Lacrimal Sac Mucocele in a Newborn: A Rare Mimic of Congenital Intranasal Tumor
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Abstract

Lacrimal sac mucocele, either unilateral or bilateral, occurs when the nasolacrimal duct is obstructed and is a rare anomaly in the newborn period. We presented a 1-day-old full-term female baby with respiratory distress. She was referred by her primary care provider for an otolaryngologic assessment with the suspicion of choanal atresia. In her nasal endoscopy, a mass with a mucosal lining was filling the right nasal cavity. Ultrasonography showed a submucosal tumor lesion in the right nasal cavity and MRI demonstrated a unilateral lacrimal sac mucocele. In this case report, it is stressed that lacrimal sac mucocele may manifest only with intranasal mass in the newborn period; which can be misdiagnosed as a tumor. MRI is a valuable tool in its capacity to delineate many details in mucocele and may prevent unnecessary biopsies and other surgical procedures.
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Introduction

A newborn, who presents with a midline frontonasal mass, often poses a diagnostic challenge to clinicians [1-3]. A congenital nasal mass usually manifests itself with respiratory distress, nasal obstruction, or as a protruding mass in the newborn period. Determination of presence of any connections between the mass and normal anatomic structure with imaging techniques is crucial in the management [4-6]. The differential diagnosis include encephalocele, nasal glioma, epidermoid cyst, dermoid cyst, hemangioma and embryonic tumors such as rhabdomyosarcoma, teratoma, and neuroblastoma [1,7,8]. Lacrimal sac mucocele, on the other hand, usually presents itself as a blueish medial cantal mass, epiphora, overflow of tears, or rarely dispnea [1,4,5,9]. Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) is the imaging modality of choice in assessing frontonasal masses in neonates due to its capacity to reveal developmental anomalies among other problems [1].

In the presented patient, rhinoscopy, which was performed in the absence of overflow of tears in order to find out the cause underlying dispnea showed an intranasal mass. The patient was diagnosed afterwards as lacrimal sac mucocele, thanks to the typical clinic and MRI findings. It should be kept in mind that a nasal mass can be the sole presentation of lacrimal sac mucocele, especially in newborns.

Case Report

The patient was a full-term female, born following an uncomplicated pregnancy and vaginal delivery. Her nasal and oral secretions were reported to have been aspirated immediately after delivery. Her parents have realized that she had been having difficulty in breathing and a change of color of her skin to purple while feeding. In the postnatal seventh hour, she was referred by her primary care provider for an otorhinolaryngologic assessment with the suspicion of choanal atresia. In nasal endoscopy, a mass with a mucosal lining was filling the right nasal cavity, showing no change of color, and causing the septum to deviate to right was seen (Figure 1).

In the neonatology and pediatric oncology consultation, a 6F feeding tube could be passed through both nostrils. Mild tachypnea, noisy breathing and cyanosis while feeding were noted. There was no other pathological finding in physical examinations and laboratory tests, including complete blood count, serum biochemistries, and blood gas analysis, urinalysis and chest x-ray. She needed 30% oxygen with head box only while feeding on day 1. Ultrasonography showed a submucosal tumor lesion in the right nasal cavity. Since association of this lesion with the anatomical structures was not properly determined, MRI utilizing precontrast axial T2-weighted Turbo-Spin Echo (TSE) (Figure 2a) and coronal short tau inversion recovery
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Discussion

Tumors of the nasal cavity are rarely seen in pediatric population. Definitive histopathologic evaluation is needed for diagnosis [6]. The patient's age has a leading role in clinical approach and presumptive diagnosis. Contrary to epithelial neoplasia in adults, rhabdomyosarcoma and non-rhabdoid soft tissue sarcomas are the most frequently encountered malignant tumors in patients less than 18 years of age [10-12]. Unfortunately, there are delays in diagnosis and treatment in the pediatric population since symptoms are non-specific [6]. Differential diagnosis of nasal masses in the newborn period includes malignant tumors, such as rhabdomyosarcoma, neuroblastoma and teratoma. Benign pathologies, that may manifest themselves with an intranasal mass, are nasal glioma, encephalocoele, hemangioma, epidermoid cyst, dermoid cyst, polyp, and lacrimal sac mucocele [1,2,8,13,14]. All these malignant and benign pathologies manifest clinically as signs of midfacial or canthal mass, nasal obstruction, and protruding lesion in endoscopy [1]. Nasal obstruction is an important cause of respiratory distress, particularly in the neonatal period [4]. Although the most common cause of nasal obstruction in neonates is choanal atresia/stenosis, congenital cysts, hamartomas, hemangiomas, encephalocoele, and congenital neoplasms should also be considered in differential diagnosis [4,15]. Lacrimal sac mucocele, either unilateral or bilateral, occur when the nasolacrimal pathway is obstructed and it is a rare anomaly in the newborn [2,5]. The reason is usually an impaired canalization at the distal end of the nasolacrimal duct with a residual membrane between the duct and the nasal cavity. Failure of canalization occurs most commonly at the nasal portion of the duct. Obstruction can be complete or incomplete. The canal may expand and take the form of a sac as fluid accumulates [3]. The swelling of lacrimal sac is observed at birth; but newborns diagnosed in the prenatal ultrasonographic screening have also been reported [3,5,9,16-20]. Prolapse or expansion of the mucocele into nose may lead to respiratory distress and diffulty in feeding, as newborns are preferential nose breathers [16].

The clinical picture, which usually consists of a medially-placed blue-grey-colored canthal mass, epiphora, overflow of tears, and mucoid ocular discharge may become complicated with dacyrocystitis, preseptal/orbital cellulitis, or even sepsis [2,4,15,21,22]. In the presented case, there was no sign of lacrimal sac mucocele except for respiratory distress. Still very rare cases without canthal mass or epiphora have been reported [4,23]. About half of lacrimal sac mucoceles are bilateral, in 70% of which, signs of respiratory distress are observed [2,16,24].

Nasal examination should not be neglected in a newborn with respiratory distress [3,16,22]. Nevertheless, if other physical signs are inconsistent with mucocele, as was the case in our patient, diagnosis can be supported by radiologic imaging. Ultrasound is a simple and non-invasive method for reliably distinguishing mucocele from solid masses; but it may not provide a definite differentiation from cystic lesions, such as dermoid cyst, epidermoid cyst, encephalocoele, and hemangioma. Computed tomography has the advantage of detecting bone changes involving the bony portion of the nasolacrimal canal; but MRI seems more advantageous in newborns because of its superior delineation of unusual morphology and maturation of the frontonasal region and absence of radiation exposure [15,25]. Another advantage of MRI is the in-utero applicability.

A case of lacrimal sac mucocele with intranasal extension has been described by MRI characteristics. Magnetic resonance imaging can reveal the cyst content, allowing multiplan imaging without the need for manipulation of the patient. The signal intensity of cyst content was helpful in characterizing of the lesion, allowing us to differentiate

Figure 2: Fat suppressed axial T2-weighted turbo-spin echo (TSE). (a) and coronal (b) short tau inversion recovery (STIR) images showing hyperintense, cystic dilatation of nasolacrimal duct (white arrow).
it from similar soft-tissue pathologies, in particular, dermoid cyst. The low signal on T1- and high signal on T2-weighted images were consistent with the mucoid or proteinaceous material, a usual finding in a mucocele [15].

Determination of the presence of a connection between frontonasal mass and the anterior cranial fossa is crucial in the imaging assessment for encephaloceles. Protrusion of meninges, brain, and bony dehiscence at the frontonasal junction is diagnostic for cephaloceleces [1]. Nasal glioma is also among the mass lesions arising in this region. Along with its expansile solid characteristic, its inability to hold contrast medium is typical. Contrast enhancement is observed in other solid tumors, such as rhabdomyosarcoma. The treatment of congenital lacrimal sac mucocele is controversial. It usually resolves spontaneously and requires surgical intervention only rarely. In newborns with severe respiratory distress, surgical measures, such as probing with decompression into nose, baloon catheter dacryocystoplasty, silicone intubation, and marsupialization may be recommended although in asymptomatic patients, all that is necessary is massaging, and antibiotics for infections [3,22]. Resolution of the mucocele can take place with expectant management [26].

Perhaps the temporary respiratory distress in our patient was due to the mucosal edema and accumulation of nasal secretions caused by the aspiration that was performed just after the delivery.

In this case report, it is stressed that lacrimal sac mucocele may manifest only with intranasal mass in the newborn period; which can be misdiagnosed as a tumour. MRI is a valuable tool in its capacity to delineate many details in mucocele and may prevent unnecessary biopsies and other surgical procedures.
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