Measuring the Pain of Mucositis using Oucher and DEGR
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Abstract

Aim: To measure pain in mucositis using two pain scales of contrasting design (DEGR and Oucher), and to compare the two scores.

Patients and methods: Children receiving treatment for pain related to cancer therapy on a regional specialist oncology ward were eligible for the study. Children’s pain was scored in parallel using DEGR administered by the ward nurses and Oucher administered by a research nurse.

Results: Thirty families participated in the study. Pain score data were incomplete for nine. 143 DEGR scores and 92 Oucher scores were obtained. Scores from either scale were discarded if too remote (i.e. >4 hours apart) from their paired score from the other. Forty-six complete sets of paired data were obtained from 21 subjects. Mucositis pain persisted for several days. Oucher scores were significantly and inversely influenced by time while DEGR scores were not, such that scores diverged after three days.

Conclusions: Pain persisted despite intensive treatment. Behaviour patterns associated with persistent pain seemed to become apparent during acute episodes of mucositis. Our data suggest DEGR was more sensitive to persistent pain than the Oucher but patient numbers were small.
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Introduction

Even today, the pain of mucositis is one of the most unpleasant aspects of treatment for childhood cancer [1,2]. The key to managing it effectively is to match the analgesic dose closely with the reported pain intensity [3,4].

To measure a child’s pain experience in a way that allows this in practice, however, remains a fundamental challenge [5-8]. Physiological responses to pain can be measured [9]. But few are specific to pain; most are mimicked by distress. Furthermore, such measurements take no account of pain’s subjective nature. How pain ‘feels’ to children cannot be objectively assessed. This study uses two different instruments to measure pain, both designed for children. The Oucher scale has been well validated [10-15]. It is an example of a self-report ‘faces’ scale.

Pain that does not remit quickly has been termed ‘persistent pain’ (Collins, JJ, 2010, personal communication) to distinguish it from acute or chronic pain. Children whose pain persist will not protest indefinitely [16], but over time may modify movements to minimise pain, then become accustomed to it [17]. After a period, a child may appear pain-free, but only by avoiding uncomfortable movements or simply becoming resigned to a certain severity of pain [16].

Measuring those adjustments is the basis of the DEGR scale [17-20]. Its authors hypothesise that children demonstrate an adaptive behavioural response to persistent pain, akin to resignation, which they call ‘psychomotor atonia (PMA). They suggest that quantitating PMA gives an indication of persistence. The DEGR further evaluates a child’s attempts to minimise pain (‘direct signs of pain’ DSP), and verbalisation of severity (‘voluntary expressions of pain’, VEP). By refining subjective VEP scores using PMA and DSP scores, say its authors, the DEGR is able to identify differences in duration between pains giving the same global score [17-20].

The aim of this study was to use and to compare the two scales in measurement of mucositis pain in children with cancer.

Methods

Full ethical consent was obtained from South Wales Local Research Ethics Committee. Patients were eligible for this study if they were between five and 19 years old, under the care of the Welsh regional Paediatric Oncology Team, commencing analgesic therapy other than paracetamol (acetaminophen) prescribed solely as an antipyretic, and expected to require analgesics for more than 24 hours. Patients with neurodevelopmental delay were excluded as manifestations of pain in this group are different [21,22].

Hospital ward staff was trained in use of the DEGR in its definitive form [17-20]. Suitable potential subjects were identified and invited to consent to participate using age-appropriate consent forms and
information sheets where appropriate, parental consent was also sought. Prescription and modification of analgesia in response to pain scores was according to the ward protocol where possible, total daily opioid requirement was recorded.

A pain score was obtained twice daily using each of the two scales (Figure 1) as nearly simultaneously as practically possible. Only resting pain was assessed. Data were discarded if the interval between the two scores exceeded four hours (Figure 1). The DEGR is designed to be used, retrospectively at change of shift and was therefore used twice daily for each patient. The Oucher has been developed for use in the research setting and was administered by a research nurse blinded to the DEGR scores, according to the designer’s instructions.

Data analysis: clinical methods comparison

Previous comparisons of the Oucher and another behavioural scale [13] suggest a mean difference of 15%. A clinically significant difference was considered to be 10%. A priori power calculation based on reported standard deviation of 1.5 units for the Oucher and 6.2 for the DEGR (20) suggested between 20 and 50 data points were needed to show significant difference (alpha <0.05, power 0.8). In the event there were 46 sets of data from 21 patients.

Comparison was made between the Oucher scores and those of the DEGR in each of the three domains separately and globally. Individual patient profile plots are presented to show the average change in pain scores over time. The agreement and association between the two scales are presented using two plots: a scatterplot partitioned into acute pain (captured on days 1, 2 or 3), and persistent pain captured on day 4 and later. The two scales were compared using the Bland and Altman method [23].

The effects of time on the pain score was analysed for both scales. A poisson generalized regression methodology was used with a log link to model the pain count scores independently for the two instruments, fitted with the R software [24].

Results

Patients

Thirty families agreed to participate in the study and were recruited at the Welsh Regional Paediatric Oncology Centre, now based at the Children’s Hospital in Cardiff (Figure 1). Forty-six complete pain score measurements were collected from 21 patients (Figure 1). The median age was 12.0 years (range 3.9-19.7 years), 9 were male and 12 were female. Participants spent between one and 15 days in the study (Table 1).
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Results

Patients

Thirty families agreed to participate in the study and were recruited at the Welsh Regional Paediatric Oncology Centre, now based at the Children’s Hospital in Cardiff (Figure 1). Forty-six complete pain score measurements were collected from 21 patients (Figure 1). The median age was 12.0 years (range 3.9-19.7 years), 9 were male and 12 were female. Participants spent between one and 15 days in the study (Table 1).

The individual patient profile plots present the pain scores for the two scales (Figure 2, plots A and B). Figure 2, Plot A presents the individual profiles for patients outcomes of the Oucher scale. Figure 2, Plot B presents the individual profile plots for the same patients reporting the DEGR outcome. Within the Oucher scores, but not the DEGR, there is a change over time from enrolment (p<0.05).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numbers of days in the study</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Agreement between Oucher and DEGR

A comparison between the two scales (Figure 3), is shown as a scatterplot. The data suggest that for the first three days after enrolment (left panel), patient scores from the two instruments are moderately associated but that this association is less clear between four and 15 days (right hand panel).

Table 1: Showing number of days spent by each of the subjects in the study.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3: This presents a trellis scatterplot of the Oucher and DEGR scales measured on days 1 to 3 in the left panel, and on days 4 to 15 in the right panel.

The poisson regression model fitted using the Oucher indicates that scores from the Oucher are significantly influenced by the individual (p<0.01) and by the day of measurement (p=0.016; mean slope -0.049 and 95% CI [-0.087, -0.009]). In contrast, using the DEGR, the model indicates that although scores were influenced by the individual (p<0.01), they were not significantly influenced by day (p=0.29; mean slope 0.011 and 95% CI [-0.009, 0.031]).

Changes over time

Over the course of the study, scores using the Oucher fell with time (Figure 3, p<0.05). Using the DEGR, however, there was no such fall (Figure 3) whilst the child continued to require analgesia. Of eight individual patients with more than one pair of scores, six showed a decline in scores using the Oucher (Figure 2). Using the DEGR over the same period, five patients indicated either little change or even an increase in their score (Figure 2). This included both patients who provided scores into a second week.

Discussion

Children remained in significant pain for several days after analgesia was commenced (Figures 2-4). Opioid requirements remained above 1 mg/kg/24 hrs OME (oral morphine equivalent) for several days (Table 2).

When comparing two clinical measurement tools, a common error is to use correlation techniques rather than those, such as the Bland-Altman method, that measure agreement [23]. An earlier comparison of the Oucher with another behavioural scale [13] demonstrated poor correlation between them overall, but agreement, particularly at lower scores, was good.

Agreement between the two scales in this study was also good, though there was systematic bias at higher scores, with the DEGR...
over-reading compared with the Oucher. Analysis of the data shows that both scores are influenced significantly by the individual reporting it; in other words, one child will tend to score more highly than another, irrespective of which scale is used. This is not an unexpected finding.

Strikingly, scores from the Oucher were independently influenced by how long the measurement was taken after enrolment into the study, with scores tending to decline over time after enrolment. This influence was not seen with the DEGR, indicating that the DEGR remains sensitive to pain for longer than the Oucher, and this is supported by the profiles of scores from individual patients (Figure 2) in whom opioid requirements remained significant for some days (Table 2). This would seem to support its authors’ hypothesis that the DEGR scale is able to measure persistent pain.

### Table 2: Showing analgesic requirements (OME = oral morphine equivalence)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recruit no.</th>
<th>Day no.</th>
<th>Analgesia (OME mg/kg/24 h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This is significant in clinical practice. Episodes of mucositis pain requiring inpatient management with major opioids usually exceed three days. Our study suggests that conventional ‘faces’ type pain scales may underestimate it beyond the first few days after admission.

It is important to recognise, however that the number of patients in this study is small and this should mean caution in interpreting its conclusions definitively. Although the number of data points was reasonable, the number of individual patients from whom they came was only just adequate according to our power calculations. As would be expected, this paucity of data was most marked at later time points (Figure 2), so that although our results regarding persistent pain are suggestive, they are far from conclusive. It would be important to confirm these findings in a larger study. This should include many patients’ scores over the whole study period, and particularly over the cusp we have identified at three days.

Furthermore, there are alternative explanations for the observed divergence of the scores. The DEGR has been validated in children between two and six years old and, although in principle it seems plausible that it would be valid in the wider range of ages in our study, patient numbers were too small for us to demonstrate this.

It is also possible that the DEGR measures a phenomenon other than pain. Low mood or depression could, by the nature of the DEGR, plausibly confound the results. The persisting use of analgesics by patients in the study (Table 2) suggests, however, that patients themselves interpreted their experience as pain.

More likely is that there might be a difference in consistent application of the two tools. Both scales rely for their validity on accurate application by appropriately trained personnel. In our study, the Oucher was applied by a single researcher familiar with its use. The DEGR was applied, as its designers intended, by nurses working shifts on the ward. Although all had received training, it is likely the Oucher was applied with greater consistency.

In conclusion, our study has shown that mucositis pain remains a significant problem, despite intensive attention to assessing and treating it. Measured using two scales of fundamentally differing design, pain persisted for some days. There was reasonable agreement between the scales at lower scores and both scores were influenced by the individual being assessed.

Oucher scores, but not DEGR scores, fell over time. The two scores diverged after around three days. Taken together, these observations support the hypothesis that, as its authors intended, the DEGR is more sensitive to persistent pain than the Oucher.

Our study suggests that behaviour patterns that have been associated with persistent pain in children begin to become apparent after only three days of mucositis pain. The validity of using scales designed primarily to assess acute pain should be addressed in future studies.
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