

Mesenchymal Stem Cells: How Can we Realize their Therapeutic Potential in Cancer Therapy?

Sarah K Baird*

Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Otago School of Medical Sciences, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand

*Corresponding author: Sarah K Baird, Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, University of Otago, New Zealand, Tel: 0064 3 479 7261; Fax: 0064 3 479 9140; E-mail: s.baird@otago.ac.nz

Rec date: Dec 09, 2014, Acc date: Jan 20, 2015, Pub date: Jan 25, 2015

Copyright: © 2015 Baird SK. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract

Mesenchymal stem cells home to the tumour stroma from the bone marrow, influencing early tumour development and metastasis. Mesenchymal stem cells have been shown to be promising vehicles for cancer therapy due to this tropism for tumour sites, their immunoprivileged status, easy extraction from bone marrow and facile transfection. Mesenchymal stem cells have been used to deliver gene therapy in the form of cytokines or adenovirus and as part of prodrug strategies. However, in order for these agents to be tested in clinical trials, the biology of mesenchymal stem cells must be further investigated. At present there is variation between research groups surrounding mesenchymal stem cell isolation and characterisation methods, as well as in how the cells are delivered to *in vivo* models, making studies hard to compare. Research examining the attraction of mesenchymal stem cells to tumours has found that the cells home towards a wide range of growth factors, cytokines and proteases. Once part of the tumour stroma, mesenchymal stem cells may have both pro- and anti-tumorigenic effects, increasing tumour growth, angiogenesis and metastasis and decreasing immune activation in some systems, and in others, reducing tumour cell proliferation and development of metastases. Understanding more precisely which subsets of mesenchymal stem cells support or undermine the development of the tumour at various timepoints will enable an effective clinical trial strategy for mesenchymal stem cell-based cancer therapies to be developed and replicated with different cancers and treatment sites.

Keywords: Mesenchymal stem cell; Tumour associated fibroblast; Stroma; Proliferation; Metastasis; Targeted therapy; Cytokine; Protease; Growth factor

Abbreviations

MSC: Mesenchymal Stem Cell; PDGF: Platelet Derived Growth Factor; TIMP: Tissue Inhibitor of Matrix Metalloproteinases; MMP: Matrix Metalloproteinase

Introduction

The tumour stromal compartment is widely acknowledged as having an influential role both in the early development and late metastasis of a tumour [1]. Mesenchymal Stem Cells (MSCs) form part of the tumour stroma, homing to the tumour from the bone marrow [2]. In a GFP-positive bone marrow transplanted mouse model with syngeneic ID8 ovarian tumours, it was found that half the tumour stromal cells were derived from the bone marrow [3].

Studies of bone marrow-derived MSCs in the tumour have shown that these cells can influence tumour development [4] and hence manipulation of MSCs or use of MSCs as delivery vehicles are promising therapeutic strategies in cancer treatment. However, a number of issues, particularly concerning basic MSC biology and identification, remain to be addressed before MSC-based strategies can be expected to reach clinical trials.

Mesenchymal stem cell identification and isolation

MSCs are multi-potent, bone marrow-derived, non-haematopoietic cells which resemble fibroblasts in culture. The primary means of MSC identification in older studies was often simply the adherence of cells to plastic following several days in culture [5]. However, following guidance given by the International Society for Cellular Therapy proposal of 2006 [6], this definition was tightened, and MSCs are now commonly characterized in terms of their cell surface markers and the cell types to which they differentiate.

Classification of MSCs in these two ways forms an important part of their isolation procedure, whether they are extracted from bone marrow, blood, tumour or another source. The cell surface markers recommended by the International Society for Cellular Therapy include CD105, CD73 and CD90, as well as the lack of hematopoietic markers CD45, CD34, CD14 or CD11b, CD79a or CD19 and HLA-DR [6]. However, confusion is still prevalent, since some research groups use only a selection of these markers, whereas other authors prefer to measure larger groups of proteins, or define subsets of mesenchymal stem cells using additional markers, such as Nestin, expressed in MSC-like cells which co-localise with and maintain haematopoietic stem cells in the bone marrow [7]. These variations in methodologies can make studies difficult to compare meaningfully.

In terms of differentiation, MSCs classically mature to become osteoblasts, chondrocytes and adipocytes, and the testing for differentiation to each of these is common during isolation of new batches of cells. MSCs have also been shown to differentiate to myocytes, pericytes or tumour associated fibroblasts *in vivo*,

depending upon the requirements of the site to which they are recruited [8,9].

MSC fate once absorbed into a tumour appears to be quite varied, including formation of fibroblasts, pericytes, adipocytes and osteoblasts, as well as tumour associated fibroblasts, although no study as yet has defined the conditions under which each cell type might be found. Mouse model studies have concluded that anywhere between 20% and 90% of tumour associated fibroblasts are derived from MSCs [2,3,10-12], which may highlight tumour model-specific differences as well as varying definitions of the MSC. In a rare human-based study, activated fibroblasts with Y chromosomes were found in female allogeneic bone marrow transplant patients with rectal and gastric neoplasia, who had earlier received bone marrow from male donors [13].

The role of MSCs in cancer development and progression

Overall, the presence of MSCs in the tumour stroma might be expected to promote or inhibit tumorigenic characteristics including growth, angiogenesis, metastasis and immune system evasion, resulting in enhanced or inhibited tumour progression. Techniques used to explore this issue range from co-culture or other *in vitro* strategies, to *in vivo* studies in animal models. Many *in vivo* studies use fluorescent imaging approaches, such as the mouse bone marrow transplant model, in which wild type mice are given a transplant of fluorescent bone marrow cells from, for example, a green fluorescent protein-expressing mouse. The movement of the fluorescent cells can be followed and cells can even be isolated from the tumour for further *in vitro* study [3].

A number of studies have concluded that MSCs contribute to pro-tumorigenic stromal function. MSCs were shown to increase initiation and growth rates of a variety of tumour types including gastric cancer [13], esophageal cancer [14] and MCF7/Ras breast cancer [4], generally through the secretion of anti-apoptotic and pro-proliferative cytokines, for example via production of CCL5 (RANTES) and interleukin-6 in breast cancer models ([4,15] and see Zimmerlin et al. [16] for a more complete list of cytokines). It is also possible that cytokines found to be produced by MSCs in non-cancerous situations, for example, in wound healing, hematopoiesis and arteriogenesis, might also be secreted in cancer [17-19].

MSCs have also been shown to promote late stage cancer progression, including enhancement of invasion, motility and metastasis of cancer cells *in vitro* and *in vivo*. An increase in metastatic characteristics was seen in MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells in an orthotopic mouse model [20], esophageal cell lines TE-1 and Eca109 *in vitro* and *in vivo* [14] and A549 lung cancer cells [21].

Other pro-tumorigenic effects of MSCs in tumour stroma include promotion of angiogenesis via differentiation to mural cells or pericytes, or the secretion of vascular endothelial growth factor [22-24]. MSCs in the tumour stroma were also found to regulate immune system function, with an overall immunosuppressive effect. For example, MSCs inhibited the proliferation of leukocytes in solid tumours [25] and decreased proliferation of B cells and the activation of helper T cells [26,27].

A number of conflicting conclusions, in which MSCs in tumour stroma are shown to be anti-tumorigenic, have also been presented. For example, Kaposi sarcoma tumours in mice were growth inhibited by around two thirds via inactivation of Akt-mediated pathways in the presence of MSCs [28] and murine hepatoma and lymphoma cell line

growth was reduced by up to 90% *in vitro* and *in vivo* [29]. Similar results were found using lung cancer cell line A549 and metastasis was also inhibited [30,31]. MSCs have also been shown to decrease breast cancer cell migration and invasion via production of the tissue inhibitors of matrix metalloproteinases TIMP-1 [32] and TIMP-2 [33].

From these results it is difficult to determine what the overall effect of the presence of MSCs in patient tumour stroma would be. It seems likely that the effect would be context dependent, varying depending on tumour types, other aspects of the microenvironment and the extent of tumour progression. The variation in experimental results seen may depend upon different isolation methods for the MSCs used, providing an example of the importance of standardization of these techniques. Assumptions made by most researchers regarding the older definition of MSCs as the plastic adherent cells, which also provides a very convenient means of cell collection, should also be questioned. A related set of experiments showed that isolation by plastic adherence results in a varied population including myeloid-like cells. Separation of the myeloid MSCs from the rest of the population, followed by co-implantation of each population into mice along with lung cancer cells demonstrated that only the population containing the myeloid-like cells promoted tumour growth and metastasis [3], calling into question the conclusions of some of the studies mentioned above which relied upon this method of MSC collection.

Other variations in experimental technique may also be important, for example, the effect of MSCs *in vivo* may be altered by the method of administration: in some cases, MSCs were co-injected with tumour cells and in other studies they were added at different timepoints via intraperitoneal or tail vein injection. Studies comprehensively comparing different isolation methods and other changes in protocol, including tumour type and the particular mixture of stromal cells may be required to pull this information together.

Another important issue that requires clarification is raised by a study that examines the role of MSCs in a non-solid tumour type. MSCs were shown to increase the adhesion of multiple myeloma cells to the bone marrow, promoting their proliferation and providing protection from chemotherapy [34]. The work highlighted the differences between MSCs from healthy donors and those from patients, a difference which could also be generated by co-culture of MSCs with cancerous cells [35]. Since most studies using freshly isolated mouse or human MSCs do extract them from healthy donors, serious consideration to this point should be given before attempting to draw conclusions relating to cancer patients. Further research in this area, comparing MSCs from healthy donors and those with cancer, should be undertaken.

In summary, the cells that make up the tumour stroma provide the tumour with a complex balance of pro- and anti-tumorigenic signals. MSCs also appear to contribute to both tumour progression and inhibition, although the majority of current evidence is on the pro-tumorigenic side.

How are MSCs attracted to the tumour site?

It is hypothesised that MSCs would migrate towards a tumour since inflammatory signaling from the tumour resembles that of an unhealed wound [36]. Signaling resulting in MSC homing to tumours has been shown to be provided by growth factors, cytokines and proteases.

Growth factors such as insulin-like growth factor-1, epidermal growth factor, vascular endothelial growth factor and Platelet-Derived

Growth Factor (PDGF) have been described as having a role in MSC migration. These growth factors attract MSCs across a Boyden chamber membrane without further stimulus being required, can act synergistically with cytokines and have their pro-migratory effect blocked by antibodies or specific inhibitors [24,37-40]. In an intracranial mouse model of glioma, low PDGF-BB-expressing U87 cells attracted fewer MSCs and grew to a smaller size than high expressing clones [39].

Cytokines involved in MSC attraction towards tumours include interleukin-6 and -8, neurotrophin-3, transforming growth factor- β and stromal-cell derived factor-1 α , which were shown to be important in various cancer cell types including glioma, colorectal and breast cancers [13,38,41-44]. *In vivo*, inhibitors of stromal-cell derived factor-1 α blocked MSC recruitment and tumour development in a mouse model of gastric cancer [13].

Proteases also play a role in allowing MSCs access to the tumour site, and can increase cell migration *in vitro*, acting as attractants in their own right, sometimes even without their protease activity. Most studies have focussed on the matrix metalloprotease enzymes, the MMPs. MMP-2 and membrane type 1 MMP have been shown to be important for the migration and invasion of MSCs alone [44-46], as well as MSC tropism towards medulloblastoma, breast and prostate tumours [47,48], whereas MMP-1 has a defining role in migration towards glioma [49] and inflammatory cytokines such as tumour growth factor- β , interleukin1- β , tumour necrosis factor- α or stromal cell derived factor-1 α may have an indirect effect by up-regulating these enzymes [44].

The most likely scenario in a human patient is that a mixture of growth factors, cytokines and proteases is important in MSC homing to the tumour site. What exactly this mixture is and whether it varies between tumour types and even individuals remains to be discovered.

The promise of MSCs in cancer therapy

Testing of MSC-based approaches for cancer therapy has so far been concentrated on exploiting MSC tropism for tumour sites to deliver gene therapy, drugs or viruses to the tumour in a targeted way. No strategy has as yet progressed to clinical trial; instead studies report results obtained *in vitro* or in animal models.

For example, intravenous injection of interleukin-12 expressing MSCs completely eradicated tumour growth in B16 melanoma, 4T1 breast and Hca hepatoma tumours in mice. No toxicities were observed and an increased apoptotic index and reduction in lymphatic sprouting were also found [50]. In C26 colon and B16F10 melanoma mouse models, chemokine (C-X3-C-motif) ligand 1-expressing-MSCs reduced metastatic nodules and resulted in longer survival [51]. MSCs engineered to secrete interferon- β suppressed growth of breast, prostate, pancreatic and melanoma cancers in animal models [52-55], as did interleukin-12-expressing MSCs in renal cell cancer [56]. MSCs have also been transduced with interferon- γ [54], Tumour Necrosis Factor-Related Apoptosis-Inducing Ligand (TRAIL) [53,57-60] and soluble decoy receptors such as type-I insulin-like growth factor receptor [61].

There are a number of instances of MSCs used in prodrug strategies. For example, the expression of cytosine deaminase by MSCs converted 5-fluorocytosine to 5-fluorouracil in colon and melanoma cancers [62,63]. In another study, MSCs expressing rabbit carboxylesterase converted a camptothecin derivative to the active drug SN-38 in glioma [64]. MSCs have also been used as a vehicle for

tumour-specific delivery of viruses, including a conditionally replicating adenovirus in an orthotopic renal cell carcinoma model. Tumour burden was reduced by 45% and large necrotic areas of tumour were present, which were lacking when the virus was not packaged in MSCs for delivery [40].

Other possible therapeutic approaches using MSCs, such as depletion of MSCs in the tumour and the blocking of MSC homing to tumours, are less well explored. Studies in pancreatic cancer have found that the proportion of MSCs in a tumour increases as time goes on [65,66], suggesting blocking the MSC homing process towards a tumour might alter the normal tumour progression. *In vivo* studies examining cytokine effect in MSC recruitment have shown that even a partial block of MSC recruitment can lead to a reduction in tumour size and metastasis [13,39]. In another study, using conditional knockout in a background of transgenic C57Bl/6 mice, Nestin-positive cells were depleted in bone marrow and the effect on the hematopoietic stem cell niche studied. This significantly changed the behaviour and location of the hematopoietic stem cells [7], an idea which may be able to be extended to solid tumours.

Advantages and possible problems with the use of MSCs in cancer therapy

MSC-based therapies hold many important advantages as potential cancer therapeutics. The cells are accessible and straightforwardly expandable *in vitro*, if we accept current isolation techniques. MSCs have been found to be easily genetically modified with little impact on their phenotype and there is a lack of immune rejection in the patient. A comprehensive body of animal model work has demonstrated that exogenously cultured and injected MSCs specifically home to and survive in tumour sites without being incorporated into normal tissue (see Droujine et al. [67], for more examples). There have been as yet no clinical trials in cancer patients, but from the hundreds of human trials conducted in other diseases including ischemia, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, Crohn's disease and graft-versus-host disease, no incidences of acute toxicity or malignant transformation have been reported [68,69]. However, safety databases summarising this data contain small numbers of patients and lack long-term follow-up [70] and so the problem cannot be considered to be resolved completely. It has been reported, for example, that patients who have received bone marrow transplants do have a higher incidence of solid tumours, however it is not known whether this caused by hematopoietic or mesenchymal stem cells or another aspect of the treatment [71]. MSCs intravenously injected into uninjured mice are cleared and undetectable in the mouse body after 7 days [72], lowering the risk of long-term effects. It is possible that this fast clearance would lower the therapeutic efficacy of MSCs, although this has not yet been tested.

Another potential pitfall is the development of clotting events following MSC infusion. MSCs are reported to express pro-coagulant molecules such as Tissue Factor and Collagen I in vesicles close to the cell surface, and this expression increases with passage number [73]. However, so far, thrombotic events following MSC therapy have not been reported in humans. Potential lethal micro-vascular plugging has been found to occur in animal experiments, which may need to be further investigated [74,75].

The heterogeneity of MSCs from patient to patient and from collection method to method may lead to contamination with cells of another lineage which may have different effects on a tumour from the

MSCs themselves, suggesting that a definitive set of markers for MSCs and refined purification procedure are urgently required. Studies characterising which populations of MSCs do actually home to tumours and comparing MSCs derived from tumours and from healthy patients would aid greatly in the possibilities of bringing MSC-based cancer therapies into the clinic. In other words, research which steps back and examines the basic biology of MSCs and their interaction with tumour sites is what is most required to be able to link the MSC-based therapeutic strategies which have been proposed with human trials.

Also to be considered are the potential regenerative effects on the tumour of a large influx of MSCs appearing at the cancer site, as would occur in cell-based cancer therapy. And since the role of MSCs in the bone marrow is immunosuppression, the effect on the immune system at the tumour site of an influx of MSCs should also be examined.

Maximising the potential of MSCs in cancer therapy: Summary

Manipulating the tumour stromal compartment or using its properties to deliver drugs in a targeted manner is a very promising strategy in cancer therapy. Stromal cells make up a greater volume of the tumour than the mutated cancer cells and do not themselves mutate to develop chemoresistance. Since MSCs originate outside the tumour, altering their behaviour, especially their homing patterns, may disrupt the protumorigenic stromal microenvironment and could be a powerful avenue for controlling tumour development and reducing tumour advancement, including metastasis. This would, however, require an increased understanding of MSC biology, right from the basic level of cell type definition, to overall homing mechanisms *in vivo* and influence on tumour behaviour in the complex microenvironment of the tumour, so that conclusions which could be generalised across tumour types could be drawn.

References

1. Bissell MJ, Radisky D (2001) Putting tumours in context. *Nat Rev Cancer* 1: 46-54.
2. Wang H, Cao F, De A, Cao Y, Contag C, et al. (2009) Trafficking mesenchymal stem cell engraftment and differentiation in tumor-bearing mice by bioluminescence imaging. *Stem Cells* 27: 1548-1558.
3. Kidd S, Spaeth E, Watson K, Burks J, Lu H, et al. (2012) Origins of the tumor microenvironment: quantitative assessment of adipose-derived and bone marrow-derived stroma. *PLoS One* 7: e30563.
4. Karnoub AE, Dash AB, Vo AP, Sullivan A, Brooks MW, et al. (2007) Mesenchymal stem cells within tumour stroma promote breast cancer metastasis. *Nature* 449: 557-563.
5. Friedenstein AJ, Latzinik NV, Gorskaya YuF, Luria EA, Moskvina IL (1992) Bone marrow stromal colony formation requires stimulation by haemopoietic cells. *Bone Miner* 18: 199-213.
6. Dominici M, Le Blanc K, Mueller I, Slaper-Cortenbach I, Marini F, et al. (2006) Minimal criteria for defining multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells. The International Society for Cellular Therapy position statement. *Cytotherapy* 8: 315-317.
7. Méndez-Ferrer S, Michurina TV, Ferraro F, Mazloom AR, Macarthur BD, et al. (2010) Mesenchymal and haematopoietic stem cells form a unique bone marrow niche. *Nature* 466: 829-834.
8. Bergfeld SA, DeClerck YA (2010) Bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells and the tumor microenvironment. *Cancer Metastasis Rev* 29: 249-261.
9. Dawson MR, Chae SS, Jain RK, Duda DG (2011) Direct evidence for lineage-dependent effects of bone marrow stromal cells on tumor progression. *Am J Cancer Res* 1: 144-154.
10. Sangai T, Ishii G, Kodama K, Miyamoto S, Aoyagi Y, et al. (2005) Effect of differences in cancer cells and tumor growth sites on recruiting bone marrow-derived endothelial cells and myofibroblasts in cancer-induced stroma. *Int J Cancer* 115: 885-892.
11. Gupta PB, Proia D, Cingoz O, Weremowicz J, Naber SP, et al. (2007) Systemic stromal effects of estrogen promote the growth of estrogen receptor-negative cancers. *Cancer Res* 67: 2062-2071.
12. Quante M, Tu SP, Tomita H, Gonda T, Wang SS, et al. (2011) Bone marrow-derived myofibroblasts contribute to the mesenchymal stem cell niche and promote tumor growth. *Cancer Cell* 19: 257-272.
13. Worthley DL, Ruzkiewicz A, Davies R, Moore S, Nivison-Smith I, et al. (2009) Human gastrointestinal neoplasia-associated myofibroblasts can develop from bone marrow-derived cells following allogeneic stem cell transplantation. *Stem Cells* 27: 1463-1468.
14. Yang X, Li Z, Ma Y, Gao J, Liu S, et al. (2014) Human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells promote carcinoma growth and lymph node metastasis when co-injected with esophageal carcinoma cells in nude mice. *Cancer Cell Int* 14: 93.
15. da Silva Meirelles L, Caplan AI, Nardi NB (2008) In search of the *in vivo* identity of mesenchymal stem cells. *Stem Cells* 26: 2287-2299.
16. Zimmerlin L, Park TS, Zambidis ET, Donnenberg VS, Donnenberg AD (2013) Mesenchymal stem cell secretome and regenerative therapy after cancer. *Biochimie* 95: 2235-2245.
17. Wu Y, Zhao RC, Tredget EE (2010) Concise review: bone marrow-derived stem/progenitor cells in cutaneous repair and regeneration. *Stem Cells* 28: 905-915.
18. Majumdar MK, Thiede MA, Haynesworth SE, Bruder SP, Gerson SL (2000) Human marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) express hematopoietic cytokines and support long-term hematopoiesis when differentiated toward stromal and osteogenic lineages. *J Hematother Stem Cell Res* 9: 841-848.
19. Kinnaird T, Stabile E, Burnett MS, Lee CW, Barr S, et al. (2004) Marrow-derived stromal cells express genes encoding a broad spectrum of arteriogenic cytokines and promote *in vitro* and *in vivo* arteriogenesis through paracrine mechanisms. *Circ Res* 94: 678-685.
20. Chaturvedi P, Gilkes DM, Wong CC, Kshitiz, Luo W, Zhang H, et al. (2013) Hypoxia-inducible factor-dependent breast cancer-mesenchymal stem cell bidirectional signaling promotes metastasis. *J Clin Invest* 123: 189-205.
21. Zhang MH, Hu YD, Xu Y, Xiao Y, Luo Y, et al. (2013) Human mesenchymal stem cells enhance autophagy of lung carcinoma cells against apoptosis during serum deprivation. *Int J Oncol* 42: 1390-1398.
22. Au P, Tam J, Fukumura D, Jain RK (2008) Bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells facilitate engineering of long-lasting functional vasculature. *Blood* 111: 4551-4558.
23. Sun B, Zhang S, Ni C, Zhang D, Liu Y, et al. (2005) Correlation between melanoma angiogenesis and the mesenchymal stem cells and endothelial progenitor cells derived from bone marrow. *Stem Cells Dev* 14: 292-298.
24. Beckermann BM, Kallifatidis G, Groth A, Frommhold D, Apel A, et al. (2008) VEGF expression by mesenchymal stem cells contributes to angiogenesis in pancreatic carcinoma. *Br J Cancer* 99: 622-631.
25. Djouad F, Plence P, Bony C, Tropel P, Apparailly F, et al. (2003) Immunosuppressive effect of mesenchymal stem cells favors tumor growth in allogeneic animals. *Blood* 102: 3837-3844.
26. Nauta AJ, Fibbe WE (2007) Immunomodulatory properties of mesenchymal stromal cells. *Blood* 110: 3499-3506.
27. Uccelli A, Moretta L, Pistoia V (2008) Mesenchymal stem cells in health and disease. *Nat Rev Immunol* 8: 726-736.
28. Khakoo AY, Pati S, Anderson SA, Reid W, Elshal MF, et al. (2006) Human mesenchymal stem cells exert potent antitumorigenic effects in a model of Kaposi's sarcoma. *J Exp Med* 203: 1235-1247.
29. Lu YR, Yuan Y, Wang XJ, Wei LL, Chen YN, et al. (2008) The growth inhibitory effect of mesenchymal stem cells on tumor cells *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Cancer Biol Ther* 7: 245-251.

30. Tian LL, Yue W, Zhu F, Li S, Li W (2011) Human mesenchymal stem cells play a dual role on tumor cell growth in vitro and in vivo. *J Cell Physiol* 226: 1860-1867.
31. K eramidas M, de Fraipont F, Karageorgis A, Moisan A, Persoons V, et al. (2013) The dual effect of mesenchymal stem cells on tumour growth and tumour angiogenesis. *Stem Cell Res Ther* 4: 41.
32. Kuvaja P, Hulkkonen S, Pasanen I, Soini Y, Lehtonen S, et al. (2012) Tumor tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases-1 (TIMP-1) in hormone-independent breast cancer might originate in stromal cells, and improves stratification of prognosis together with nodal status. *Exp Cell Res* 318: 1094-1103.
33. Clarke MR, Imhoff FM, Baird SK (2014) Mesenchymal stem cells inhibit breast cancer cell migration and invasion through secretion of tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-1 and -2. *Mol Carcinog*.
34. Reagan MR, Ghobrial IM (2012) Multiple myeloma mesenchymal stem cells: characterization, origin, and tumor-promoting effects. *Clin Cancer Res* 18: 342-349.
35. Yaccoby S, Wezeman MJ, Zangari M, Walker R, Cottler-Fox M, et al. (2006) Inhibitory effects of osteoblasts and increased bone formation on myeloma in novel culture systems and a myelomatous mouse model. *Haematologica* 91: 192-199.
36. Dvorak HF (1986) Tumors: wounds that do not heal. Similarities between tumor stroma generation and wound healing. *N Engl J Med* 315: 1650-1659.
37. Li Y, Yu X, Lin S, Li X, Zhang S, et al. (2007) Insulin-like growth factor 1 enhances the migratory capacity of mesenchymal stem cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 356: 780-784.
38. Nakamizo A, Marini F, Amano T, Khan A, Studeny M, et al. (2005) Human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells in the treatment of gliomas. *Cancer Res* 65: 3307-3318.
39. Hata N, Shinjima N, Gumin J, Yong R, Marini F, et al. (2010) Platelet-derived growth factor BB mediates the tropism of human mesenchymal stem cells for malignant gliomas. *Neurosurgery* 66: 144-156.
40. Hsiao WC, Sung SY, Liao CH, Wu HC, Hsieh CL. (2012) Vitamin D3-inducible mesenchymal stem cell-based delivery of conditionally replicating adenoviruses effectively targets renal cell carcinoma and inhibits tumor growth. *Mol Pharm* 29: 1396-1408.
41. Birnbaum T, Roider J, Schankin CJ, Padovan CS, Schichor C, et al. (2007) Malignant gliomas actively recruit bone marrow stromal cells by secreting angiogenic cytokines. *J Neurooncol* 83: 241-247.
42. Menon LG, Picinich S, Koneru R, Gao H, Lin SY, et al. (2007) Differential gene expression associated with migration of mesenchymal stem cells to conditioned medium from tumor cells or bone marrow cells. *Stem Cells* 25: 520-528.
43. Liu S, Ginestier C, Ou SJ, Clouthier SG, Patel SH, et al. (2011) Breast cancer stem cells are regulated by mesenchymal stem cells through cytokine networks. *Cancer Res* 71: 614-624.
44. Ries C, Egea V, Karow M, Kolb H, Jochum M, et al. (2007) MMP-, MT1-MMP, and TIMP-2 are essential for the invasive capacity of human mesenchymal stem cells: differential regulation by inflammatory cytokines. *Blood* 109: 4055-4063.
45. Lu C, Li XY, Hu Y, Rowe RG, Weiss SJ (2010) MT1-MMP controls human mesenchymal stem cell trafficking and differentiation. *Blood* 115: 221-229.
46. De Becker A, Van Hummelen P, Bakkus M, Vande Broek I, De Wever J, et al. (2007) Migration of culture-expanded human mesenchymal stem cells through bone marrow endothelium is regulated by matrix metalloproteinase-2 and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-3. *Haematologica* 92: 440-449.
47. Bhoopathi P, Chetty C, Gogineni VR, Gujrati M, Dinh DH, et al. (2011) MMP-2 mediates mesenchymal stem cell tropism towards medulloblastoma tumors. *Gene Ther* 18: 692-701.
48. Song C, Li G (2011) CXCR4 and matrix metalloproteinase-2 are involved in mesenchymal stromal cell homing and engraftment to tumors. *Cytotherapy* 13: 549-561.
49. Ho IA, Chan KY, Ng WH, Guo CM, Hui KM, et al. (2009) Matrix metalloproteinase 1 is necessary for the migration of human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells toward human glioma. *Stem Cells* 27: 1366-1375.
50. Chen X, Lin X, Zhao J, Shi W, Zhang H, et al. (2008) A tumor-selective biotherapy with prolonged impact on established metastases based on cytokine gene-engineered MSCs. *Mol Ther* 16: 749-756.
51. Xin H, Kanehira M, Mizuguchi H, Hayakawa T, Kikuchi T, et al. (2007) Targeted delivery of CX3CL1 to multiple lung tumors by mesenchymal stem cells. *Stem Cells* 25: 1618-1626.
52. Kidd S, Caldwell L, Dietrich M, Samudio I, Spaeth EL, et al. (2010) Mesenchymal stromal cells alone or expressing interferon-beta suppress pancreatic tumors in vivo, an effect countered by anti-inflammatory treatment. *Cytotherapy* 12: 615-625.
53. Studeny M, Marini FC, Champlin RE, Zompetta C, Fidler IJ, et al. (2002) Bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells as vehicles for interferon-beta delivery into tumors. *Cancer Res* 62: 3603-3608.
54. Ahn Jo, Lee Hw, Seo Kw, Kang Sk, Ra Jc, et al. (2013) Anti-tumor effect of adipose tissue derived-mesenchymal stem cells expressing interferon- β and treatment with cisplatin in a xenograft mouse model for canine melanoma. *PLoS One* 8: e74897.
55. Ren C, Kumar S, Chanda D, Kallman L, Chen J, et al. (2008) Cancer gene therapy using mesenchymal stem cells expressing interferon-beta in a mouse prostate cancer lung metastasis model. *Gene Ther* 15: 1446-1453.
56. Gao P, Ding Q, Wu Z, Jiang H, Fang Z (2010) Therapeutic potential of human mesenchymal stem cells producing IL-12 in a mouse xenograft model of renal cell carcinoma. *Cancer Lett* 290: 157-166.
57. Loebinger MR, Eddaoudi A, Davies D, Janes SM (2009) Mesenchymal stem cell delivery of TRAIL can eliminate metastatic cancer. *Cancer Res* 69: 4134-4142.
58. Sage EK, Kolluri KK, McNulty K, Lourenco Sda S, Kalber TL, et al. (2014) Systemic but not topical TRAIL-expressing mesenchymal stem cells reduce tumour growth in malignant mesothelioma. *Thorax* 69: 638-647.
59. Mohr A, Albarenque SM, Deedigan L, Yu R, Reidy M, et al. (2010) Targeting of XIAP combined with systemic mesenchymal stem cell-mediated delivery of sTRAIL ligand inhibits metastatic growth of pancreatic carcinoma cells. *Stem Cells* 28: 2109-2120.
60. Menon LG, Kelly K, Yang HW, Kim SK, Black PM, et al. (2009) Human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stromal cells expressing S-TRAIL as a cellular delivery vehicle for human glioma therapy. *Stem Cells* 27: 2320-2330.
61. Wang N, Fallavollita L, Nguyen L, Burnier J, Rafei M, et al. (2009) Autologous bone marrow stromal cells genetically engineered to secrete an igf-I receptor decoy prevent the growth of liver metastases. *Mol Ther* 17: 1241-1249.
62. Kucerova L, Altanerova V, Matuskova M, Tyciakova S, Altaner C (2007) Adipose tissue-derived human mesenchymal stem cells mediated prodrug cancer gene therapy. *Cancer Res* 67: 6304-6313.
63. Kucerova L, Matuskova M, Pastorakova A, Tyciakova S, Jakubikova J, et al. (2008) Cytosine deaminase expressing human mesenchymal stem cells mediated tumour regression in melanoma bearing mice. *J Gene Med* 10: 1071-1082.
64. Choi SA, Lee JY, Wang KC, Phi JH, Song SH, et al. (2012) Human adipose tissue-derived mesenchymal stem cells: characteristics and therapeutic potential as cellular vehicles for prodrug gene therapy against brainstem gliomas. *Eur J Cancer* 48: 129-137.
65. Ishii G, Sangai T, Oda T, Aoyagi Y, Hasebe T, et al. (2003) Bone-marrow-derived myofibroblasts contribute to the cancer-induced stromal reaction. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 309: 232-240.
66. Direkze NC, Hodivala-Dilke K, Jeffery R, Hunt T, Poulosom R, et al. (2004) Bone marrow contribution to tumor-associated myofibroblasts and fibroblasts. *Cancer Res* 64: 8492-8495.
67. Droujinine IA, Eckert MA, Zhao W (2013) To grab the stroma by the horns: from biology to cancer therapy with mesenchymal stem cells. *Oncotarget* 4: 651-664.

-
68. Lotfinegad P, Shamsasenjan K, Movassaghpour A, Majidi J, Baradaran B (2014) Immunomodulatory nature and site specific affinity of mesenchymal stem cells: a hope in cell therapy. *Adv Pharm Bull* 4: 5-13.
 69. Le Blanc K, Frassoni F, Ball L, Locatelli F, Roelofs H, et al. (2008) Mesenchymal stem cells for treatment of steroid-resistant, severe, acute graft-versus-host disease: a phase II study. *Lancet* 371: 1579-1586.
 70. Herberts CA, Kwa MS, Hermsen HP (2011) Risk factors in the development of stem cell therapy. *J Transl Med* 9: 29.
 71. Adès L, Guardiola P, Sociè G (2002) Second malignancies after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: new insight and current problems. *Blood Rev* 16: 135-146.
 72. Kidd S, Spaeth E, Dembinski JL, Dietrich M, Watson K, et al. (2009) Direct evidence of mesenchymal stem cell tropism for tumor and wounding microenvironments using in vivo bioluminescent imaging. *Stem Cells* 27: 2614-2623.
 73. Moll G, Rasmusson-Duprez I, von Bahr L, Connolly-Andersen AM, Elgue G, et al. (2012) Are therapeutic human mesenchymal stromal cells compatible with human blood? *Stem Cells* 30: 1565-1574.
 74. Lee RH, Pulin AA, Seo MJ, Kota DJ, Ylostalo J, et al. (2009) Intravenous hMSCs improve myocardial infarction in mice because cells embolized in lung are activated to secrete the anti-inflammatory protein TSG-6. *Cell Stem Cell* 5: 54-63.
 75. Vulliet PR, Greeley M, Halloran SM, MacDonald KA, Kittleson MD (2004) Intra-coronary arterial injection of mesenchymal stromal cells and microinfarction in dogs. *Lancet* 363: 783-784.