Medical Management of “Uncomplicated” Colonic Diverticular Disease: A Review on Poorly Absorbed Antibiotics
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Abstract

Diverticular disease of the colon is a common gastrointestinal disease. Although most patients remain asymptomatic for their whole life, about 20%-25% present symptoms related to “diverticular disease”. Current guidelines recommend only the use of high spectrum antibiotics in the initial treatment of acute diverticulitis.

Several randomized trials suggest a role for a poorly absorbed antibiotic, such as rifaximin, in soothing symptoms and preventing complications such as diverticulitis.

This review will highlight the role of long term administration of rifaximin in the treatment of symptomatic uncomplicated diverticular disease. The evidence suggests that rifaximin is effective for obtaining symptomatic relief and shows a positive trend in preventing complications.
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Introduction

"In the past few years, our understanding of diverticulitis has been turned on its head. Causative factors? Say goodbye to the 'no seeds and pits' diet. Need for surgery? The simple rule of '2 attacks then operate' is gone. Free perforation? Instead of colostomy, do a laparoscopic lavage. And while the incidence of acute diverticulitis in the young is increasing, the need for aggressive surgical management in this age group is now open to question" by Madoff [1].

The Changing Face of Epidemiology

Diverticular disease of the colon is one of the most common and costly gastrointestinal diseases, and its face is sharply changing, its prevalence increases with age from 5% in the fifth decade of life to 50% in the ninth decade [2,3]. Overall annual age-adjusted admissions for acute diverticulitis are strikingly increasing. In the United States population, a 26% increase between 1998 and 2005 has been recorded [4]. Rates of admission increased more rapidly within patients aged 18-44 years (+82%) and 45-74 years (+36%). Elective operations for diverticulitis rose from 16100 to 22500 per year during the same time period (+29%), also with a more rapid increase (73%) in rates of emergency and in septic complications, can achieve high mortality rate, up to 26% [20-22].

Sandler et al. [5] have been estimated that, in United States, 3400 deaths could be attributed to diverticular disease, with an economic burden in term of direct health care costs of $2.4 billion [6], and the medical impact of this disorder is likely to increase substantially as the population get older. In Europe also, the incidence per 100000 person-years of colonic diverticular bleeding increased over time (from 3.3 in 1996 to 8.0 events in 2005). A small increasing trend was observed for the incidence per 100 000 person-years of intestinal perforations (from 1.5 to 2.3 events) [7,8]. Although most patients remain asymptomatic for their whole life, about 20%-25% present symptoms related to “diverticular disease” at some point [9-11]. Diverticular disease is usually classified as symptomatic uncomplicated disease (diverticulosis), recurrent symptomatic disease or complicated disease [12,13]. Symptomatic uncomplicated disease is characterized by abdominal pain (principally colicky left iliac fossa pain), and altered bowel habits [12-14]. After a first symptomatic episode, 20% of the treated patients develop recurrent symptoms [13]. Among patients with diverticular disease, 25% develop complications [4,15].

Acute diverticulitis is the most common complication of diverticular disease: it will develop in 10%-25% of people with diverticula [3]. Diverticulitis recurrence occurs in 7%-42% of people with diverticular disease, and after the first episode the calculated yearly risk of relapse is 3% [16]. Fifty percent of recurrence occurs within 1 year of the initial episode, and 90% within 5 years [17,18]. A cyclical increase in diverticulitis during the summer months has been noted: Rocco Ricciardi and coll. monitored rates of non elective diverticulitis admissions from 1997 through 2005, as recorded in the United States Nationwide Inpatient Sample (NIS) database, they have shown fewer non-elective diverticulitis admissions in February, with 25% increased rate in August [19]. Surgery, when performed in urgency and in septic complications, can achieve high mortality rate, up to 26% [20-22].

The Main Risk Factor for Complications: Aspirin or Non-Steroidal Antinflammatory Drugs

The more and more wider use of Aspirin or Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) is a possible cause for the incremental rate of diverticular disease complications.

NSAIDs, including aspirin, are a well-known cause of upper gastrointestinal tract complications, and are also implicated in lower gastrointestinal injury. In randomized trials of patients with...
rheumatoid or osteoarthritis, 30%–50% of all serious gastrointestinal events associated with NSAIDs were localized to the lower gastrointestinal tract, with diverticulitis and diverticular bleeding as the most common aetiologies [23,24].

Although a number of case-control studies have shown a significantly higher prevalence of NSAID use among cases with complications of diverticular disease (diverticulitis and bleeding) compared with controls, risk estimates vary widely, with odds ratios ranging from 1.8 to 16.0 [24-29]. Harder risk estimates come from the Health Professionals Study cohort [30]. This is a report on a cohort of 47,210 US men, who were 40-75 years old at baseline in 1986, and presented 939 cases of diverticulitis during a 22-year period of follow-up evaluation. After adjustment for risk factors, men who used aspirin regularly (> 2 times/wk) had a multivariable hazard ratio (HR) of 1.25 (95%CI: 1.05-1.47) for diverticulitis, compared with nonusers of aspirin and NSAIDs. Use of aspirin on a daily basis was associated with the highest risk of diverticulitis (multivariable HR, 1.46; 95%CI: 1.13-1.88). Increased risk of diverticulitis is reported in regular users of nonaspirin NSAIDs (multivariable HR, 1.72; 95%CI: 1.40-2.11), compared with “non users” [31]. Although Aspirin and NSAIDs use is a relevant risk factor for diverticulitis, current use of aspirin does not result in an increased risk of diverticular perforation [32] and probably plays a role in older patients only, since complicated diverticulitis incidence is rising in younger patients.

What are the Effects of Medical Treatments for Uncomplicated Diverticular Disease?

**Fibres and laxatives**

In uncomplicated diverticular disease, Bran or ispaghula husk appear not to be superior to placebo in relieving symptoms at 16 weeks (very low-quality evidence) [12]. Methylcellulose results no more effective at 3 mo at reducing mean symptom scores in people with uncomplicated diverticular disease compared with placebo (low-quality evidence) [12].

**Antispasmodics**

Clinical Evidence found no direct results from randomized controlled trial (RCTs) about antispasmodics in the treatment of people with uncomplicated colonic diverticular disease [12].

**Mesalazine**

Compared with no treatment, Mesalazine may be more effective at 4 years than no treatment at reducing recurrence of symptoms of diverticulitis in people previously treated for an episode of acute diverticulitis (very low-quality evidence) [12]. Clinical Evidence found no systematic review, but some RCTs.

A RCT, by Trespi and Coll [33] compared 8 weeks of treatment with oral mesalazine (400 mg twice daily) versus no treatment. People in both groups had received intramuscular subbac-tam–ampicillin (1.5 g twice daily) and oral Rifaximin (400 mg twice daily) for 7 d before randomisation. They found that mesalazine reduced symptomatic recurrence of diverticulitis at 4 years compared with no treatment [12/81 (15%) with mesalazine vs 39/85 (46%) with no treatment; Relative Risk (RR) 0.32, 95%CI: 0.18-0.57; Number Needed to Treat (NNT)=4, 95%CI: 3-6]. However, the RCT provided insufficient information on several factors. Methods for determining symptom scores, including the assessment and diagnosis of pain, were not reported. In patients with symptomatic uncomplicated diverticular disease, two recent trials have shown that cyclic treatment with mesalazine (800 mg of mesalamine b.i., for 10 d) seems to be clinical, although not statistically effective in reducing the incidence of diverticulitis [33-35].

**Rifaximin**

Concerning medical therapy, current guidelines actually recommend only the use of high spectrum antibiotics in the initial treatment of acute diverticulitis [13,36,37].

Rifaximin is the only oral antibiotic listed as potentially useful also on Clinical Evidence (http://clinicalevidence.bmj.com/ceweb) or Dynamed (http://DynaMedEditor@ebscohost.com) [37]. Clinical trials have provided evidence of the substantial benefit of Rifaximin-alfa (R-α), a poor absorbable antibiotic, in diverticular disease, showing the efficacy of the drug in reducing symptoms in most patients with uncomplicated disease [38-41].

In 2011 our group carried out a meta-analysis of RCTs with R-α plus fiber supplementation, to provide an evidence-based assessment of its potential efficacy in modifying the clinical course of the disease, and in primary prevention of diverticulitis [42].

The objective of this meta-analysis was to compare the efficacy of R-α plus fiber supplementation vs placebo on 1-year symptom disappearance and complication rate in patients with symptomatic uncomplicated diverticular disease.

This study included RCTs of patients with symptomatic uncomplicated diverticular disease with the following design: R-α therapy, or placebo, followed by clinical re-evaluation (at least every 3 mo) to assess symptom relief and complications.

We found 4 RCTs [38-41]. A total of 1660 patients were enrolled: 970 were randomized to treatment with the poorly absorbed antibiotic, and 690 were randomized to no treatment. In all studies the antibiotic used was R-α 400 mg bid for 7 d every month; all patients in both the treated group and in control group, received a standard supplement of dietary fibers.

In all studies the diagnosis of symptomatic uncomplicated diverticular disease was made by double contrast barium enema and/or colonoscopy. Clinical evaluation was performed on admission and at 2-4 mo interval, for the following 12 mo in 4 studies. All studies used different symptom score system based on several clinical variables. However, the review focused only the dichotomous analysis (presence/absence of any symptom).

Two hundred forty-one out of 690 patients in control group (pooled rate 34.9%) were symptom-free at end the follow-up, compared to 621 out of 970 patients in the treatment group (pooled rate 64.0%). The pooled rate difference (RD) for complete symptom relief in favor of R-α group was 29.0% (95% CI 24.5%-33.6%; P < 0.0001; NNT= 3). No heterogeneity was found (Q=1.12, df=3, P=0.77; I2=0%) (Figure 1).

Twenty-two out of 690 patients in control group (pooled rate 3.2%) suffered at least one complication, during 1-year follow up, compared to 15 out of 970 patients in the treatment group (pooled rate 1.5%).

The pooled RD for complication rate in favor of R-α was -1.7% (95%CI: -3.2% to -0.15%, P=0.03; NNT=59). No heterogeneity was found (Q=0.57, df=3, P=0.77; I2=0%). Considering only acute
diverticulitis, 20 out of 690 patients in control group (2.8%) suffered of this complication compared to 10 out of 970 patients in the treatment group (1.0%). The pooled RD for diverticulitis rate in the treatment group was -1.9% (95%CI: -3.4% to -0.57%, P = 0.0057; NNT=50) (Figure 2).

Three out of 4 trials reported side effect data. No significant difference was found between control group and treatment group. Twenty-two out of 690 patients in control group (pooled rate 3.2%) suffered at least one.

Discussion on Available Evidence

The effects of treatments on symptoms in uncomplicated diverticular disease are not well documented. Bran or ispaghula husk, methylcellulose, antispasmodics and mesalazine could be of some help [12]. Consistent evidence indicates that dietary fibre, especially the insoluble fibre found mostly in fruits and vegetables rather than cereals, decreases risk of diverticular disease [43,44]. The protective action of dietary fibre would make the stools bulkier, thereby increasing the colon size and decreasing intraluminal pressures, and reducing colonic transit time [45,46].

The administration of the non-absorbable antibiotic R-α is able to reduce most of the clinical manifestations of diverticular disease, when compared with fiber supplementation alone. This effect is reached mainly through the reduction of the intestinal bacterial overgrowth [47].

It has been suggested that the synergistic effect of R-α on a high-fiber diet may be due to a reduced proliferation of gut microbiota, with a consequent decrease in bacterial hydrogen (H2) and methane (CH4) production, and/or to an expansion in fecal mass, due to a decrease in bacterial degradation of fiber, thus reducing pain [48]. Furthermore, it has been suggested that these effects could induce acceleration in intestinal transit time, thus reducing constipation, which is frequently present in patients with diverticular disease [46]. R-α administration was shown to be effective in normalizing breath H2 profile in patients with intestinal bacterial overgrowth [46-48].

![Figure 1: Rate differences (95% CI) for complete symptom relief at the end the follow-up in prospective randomized trials addressing Rifaximin group vs control group.](image1)

![Figure 2: Rate differences (95% CI) for acute diverticulitis in prospective randomized trials addressing Rifaximin group vs control group.](image2)

R-α absorption from the bowel is considered to be less than 1%, even in presence of colitis [49,50]. Our meta-analysis [42] evaluated the long-term efficacy administration of R-α plus fiber supplementation versus fiber supplementation alone, on symptoms and complications in patient with symptomatic uncomplicated diverticular disease.

The results of our study confirm previous observations, that cyclic administration of R-α, a poorly absorbable antibiotic, achieves symptomatic relief in large proportions of patients with uncomplicated diverticular disease, in comparison to control. After 12 months of follow up, 64.0% (pooled rate: 95%CI: 31.4-38.6) of patients treated with R-α plus standard supplement of dietary fibers were symptom-free, in comparison to 34.9% (95%CI: 60.9-67.0) of patients treated with fibers supplement. The 1-year gain in total symptom relief resulted statistically significant and clinically relevant (+29%, NNT 3).

Although a meta-analysis does not replace a large-scale, well-designed, randomized controlled trial, individual studies may be limited by small sample sizes, especially for end points with relatively low incidences. By pooling all available data, meta-analysis allows for a more precise estimate, than that which can be obtained from the results of any individual study. This study suggests that R-α treatment significantly could be of value in reducing complication development: at 1 year, 1.5% of patients treated with R-α plus standard supplement of dietary fibers developed complications, versus 3.2% of patients treatment with supplement of dietary fibers. The 1-year gain in primary prevention of complications was statistically, but not clinically relevant (-1.7%; NNT 59).

Further studies would be appropriate to check if R-α could have a role in modifying the clinical course of the disease. In fact, one-third of patients will proceed to a second attack of diverticulitis [4,51,52]. It is generally believed that the prognosis is worse with a second attack, since some studies have reported that the rate of complicated diverticulitis in such patients approaches 60 percent and the mortality rate are doubled [4,53,54]. Recurrent diverticulitis is expected to range from 7% to 42% of patients [14], and 50% of recurrence occur within 1 year of the initial episode [15].
Assuming the observed Odds Ratio (OR) for 1-year complication rate from this meta-analysis (0.37, 95%CI: 0.17-0.79), the 1-year NNT to prevent a second episode of diverticulitis could be expected to range from 44 for a 1-year risk of 3.5% (50% of 7%), the minimum range we found in the literature) to 8 for a 1-year risk of 21% (50% of 42%, the maximum range).

Conclusion

Cyclic treatment with R-a plus fiber supplementation is more effective in obtaining symptom relief and could prevent more complications, in symptomatic uncomplicated diverticular disease. We conclude that the evidence that cyclic R-a may further reduce symptoms at 12 mo, in comparison to fiber supplementation, should move form level 2 (mid-level), as indicated in reference 37, to level 1 (meta-analysis of multiple well designed, controlled studies), according to the Standards Committee of American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons [55].

However, at the moment the evidence of an effect of R-a over fiber supplementation on the clinical course of diverticular disease is poor. RCTs on secondary prevention of diverticulitis are warmly expected.
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