be stated that there is a positive and significant relationship between this variable and the bank cost. In addition, according to
the results, because the Watson Camera test statistic is 2.152. So, it can be accepted the assumption of the lack of correlation between the remainder. The results presented in Table 6 also show that 0.41% of the changes associated with the dependent variable are explained by independent variables. The results presented in Table 6 also show that 0.41% of the changes associated with the dependent variable are explained by independent variables. In addition, due to the fact that the main model also increases the coefficient of determination and changes in the angle coefficient of the overvalued variable, it can be argued that information asymmetry can be considered as an aggravating factor of the relationship between the two over-valued variables and the cost Debt should be considered in companies with financial health of Tehran Stock Exchange.

Conclusion

In this study, we collect data on 43 healthy companies listed in Tehran Stock Exchange for the period of 5-year from 2011 to 2015. According to the purpose of this study, 215 observations are used in a five-year period. The first hypothesis is considered investigating the relationship between the over-valuation and the debt cost of companies in Iran. Based on the results of two done tests on the first hypothesis regression model (F test and Hausman test), the obtained possibility is less than and more than 5%, respectively. Therefore, panel method and random effects have to be used in the corresponding regression model.

Based on the reported results, the coefficient of determination is 0.41, indicating that approximately 0.41 changes of the dependent variable can be explained with the variables of the model. Meanwhile, according to the fact that the result of Watson’s camera is 2.152, the results of this test have not autocorrelation problem and are reliable.

hypothesis test, the lack of information asymmetry intensifies the relationship between over-valuation and the debt cost of bank. As the findings of Chiou and Shi, the results of this study confirm the positive and significant relationship between over-valuation and debt costs.
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