Laparoscopic Reversal of Hartmann’s Procedure: A Single Surgeon Experience
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Abstract

Introduction: The laparoscopic reversal Hartmann procedure is a challenging technique showing promising results in comparison to the open procedure.

Aim: Report a single surgeon experience showing promising results.

Results: It’s a twelve patient’s case series. The median age was 62 years old. The mean operative time was 148.16 min (75-210 min). No per-operative complication was reported. Only one procedure was converted to laparotomy due to severe pelvic adhesions. All patients ambulated on postoperative day 1. The Mean time to the recovery of the bowel movement was Two days (1 to 4 days). The mean time to Oral analgesia intake was 1.66 days (1 to 3). The mean time of hospital stay was 5.66 Days (4 to 8). Two patients had complication in a mean time of 18 days after the surgery.

Conclusion: The laparoscopic reversal Hartmann procedure is a safe technique showing promising results but in the hand of trained laparoscopic surgeon.

Introduction

The Hartmann's procedure (HP) is a surgical technique first described in 1921 to treat rectal cancer [1]. The initial technique never considered the restoration of the intestinal tract continuity. Through time the technique has seen some changes; Boyden et al. showed late results with the closure of the colostomy [2]. Anderson et al. were the first to describe a laparoscopically assisted colostomy closure [3]. Since; many surgeons have tried the Laparoscopic Reversal of Hartmann’s Procedure (RHP) with rather good results [4]. However it’s not a standard of care and many surgeons prefer the conventional laparotomy approach. Our aim is to report a single surgeon experience showing promising results.

Methods

Patients’ selection

A case series of 12 patients undergoing Laparoscopic RHP from January 2014 to December 2015 in the surgery department of Charles Nicolle hospital was done.

All the patients who had HP by the same surgeon with no regards to the etiology or the initial way of entry were included. The patients with malignant disease have been operated in emergency setting so none had preoperative chemo radiation and none was locally advanced. The RHP were also made by the same surgeon as stated in Table 1.

The first step of the technique involves mobilization of the colostomy site. This is excised circumferentially, until the peritoneum is entered. The edge of the stoma is revised to take away excessive scar tissue. An anvil of a circular stapler is then inserted into the colonic lumen and secured with a purse-string suture. The colostomy site is then used as port site for the establishment of pneumoperitoneum and a trocar can be placed for the camera. Three operative trocars are used: a 5 mm trocar in the right lower quadrant, a 5 mm in the right upper quadrant and a 10 mm in the umbilicus. With the patient in a steep Trendelenburg position, the rectal stump is identified. After Lysis of Adhesions were performed with sharp scissors dissection. An end to end colorectal anastomosis is created with an appropriately sized endoluminal circular stapler; the pelvic cavity is filled with saline solution and the air leak test is done by insufflating the air in the rectum while visualizing the anastomosis. Post-operatively, the patients had multimodal analgesia based on paracetamol and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. Food allowance was after first flatus.

Results

Patient’s characteristics

The median age was 62 years old (45 to 80). The sex ratio is 1/1. Only one patient was operated in scheduled surgery but had a HP due to poor colonic pre-operative preparation. The most common etiology was pelvic colon Volvulus (six cases). For the patients with malignant disease all of them have been operated in emergency setting so none had preoperative chemo radiation and in the final histologic examination all were pT3 (Table 1).
Table 1: Patient's characteristics.

Operative data

The mean operative time was 148.16 min (75-210 min). No per-operative complications were reported. Only one procedure was converted to laparotomy due to severe pelvic adhesions (Table 2).

Table 2: Operative and post-operative data.
Discussion

When surgical procedure is indicated, the immediate intestinal continuity is used more and more [4]. The only indication, as a gold standard for HP, remains the stercoral peritonitis due to a sigmoid perforation [5]. Approximately 44% of patients undergo bowel continuity restoration after HP [6]. As shown by Van de Wall review of the literature Reversal of HP is accompanied by a considerable risk of complications (mean 16.3%, range 3%-50%) and has an overall mortality rate of 1%. Overall complication rates reported in series of open Hartmann’s reversal range from 4%-43%; Anastomotic Leakage occurring in up to 12% of patients [7]. Thus, many surgical teams thought of different ways to reduce this morbidity. One of them was the use of laparoscopy and its known advantages on open procedure to re-establish the intestinal continuity have been well demonstrated in the last twenty years [4]. Rapid postoperative recovery, less postoperative pain, earlier Ambulation, earlier restoration of bowel function, a more rapid return to a normal diet, and Reduced morbidity are the major advantages of this technique [6]. However, two main challenges faced by the laparoscopic approach: A safe laparoscopic entry in a previously operated abdomen and the severe intra-abdominal adhesions.

Regarding the way of entry, many authors have used the umbilical Hasson technique [8-10]. This method allows the exploration of the abdominal cavity, assessment of the feasibility of laparoscopy and adhesiolysis; with dissection of the colostomy under direct vision [4]. In our case series, we started with dissection of the Colostomy and used the incision as the way of the entry a purse-string suture on the aponverotic wall was used to reduce Gas leakage. Some other authors used the palmer point as entry technique and it was also considered as a safe way of entry [11]. The adhesions are the main cause of conversion to the open technique during laparoscopic reversal procedure [4,6]. In our case series; the only case of conversion was due to the careful selection of patients and the choice of an experimented laparoscopic surgeon.

Many authors report less intraoperative blood loss, shorter hospital stay, less wound infection rate, less postoperative pain [13,14]. The patient’s convalescence, the first ambulation, and oral feeding are achieved faster [15]. In Toro et al. review, the mean length of hospital stay was 6.2 days [4]. Laparoscopic reversal has shown less morbidity and mortality in relation to open Hartmann’s reversal procedure. The morbidity with open Hartmann’s reversal is reported at 4%-43% [13], and the mortality rate ranges from 4%-10% [15]. In Toro et al. review, morbidity was 15.8% and the mortality was 0.7% [12]. In our study two patients had post-operative complications and one of them needed to be re-operated.

Conclusion

The laparoscopic RHP is safer and achieves faster positive results in comparison to the open RHP in the hand of Good and trained laparoscopic surgeon and in carefully selected patients.