Local Non-similarity Solution for MHD Mixed Convection Flow of a Nanofluid Past a Permeable Vertical Plate in the Presence of Thermal Radiation Effects
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Abstract

Combined heat and mass transfer on mixed convection non-similar flow of electrically conducting nanofluid along a permeable vertical plate in the presence of thermal radiation is investigated. The governing partial differential equations of the problem are transformed into a system of non-linear ordinary differential equations by applying the Sparrow–Quack–Boermer local non-similarity method (LNM). Furthermore, the obtained equations are solved numerically by employing the Fourth or fifth order Runge Kutta Fehlberg method with conjunction to shooting technique. The profiles of flow and heat transfer are verified by using five types of nanofluids of which metallic or nonmetallic nanoparticles, namely Copper (Cu), Alumina (Al2O3), Copper oxide (CuO), silver (Ag) and Titanium (TiO2) with a water-based fluid. Rosseland approximation model on black body is used to represent the radiative heat transfer. Effects of thermal radiation, buoyancy force parameters and volume fraction of nanofluid on the velocity and temperature profiles in the presence of suction/injection are depicted graphically. Comparisons with previously published works are performed, and excellent agreement between the results is obtained. The conclusion is that the flow fields is affected by these parameters.
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Introduction

The thermal conductivity of heating or cooling fluids is a very important property in the development of energy-efficient heat transfer systems. In all processes involving heat transfer, the thermal conductivity of the fluids is one of the basic properties taken account in designing and controlling the process [1]. However, the traditional pure liquid heat transfer medium has a low thermal conductivity, which limits the heat transfer enhancement. Therefore, it is necessary to prepare a higher thermal conductivity and more efficient heat transfer medium. Scientific breakthrough has been made by Choi in 1995 when for the first time he introduced the term “Nanofluids”. Nanofluids are a new class of heat transfer fluids with substantially higher conductivities. These fluids are developed by suspending nanoscale metallic or nonmetallic particles in the base fluid [2]. In recent years, studies on nanofluids has been receiving a lot of attention worldwide due to its unique properties which make them potentially useful in many applications in heat transfer, including microelectronics, fuel cells, pharmaceutical processes, and hybrid-powered engines [3]. Many studies on nanofluids are being conducted by talented researchers such as Abu–Nada [4-11].

Magneto-hydrodynamic (MHD) is the study of electrically conducting fluids as they move in a magnetic field. As the magnetic field cutting the moving fluid changes, an electric current is induced in the fluid [12]. The study of MHD flow and heat transfer are deemed as of great interest due to the effect of magnetic field on the boundary layer flow control and on the performance of many systems using electrically conducting fluids. Some of the engineering applications are in MHD power generators, plasma studies, cooling of nuclear reactor, gas turbines, geothermal energy extractions, purifications of metal from non-metallic enclosures, polymer technology, the boundary layer control in aerodynamics, crystal growth and metallurgy [13]. Magnetic nanofluid is a unique material that has both the liquid and magnetic properties. Many of the physical properties of these fluids can be tuned by varying magnetic field [3]. As the magnetic nanofluids are easy to manipulate with an external magnetic field, they have been used for a variety of studies. Magneto-hydrodynamic flow and heat transfer of electrically conducting and heat generating fluids through and over different types of modes, geometries and boundary conditions are research subjects that have interested and attracted many investigators in recent years. In free convection, Hamad et al. [14] discussed the problems of magnetic field effects on free convection flow of a nanofluid past a semi-infinite vertical flat plate. Study on boundary layer flow of a nanofluid over a permeable vertical plate in the presence of magnetic field, heat generation or absorption, suction or injection, Brownian motion of particles and thermophoresis effects have been studied by Chamka and Aly [15]. Mohammed [16] has investigated MHD free convection of a nanofluid over a vertical flat plate taking into account Newtonian heating boundary condition. While in forced convection, Wubset Ibrahim and Shanker [17] have analyzed the boundary layer flow and heat transfer over a permeable stretching sheet due to a nanofluid with the effects of magnetic field, slip boundary condition and thermal radiation. By extending the work of Makinde and Aziz [18] has been investigated numerically the MHD laminar boundary layer slip flow of an electrically conducting nanofluid over a convectively
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heated permeable moving linearly stretching sheet taking in account the effects of Brownian motion, thermophoresis, magnetic field, and heat generation/absorption. Abdel-Gaied and Hamad [19] analyzed steady MHD forced convection flow of alumina-water nanofluid on moving permeable vertical flat plate with convective surface boundary condition. Meisam Habibi Matin and Pouyan Janghiri [20] studied the effects of suction-injection and viscous dissipation on forced convection boundary layer MHD flow of a nanofluid over a permeable stretching. On the effect of MHD flow of nanofluids, although there are many studies regarding the natural and forced convections regime, there are only a few regarding the mixed convection regime. Chamkha et al. [15] studied the flow of mixed convection MHD flow of a nanofluid past a vertical stretching permeable surface in the presence of magnetic field, heat generation or absorption, thermophoresis, Brownian motion and suction or injection effects. Recently, the study on the effect of heat source/sink on steady MHD mixed convection boundary layer flow over a vertical permeable surface embedded in a porous medium saturated by a nanofluid was presented by Mohammad Mehdi Keshkar et al. [21].

At high operating temperature, thermal radiation effect becomes significantly very important and cannot be ignored. Many engineering processes occur at high temperatures and hence the knowledge of thermal radiation plays a major role in designing pertinent equipment. As has been pointed out by previous scholars, magnetic nanofluid has many applications such as in magnetofluidic leakage-free rotating seals, magnetogravimetric separations, acceleration/inclinations sensors, aerodynamic sensors (differential pressure, volumetric flow), nano/micro-structured magneto rheological fluids for semi active vibration dampers, biomedical applications in plant genetics and veterinary medicine [16]. In view of these, several researchers have made contributions to the study of MHD flow of nanofluid with thermal radiation effect. Khan et al. [22] presented a similarity analysis for steady boundary layer flow of a nanofluid past a stretching sheet with the influence of magnetic field and thermal radiation. Poornima and Bhaskar Reddy [23] studied the simultaneous effects of thermal radiation and magnetic on heat and mass transfer flow of nanofluids over a non-linear stretching sheet. The study focuses on the numerical solution of a steady free convective boundary-layer flow of a radiating nanofluid along a non-linear stretching sheet in the presence of transverse magnetic field. Aini Mat [24] theoretically studied the steady magneto-hydrodynamic (MHD) mixed convection boundary layer flow of a stretching vertical heated sheet in a power law nanofluid with thermal radiation effect. Stanford Shateyi, et al. [25] have carried out the analysis of MHD boundary layer flow of a nanofluid over a moving surface in the presence of thermal radiation. Reddy [26] examined the influence of MHD and heat radiation on boundary layer flow of nanofluid over a stretching surface with velocity slip and convective boundary conditions. Very recently, Eshehu Haile and Shankar [27] investigated simultaneously the effects of magnetic field, thermal radiation, viscous dissipation, Ohmic effects and permeability of surfaces on heat transfer of nanofluid over a moving flat plate.

Many problems in boundary-layer flow and heat transfer are nonsimilarity. Nonsimilarity of boundary layers may stem from a variety of causes such as variation in wall temperature, variation in free-stream velocity, surface mass transfer, effect of suction or injection of fluid at the wall, the buoyancy force effect, inclination angle effects, etc., [28]. The nonsimilarity of boundary layer can also arise from by more than one factor. There are various numerical methods have been proposed to deal with such nonsimilar boundary layer problems and among them, the most well-known method is the local nonsimilarity method which was initiated by Sparrow et al. [28]. Since then it has been applied by several investigators to solve various non similar boundary layer problems. Muhamim and Kandasamy [29] presented a study addresses the chemical reaction and magnetic effects on a nonlinear boundary-layer flow over a porous wedge in the presence of the buoyancy force and non-uniform pressure gradient. Akgo and Pakdemirli [30] investigated the effect of different types of nanoparticles on the heat transfer from a continuously moving stretching surface with power-law velocity and temperature.

However, to the best of authors’ knowledge, no attempt has been made to solve the problem of non-similar MHD mixed convective heat transfer flow of nanofluids over a permeable vertical plate in the presence of thermal radiation effect using the local nonsimilarity method (LNM). Hence, the current research presents a local nonsimilarity method to obtain the general solution of a nonsimilarity partial differential equation of the problem with Runge-Kutta-Gill with shooting methods. This study extended the work by Watanabe [31] to the case of nanofluids taking into consideration of magnetic and thermal radiation effects. It is, thus, expected that the local nonsimilarity approach should yield more accurate results for the velocity and temperature fields than those of the local similarity model.

Mathematical Analysis

A two-dimensional steady magnetohydrodynamic mixed convective boundary-layer flow of a viscous incompressible nanofluid over an isothermal vertical plate is considered in Figure 1. The fluid is assumed to be Newtonian, electrically conducting, constant fluid properties and moves on the top of the surface with a constant velocity $U_\infty$. We also assumed that there are thermal equilibrium between the base fluid (i.e., water) and the nanoparticles and no slip occurs. A uniform magnetic field of strength $B_0$ is applied normal to the plate. In this current work, the magnetic Reynolds number is assumed small, as is the case in most practical applications, and therefore the induced magnetic field is considered insignificant. Applying the nanofluid model proposed by Tiwari and Das [32] and employing the Boussinesq approximation, the governing equations describing the problem may be written as

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{\rho_{nf}} \left[ \mu_{nf} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \left( \rho_{nf} \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial T} \right) g \left( T - T_s \right) - \sigma \frac{B_0^2}{\rho_{nf}} \left( u - U_\infty \right) \right] \quad (2)$$

![Figure 1: Physical model and flow analysis.](image-url)
subject to the boundary conditions:

\[ u = 0, \ v = -v_0 \text{ (constant),} \quad T = T_\infty \text{ at } y = 0; \]

\[ u = U_\infty, \ T = T_\infty \text{ at } y \to \infty \quad (5) \]

A constant suction is imposed at the plate surface, as shown in Figure 1.

In Equs. (1) – (5), \( u \) and \( v \) are the corresponding velocity components in the \( x \) and \( y \) directions, \( v_0 \) is the suction velocity (constant). \( U_\infty \) and \( T_\infty \) are the velocity and temperature of free stream at outer edge of boundary layer and \( \alpha_u \) is a thermal diffusivity of nanofluid which are defined as

\[ \alpha_u = \frac{k_u}{(\rho C_p)_u} \]  

(6)

The dynamic viscosity of the nanofluid, \( \mu_u \) and the density of the nanofluid, \( \rho_u \) are given by Brinkman [33] as

\[ \rho_u = (1-\phi)\rho_f + \phi \rho_p, \quad \mu_u = \frac{\mu_f}{(1-\phi)^{1.5}} \]  

(7)

with \( \phi \) is the nano-particle volume fraction of the nanofluid, \( \rho_f \) is the density of the base fluid, \( \rho_p \) is the density of the solid particle and \( \mu_f \) is the viscosity of the base fluid [34]. Following the Maxwell-Garnett model, the effective thermal conductivity of the nanofluid is given as [35].

\[ k_{nf} = \left(1-\phi\left[(\rho C_p)_f + \phi (\rho C_p)_p\right]\right) \]

(9)

The subscripts \( f, s \) and \( nf \) in Equs. (6) – (9) denote properties of base fluid, nano-particles and nanofluid respectively. Under the concept of boundary layer theory, the thickness of boundary layer, \( \delta(x) \) is defined as

\[ \delta(x) = \left(\frac{\sqrt{\nu x}}{U}\right) \]

(10)

with dimensionless variable \( \frac{u}{U_\infty} = \beta(\eta) \)

Following Watanabe [31], we took the set of dimensionless transformations as

\[ \psi(x, \eta) = (v_0 x U_\infty)^{1/2} f(x, \eta), \quad \eta = \frac{\left(\frac{U_\infty}{v_0}\right)}{\frac{(T - T_s)}{(T_\infty - T_s)}} \]

(11)

The continuity equations, Eq (1) is identically satisfied by defining a stream function \( \psi \) such that

\[ u = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \]

and \( v = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \)

The velocity components can be expressed as

\[ u = U_\infty \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} = \left[ \frac{dq}{dx} - q \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} + q \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} \right] \]

(12)

where \( q \) and \( p \) are defined as

\[ \eta : \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}, \quad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \]

\[ \eta \to \infty : \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}(\xi, \eta) = 1, \quad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}(\xi, \eta) = 0 \]

(17)

where prime denote differentiation with respect to \( \eta \) and \( s \) (suction parameter) is defined as

\[ \eta = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial s} \]

(18)
such that (S>0) and (S=0) for an impermeable surface. We may observe that after transformation, Eqs. (15)-(17) still containing ∂/∂ξ. It is obvious that this problem is a non-similarity boundary layer problem and need to be solved using local non-similarity solution method.

**Local Non-similarity Method**

One frequently-used concept in the solution of non-similarity boundary layers is the principle of local similarity. According to this concept, the right-hand sides of equations (15) and (16) are assumed to be sufficiently small so that it may be approximated by zero, resulting in a system of ordinary differential equations and the computational task is simplified [28]. Therefore, the local similarity solution or the first level of truncation is computationally attractive but leads to numerical results of uncertain accuracy. This is due to the uncertainty on whether to neglect the right-hand side of the equations or not when ξ is not small [38]. In order to overcome such a drawback, Sparrow and Quack [28] and Sparrow and Yu [39] presented the local non-similarity method in obtaining the solutions for the non-similar boundary layer equations. In obtaining the local non-similarity solution of equations (15-17), first, let eliminate the presence of term ∂/∂ξ by defining the new dependent variables as

\[ g = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi}, \theta = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi}, z = \frac{\partial g}{\partial \xi}, \text{and } s = \frac{v_p}{(y_t U)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \]

After substitution variables (18), Equations (15) and (16) become

\[ f' + \left(1 - \phi + \frac{\rho_s}{\rho_f}\right) f' - \frac{1}{2} \eta f - \frac{1}{2} (N+1) \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi} - g'\phi - \theta g\right) = 0 \quad (19) \]

\[ \frac{k_{n^2}}{k_f} \left[ \left(1 - \phi + \frac{\rho_s}{\rho_f}\right) f' - \frac{1}{2} \eta f - \frac{1}{2} (N+1) \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi} - g'\phi - \theta g\right) \right] = 0 \quad (20) \]

and its boundary conditions

\[ f(\xi,0) = 0, f(\xi,\infty) = 2s - \frac{2}{3}g, g(\xi,0), \theta(\xi,0), 0 = 1, \]

\[ f(\xi,0) = 0, f(\xi,\infty) = 1, \theta(\xi,\infty) = 0 \quad (21) \]

Since g and φ represent two additional unknown functions, it is necessary to deduce two more equations for determining the g and φ. Subsidiary equations for g and φ and their boundary conditions are derived by taking the derivatives of equations (19 & 20) and its boundary conditions, Eqn. (17) with respect to ξ. This leads to

\[ \frac{k_{n^2}}{k_f} \left[ f' + \left(1 - \phi + \frac{\rho_s}{\rho_f}\right) f' - \frac{1}{2} \eta f - \frac{1}{2} (N+1) \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi} - g'\phi - \theta g\right) \right] = 0 \quad (22) \]

\[ \frac{k_{n^2}}{k_f} \left[ f' + \left(1 - \phi + \frac{\rho_s}{\rho_f}\right) f' - \frac{1}{2} \eta f - \frac{1}{2} (N+1) \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi} - g'\phi - \theta g\right) \right] = 0 \quad (23) \]

\[ g'(\xi,0) = 3g, g(\xi,0) + 2g, g(\xi,\infty) = 0, \theta(\xi,0) = 0, g(\xi,\infty) = 0, \theta(\xi,\infty) = 0 \quad (24) \]

Equations (22-24) serve as auxiliaries to the governing equations (Eqs. (19-21)). It should be pointed out that this form of local non-similarity solutions is also known as the second level of truncation or also referred as two-equation local non-similarity model [28]. To carry this method to the third level of truncation (or three-equation model), in a similar manner, we differentiated the auxiliary equations (Equs. (22-24) with respect to ξ, while the governing equations and their boundary conditions are retained without approximation [39]. This yield the following equations and boundary conditions

\[ \frac{1}{(1-\phi)^2} f' + \left(1 - \phi + \frac{\rho_s}{\rho_f}\right) f' - \frac{1}{2} \eta f - \frac{1}{2} (N+1) \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi} - g'\phi - \theta g\right) = 0 \quad (25) \]

\[ -2g'\phi - f' - 2\phi g = \zeta \left[ g'z - g'z - 2g + f\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi} - f\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi} \right] \quad (26) \]

In order to complete the formulation of the three-equation model, following Chen [40], terms involving \( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi} \) are very small when ξ is not small. In the case of local similarity model, it is postulated that derivatives involving ∂/∂ξ are very small when ξ is not small. Similarly, in reducing Equs. (25-27), it was postulated that the derivatives of the auxiliary functions \( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} (\xi, z), \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} (z, x) \) are sufficiently small for ξ values not near zero so that they may be neglected [39]. In the case of local similarity model, the outcome is that a part of the momentum and energy equations itself is lost, but there is a fundamental difference in the outcome. In local similarity model, the auxiliary equations (Eqns. (25-27), so that the non-similarity terms in the governing equations are retained. As a result the local non-similarity approach would yield more accurate results compared to the local similarity model [41]. Therefore, the governing equations and its auxiliary equation could be brought together as

\[ \frac{1}{(1-\phi)^2} f' + \left(1 - \phi + \frac{\rho_s}{\rho_f}\right) f' - \frac{1}{2} \eta f - \frac{1}{2} (N+1) \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi} - g'\phi - \theta g\right) = 0 \quad (28) \]

\[ -2g'\phi - f' - 2\phi g = \zeta \left[ g'z - g'z - 2g + f\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi} - f\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi} \right] \quad (29) \]

\[ \frac{1}{(1-\phi)^2} f' + \left(1 - \phi + \frac{\rho_s}{\rho_f}\right) f' - \frac{1}{2} \eta f - \frac{1}{2} (N+1) \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi} - g'\phi - \theta g\right) = 0 \quad (30) \]

\[
\frac{1}{Pr} \left[ \frac{k_v}{1 - \phi} \left( \frac{\rho C_v}{\rho C_p} \right) f \right]'' + \frac{1}{(N+1)} \left[ f g' - \frac{1}{2} \int f' g' \right] - \frac{1}{(N+1)} \left[ f g' - \frac{1}{2} \int f' g' \right] = \frac{1}{Pr} \left[ \frac{k_v}{1 - \phi} \left( \frac{\rho C_v}{\rho C_p} \right) f \right]''
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \int f' g' f'' - 2 \int f' g' f'' - 2 f' f'' + 2 f' g'' - 2 g' f'' = \frac{1}{2} \int f' g' f'' - 2 \int f' g' f'' - 2 f' f'' + 2 f' g'' - 2 g' f''
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \int f' g' f'' - 2 \int f' g' f'' - 2 f' f'' + 2 f' g'' - 2 g' f'' = \frac{1}{2} \int f' g' f'' - 2 \int f' g' f'' - 2 f' f'' + 2 f' g'' - 2 g' f''
\]

subject to the boundary conditions

\[
f \left( \xi, 0 \right) = 0, \quad f \left( \xi, 0 \right) = 2 \xi g \left( \xi, 0 \right), \quad \phi \left( \xi, 0 \right) = 1, \quad f \left( \xi, 0 \right) = 1, \quad \phi \left( \xi, 0 \right) = 0
\]

\[
g \left( \xi, 0 \right) = 0, \quad 3 \phi g \left( \xi, 0 \right) + 2 \xi \phi \left( \xi, 0 \right) = 0, \quad \phi \left( \xi, 0 \right) = 0, \quad \phi \left( \xi, 0 \right) = 0
\]

\[
z \left( \xi, 0 \right) = 0, \quad 5 \xi z \left( \xi, 0 \right) = 0, \quad \chi \left( \xi, 0 \right) = 0, \quad z \left( \xi, 0 \right) = 0, \quad \chi \left( \xi, 0 \right) = 0
\]

Since the values of the problem parameters are given, these resulting system of equations resembles as a system of ordinary differential equations for function f and θ that contain a parameter ξ which also being treated as buoyancy force. Whether the solution is to be carried out at the first, second or third level of truncation, functions of interest are \(R(\xi, \eta), \theta(\xi, \eta)\) and their derivatives. The major physical quantities are of interest are the local skin friction coefficient and the local Nusselt number which are respectively defined by [42]

\[
C_f = \frac{\tau_w}{\rho U_c}, \quad \text{Nu} = \frac{h_x}{k_f}
\]

with \(\tau_w, h_x\) and \(k_f\) are the surface shear stress, heat transfer coefficient and thermal conductivity of fluid respectively. Also given that

\[
\tau_w = \mu_u \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)_{y=0}, \quad \text{and} \quad h_x = \frac{q_x}{(T_w - T_o)}
\]

where the wall (or surface) heat flux is defined as \(q_x = -k_f \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right)_{y=0}\).

Both quantities, the local skin friction coefficient and the local Nusselt number can be written in term of velocity gradient and temperature gradient respectively as

\[
C_f = \frac{1}{(1 - \phi)^{1/2}} \left( \int f(\xi, 0) \right)^{1/2}, \quad \text{Nu} = -\frac{k_f}{h_x} \left( \int \theta(\xi, 0) \right)^{1/2}
\]

**Results and Discussion**

In the present investigation, the results are obtained by two different methodologies namely the Runge-Kutta-Gill integration scheme in conjunction with the shooting method and the three-equation local non-similarity model method. The two-point boundary value problem of the non similar system of ordinary differential equations has been obtained by the Falkner-Skan transformation. The results are presented graphically for dimensionless velocity and temperature distribution for various prescribed parameters, namely the magnetic parameter M, thermal radiation parameter N, nanoparticles volume fraction ϕ, suction parameters s and the buoyancy parameter ξ. The validation of the present results has been verified with the case of a regular Newtonian fluid (ϕ=0) and no suction/injection, no magnetic effect and no thermal radiation, which first studied by Minkowycz and Sparrow [43]. We have compared steady-state results on skin friction, \(f''(0)\) and the rate of heat transfer, -\(\theta'(0)\) for various value of ξ. The results are found in excellent agreement and the comparisons are shown in Table 1.

Five different types of nanoparticles were considered as listed in Table 2, with water as the base fluid. Thermophysical properties of the nanoparticles and base fluid are listed in Table 2 [14]. Following Oztop and Abu-Nada [7], the Prandtl number Pr of base fluid is kept constant as 6.2. The effects of some prescribed parameters are presented graphically in Figures 2-12.

The effects of buoyancy parameter on dimensionless velocity over a vertical plate in the presence of magnetic and radiation for Cu-water nanofluid are shown in Figure 2 and 3. It is an interesting note from Figure 2 and 3 that the increasing of assisting buoyancy flow (ξ>0), the fluid velocity inside the boundary layer increases and whereas increasing in the opposing flow (ξ<0) decreases the magnitude of the velocity profiles. From the definition of buoyancy parameter

\[
\xi = \frac{Gr}{Re^2}
\]

\[
\text{Minkowycz and Sparrow [43]} \quad \text{Present work}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(f''(0)) (\theta'(0))</th>
<th>(f''(0)) (\theta'(0))</th>
<th>(f''(0)) (\theta'(0))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.33206</td>
<td>0.29268</td>
<td>0.3320573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.35774</td>
<td>0.7391622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.41054</td>
<td>1.2179529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.44106</td>
<td>1.5656630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.48619</td>
<td>2.1881869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.56067</td>
<td>3.5269162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0.60283</td>
<td>4.4764563</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Comparison of the values of \(f''(ξ,0)\) and \(-\theta'(ξ,0)\) for various values of ξ.

**Physical properties**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(C_p) (J/kg K)</th>
<th>(\alpha) (W/mK)</th>
<th>(\kappa) (W/m k)</th>
<th>(\sigma_0) (µpC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>4179</td>
<td>997.1</td>
<td>0.613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu (Copper)</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>8933</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃ (Alumina)</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>3970</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂ (Titanium)</td>
<td>686.2</td>
<td>4250</td>
<td>8.9538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuO (Copper oxide)</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>6510</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag (Silver)</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>10500</td>
<td>429</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Thermophysical properties of fluid and nanoparticles [8].

![Graph showing velocity profiles for various positive values of the buoyancy parameter ξ](image)

**Figure 2:** Velocity profiles for various positive values of the buoyancy parameter ξ=0.1, M=0.5, N=0.5 and S=0.5.
Re
ξ
\begin{equation}
\frac{\xi}{Re_c} = \frac{Gr_x}{Re_c} = \alpha x
\end{equation}
(a constant), variation of $\xi$ actually means variation of the distance along the surface. This shows that an assisting buoyancy force acts like a favorable pressure gradient meanwhile the opposing buoyancy force retard the velocity of the flow. The effect of buoyancy forces plays an important role on the displacement of one liquid by another in a consolidated porous medium. The effect of $\xi$ is insignificant in temperature profiles because $\xi$ does not appear directly in the energy Equ. (9). Moreover, the effect of higher Prandtl number results into thinner thermal boundary layer as the higher Prandtl number has a lower thermal conductivity.

Figure 4 depict the influence of the suction parameter ($S>0$) on velocity and temperature profiles in the presence of buoyancy force and thermal radiation of Cu-water nanofluid. With the increasing in the values of suction, the velocity is found to increase, i.e., suction causes to increase the velocity of the fluid in the boundary layer region. While, for the temperature profiles it is observed that increasing $S$ will decreases the temperature distribution inside the boundary layer. In the presence
of suction, the heated fluid is pushed towards the wall thus reduces the thermal boundary layer thickness i.e., thins out the thermal boundary layers. Thus the presence of suction decreases the momentum boundary layer thickness but increases the thermal boundary layer thickness. The application of suction through the walls is a well known and effective method for postponing transition (Figures 2-5).

Figure 5 is presented to show the effect of nanoparticle volume fraction parameter $\phi$ on velocity and temperature profiles in the presence of Cu-water nanofluid. In these figures, it is seen that when volume fraction of the nanoparticles increase from 0 to 0.2, the velocity increases inside the boundary layer which may due to the increasing of the viscosity of the fluid. Referring to Equ. (2), its clearly shown that the velocity is linearly proportional to the fluid viscosity. This means that when the fluid viscosity increases, it will increase the fluid velocity. Also it is observed that with increasing the volume fraction of nanoparticles, the temperature distribution inside boundary layer is increased. This agrees with the physical behavior that when the volume fraction of nanoparticles increases, the density and thermal conductivity of the nanofluid would increases. The stochastic motion of nanoparticles could be an explanation to the thermal conductivity enhancement since smaller particles are more easily to mobilize and causes a higher level of stochastic motion.

Figure 6 represents the velocity and temperature profiles using different types of nanoparticles (Ag, Cu, CuO, Al$_2$O$_3$ and TiO$_2$) when $\xi=1$, $\phi=0.1$ and $s=0.1$. It was noticed that the velocity distribution
of Silver nanofluid (Ag-water) is higher compared to all the other nanofluids. This behavior due to the combined effect of density and thermal diffusivity of Ag-nanofluid as can be seen in Table 2. Higher thermal diffusivity means a higher conductivity compared to heat capacity. This means that the material transfer more heat to the surrounding touching the material rather than stores it. Therefore, the heat that was absorbed by the particles would increase the level of kinetic energy of the particles and indirectly would decrease the viscosity of the nanofluid and therefore increase the velocity of the flow. On the other hand, since silver has the highest thermal conductivity, then this particles has the lowest temperature distribution inside the boundary layer compared to other nanofluids, Higher thermal conductivity means higher heat transfer rate.

Figure 7 presents typical profiles for velocity and temperature for different values of magnetic parameter. It is observed that an increase in the value of magnetic field, M increases the fluid velocity inside the boundary layer but has no significant effect on the fluid temperature distribution. Meanwhile, the effects of thermal radiation, \( N \), on velocity and temperature profiles of Cu-water nanofluid are illustrated in Figure 8 in the case of uniform suction and magnetic field. It is observed that both the velocity and temperature of the nanofluid increases with the increase of radiation parameter \( N \). This result can be explained by the definition in the value of \( N = \frac{4\sigma_0 T^3}{\kappa (\sigma C_p)} \). Since \( N \) is linearly proportional with temperature, increasing in \( N \) will increase the temperature distribution in the boundary layer region.

In the presence of aiding buoyancy force \( \xi > 0 \), it is predicted that the rate of heat transfer of Cu-nanofluid increases with increase of nanoparticle volume fraction whereas the rate of heat transfer firstly decreases and then increases with increase of nanoparticle volume fraction in the presence of aiding buoyancy force \( \xi > 0 \). All these physical behaviour are the combined effects of the strength of density, kinematic viscosity and thermal conductivity of Cu-nanofluid (Figures 9 and 10).

In the presence of thermal radiation, it is observed that the rate of heat transfer of Cu-nanofluid increases with increase of nanoparticle volume fraction because of the combined effects of thermal conductivity and the kinematic viscosity of the cu-nanofluid whereas the rate of heat transfer decreases with increase of nanoparticle volume fraction in the presence of magnetic parameter. All these are the joined effects of density and electrical conductivity of the Cu-nanofluid (Figures 11 and 12).

Conclusion

In the present paper, we have examined the influence of the different type of nanoparticles on boundary layer flow and heat transfer of incompressible nanofluid along the permeable vertical plate with thermal radiation in the presence of magnetic field. The governing partial differential equations for mass, momentum and energy conservation are transformed into ordinary differential equations by using a non-dimensional local non similarity transformation. These equations are solved numerically using Runge-Kutta-Gill integration scheme in conjunction with the shooting method. The two-point boundary value problem of the non similar system of ordinary differential equations has been obtained by the Falkner-Skan transformation. The effects of the magnetic parameter \( M \), thermal radiation parameter \( N \), suction parameter \( S \), the buoyancy parameter \( \xi \) and nanoparticles volume fraction \( \phi \) of Cu-nanofluid and different types of nanofluids (Ag, CuO, Al\(_2\)O\(_3\), TiO\(_2\)) are discussed. Numerical results for the skin friction, rate of heat transfer, temperature and velocity are presented graphically for various parameter conditions.

The results can be summarized as follows:

1. Physically, it is interesting to note that the increase of momentum/thermal boundary layer field due to increase in nanoparticle volume fraction parameter shows that the velocity/temperature decreases/increases gradually as we replace Ag, Cu, CuO, TiO\(_2\) and Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) in the said sequence. It implies that the thermal conductivity of nanofluid is strongly dependent on the nanoparticle volume fraction.

2. It is predicted that the velocity/temperature of Cu-nanofluid increases/decreases with increase of magnetic strength because of the transport properties of the copper nanofluid. Copper nanoparticles in the magnetic field are a unique material that has both the nanofluid and magnetic properties.

3. It is noticed that the velocity and temperature of the copper nanofluid is accelerated significantly with increase of convective radiation because of decrease of thermal conductivity of the copper nanoparticles to decrease the rate of energy transport to the copper nanofluid.

4. In the presence of thermal radiation/magnetic field, the rate of heat transfer of Cu-nanofluid increases/decreases with increase of nanoparticle volume fraction. All these are the joined effects of kinematic viscosity and thermal and electrical conductivity of the Cu-nanofluid.

5. In the presence of aiding buoyancy force, the rate of heat transfer of Cu-nanofluid increases with increase of nanoparticle volume fraction because of the combined effects of the strength of density and thermal conductivity of the Cu-nanofluid.

6. It is observed that the velocity/temperature of the Cu-nanofluid increases/decreases with increase of suction parameter. This is due to the fact that the heated fluid is pushed towards the wall thus reduces the thermal boundary layer thickness i.e., thins out the thermal boundary layers.

7. It is observed that the velocity of the Cu-nanofluid increases/decreases with increase of assisting buoyancy flow \( (\xi > 0) \) opposing buoyancy flow \( (\xi < 0) \) respectively. This shows that an assisting buoyancy force acts like a favorable pressure gradient meanwhile the opposing buoyancy force retard the velocity of the flow. The effect of \( \xi \) is insignificant in temperature profiles because \( \xi \) does not appear directly in the energy Equ. (9).

8. It is interesting to note that the velocity and the temperature of the Cu-nanofluid increase with increase of nanoparticle volume fraction which may due to the combined effect of density and thermal conductivity of the Cu-nanofluid. The stochastic motion of nanoparticles could be an explanation to the thermal conductivity enhancement since smaller particles are more easily to mobilize and causes a higher level of stochastic motion.

It is concluded that the novel and advanced concepts of nanofluids offer fascinating heat transfer characteristics compared to conventional heat transfer fluids. There are considerable researches on the superior heat transfer properties of nanofluids especially on thermal conductivity and convective heat transfer. Applications of nanofluids in industries such as heat exchanging devices appear promising with these characteristics. The increases in effective thermal conductivity are important in improving the heat transfer behavior of fluids. An in-depth understanding of the interactions between particles, stabilizers, the suspending liquid and the heating surface will be important for applications.
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