Lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and Protein-LPS complexes: Detection and Characterization by Gel Electrophoresis, Mass Spectrometry and Bioassays
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Abstract

A prerequisite to the discovery and characterization of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) interaction with specific receptors and the resulting pathophysiological effects is the comprehensive structural analysis of LPS species. This brief review is aimed to summarize the use of gel electrophoresis linked to other biochemical technologies for detecting and characterizing LPSs. Lipopolysaccharide aggregates alone or mixtures containing LPSs and proteins/peptides can be separated by native agarose gel electrophoresis (NAGE), after which LPSs are detected with imidazole and zinc salts. A double-staining process with Coomassie brilliant blue R-250 enables the use of NAGE for detecting and studying protein-LPS interactions. For compositional analysis, the LPS aggregates are separated, with high resolution, by surfactant-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. After reverse staining with zinc-imidazole and elution from gel microparticles, glycoform-specific LPSs are ready for structural and biological analysis. For sequence analysis based on tandem electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS/MS) of oligosaccharides, LPSs are subjected to mild acid hydrolysis, dephosphorylation and permethylation. Also, O-deacylated LPS forms can be analyzed by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-time of flight-MS. By comparison to spectra of unpurified LPSs, mass spectra of the micropurified LPSs show reduced heterogeneity and increased signal-to-noise ratios. Furthermore, the micropurification of LPSs prior to MS allows a higher sensitivity of detection for less abundant LPS glycoforms. The micropurified LPS fractions can be used to form self-assembled nanoaggregates which may be detected by dynamic light scattering. The effect of the O-side chain length on the Z-potential of LPS aggregates may be estimated by measurements based on laser Doppler electrophoresis. The thus obtained glycoform-specific LPSs are not only intact chemically but also biologically active as tested by e.g. Limulus amoebocyte lysate test, TNF-α assay and agonistic effect on human Toll-like receptor 4.
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Introduction

Lipopolysaccharides (LPS) are the major biological macromolecules of the surface from Gram-negative bacteria (Figure 1A) [1]. Due to their pluripotential immunomodulatory properties (e.g., activation of B lymphocytes, macrophages and other immunocompetent host cells), these biomolecules are of huge interest in medicine [2-4]. Small amounts of LPS can be beneficial, but this situation radically changes when larger amounts are present in the bloodstream. In fact, enterobacteria- or antibiotic-released LPS arising during severe Gram-negative bacterial infections generally cause diverse pathophysiological effects (e.g., fever, leukopenia, tachycardia, hypotension, disseminated intravascular coagulation, and multiorgan failure). This may end in septic shock, producing a high mortality rate (from 20-50%) and causing thousands of deaths annually worldwide [5,6]. Ironically, LPSs are essential for the survival of Gram-negative bacteria by playing a vital role in a variety of recognition processes and by providing a permeability barrier for harmful substances [7,8].

The general structure of LPS molecules deduced from more than one hundred enterobacterial species is described as consisting of a three part molecular architecture: the lipid A, core oligosaccharide, and outer core oligosaccharide and O-polysaccharide side chain (Figure 1A). Typically, lipid A is a dimer of β-(1-6)-linked glucosamine units with (R)-3-hydroxy fatty acids, attached via ester and amide linkages, of which two are regularly acylated further on their 3-hydroxyl groups. One phosphate group is usually present on each hydroxyl group. Attached to the short core oligosaccharide may be a more heterogeneous outer core oligosaccharide that is then attached to an O-polysaccharide side chain. The O-polysaccharide side chain is comprised of glucosamine repeat-subunits of between 3 and 5 glycosyl monosaccharide residues that appear to vary substantially up to 40 repeating subunits in length [9-11].
LPS studies have shown significant progress during the past few years. Examples are: (i) the demonstration that lipid A, the glycolipid moiety from lipopolysaccharides, is the critical unit for the immunobiological activity of LPS [12], (ii) the successful chemical synthesis of lipid A [13-16], (iii) the first total synthesis of the naturally occurring Re-type LPS [17,18], and (iv) the discovery of Toll-like receptor-4 (TLR-4) as a key cellular receptor molecule for LPS [19-21].

Apart from the current biological and clinical studies concerning LPSs, great efforts are also underway to find new or improved ways to exploit LPSs for the benefit of both research and pharmaceutical uses. The reasons for this are many-fold. For instance: a) LPSs are powerful molecular tools to study a variety of cellular activation mechanisms involving the production of lymphokines and monokines (e.g., interferons, interleukins 1 and 6, tumor necrosis factor, lipid A-LPSs, etc) [24]. b) LPSs or derivatives are very potent stimulants of the immune system (i.e., these biomolecules sensibly activate such cells as monocytes, macrophages, mast cells, etc) [24]. c) LPS-derived lipid A with a reduced number of acyl chains (for example; four) can serve as an inhibitor of immune activation antagonists may be obtained by slightly modifying the lipid A structure [25]. d) Potent endotoxin antagonist may be obtained by slightly modifying the lipid A structure (e.g., ES564), provided the correct overall shape and intrinsic conformation of the lipid A are preserved [26,27].

In the adjuvant field, lipid A has been chemically modified to generate less toxic forms that are used as components of vaccines to improve their effect. Monophosphoryl lipid A is a key component in many of the novel adjuvant systems (e.g., from GSK) from investigational cancer prophylactic (e.g., against HPV-caused cervical cancer) to immunotherapeutic vaccine approaches (e.g., against breast, lung, melanoma, prostate cancers). Clinical experience with monophosphoryl lipid A-containing vaccine formulations is currently being extended, with tens of thousands of subjects already vaccinated [28-30]. These adjuvant formulations containing monophosphoryl lipid A have improved both antibody responses (kinetics and titers) and cell-mediated immune responses.

Despite the many recent advances in the understanding of LPS biology and chemistry, much remains to be learnt on the mechanisms, at the molecular level, that are responsible for the LPS-associated pathogenicity and cellular activation [31-34]. Fresh discoveries are needed for: (i) the finding of new therapeutic interventions targeting components of the receptor clusters responsible for bacterial recognition or LPS/lipid A biosynthetic pathway, (ii) clinical trials aimed at evaluating LPS-related therapies against Gram-negative infections, septicemia or septic shock, (iii) the development of new LPS applications in other fields (e.g., adjuvants, drug delivery).

For fresh discoveries, improved lipopolysaccharide preparations that are well-characterized in terms of different LPS-related functionalities (e.g., the O-specific chain, core oligosaccharide, lipid A) will be needed. Having a means to separate LPS-specific glycoforms prior to a more in-depth characterization of their structural elements may be undoubtedly highly desirable. Therefore, this brief review will focus on the use of gel electrophoresis linked to mass spectrometry and bioassays as a useful approach for detecting and characterizing LPS aggregates.

Materials and Methods

We are not attempting to provide a comprehensive summary on the analytical chemistry and biological effects of lipopolysaccharides but rather to provide our perspective on how gel electrophoresis can be connected to other biochemical techniques for a fine characterization of LPS aggregates and glycoform-specific LPSs. Thus, the goal of this report is to present a brief review of methods developed in our laboratory and lessons gathered from our experiences that may be useful to other researchers.

For this review, we used peer-reviewed, published papers that were identified by electronic searches in Medline and Google Scholar. The search strategy included different combinations of key words such as “lipopolysaccharide,” “agarose gel electrophoresis,” “polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis,” “mass spectrometry,” “biological activity,” “Limulus amoebocyte,” “tumor necrosis factor,” and “toll-like receptor 4.” No language limits were applied. Search period was not longer than 10 years. In addition, we performed manual searches in reference lists from specific papers to find additional pioneer and older studies that could have been overlooked by the electronic search.

Separation and detection of LPS aggregates

The lipopolysaccharide molecules, which are amphiphilic, have a strong tendency to form high-molecular-mass supramolecular aggregates such as filaments, spherical-like particles, ribbon-like structures and micelles. The size and shape of LPS aggregates are governed by LPS concentration, temperature, pH, water content, concentration of mono- and divalent cations and other physico-chemical parameters [35]. The conformation and hydrophobicity of the contributing glycoform-specific LPSs also influence the aggregation of lipopolysaccharides. Indeed, whether the formed LPS aggregates are micellar, lamellar, or nonlamellar inverted in shape is determined by the ratio of the cross sections of the hydrophilic and hydrophobic moieties [36].
Very recently, we have reported the reversible negative staining with imidazole and zinc salts of LPS aggregates separated in agarose gels [37]. After incubating the electrophoresed agarose gel in 0.2 M imidazole solution, reagents (e.g., sulfate groups, Tris) that can potentially hamper the reverse staining of LPS aggregates are washed out of the gel matrix [38]. Once the imidazole-equilibrated gel is soaked in 0.2 M zinc solution, negatively charged LPS molecules will attract electrostatically zinc ions, which diffuse into the gel matrix. The strength of the charge interaction between the positively charged zinc ions and groups (e.g., negatively charged phosphates, cis-diols in the sugar moiety and carboxylate groups in syalic acids) from the LPSs will depend on the degree of ionization of these molecules. Simultaneously, phosphate and carboxylate groups will repel most of the counterions from the immediate vicinity or gel zone occupied by the LPS molecules, thus reducing the anion concentration that can locally precipitate zinc salts. Since the ionic product of free zinc and counterions does not equal or exceed their corresponding solubility product constant (Ksp), regions of the gel containing zinc-LPS complexes will remain transparent and colorless. In contrast, LPS-free regions will be replete with zinc imidazole (ZnIm) [38], provided that the ionic product is greater than the corresponding Ksp. This new stain requires a few µg of LPS aggregates for detection, and combines desired features such as simplicity (3 steps), speed (less than 30 min after electrophoresis) and the use of only inexpensive and readily available reagents and equipment. Also, the chemicals used do not necessitate specialized disposal efforts.

Interaction of proteins with LPS aggregates

There is interest in finding proteins or peptides that protect from sepsis by strongly binding LPS, breaking its aggregates and by suppressing LPS-induced pro-inflammatory responses. In line with this, varieties of cationic proteins and peptides (e.g., polymyxin B, LPS-binding protein, anti-LPS factor, lysozyme [LZM]) which form complexes with lipopolysaccharides have been discovered. In addition, these proteins/peptides may be the target compounds of interest for understanding the biology of LPS in solution [39]. Certainly, the lipopolysaccharide disaggregation rate, whether LPS are partially or completely disaggregated, and how protein/peptide concentration influences protein/peptide-LPS interaction are still subjects for further investigation.

Using lysozyme as a model protein, we have found that imidazole-zinc may be combined with Coomassie brilliant blue R-250 into a double-staining process to enable the use of NAGE for detecting and studying protein-LPS interactions (Figure 1B) [37]. Specifically, our results validate that lysozyme can disrupt LPS aggregates and that concentration of either LPS or LZM has a significant effect on the amount of LPS disaggregated and the amount of LZM-LPS complexes formed. In a wider scope, the results of this study indicate that three different complementary criteria may be considered to infer a strong interaction and stable binding of cationic proteins/peptides to LPS. These criteria are: (i) any change in NAGE-separated LPS pattern (e.g., increased electrophoretic mobility), (ii) any shift in electrophoretic mobility of cationic proteins/peptides, and (iii) Coomassie blue-based specific detection of charged protein/peptide-LPS complexes. The benefit of using NAGE combined with the double-staining detection is that it neither alters the LPS aggregates structurally nor disrupts the native state of the proteins and LPS. Furthermore, the NAGE method can resolve LPS complexes from free cationic proteins [37].

Compositional analysis of LPS aggregates using slab-PAGE

Among the different separation and resolution methods possible for LPS glycoforms, slab-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (slab-PAGE) has now been developed to offer unsurpassed resolution combined with higher reproducibility, rapidity, low cost, and simplicity. In fact, the successful application of this technique for resolving complex mixtures of LPS glycoforms in a wide molecular mass range has greatly facilitated the structural analysis of these macromolecules [40-43]. The LPS glycoform banding patterns generally consist of orderly spaced doublet bands for smooth (S)-type LPSs. Such bands represent LPS glycoforms having different numbers of repeating units in their O-side chains [44-48]. The fastest moving doublet band generally corresponds to an LPS glycoform with a complete core oligosaccharide only, the second fastest band should consist of an LPS glycoform with an additional single O-polysaccharide extension repeat unit (i.e., n = 1); and so on.

Separation inconsistencies such as doublet diffusion or anomalous slow-migrating glycoform bands should be the result of an incomplete LPS aggregate dissociation during electrophoresis [49,50]. This problem can be overcome either by applying a pre-electrophoresis step on the gel prior to LPS glycoform separations [51] or by increasing the concentration of sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) in gels [45]. Alternatively, SDS can be replaced with sodium deoxycholate (DOC) [52] to promote LPS aggregate dissociation thereby generating a well-resolved ladder of individual LPS glycoform bands ranging from a variety of S-type LPS to compact rough (R)-type LPS. Alternatively, an increased resolution of LPS can be obtained with SDS-PAGE using a Tricine buffer system [53], or by employing polyacrylamide gel concentration gradients within the gel [54,55]. Accordingly there are numerous ways of dissociating LPS glycoform aggregates into individual glycoforms and reproducibly separating the native LPS glycoforms with high resolution by slab-PAGE [56,57].

Given this, either NAGE-separated or unfractionated LPS aggregates can be electrophoresed in a second-dimension analytical SDS-polyacrylamide gel (2D-PAGE) for compositional analysis [37]. The LPS molecules fall into bands of discreet sizes, which are then stained (e.g. with silver) to make them visible to imaging techniques and reveal the composition of LPS aggregates. As described below, a wealth of information can be obtained by slab-PAGE alone or in combination with other characterization techniques (e.g., mass spectrometry, bioassays). This includes purity of LPSs, glycoform-specific composition, molecular weight of components and primary (e.g., oligosaccharide) sequences, minor structural changes due to mutations in genes responsible for LPS biosynthesis, and cell-based biological activities.

Structural analysis of glycoform-specific LPS by mass spectrometry

Broadly speaking, LPS variants may be classified as smooth, short smooth (short S) or rough depending respectively upon whether they contain a full size O-polysaccharide extension, truncated O-chains or no O-polysaccharide extension at all [9-11]. Most "pure" LPS preparations that are extracted from Gram-negative bacteria exist as a complex mixture of all three LPS molecule classes. Even a preparation of S-type LPS may contain over 20% (w/w) of R-type LPS. Within these three types of LPS, further levels of heterogeneity also exist; for instance the number of KDO units in the core oligosaccharide may vary, so too may the degree of phosphorylation or
phosphorylethanolamine derivatization. In addition, the lipid A moiety can vary according to the degree of phosphorylation, the presence of alternative amino-glycopyranoses, and the number, chain length, and position of attached acyl groups, such that the lipid A part in certain S-type LPSSs can be quite substantially chemically different from that in R-type LPSSs [9-11].

Glycoform-specific LPSSs are difficult to recover from silver-stained PAGE gels, because the silver staining process chemically modifies and irreversibly fixes LPSSs into the gel. To overcome this obstacle, a sensitive and non-destructive reverse staining method of PAGE-separated LPSSs has been established (Figure 1C) [58,59]. Reverse stained LPSS fractions can diffuse out of gel matrix microparticles into solution in a fast and efficient way in 5% triethylamine solution [60]. Micropurified LPSS glycoforms are in a highly purified state, essentially free of bacteria- or purification-associated pollutants (e.g., phospholipids, buffers, salts, detergents) or contamination by other interfering LPSS fractions, and therefore they are amenable to structural analysis by MS either ESI or MALDI-TOF types [56,57]. Specifically, the sensitivity of ESI-MS analysis is increased by the use of dephosphorylated and permethylated oligosaccharides released by mild acid hydrolysis of the gel-separated LPSS fractions [56]. MS allows determining the relative abundance and structure characteristics of LPSS molecular species contained in each slab-PAGE band such as their exact molecular mass, oligosaccharidic sequence, and lipid A phosphorylation status.

**Biological analysis of glycoform-specific LPSS**

Without doubt, the architecture of individual LPSS variants has a strong influence on LPSS activities as shown by differences between S-type and R-type LPSS preparations. Examples of differential biological properties of S-type versus R-type LPSS are: (i) The hepatic uptake of S-type LPSSs from the blood is effected solely by sinusoidal (Kupffer) cells, while the uptake of the R-type LPSSs is effected both by Kupffer cells and hepatocytes [61], (ii) There is a preferential transfer of S-type LPSSs to plasma lipoproteins by acute-phase concentrations of LPSS-binding protein (LPB) [62], (iii) R-type LPSSs are very potent in their ability to induce chemiluminescence in granulocytes whereas S-type LPSSs are virtually inactive [63], (iv) S- and R-type show distinct qualitative differences in their interactions with the complement system [64], (v) Macrophage-like cultured cells are activated by S-type LPSSs in a different way to R- and/or short S-type LPSSs from _S. enterica_ serovar abortus equi [65], (vi) Under physiological conditions, S-type LPSSs primarily activate cells that express mCD14, while in the absence of CD14 and LPB R-type LPSSs stimulate cells that express TLR4/MD-2 and the binding protein (LBP) [62], (iii) R-type LPSSs are very potent in their interactions with the complement system [64], (v) Macrophage-like cultured cells are activated by S-type LPSSs in a different way to R- and/or short S-type LPSSs from _S. enterica_ serovar abortus equi [65], (vi) Under physiological conditions, S-type LPSSs primarily activate cells that express mCD14, while in the absence of CD14 and LPB R-type LPSSs stimulate cells that express TLR4/MD-2 receptors [66], (vii) S-type LPSS from _Salmonella_ enhances the activation of the TLR4-MyD88-independent pathway, while S-type LPSS from _Escherichia coli_ O55:B5 and _Vibrio cholerae_ LPSSs demonstrate induce the TLR4-MyD88-dependent pathway. _Neisseria meningitidis_ lipoooligosaccharide is a potent inducer of both pathways [67].

Amazingly, in spite of such obvious differences, many reports that discuss the biological activities and binding characteristics of LPSS variants tend to describe data developed with LPSS mixtures from wild-type Gram-negative (e.g., enteric) bacteria only and have paid surprisingly little attention to the importance of LPSS heterogeneities [66,67,68-70]. Given this, many studies on biological effects of LPSS molecular variants actually report on effects of heterogeneous mixtures of what are known as LPSS glycoforms that can assemble into higher molecular weight LPSS structures [e.g., 68]. Our research, which addresses this very limitation, is thus both novel and complementary to previous studies. The fractionated LPSS glycoforms are structurally unmodified [56,57], essentially free of the chemicals used in the purification method or contamination by other interfering bacterial components (e.g., proteins, nucleic acids, phospholipids) or LPSS fractions. Therefore, the micropurified LPSSs are compatible with subsequent biological tests (e.g., TNF-α induction [71], Limulus amoebocyte lysate activity [72]).

After excess surfactant (e.g., 0.4–0.5% DOC) is effectively removed (e.g., by centrifugal dialfiltration), aggregates of more homogeneous composition are formed (Figure 2). These aggregates, which may show remarkable differences regarding their Z potential and are detectable by dynamic light scattering [73], show markedly different capacities to activate TLR4 and downstream pro-inflammatory NF-kB signaling pathways in engineered HEK293 cells.
Because micropurified LPS species are powerful inducers of diverse biological responses (e.g., induction of cells to produce TNF-α or nitric oxide in vitro, or lethal toxicity in mice sensitized with D-galactosamine in vivo), we look forward to analyzing the activity of glycoform-specific LPSs in other biological assays.

Conclusion

NAGE in combination with the imidazole-zinc and Coomassie brilliant blue R-250 stains allows detecting both LPS aggregates and the interaction between lipopolysaccharide-binding proteins/peptides and LPS aggregates. This may become a useful tool offering the opportunity of screening a large number of proteins or host defense peptides to be further evaluated as anti-sepsis or anti-infective agents. For identification and further characterization LPS aggregates, either separated by NAGE or unfractionated, can be electrophoresed in slab-PAGE gels and visualized with silver or by reverse staining with zinc-imidazole. Gel extrusion and passive elution allows the isolation of R- or S-type LPS to electrophoretic homogeneity. The glycoform-specific LPSs thus obtained are amenable to both sensible structural analysis by mass spectrometry and biological activity evaluations.

Additionally, we believe that having reassembled glycoform-specific LPS aggregates will be useful to further study differences in physicochemical and biological properties of S- and R-type LPS preparations in the context of immunology or vaccine-related research. In fact, although LPS studies have shown significant progress during the past few years in the understanding of LPS biology and chemistry, the discovery of LPS glycoforms with real potential utility as vaccine adjuvants has lagged behind. This gap suggests that there should be critical bottlenecks hampering the process of translating basic research discoveries in the LPS field into finished immunological adjuvants. Research over the past decade has given rise to the idea that one of these bottlenecks is caused by the enormous complexity of LPS preparations. Ultimately, this research will provide a clearer picture as to how target (e.g., dendritic) cells are stimulated by glycoform-specific LPS aggregates, subsequently leading to T cell activation and antibody production.
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