

IRE-1alpha Signaling as a Key Target for Suppression of Tumor Growth

Oleksandr H. Minchenko^{1*}, Daria O. Tsymbal¹ and Dmytro O. Minchenko^{1,2}

¹Department of Molecular Biology, Palladin Institute of Biochemistry, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Kyiv, Ukraine

²Department of Pediatrics, Bohomolets National Medical University, Kyiv, Ukraine

Abstract

Activation of cell proliferation and surviving as well as an increased angiogenesis are important for tumor growth through signaling pathways of the unfolding protein response/endoplasmic reticulum stress, which is a fundamental phenomenon for secure protection of cells by maintaining the functional integrity of the endoplasmic reticulum. The unfolding protein response aims to resolve stress by expanding the protein-folding apparatus, decreasing the load of newly synthesized proteins, and enhancing the degradation and removal of improperly folded proteins from the endoplasmic reticulum by a process termed ERAD (endoplasmic reticulum-associated degradation). Endoplasmic reticulum stress is mediated by three sensor and signaling pathways (PERK, ATF6, and IRE-1 α), which are important for tumor cell survival and proliferation, but the IRE-1 α signaling is more significant. It is important to note that the aberrant IRE-1 α signaling occurs in various cancers and thus can serve as a target for the development of new treatment of these disorders. The inhibition of IRE-1 α leads to a decrease of tumor growth through suppression of angiogenesis and cell proliferation and activation of tumor suppressor and some apoptotic genes. Data concerning the molecular mechanisms of the effect an inhibition of IRE-1 α signaling enzyme on glioma growth is discussed, including the changes in the expression of genes controlling angiogenesis, cell proliferation, and cell cycle. A better understanding of the biological role of IRE-1 α is necessary to develop novel, original IRE-1 α modulators and help to define the best therapeutic targets for the design of effective antitumor drug.

Keywords: Tumor growth; Endoplasmic reticulum stress; Inhibition of IRE-1 α ; Glioma cells; Angiogenesis; Cell cycle; Proliferation; Tumor suppressors

Introduction

The endoplasmic reticulum is a dynamic intracellular structure with exquisite sensitivity to alterations in homeostasis, and provides stringent quality control systems to ensure that only correctly folded proteins transit to the Golgi and improperly folded proteins are retained and ultimately degraded by a process termed ERAD (endoplasmic reticulum-associated degradation) [1]. The unfolding protein response is triggered by the disruption of endoplasmic reticulum homeostasis, also known as endoplasmic reticulum stress, which is a fundamental phenomenon for secure protection of cells by maintaining the functional integrity of the endoplasmic reticulum [2,3]. Malignant tumors use the unfolding protein response as well as hypoxia-induced signaling pathways to metabolic reprogramming of cancer cells and enhance cell proliferation and surviving under stressful environmental conditions [4-6]. Thus, the rapid growth of solid tumors generates micro-environmental changes in association to nutrient deprivation, hypoxia, and acidosis, which strongly induce cell proliferation and new blood vessels formation mainly through the activation of endoplasmic reticulum stress signalling pathways [5,7].

Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress Signaling Pathways

The unfolded protein response is mediated by at least three sensor and signaling pathways: inositol-requiring enzyme- 1 α (IRE-1 α), activating transcription factor 6 (ATF6), and double stranded RNA activated protein kinase (PKR)-like endoplasmic reticulum kinase (PERK) [3,8]. All three parts of this stress response are integrated and important for tumor growth and cell survival especially under hypoxic and nutrient deprivation conditions. However, endoplasmic reticulum stress signaling is mainly mediated through the IRE-1 α pathway, which is the most evolutionary, conserved and represents a key regulator of the life and death processes [4,5,9]. A better understanding of tumor responses to different signaling pathways of the endoplasmic reticulum

stress is required to elaborate acceptable therapeutical strategies of cell sensibilization, based on the suppression of key survival mechanisms including the IRE-1 α pathway [9,10].

In terms of physiology, the unfolded protein response is important for the control of cell's life and death decisions together with intracellular reduction-oxidation conditions, depending on the duration and severity of the disruption of endoplasmic reticulum homeostasis [11,12]. Thus, reductive and oxidative activation mechanisms of the unfolded protein response include direct interactions of dedicated protein disulfide isomerases with endoplasmic reticulum stress sensors, protein S-nitrosylation and endoplasmic reticulum Ca (2+) efflux that is promoted by reactive oxygen species. Furthermore, cellular oxidant capacities are extensively remodeled downstream of unfolded protein response signals [11].

The IRE-1 α enzyme is localized in the endoplasmic reticulum membrane and its N-terminus as sensor is localized in the lumen of endoplasmic reticulum. It interacts with chaperons, preferentially with BiP/GRP78/HSPA5 [13,14]. This chaperon functions as negative regulator of all sensing and signaling systems of endoplasmic reticulum stress, because it is associated with all three sensors in normal condition. The IRE-1 α enzyme is a bifunctional enzyme which also has cytoplasmic domain for two enzymatic activities: serine/threonine kinase and endoribonuclease [6]. The IRE-1 α protein kinase is activated upon

***Corresponding author:** Oleksandr H. Minchenko, Department of Molecular Biology, Palladin Institute of Biochemistry, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Kyiv, Ukraine, Tel: (044) 234 5974; E-mail: ominchenko@yahoo.com

Received July 20, 2015; **Accepted** August 21, 2015; **Published** August 24, 2015

Citation: Minchenko OH, Tsymbal DO, Minchenko DO (2015) IRE-1alpha Signaling as a Key Target for Suppression of Tumor Growth. Single Cell Biol 4: 118. doi:10.4172/2168-9431.1000118

Copyright: © 2015 Minchenko OH, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

induction of the endoplasmic reticulum stress and autophosphorylates IRE-1 α as well as controls the expression of some stress-responsive genes [10,15]. Thus, IRE-1 α -mediated production of epiregulin (EREG) did not depend on IRE-1 α endoribonuclease domain, as neither the selective dominant-negative invalidation of the RNase activity (IRE-1 α kinase active) nor the siRNA-mediated knockdown of XBP1 had significant effect on EREG expression [10]. This results in the activation and dimerization of IRE-1 α in the endoplasmic reticulum membrane as well as in the activation of endoribonuclease. The main function of IRE-1 α endoribonuclease is alternative splicing of XBP1 pre-mRNA by excision of 26 bp fragment from the coding part. Resulting alternative splice variant of XBP1 encodes a larger transcription factor with modified C-terminus, which is responsible for regulation of the expression of numerous genes encoded proteins for protein folding and degradation of improperly folded proteins and affects broad aspects of cell fate and the metabolism of proteins, amino acids and lipids [16-18].

The activity of XBP1 splice variant is regulated by kinases and by interaction with other transcription factors [19]. The IRE-1 α endoribonuclease is also responsible for selective degradation of some mRNA upon endoplasmic reticulum stress conditions by a process termed RIDD (regulated IRE-1 α -dependent decay of mRNA) [20-22]. It is possible that this function of IRE-1 α endoribonuclease is very important in selective suppression of some signaling pathways in cancer cells. Moreover, this endoribonuclease also causes endonucleolytic decay of chaperones, but the high level of chaperone expression in malignant tumor cells is considerably responsible for these cells surviving through suppression of apoptosis [23,24].

At the same time, there is data that kinase of IRE-1 α enzyme is not obligatory necessary for endoribonuclease activity, because inhibition of kinase by specific inhibitor activates endoribonuclease to confer cytoprotection against endoplasmic reticulum stress [25]. This data is important for clarification of functional integrity of IRE-1 α enzyme and a significance of its different enzymatic activities in unfolded protein response. Furthermore, the IRE-1 α enzyme has also an important additional function, because peptides derived from this enzyme can modulate activity of IRE-1 α and protect cells from endoplasmic reticulum stress [26]. Therefore, the endoplasmic reticulum stress is a regulatory mechanism that allows cells to adapt to a series of metabolic, redox, and other environmental changes as well as directly influences life/death decisions at a cellular level.

Inhibition of IRE-1 α Enzyme Suppresses Glioma Growth

The inhibition of IRE-1 α in U87 glioma cells by dominant-negative construct of IRE-1 α (dn-IRE1) has been shown to result in a significant anti-proliferative effect in glioma growth through suppression of angiogenesis and cell proliferation [10,27,28]. This is due to down-regulation of prevalent pro-angiogenic factors and up-regulation of anti-angiogenic genes both *in vitro* and in the chorio-allantoic membrane (CAM) model, as well as in mice engrafted intracerebrally with U87 glioma cell clones. It was shown that A549/8 and U87 cancer cells expressing a dominant-negative IRE-1 α transgene as well as IRE-1 α -knockout mouse embryonic fibroblasts were unable to trigger vascular endothelial growth factor-A (VEGF-A) up-regulation upon either oxygen or glucose deprivation [27,28]. This data suggests an essential role of IRE-1 α -dependent signaling pathways in response to ischemia identifying this protein as a potential therapeutic target for control of both the angiogenic switch and tumor development. Thus, IRE-1 α is a common determinant linking hypoxia and unfolded protein responses to the up-regulation of VEGF-A and other pro-angiogenic as well as pro-proliferative factors [10,28-30].

Therefore, in a human glioma model, inhibition of IRE-1 α by stable overexpression of dn-IRE1 correlates with down-regulation of different pro-angiogenic factors including interleukins IL-1 β , IL-6, and IL-8 and significant up-regulation of anti-angiogenic factors such as SPARC, CTGF, HSPG2, decorin, thrombospondin-1, and several other extracellular matrix proteins functionally linked to mesenchymal differentiation and glioma invasiveness [28]. These changes were correlated with *in vivo* reduction of angiogenesis and blood perfusion, a decreased growth rate, and blood vessel cooption both in the chick chorio-allantoic membrane assay and in the mouse orthotopic brain model [28]. Interestingly enough, this phenotypic change is consistently associated with increased overall survival in glioma-implanted recipient mice and ectopic expression of IL-6 in IRE-1 α -deficient tumors restored angiogenesis but did not reverse the mesenchymal/infiltrative cell phenotype [28]. At the same time, an angiogenesis is a complex network and is regulated by hundreds of pro-angiogenic and anti-angiogenic factors. Thus, CD138-purified myeloma cells from 300 untreated patients do not show a significantly higher median number of expressed pro-angiogenic or anti-angiogenic genes, but almost all of these myeloma cell samples aberrantly express at least one of the angiogenic factors: HGF (hepatocyte growth factor), IL-15 (interleukin 15), ANG (angiogenin), FNFSF13/APRIL (tumor necrosis factor (ligand) superfamily member 13/a proliferation-inducing ligand), CTGF (connective tissue growth factor) or TGFA (transforming growth factor α) [31].

It was recently shown that epidermal growth factor (EGF) receptor ligand epiregulin contribute to the development of malignant glioma in relation to the activity of the unfolded protein sensor IRE-1 α through EGF receptor ErbB1/HER1 [10]. Thus, the high-expression rate of EREG in U87 cells was therefore linked to IRE-1 α , because its inhibition by dn-IRE-1 α dramatically reduced EREG expression in both cell culture and in human xenograft tumor models as well as suppressed glioma cell proliferation. Moreover, a stimulatory autocrine loop mediated by EREG was evidenced by the decrease in cell proliferation using specific blocking antibodies directed against either ErbB1 (cetuximab) or EREG itself [10].

In addition, IRE-1 α -mediated production of EREG did not depend on IRE-1 α endoribonuclease domain, as neither the selective dominant-negative invalidation of the RNase activity by dn-IRE-1 α (kinase of IRE-1 α is active) nor the siRNA-mediated knockdown of XBP1 had significant effect on EREG expression [10]. Finally, chemical inhibition of c-Jun N-terminal kinases (JNK) by the SP600125 compound reduced the ability of U87 cells to express EREG, demonstrating a link between the growth factor production and JNK activation under the dependence of signaling enzyme IRE-1 α . Noting that EGF receptor also suppresses the maturation of specific tumor-suppressor-like miRNAs in response to hypoxic stress through phosphorylation of AGO2 [32].

Recently it was shown that the expression of pro-proliferative transcription factors such as E2F8, EPAS1, ATF3, FOXF1, and HOXC6 is down-regulated in U87 glioma cells after inhibition of IRE-1 α ; however, transcription repressor TBX3 is increased in these cells [33]. Moreover, kinase and endonuclease deficient IRE-1 α in glioma cells had a less profound effect on the expression of E2F8, HOXC6, and TBX3 genes than the blockade of the endoribonuclease activity of IRE-1 α alone. This data also has shown the complex interaction between two enzymatic activities of IRE-1 α . At the same time, inhibition of only endoribonuclease of IRE-1 α leads to the up-regulation of ATF3 and FOXF1 gene expressions, while kinase and endonuclease deficient IRE-1 α suppresses these gene transcripts. Thus, inhibition

of IRE-1 α , especially only its endoribonuclease activity, correlates with deregulation of proliferation related genes and thus slower cell proliferation and tumor growth [28,33]. Moreover, the blockade of both enzymatic activities of IRE-1 α (kinase and endoribonuclease) in glioma cells led to a significant down-regulation of insulin-like growth factor binding proteins (IGFBP1, IGFBP2, and IGFBP3) gene expressions and strong up-regulation of HTRA1 gene [34]. At the same time, the inhibition of IRE-1 α endoribonuclease significantly increased the expression of IGFBP1, IGFBP2, and HTRA1/PRSS11 genes and did not affect the IGFBP3 gene expression. It is interesting to note that the HtrA protein family combines chaperone and protease activities and is essential for protein quality control in many organisms [35]. Protease HTRA1 has IGF binding domain and possibly controls the level and functional activity of IGFs and IGF binding proteins as well as several other proteins, which control cell proliferation through the modulation of extracellular matrix protein [36,37]. These results demonstrate the dependence of insulin-like growth binding proteins and HTRA1 gene expressions in U87 glioma cells on IRE-1 α signaling enzyme function, indicating its participation in the regulation of metabolic and proliferative processes via IGF/INS receptors.

Inhibition of IRE-1 α and Cell Cycle Regulation

Furthermore, the IRE-1 α arm of unfolded protein response controls cell cycle gene expressions and inhibition of IRE-1 α by dn-IRE1 also significantly affects the expression of numerous genes, which participate in cell cycle regulation and cell proliferation [38-43]. Thus, an inhibition of the IRE-1 α down-regulates the expression of cyclin D1, which forms a complex with, and functions as a regulatory subunit of cyclin-dependent kinases 4 or 6, whose activity is required for cell cycle G1/S transition and may contribute to tumorigenesis, and up-regulates the expression of cyclin G2, which appears to be a negative cell-cycle regulator in some cancers [39,40,44]. The expression of growth arrest-specific genes GAS1 and GAS6 is strongly up-regulated in glioma cells without IRE-1 α activity and down-regulated upon hypoxia [39]. Thus, the suppressive effect of IRE-1 α blockade on cell proliferation and tumor growth [10,28] possibly mediated by down-regulation of pro-proliferative cyclin D1 and up-regulation of a negative cell-cycle regulator cyclin G2 as well as growth arrest-specific genes GAS1 and GAS6.

There is also data that inhibition of the IRE-1 α enzyme down-regulates PLK1 (POLO-like kinase 1) and up-regulates PLK2 and PLK4 gene expressions in glioma cells [38]. Moreover, these changes in PLK gene expressions are possibly mediated by IRE-1 α kinase, because inhibition of IRE-1 α endoribonuclease does not change significantly the expression of these genes in U87 glioma cells [38]. It was shown that POLO-like kinases play an important role in cell cycle regulation and participate in tumorigenesis, because PLK1 is highly expressed in a broad spectrum of human tumors, strongly promotes progression of the cell cycle and is responsible for aggressive proliferation of tumor cells [45]. Thus, down-regulation of PLK1 gene expression in glioma cells without IRE-1 α enzyme function possibly contributes to suppression of glioma cell proliferation [10,28]. This data correlates to results Harris et al. [46] that polo-like kinase 1 inhibition suppresses medulloblastoma cell growth.

In conclusion, the inhibition of IRE-1 α coordinately regulates genes involved in tumor growth, lowering expression levels of pro-proliferative and pro-angiogenic and up-regulating the expression of anti-proliferative genes. This data should help to define the best therapeutic targets for the design of specific inhibitors that could act as potent antitumor drugs by applying selected changes in IRE-1 α signaling pathway.

References

1. Bravo R, Parra V, Gatica D, Rodríguez AE, Torrealba N, et al. (2013) Endoplasmic reticulum and the unfolded protein response: dynamics and metabolic integration. *Int Rev Cell Mol Biol* 301: 215-290.
2. Kaufman RJ, Back SH, Song B, Han J, Hassler J (2010) The unfolded protein response is required to maintain the integrity of the endoplasmic reticulum prevent oxidative stress and preserve differentiation in beta-cells. *Diabetes obesity & metabolism* 12: 99-107.
3. Schröder M (2008) Endoplasmic reticulum stress responses. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 65: 862-894.
4. Wang S and Kaufman RJ (2012) The impact of the unfolded protein response on human disease. *J Cell Biol* 197: 857-67.
5. Moenner M, Pluquet O, Bouchecareilh M, Chevet E (2007) Integrated endoplasmic reticulum stress responses in cancer. *Cancer Res* 67: 10631-10634.
6. Wu J and Kaufman RJ (2006) From acute ER stress to physiological roles of the Unfolded Protein Response. *Cell Death Differ* 13: 374-84.
7. Woehlbier U and Hetz C (2011) Modulating stress responses by the UPRosome: a matter of life and death. *Trends Biochem Sci* 36: 329-337.
8. Marciniak SJ and Ron D (2006) Endoplasmic reticulum stress signaling in disease. *Physiol Rev* 86: 1133-1149.
9. Manié SN, Lebeau J, Chevet E (2014) Cellular mechanisms of endoplasmic reticulum stress signaling in health and disease. 3. Orchestrating the unfolded protein response in oncogenesis: an update. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 307: C901-907.
10. Auf G, Jabouille A, Delugin M, Guérit S, Pineau R, et al. (2013) High epiregulin expression in human U87 glioma cells relies on IRE1 α and promotes autocrine growth through EGF receptor. *BMC Cancer* 13: 597.
11. Eletto D, Chevet E, Argon Y, Appenzeller-Herzog C (2014) Redox controls UPR to control redox. *J Cell Sci* 127: 3649-58.
12. Yuzefovych LV, Musiyenko SI, Wilson GL, Rachek LI (2013) Mitochondrial DNA damage and dysfunction, and oxidative stress are associated with endoplasmic reticulum stress, protein degradation and apoptosis in high fat diet-induced insulin resistance mice. *PLoS One* 8: e54059.
13. Bertolotti A, Zhang Y, Hendershot LM, Harding HP, Ron D (2000) Dynamic interaction of BiP and ER stress transducers in the unfolded-protein response. *Nat Cell Biol* 2: 326-32.
14. Backer MV, Backer JM, Chinnaiyan P (2011) Targeting the unfolded protein response in cancer therapy. *Methods Enzymol* 491: 37-56.
15. Korennykh AV, Egea PF, Korostelev AA, Finer-Moore J, Zhang C, et al. (2009) The unfolded protein response signals through high-order assembly of Ire1. *Nature* 457: 687-693.
16. Lee AH, Iwakoshi NN, Glimcher LH (2003) XBP-1 regulates a subset of endoplasmic reticulum resident chaperone genes in the unfolded protein response. *Mol Cell Biol* 23: 7448-7459.
17. Ron D and Walter P (2007) Signal integration in the endoplasmic reticulum unfolded protein response. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 8: 519-529.
18. Acosta-Alvear D, Zhou Y, Blais A, Tsikitis M, Lents NH, et al. (2007) XBP1 controls diverse cell type- and condition-specific transcriptional regulatory networks. *Molecular Cell* 27: 53-66.
19. Park SW, Zhou Y, Lee J, Lu A, Sun C, et al. (2010) The regulatory subunits of PI3K, p85 α and p85 β , interact with XBP-1 and increase its nuclear translocation. *Nat Med* 16: 429-437.
20. Maurel M, Chevet E, Tavernier J, Gerlo S (2014) Getting RIDD of RNA: IRE1 in cell fate regulation. *Trends Biochem Sci* 39: 245-254.
21. Hollien J, Lin JH, Li H, Stevens N, Walter P, et al. (2009) Regulated Ire1-dependent decay of messenger RNAs in mammalian cells. *J Cell Biol* 186: 323-331.
22. Pluquet O, Dejeans N, Bouchecareilh M, Lhomond S, Pineau R, et al. (2013) Posttranscriptional regulation of PER1 underlies the oncogenic function of IRE α . *Cancer Res* 73: 4732-4743.
23. Backer MV, Backer JM, Chinnaiyan P (2011) Targeting the unfolded protein response in cancer therapy. *Methods Enzymol* 491: 37-56.

24. Lee AS (2007) GRP78 induction in cancer: therapeutic and prognostic implications. *Cancer Res* 67: 3496-3499.
25. Han D, Lerner AG, Vande Walle L, Upton JP, Xu W, et al. (2009) IRE1alpha kinase activation modes control alternate endoribonuclease outputs to determine divergent cell fates. *Cell* 138: 562-575.
26. Bouchecareilh M, Higa A, Fribourg S, Moenner M, Chevet E (2011) Peptides derived from the bifunctional kinase/RNase enzyme IRE1 α modulate IRE1 α activity and protect cells from endoplasmic reticulum stress. *FASEB J* 25: 3115-3129.
27. Drogat B, Auguste P, Nguyen DT, Bouchecareilh M, Pineau R, et al. (2007) IRE1 signaling is essential for ischemia-induced vascular endothelial growth factor-A expression and contributes to angiogenesis and tumor growth in vivo. *Cancer Res* 67: 6700-6707.
28. Auf G, Jabouille A, Guerit S, Pineau R, Delugin M, et al. (2010) Inositol-requiring enzyme 1alpha is a key regulator of angiogenesis and invasion in malignant glioma. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 107: 15553-15558.
29. Minchenko DO, Kubajchuk KI, Ratushna OO, Komisarenko SV, Minchenko OH (2012) The effect of hypoxia and ischemic condition on the expression of VEGF genes in glioma U87 cells is dependent from IRE1 knockdown. *Adv Biol Chem* 2: 198-206.
30. Pereira ER, Liao N, Neale GA, Hendershot LM (2010) Transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulation of proangiogenic factors by the unfolded protein response. *PLoS One* 5: e12521.
31. Hose D, Moreaux J, Meissner T, Seckinger A, Goldschmidt H, et al. (2009) Induction of angiogenesis by normal and malignant plasma cells. *Blood* 114: 128-143.
32. Shen J, Xia W, Khotskaya YB, Huo L, Nakanishi K, et al. (2013) EGFR modulates microRNA maturation in response to hypoxia through phosphorylation of AGO2. *Nature* 497: 383-387.
33. Minchenko OH, Tsybmal DO, Moenner M, Minchenko DO, Kovalevska OV, et al. (2015) Inhibition of the endoribonuclease of ERN1 signaling enzyme affects the expression of proliferation-related genes in U87 glioma cells. *Endoplasm Reticul Stress Dis* 2: 18-29.
34. Minchenko DO, Kharkova AP, Tsybmal DO, Karbovskiy LL, Minchenko OH (2015) Expression of insulin-like growth factor binding protein genes and its hypoxic regulation in U87 glioma cells depends on ERN1 mediated signaling pathway of endoplasmic reticulum stress. *Endocr Regul* 49: 73-83.
35. Malet H, Canellas F, Sawa J, Yan J, Thalassinou K, et al. (2012) Newly folded substrates inside the molecular cage of the HtrA chaperone DegQ. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 19: 152-157.
36. Beaufort N, Scharrer E, Kremmer E, Lux V, Ehrmann M, et al. (2014) Cerebral small vessel disease-related protease HtrA1 processes latent TGF- β binding protein 1 and facilitates TGF- β signaling. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 111: 16496-16501.
37. Nigro A, Menon R, Bergamaschi A, Clovis YM, Baldi A, et al. (2012) MiR-30e and miR-181d control radial glia cell proliferation via HtrA1 modulation. *Cell Death Dis* 3: e360.
38. Thorpe JA and Schwarze SR (2010) IRE1alpha controls cyclin A1 expression and promotes cell proliferation through XBP-1. *Cell Stress Chaperones* 15: 497-508.
39. Minchenko D, Hubenya O, Terletsky B, Kuznetsova A, Moenner M, et al. (2010) Blockade of the endoplasmic reticulum stress sensor inositol requiring enzyme-1 changes the expression of cyclin and growth arrest-specific genes in glioma cells. *Annales Universitatis Mariae Curie-Sklodowska* 23: 179-184.
40. Minchenko DO, Hubenya OV, Terletsky BM, Moenner M, Minchenko OH (2011) Effect of hypoxia, glutamine and glucose deprivation on the expression of cyclin and cyclin-dependent kinase genes in glioma cell line U87 and its subline with suppressed activity of signaling enzyme endoplasmic reticulum-nuclei-1. *Ukr Biokhim Zh* 83: 18-29.
41. Bakalets T, Minchenko D, Danilovskiy S, Minchenko O (2013) Expression of genes of the protein kinase PLK family in U87 glioma cells with suppressed function of endoplasmic reticulum stress signaling enzyme ERN1. *Visnyk Taras Shevchenko Kyiv National Univ Biology* 63: 7-13.
42. Minchenko DO, Kharkova AP, Hubenya OV, Minchenko OH (2013) Insulin receptor, IRS1, IRS2, INSIG1, INSIG2, RRAD, and BAIAP2 gene expressions in glioma U87 cells with ERN1 loss of function: effect of hypoxia and glutamine or glucose deprivation. *Endocr Regul* 47: 15-26.
43. Minchenko DO, Karbovskiy LL, Danilovskiy SV, Moenner M, Minchenko OH (2012) Effect of hypoxia and glutamine or glucose deprivation on the expression of retinoblastoma and retinoblastoma-related genes in ERN1 knockdown glioma U87 cell line. *Am J Mol Biol* 2: 21-31.
44. Aggarwal P, Vaites LP, Kim JK, Mellert H, Gurung B, et al. (2010) Nuclear cyclin D1/CDK4 kinase regulates CUL4 expression and triggers neoplastic growth via activation of the PRMT5 methyltransferase. *Cancer Cell* 18: 329-40.
45. Francescangeli F, Patrizii M, Signore M, Federici G, Di FS, et al. (2012) Proliferation state and polo-like kinase1 dependence of tumorigenic colon cancer cells. *Stem Cells* 30: 1819-1830.
46. Harris PS, Venkataraman S, Alimova I, Birks DK, Donson AM, et al. (2012) Polo-like kinase 1 (PLK1) inhibition suppresses cell growth and enhances radiation sensitivity in medulloblastoma cells. *BMC Cancer* 12: 80.

Citation: Tripathi R, Jaiswal N, Sharma B, Malhotra SK (2015) Helminth Infections Mediated DNA Damage: Mechanisms and Consequences. *Single Cell Biol* 4: 118. doi:10.4172/2168-9431.1000118

OMICS International: Publication Benefits & Features

Unique features:

- Increased global visibility of articles through worldwide distribution and indexing
- Showcasing recent research output in a timely and updated manner
- Special issues on the current trends of scientific research

Special features:

- 700 Open Access Journals
- 50,000 editorial team
- Rapid review process
- Quality and quick editorial, review and publication processing
- Indexing at PubMed (partial), Scopus, DOAJ, EBSCO, Index Copernicus and Google Scholar etc
- Sharing Option: Social Networking Enabled
- Authors, Reviewers and Editors rewarded with online Scientific Credits
- Better discount for your subsequent articles

Submit your manuscript at: <http://www.omicsgroup.org/journals/submission/>