Isolated Celiac Trunk Dissection after Cardiac Surgery

Francesco Terrieri1, Marzia Cottini2*, Marco Picichè2, Stefano Rausel2 and Cesare Beghi1

1Cardiac Surgery Unit and Heart Transplantation Centre, Department of Heart and Vessels, “S. Camillo-Forlanini” Hospital, Italy
2Cardiac Surgery Unit, Department of Cardiac Surgery, University Hospital Circolo Fondazione Macchi, Italy

Abstract
The most catastrophic postoperative gastrointestinal complication in cardiac surgery is mesenteric ischemia, which is frequently fatal. This may result from atheroembolization, heparin-induced thrombocytopenia or hyperperfusion. We reported the case of a 72-year-old man undergone to coronary artery bypass and aortic valve replacement, presented isolated celiac trunk dissection after surgery. CT scan described a dissection flap of the first part of celiac trunk possible due to ulcerative plaque without note of anterograde or retrograde development. Considering patient's hemodynamic, respiratory and general trend, we decided to choose conservative management. The sequent postoperative period was uneventfully, the patient was hemodynamically stable and he was discharged at the 20th postoperative day. The atheroembolization was a life-threatening problem of all vascular districts: a correct CPB pressure and postoperative BP monitoring could help to reduce the incidence of its complications.
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Introduction
Spontaneous dissection of the superior mesenteric and inferior mesenteric arteries and of the celiac artery is uncommon occurrence [1]. Celiac artery dissection (CTD) is rare, and can present with intimal flap, mural thrombus or infiltration of fat around the artery. The causes of CTD are several and different: hypertension, arteriosclerosis, degeneration of the arterial wall, trauma, pregnancy, and arteriopathy, iatrogenic and unknown ones. The evolution of CTD isn’t well known: it can be associated with complications like aneurysm formation or occlusion, splenic infarction, intraperitoneal hemorrhage and the intestinal ischemia. There are several management modalities applied by the physicians on a case-by-case basis like endovascular repair or interventional radiological approach [2] or conservative treatment options by anticoagulants and anti-platelets besides antihypertensive drug are also applied. The choice of CTD isn’t univocal and well-defined: according to the reported scientific paper documented CTD from 1959, the proper treatment is related to celiac trunk lesion, celiac trunk complication and patient’s clinical trend. The medical treatment consists of oral anticoagulation therapy and monitoring of the patient. The medical therapy failure or the CTS complicated with widespread bowel ischemia and /or large splenic infarction needs surgery because the life-threatening development. On the contrary, a localized and mild CTD due to malperfusion or with high risk of rupture could be treated by endovascular choice.

Case Report
A 72 year old male with a history of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation and chronic coronary artery disease treated with percutaneous coronary intervention two years earlier, was admitted to our hospital suffering from chest pain (angina) and exercise intolerance. Coronary angiography documented a severe lesion of the main stem (>70%) and mild lesion (50%) of the proximal right coronary artery. A Trans Thoracic Echocardiography (TTE) revealed mildly dilated left ventricle (LVEF 40% and LV-End diastolic Volume 120 ml/m²) and moderate aortic regression (Regurgitation Volume 35 ml/beat).

He underwent double coronary artery bypass surgery and Aortic Valve Replacement (AVR, with bioprosthetic valve). Surgery was performed with standard approach (median sternotomy) in normothermic (34°C) extracorporeal circulation. The Cardiopulmonary Bypass (CPB) time was 170 minutes and mean blood pressure was maintained at about 70 mm Hg. The perioperative period was free of early complications. Hence, we administered Indobufen (200 mg die) and Warfarin to achieve a International Number Ratio (INR) range between 2 to 3. At the second postoperative day, the patient suffered two episodes of high-rate atrial fibrillation, immediately treated with Amiodarone and Metoprolol. After 48 hours, the patient presented abdominal pain with negative physical examination (the pain was mild and widespread, epigastric tenderness, no organomegaly, no signs of ascites, no abdomen aortic pulsation, normal bowel sounds, Blumberg', Murphy' and Giordano' signs were negative) but mild leukocytosis (WBC 11980/mm³). So we started diagnostic workup: an abdomen X-ray showed small air-fluid levels in the jejunal; abdominal ultrasonography was negative; a 64-slice computed tomography (CT) scan showed dissection of the celiac branch of the abdominal aorta (Figure 1A and B) associated with mesenteric distension. Hereby, an endoscopic exam documented ulceration with necrotic area at the second portion of duodenum without evident bleeding sites. Considering the patient details (Table 1) and the high surgical risk due to recent cardiac surgery and possible mesenteric ischemia, we collegially decided for conservative therapy. The patient was monitored in the intensive care unit, nasogastric tube was positioned and antibiotic prophylaxis was started. Indobufen and Warfarin were stopped and we introduced low molecular weight heparin (Enoxaparin 4000 UI subcutaneous twice daily) with proton pump inhibitors (PPI, Pantoprazole 40 mg die intravenous). Two days later, a 64-slice CT scan was performed and showed no aggravation or modification of the abdominal view (Figure 2). At the 12th
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Acute mesenteric ischemia is considered a vascular emergency; if treated during its initial stage, its mortality rate is less than 30% but if treatment is begun more than 6 to 8 hours after symptom onset, the mortality rate increases exponentially. Various treatment options are currently available, including conservative management, anticoagulation, endovascular stenting, and surgical repair. A review of current literature show that endovascular treatment techniques should be preferred, in order to place less of an additional burden on patients, most of whom have multiple morbidities [7,8]; immediate laparotomy must be performed for acute abdomen and revascularization of the intestine is the primary aim of treatment. Irreversibly damaged portions of the intestine must be resected.

Carefully analyzing our reported case report (Table 1), we decided collegially (cardiac surgeon, cardiologist, cardiovascular anaesthetist and general surgeon) to choose the conservative management. Our conservative management consisted of: strict blood pressure monitoring, every day blood gas analysis and diuresis balance, daily control of bowel function and abdomen physical examination. The treatment of acute mesenteric ischemia must be performed for acute abdomen and revascularization of the intestine is the primary aim of treatment. Irreversibly damaged portions of the intestine must be resected.

Conclusion

Acute mesenteric ischemia is a life-threatening surgical emergency in which the outcome is closely dependent on the elapsed time to diagnosis and treatment. Some studies have undertaken systematic analyses of preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative variables to define the risk stratification to influence cardiac surgeons to the decision to operate earlier.

Gastrointestinal complications after cardiac surgery are rare but carry significant morbidity and mortality even when recognized early and treated appropriately. Reviewing the scientific literature these complications are rare (0.5%-2.1%) but often fatal (13.9%-63%) [3]. According to Mangi et al. [4], the documented or suspected postoperative mesenteric ischemia is a life-threatening fatal complication (death in the 68% of the cases). The outcome in mesenteric ischemia is closely dependent on the elapsed time to diagnosis and treatment. The diagnosis is typically difficult and delayed due to nonspecific results of biological and radiological tests. The etiology of mesenteric ischemia may also be conceptually classified into two distinct subsets: low flow and low perfusion states and embolic related events. Mangi et al. [4] observed that CPB had been implicated in the development of mesenteric ischemia modifying the regional intestinal blood flow, by pulsating to continuous flow. Tao et al. [5] demonstrated that normothermic CPB may cause a diversion of the blood flow in the splanchnic district away from the ileum and colon. These changes accompanied dysfunction of mesenteric endothelial cells causing hyper-reactivity of alpha agonists [6]. Survival from the insult of mesenteric ischemia depends partially upon the early diagnosis. The most sensitive indicators of mesenteric ischemia after cardiac surgery are abdominal pain (continuous, not local), increasing of lactate and base excess (BE) and spreading of metabolic acidosisis. As the majority of cases of mesenteric ischemia occur during the initial hours to days following operation, fast-track extubation pathways and or minimal sedation theoretically may enable earlier recognition of a catastrophic gastrointestinal complication. When intestinal ischemia is clinically suspected, diagnostic imaging studies should be performed even if peritoneal signs are absent.

Discussion

Gastrointestinal complications after cardiac surgery are rare but carry significant morbidity and mortality even when recognized early and treated appropriately. Reviewing the scientific literature these complications are rare (0.5%-2.1%) but often fatal (13.9%-63%) [3]. According to Mangi et al. [4], the documented or suspected postoperative mesenteric ischemia is a life-threatening fatal complication (death in the 68% of the cases). The outcome in mesenteric ischemia is closely dependent on the elapsed time to diagnosis and treatment. The diagnosis is typically difficult and delayed due to nonspecific results of biological and radiological tests. The etiology of mesenteric ischemia may also be conceptually classified into two distinct subsets: low flow and low perfusion states and embolic related events. Mangi et al. [4] observed that CPB had been implicated in the development of mesenteric ischemia modifying the regional intestinal blood flow, by pulsating to continuous flow. Tao et al. [5] demonstrated that normothermic CPB may cause a diversion of the blood flow in the splanchnic district away from the ileum and colon. These changes accompanied dysfunction of mesenteric endothelial cells causing hyper-reactivity of alpha agonists [6]. Survival from the insult of mesenteric ischemia depends partially upon the early diagnosis. The most sensitive indicators of mesenteric ischemia after cardiac surgery are abdominal pain (continuous, not local), increasing of lactate and base excess (BE) and spreading of metabolic acidosisis. As the majority of cases of mesenteric ischemia occur during the initial hours to days following operation, fast-track extubation pathways and or minimal sedation theoretically may enable earlier recognition of a catastrophic gastrointestinal complication. When intestinal ischemia is clinically suspected, diagnostic imaging studies should be performed even if peritoneal signs are absent.
alter their operative strategy or early postoperative management decisions. Identification of risk factors for death after gastrointestinal complications provides general surgeons with clinically relevant features indicating subsets of patients most likely to benefit from laparotomy. The outcome is closely dependent on the elapsed time to diagnosis and treatment. Conservative management consisted of strict blood pressure control, bowel rest, and close observation, without the use of anticoagulation or antiplatelet agents. Patients with a symptomatic dissection of the superior mesenteric artery should initially undergo conservative management without anticoagulation and antiplatelet therapy if bowel perfusion is not compromised and if the superior mesenteric artery is not likely to rupture. Surgery is indicated in patients with superior mesenteric artery thrombosis; questionable bowel viability, bowel necrosis or severe haemorrhage. Patients initially treated with conservative management should be monitored closely and should undergo a follow-up CT scan.
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