

Monitoring of Antiplatelet Therapy in Clinical Practice: Is it Necessary or Not?

Matej Samos^{1*} and Marián Fedor²

¹Department of Internal Medicine I, Jessenius Faculty of Medicine, Comenius University, Martin, Slovak Republic

²National Center of Hemostasis and Thrombosis, Department of Hematology and Blood Transfusion, Jessenius Faculty of Medicine, Comenius University, Martin, Slovak Republic

Abstract

Dual antiplatelet therapy forms currently the basis in acute coronary syndrome pharmacological treatment. However, there is a wide variability in antiplatelet response to clopidogrel, which may lead to antiplatelet therapy insufficient efficacy and subsequent risk of thrombotic events. Laboratory monitoring of antiplatelet therapy may help to identify patients with insufficient antiplatelet response. We discuss the benefits of routine monitoring of antiplatelet therapy in clinical practice.

To the Editor:

Dual antiplatelet therapy containing aspirin and ADP receptor antagonist forms currently the basis in Acute Coronary Syndrome (ACS) pharmacological treatment. The introduction of ADP receptor antagonists has made a major advance in the ACS treatment. Clopidogrel given in the CURE study in patients with ACS significantly improved the clinical outcome compared with patients treated with aspirin alone [1]. However, there is a wide variability in antiplatelet response to clopidogrel, which may lead to antiplatelet therapy insufficient efficacy and subsequent risk of thrombotic events. High on-treatment platelet reactivity has been associated with a substantial hazard for future cardiovascular events, including stent thrombosis [2]. Variability of antiplatelet response to clopidogrel is associated with several factors, such as variability of clopidogrel absorption, variability of the active metabolite creation, or variability in P2Y₁₂ receptor antagonist activity [3]. These factors may be influenced by both genetic polymorphisms, together with several environmental factors, such as different drug interactions at the level of CYP P 450 2C19 and 3A4, or at the level of P-glycoprotein [3,4]. Recently, there is also growing number of data reporting a failure in antiplatelet response following clopidogrel administration, which is specifically associated with insulin resistance and diabetes mellitus [5], however the mechanism of this antiplatelet resistance is not well understood and further studies will be needed to clarify this issue. Laboratory monitoring of antiplatelet therapy may help to identify patients with insufficient antiplatelet response.

On the other hand, prasugrel—a new ADP receptor antagonist - induces more potent platelet inhibition and patients might be exposed to higher bleeding risk [6]. Prasugrel was shown to increase Non Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting (CABG) - related bleeding in ACS patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. Recently published study have suggested that a VASP index <16 % after ADP antagonist loading dose was predictive of non CABG - related major bleeding [7]. This fact only underlines the importance of tailored antiplatelet therapy and careful monitoring needed for ADP antagonist treatment. High dose clopidogrel treatment might be an alternative to prasugrel therapy in patients with clopidogrel resistance and high risk of bleeding [8]; but this option is recently not generally recommended. Ticagrelor administration may be other effective step to overcome clopidogrel resistance. Ticagrelor – an active, non – thienopyridine ADP receptor antagonist – is not affected by cytochrome P450 pharmacokinetic interactions. In PLATO study [9] ticagrelor effectively reduced mortality in patients with acute coronary syndromes. In this study no difference between diabetic and nondiabetic patients was seen. Silvano et al. described a rare case of resistance to both clopidogrel and prasugrel in nondiabetic patient with acute STEMI [10] due

to genetically abnormal metabolism of antiplatelet drugs (reduced activity of CYP P450 2C19 and 3A4 verified by genetic testing), which was successfully treated with ticagrelor administration. Ticagrelor administration therefore may overcome both clopidogrel and prasugrel high on treatment platelet reactivity.

Aspirin is a “classic” antiplatelet agent frequently used in primary and secondary prevention of atherothrombotic events not only in patients with ACS. Nevertheless, large numbers of patients continue to experience these events despite aspirin therapy. “Aspirin treatment failure” has a multifactorial aetiology. Treatment nonadherence and noncompliance (due to gastrointestinal intolerance, bleeding, etc.) is an important problem in clinical practice [11]. However, approximately 10% of aspirin treated patients do not respond appropriately despite adequate compliance. “Aspirin resistance” is a complex problem including drug interactions; inter individual variability in absorption, cyclo oxygenase – 1 gene polymorphism, high platelet turnover and other not yet well understood factors [11]. Simpson et al. recently reported 21.9 % prevalence of aspirin high on – treatment platelet reactivity in diabetic patients and 15.8 % prevalence in nondiabetic patients [12]. Laboratory monitoring of antiplatelet therapy efficacy may also help to identify patients with aspirin resistance, but real clinical importance of this phenomenon remains controversial.

Numerous platelet function tests are currently available for antiplatelet therapy monitoring. Light Transmission Aggregometry (LTA) with specific inducer represents nowadays a “golden standard” in antiplatelet response testing, however, several “point of care” assays had been recently introduced in clinical practice. Verify Now® assay (Accumetries, San Diego, California, USA), for example, allows rapid assessment of platelet response on aspirin, ADP receptor antagonist and glycoprotein IIb/IIIa antagonist in one blood sample [13]. VASP phosphorylation assessment by flow cytometry represents, on the other hand, a specific method for ADP receptor antagonist activity assessment

***Corresponding author:** Matej Samos, Department of Internal Medicine I, Jessenius Faculty of Medicine, Comenius University, Martin, Slovak Republic, Tel: +421-907-612-943; E-mail: matej.samos@gmail.com

Received December 17, 2013; **Accepted** January 22, 2014; **Published** January 24, 2014

Citation: Samos M, Fedor M (2014) Monitoring of Antiplatelet Therapy in Clinical Practice: Is it Necessary or Not? J Hematol Thromb Dis 2: 129. doi: [10.4172/2329-8790.1000129](https://doi.org/10.4172/2329-8790.1000129)

Copyright: © 2014 Samos M, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

[14]. Advantage of this examination is its specificity for ADP receptor intracellular pathway and sample stability. Our experience show, that VASP assay is more specific for ADP antagonist efficacy assessment; however LTA is more available in clinical practice. LTA is probably sensitive enough to monitor the efficacy of ADP receptor antagonist therapy. Despite several disadvantages, LTA seems to be a method well applicable in a routine clinical practice. In case of both LTA and VASP assays are not available; at least a bed site testing should be performed. Bed site antiplatelet drug efficacy testing may provide a rough guiding on how to proceed with treatment drugs and dosages.

Although monitoring of antiplatelet treatment is nowadays not generally recommended, it can significantly help to identify patients with insufficient antiplatelet response. Patients with insufficient response may benefit from new ADP receptor antagonists treatment. On the other hand, laboratory monitoring may also identify patients with increased bleeding risk. Routine laboratory monitoring of antiplatelet therapy in selected patients (e.g. in ACS patients) therefore deserves consideration.

References

1. Yusuf S, Zhao F, Mehta SR, Chrolavicius S, Tognoni G, et al. (2001) Effects of clopidogrel in addition to aspirin in patients with acute coronary syndromes without ST-segment elevation. *N Engl J Med* 345: 494-502.
2. Geisler T, Langer H, Wydymus M, Göhring K, Zürn C, et al. (2006) Low response to clopidogrel is associated with cardiovascular outcome after coronary stent implantation. *Eur Heart J* 27: 2420-2425.
3. Taubert D, von Beckerath N, Grimberg G, Lazar A, Jung N, et al. (2006) Impact of P-glycoprotein on clopidogrel absorption. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 80: 486-501.
4. Abraham NS, Hlatky MA, Antman EM, Bhatt DL, Bjorkman DJ, et al. (2010) ACCF/ACG/AHA 2010 Expert Consensus Document on the concomitant use of proton pump inhibitors and thienopyridines: a focused update of the ACCF/ACG/AHA 2008 expert consensus document on reducing the gastrointestinal risks of antiplatelet therapy and NSAID use: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Task Force on Expert Consensus Documents. *Circulation* 122: 2619-2633.
5. Erlinge D, Varenhorst C, Braun OO, James S, Winters KJ, et al. (2008) Patients with poor responsiveness to thienopyridine treatment or with diabetes have lower levels of circulating active metabolite, but their platelets respond normally to active metabolite added ex vivo. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 52: 1968-1977.
6. Trenk D, Stone GW, Gawaz M, Kastrati A, Angiolillo DJ, et al. (2012) A randomized trial of prasugrel versus clopidogrel in patients with high platelet reactivity on clopidogrel after elective percutaneous coronary intervention with implantation of drug-eluting stents: results of the TRIGGER-PCI (Testing Platelet Reactivity In Patients Undergoing Elective Stent Placement on Clopidogrel to Guide Alternative Therapy With Prasugrel) study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 59: 2159-2164.
7. Laine M, Toesca R, Berbis J, Frere C, Barnay P, et al. (2013) Platelet reactivity evaluated with the VASP assay following ticagrelor loading dose in acute coronary syndrome patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. *Throm Res* 132: 15-18.
8. Montalescot G, Sideris G, Meuleman C, Bal-dit-Sollier C, Lellouche N, et al. (2006) A randomized comparison of high clopidogrel loading doses in patients with non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndromes: the ALBION (Assessment of the Best Loading Dose of Clopidogrel to Blunt Platelet Activation, Inflammation and Ongoing Necrosis) trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 48: 931-938.
9. Wallentin L, Becker RC, Budaj A, Cannon CP, Emanuelsson H, et al. (2009) Ticagrelor versus clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med* 361: 1045-1057.
10. Silvano M, Zambon CF, De Rosa G, Plebani M, Pengo V, et al. (2011) A case of resistance to clopidogrel and prasugrel after percutaneous coronary angioplasty. *J Thromb Thrombolysis* 31: 233-234.
11. Floyd CN1, Ferro A2 (2014) Mechanisms of aspirin resistance. *Pharmacol Ther* 141: 69-78.
12. Simpson SH1, Abdelmoneim AS2, Omran D2, Featherstone TR2 (2014) Prevalence of High On-treatment Platelet Reactivity in Diabetic Patients Treated with Aspirin. *Am J Med* 127: 95.
13. Smith JW, Steinhubl SR, Lincoff AM, Coleman JC, Lee TT, et al. (1999) Rapid platelet-function assay: an automated and quantitative cartridge-based method. *Circulation* 99: 620-625.
14. Geiger J, Brich J, Hönig-Liedl P, Eigenthaler M, Schanzenbächer P, et al. (1999) Specific impairment of human platelet P2Y(AC) ADP receptor-mediated signaling by the antiplatelet drug clopidogrel. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 19: 2007-2011.

Citation: Samos M, Fedor M (2014) Monitoring of Antiplatelet Therapy in Clinical Practice: Is it Necessary or Not? J Hematol Thromb Dis 2: 129. doi: 10.4172/2329-8790.1000129

Submit your next manuscript and get advantages of OMICS Group submissions

Unique features:

- User friendly/feasible website-translation of your paper to 50 world's leading languages
- Audio Version of published paper
- Digital articles to share and explore

Special features:

- 300 Open Access Journals
- 25,000 editorial team
- 21 days rapid review process
- Quality and quick editorial, review and publication processing
- Indexing at PubMed (partial), Scopus, EBSCO, Index Copernicus and Google Scholar etc
- Sharing Option: Social Networking Enabled
- Authors, Reviewers and Editors rewarded with online Scientific Credits
- Better discount for your subsequent articles

Submit your manuscript at: <http://www.omicsonline.org/submission/>