Is Routine Brain CT Scan, Performed for Early Follow Up in Head Trauma Patients with GCS 14-15, Always Justified?
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Abstract

Hypothesis: Routine repeat head Computed Tomography (CT) for patients with traumatic head injury, initially presenting with GCS of 14-15, does not change therapeutic policy in these trauma patients.

Methods: This was a retrospective cohort study of trauma patients with Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) of 14-15 on admission, suffering from different types of intracranial bleeding who were admitted for observation in a level II trauma center. The size of hemATOMa on initial head CT was measured and compared to findings of repeat CT performed following 12hours. Patients were evaluated as to changes in neurologic status and treatment.

Results: 68 patients treated over a period of 5 years were evaluated. Forty two (61.8%) were male and 24 (38.2%) were female. Mean age was 56.2 years and mean ISS score was 12 ± 5.1. Initial GCS was 15 in 51 patients and 14 in 15 other patients. CT scan revealed 7 epidural hematomas, 20 subdural hematomas, twenty eight intraparenchymal bleeding, and 13 subcortical hemorrhages. Repeat CT revealed an increase in size of the hematoma in 8 patients. None of these patients suffered from clinical deterioration. Repeat CT in 12 patients who’s GCS deteriorated, did not show any significant changes on the repeat CT scan. None of the patients underwent intervention after a routine repeat CT.

Conclusions: Routine repeat CT scan of head, performed 12 hours after the initial scan did not change the therapeutic policy in GCS 14-15 head trauma patients. The need for mandatory CT of head in mild traumatic brain injury should be questioned.
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Introduction

Mild Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) is common, with an estimated incidence between 100-300 cases per 100,000 people in the Western world [1]. Over 1.7 million Americans present to emergency departments with TBI where, overall, in the United States alone, it results in 52000 deaths and 275000 hospital admissions annually and is a contributing factor in one-third of all injury-related deaths [2]. Of all TBI patients, >80% of cases could be classified as Minimal Head Injuries, (MHI) where there may or may not be loss of consciousness and/or post-traumatic retrograde amnesia but where the presenting Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) exceeds 12 [3,4]. When patients presenting with MHI have an Intracranial Hemorrhage (ICH) detected by initial head CT, a standard of care in many institutions is to obtain a second follow-up CT scan within 24 hours (a repeat CT-RCT) in order to define the need for neurosurgical intervention or patient transfer to a neurosurgical unit. These decisions have hitherto largely been made independently of the patient’s clinical neurological status. This protocol has been designed to rule out progression of an intracranial bleed and to evaluate the manageable aspects of secondary brain injury. Although the performance of an RCT in MHI with attendant ICH is well established, it has been little tested [5]. Assessment of the clinical value of this protocol will assist in the timely transfer of high risk cases and will better direct the discharge of TBI patients as well as reduce hospital costs and diminish unwarranted radiation exposure [6-8].

Guidelines have been published for the management of moderate TBI, [9] where it is recognized that accurate, more widely available neuroimaging is an integral tool for patients in the diagnosis of life-threatening injuries as well as to define prognosis and follow-up. There are both retrospective and prospective studies which have examined the clinical benefit of RCT in this setting, where most of the available literature has shown little advantage for those patients where the Neurological Examination (NE) is normal on admission [10-16]. By contrast, nearly one-quarter of patients with MHI are clinically neurologically abnormal on admission [10], although Sifri et al. have recently reported that no patients with a persistently abnormal NE had a clinically beneficial RCT which changed management [16]. In their study of 107 patients, only 6 patients (6.5%) with an acutely deteriorating NE underwent an RCT which actually directed a change of management. The aims of this study were to evaluate the clinical value of RCT in patients initially presenting with an MHI, (presentation GCS
enlargement of the measurable dimensions of the hematoma on RCT and no patient showed GCS deterioration during follow-up.

Table 3 shows 20 patients presenting with subdural hematomas. In this group, the mean ISS was 15.3 ± 4. Three patients showed enlargement of the measurable dimensions of the hematoma on RCT with none of these 3 showing GCS deterioration during follow-up. Four other patients showed minor GCS deterioration during follow-up examination.

Table 4 shows 13 patients presenting with subarachnoid hemorrhage. In this group, the mean ISS was 15 ± 2. Three patients showed enlargement of the measurable dimensions of the hemorrhagic area on RCT with none of these 3 (and no other patient in this group) showing GCS deterioration during follow-up. Overall, there was progression of the extent of intracranial hemorrhage in 8 (15%) cases.

There was no correlation between any changes in the GCS and variations in the extent of hemorrhage (r=-0.173). Of the total patient group, there was mild clinical GCS deterioration in 12 cases where there was no alteration on RCT in hemorrhagic extent. By contrast, 8 patients showed enlargement on RCT of their hemorrhage although none had clinical deterioration of their NE. Of the total, 4 patients received therapeutic changes during the follow-up period. In one case with a subdural hematoma, the GCS reduced from 14 to 12, prompting an earlier RCT whereas in another case with a subarachnoid hemorrhage, expansion of the hemorrhagic area resulted in transfer to a neurosurgical unit without definitive change in the neurologic status or outcome. Two other patients, (both with extradural hematomas and coincident contusion) were also transferred as part of a departmental protocol for this type of ICH. In both cases, there was no change in

### Table 1: 28 patients with intraparenchimal hemorrhage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hemorrhage</th>
<th>No. patients (%) from subgroup</th>
<th>No. patients deterioration of GCS</th>
<th>No. patients transferred to Neurosurgery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase in size</td>
<td>1 (3%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No change</td>
<td>10 (36%)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease in size</td>
<td>17 (61%)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: 7 patients presenting with epidural hematoma.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hemorrhage</th>
<th>No. patients (%) from subgroup</th>
<th>No. patients deterioration of GCS</th>
<th>No. patients transferred to Neurosurgery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase in size</td>
<td>1 (14%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No change</td>
<td>2 (29%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease in size</td>
<td>4 (57%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3: 20 patients presenting with subdural hematomas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hemorrhage</th>
<th>No. patients (%) from subgroup</th>
<th>No. patients deterioration of GCS</th>
<th>No. patients transferred to Neurosurgery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase in size</td>
<td>3 (15%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No change</td>
<td>1 (5%)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease in size</td>
<td>16 (80%)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4: 13 patients presenting with subarachnoid hemorrhoma.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hemorrhage</th>
<th>No. patients (%) from subgroup</th>
<th>No. patients deterioration of GCS</th>
<th>No. patients transferred to Neurosurgery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase in size</td>
<td>3 (23%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No change</td>
<td>3 (23%)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease in size</td>
<td>7 (54%)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
hemorrhagic extent on RCT or in the GCS on NE. None of these 4 patients required neurosurgical intervention.

Discussion

The importance in clinical management of the initial head CT scan in those patients presenting with Minimal Head Injury (MHI) is well established [10,17], however, there is no real consensus regarding the clinical utility of Repeat CT (RCT) in this patient population. Our data would suggest that patients with MHI and ICH who present with a normal Neurological Examination (NE) on admission without acute deterioration during follow-up, do not require an RCT and have no need for neurosurgical intervention regardless of the type of intracranial bleeding. There was from our small study, no clear correlation between changes in the extent of hemorrhage on RCT and neurological status, although it is accepted that the admission GCS criteria of the study results in a bias towards less severe injuries and a non-interventional outcome.

Our data where patients present with an essentially normal NE, (with a MHI and a demonstrated ICH), are in agreement with that previously reported. [11,12]. The literature concerning the usefulness of an RCT in similar patients, but where there is an abnormal presenting NE, is more complicated where neurosurgical intervention is required in 6% of such cases but only in those where there is an acute neurological deterioration [16]. Patients with a persistently abnormal NE (without deterioration from a baseline abnormality), in this study by Sifri et al. [16] did not benefit from a routine RCT. Our data showed no correlation in any type of hemorrhage (or its evolution) with deterioration in the neurological status. This finding is also in agreement with that of Velmahos et al. [14] who reported the association between neurosurgical intervention and acute clinical neurologic deterioration, where RCT performed within a mean of 13 hours after admission showed a worsening of the brain lesion in 21% of cases. In this study, in the few patients where there was neurosurgical intervention (2 craniotomies and hematoma evacuations and 2 placements of an ICP monitor), the clinical deterioration preceded the RCT in each case. Similar findings have also been reported by Brown et al. [15] where changes in NE predated investigation and intervention in patients presenting with traumatic brain injury, regardless of injury severity.

These findings have also been emphasized in a recent systematic review of the available literature by Stippel et al. [18]. In this review, routine RCT resulted in a neurosurgical intervention rate in only 0.7% of cases (11/1547 patients) compared with an intervention rate of 42.9% (24/56 patients) where there was an acute clinical neurological deterioration. The policy of any unit towards invasive ICP monitoring will define the intervention rate and a more liberal approach towards placement of ICP monitors will obviate the value of routine RCT in such patients. The findings for patients with MHI also reflect similar reports which have defined the utility of RCT in moderate and severe traumatic brain injury [19,20]. The implication from this data is that significant ICH, even if changing in the absence of a change in NE does not require further imaging or neurosurgical transfer. This finding is supported by the data from Sifri et al. [16] who showed a Negative Predictive Value (NPV) for neurosurgical intervention of a serially non-deteriorating NE of 100% where conversely, the Positive Predictive Value (PPV) of NE and RCT for intervention were both very low (29% and 9.6%, respectively).

There are several limitations to our study and its interpretation based around its small group size, its retrospective design, the biases of patient inclusion and exclusion criteria and the lack of standardization and blinding of both the initial and the follow-up CT examinations. The inclusion of MHI is a bias towards non-intervention and reduces the potential clinical value of RCT when compared with those patients presenting with more severe TBI. In this respect, there is greater consensus in what is agreed to be a normal NE, however, better standardization of the presently subjective criteria representing acute neurological deterioration is still required [13]. It may be that further subpopulation analysis will define specialized risk groups where Kaups et al. have shown in severe brain injury that RCT alone will generally not direct neurosurgical intervention [21]. Here, other factors such as the presence of an underlying coagulopathy [22], older age [14, 23] and an initial multiplicity of intracranial lesions could potentially identify patients at higher risk for neurosurgical treatment. In order to answer this question, larger studies are required with prospective randomization of patients to either routine or selective clinically-based RCT which compare the PPV and the NPV for serial NE and/or RCT with the need for neurosurgical intervention. Equally, the known natural history of MHI will influence the clinical value and timing of an RCT where it has been shown that two-thirds of those cases which deteriorate do so within 6 hours of admission [16] and where almost all cases of ICH cease progression by 48 hours [24]. Further research is needed concerning the timing of RCT in MHI where it potentially could be used as a factor which might unmask radiologic progression prior to clinical deterioration.

The clinical recommendation not to perform routine RCT in these cases, but rather to closely monitor and document neurologic status, has important implications, reducing the risks associated with patient transport, [6] the patient radiation exposure, [8] hospital costs, the overall length of hospital stay, the length of ICU stay and the impact on delays for other patients scheduled for CT examinations. For those patients undergoing transfer, the risks of complications are considerable; the most common of which are hemodynamic instability, raised ICP, desaturation and agitation [19]. The incorporation within larger analyses of different types of ICH will also better define their natural history and the need for further early neuro imaging [25]. Here, it is intuitive that patients with an epidural hematoma or those with a skull fracture traversing the middle meningeal artery territory or that of a major venous sinus should benefit from an RCT, where there is a lower threshold for surgical intervention. The small number of such cases in our study did not permit sufficient separate analysis of this group where hemorrhagic enlargement has been previously reported in the face of clinical stability [26]. The site of ICH will also influence the predicted timing of both an RCT and of neurological decline, where Fainardi et al. have shown that the full extent of a post-traumatic subarachnoid hemorrhage is often missed on the first CT scan and that classification of its severity takes time to evolve [27].

In this respect, Velmahos et al. have shown that a short interval (<90 minutes) between admission and the initial head CT was an independent predictor of a worsening finding on RCT [14]. Knowledge concerning the differential evolution times to the final hematoma size will permit better definition of appropriate observation periods in patients with mild complicated traumatic brain injury if a policy for routine RCT in such cases is abandoned [28]. This approach will remain controversial, where Bee et al. have published data with contradictory recommendations, somewhat dependent upon the initial type of ICH and where 5/207 patients in their study admitted with MHI and ICH, (all with subdural hematomas), underwent craniotomies which were clinically silent but where there was radiological progression [29].

In summary, the clinical utility of a routine early RCT in patients presenting with MHI and ICH is unproven, where close serial NE
acts as the main predictive factor for repeat neuroimaging and for neurosurgical intervention. Improved understanding of the risk factors for neurological decline in these patients will direct appropriate neurosurgical consultation [30] and is likely to be more cost-effective, where the economic benefit of RCT in MHI cases diminishes with increasing patient age [31]. The decisions made for routine post-admission neuroimaging in MHI have important medicolegal significance, where RCT is considered a standard care even when it may not be medically indicated and where presently, such a recommendation is still viewed with caution. These recommendations will not influence the use of routine RCT in patients with more severe TBI where regular neuroimaging is needed in intubated patients and those with a low GCS and where even ICP monitoring may miss an evolving focal process requiring a craniotomy. As a follow-up (or derivative) test, the use of an RCT will also be affected by over- or underuse of initial CT scanning in the emergency room in patients presenting with a minor head injury [32].

Conclusions

Repeat CT of most head injured patients with GCS of 14-15, suffering from intracranial traumatic lesion, will not demonstrate significant changes in the size of intracranial hematoma.

Increase in hematoma size is not a prognostic factor of neurological deterioration.

Routine repeat CT scan may not be justified in head trauma patients with GCS 14-15 on admission.

Large prospective studies are needed to consolidate these conclusions.
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