

## Moving Forward: The Role of Neuromonitoring in Pediatric Traumatic Brain Injury and Targeted Therapy

Luis Rafael Moscote-Salazar<sup>1\*</sup> and Guru Dutta Satyarthee<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Neurosurgeon-Critical Care, RED LATINO Organización latinoamericana de Trauma y Cuidado neurointensivo, Bogota, Chile

<sup>2</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Neurosurgery, Neuroscience centre, All India Institute Of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India

### Editorial

Traumatic brain injury is a major cause of morbidity and mortality in the developed country. The main purpose of patients care in the intensive care unit is to prevent development of secondary brain injury and its treatment. The neuroprotection comprising of several strategies constitute the backbone of management including maintainance of adequate cerebral perfusion pressure sufficient to meet the metabolic demands for oxygen and glucose [1]. Traumatic brain injury is also a leading cause of death in children. Neurointensive pediatric management is currently in its infancy unlike well-developed research based supporting understanding in adult population. The adult based application protocol using targeted therapy for monitoring and control of intracranial pressure (ICP) based on cerebral perfusion pressure (PPC) does not totally prevent the development of cerebral hypoxia or ischemic injury [2,3].

The intracranial pressure control provides a way to control the secondary injury. The rise of ICP increases risk of secondary brain injury by affecting cerebral blood flow, which leads to tissue ischemia. The duration of the sustained rise of the IPC is directly related to the subsequent worse neurological prognosis of TBI, however, not all cases with intracranial hypertension has a poor prognosis, as this is highly relevant in the pediatric population as it shows ICP and CPP monitoring are not the sole derterminant of prognostic outcome puzzle game [4].

Brain tissue oxygen stress (PbtO<sub>2</sub>) monitoring can be a useful alternative, but currently there is only one level III recommendation to maintain PbtO<sub>2</sub>>10 mm Hg. On the other hand the Jugular venous saturation monitoring (SjvO<sub>2</sub>) helps us measuring the balance between the cerebral oxygen supply and demand. The evaluation of SjvO<sub>2</sub> technique is remarkably useful but real limiation is lack of existence of management- protocols for optimal implementation in the pediatric age-group [5,6].

Cerebral Microdialysis, Transcranial Doppler Ultrasonography are also used in centers worldwide for continuous monitoring, however

the microdialysis failed to demonstrated benefit specially in the pediatric traumatic brain injury, Transcranial doppler will be useful for evaluation of cerebral vascular autoregulation , but more detailed research evaluation is necessary prior to conclude typical role of the alteration of cerebro-vascular auto-regulation as independent poor neurological prognosis factor in infants [7-9].

The neuromonitoring in TBI has its advantages and limitations. The complex data acquired during the use of these techniques in the of pediatric traumatic brain injury management can aid to improve the neurological outcome. In the recent future, integration of various modes of neuromonitoring will be method of choice in the pediatric traumatic brain injury configured to set a new trend of personalized management.

### References

1. Bruce DA, Raphaely RC, Goldberg AI (1979) Pathophysiology, treatment and outcome following severe head injury in children. *Childs Brain* 5: 174.
2. Parslow RC, Morris KP, Tasker RC (2005) Epidemiology of traumatic brain injury in children receiving intensive care in the UK. *Arch Dis Child* 90: 1182-1187
3. Kochanek PM (2006) Pediatric traumatic brain injury: quo vadis? *Dev Neurosci* 28: 244-255.
4. Mazzola CA, Adelson PD (2002) Critical care management of head trauma in children. *Crit Care Med* ; 30:339-340
5. Bruzzone P, Dionigi R, Bellinzona G, Imberti R, Stocchetti N (1998). Effects of cerebral perfusion pressure on brain tissue PO<sub>2</sub> in patients with severe head injury. *Acta Neurochirurgica Supplement* 71: 111-113.
6. Zwieneberg M, Muizelaar JP (1999). Severe pediatric head injury: the role of hyperemia revisited. *J Neurotrauma* 16: 937-943.
7. Miller JD, Piper IR, Dearden NM (1993). Management of intracranial hypertension in head injury: matching treatment with cause. *Acta Neurochir Suppl (Wien)* 57: 152 -159.
8. Johnson DL, Krishnamurthy S (1996). Send severely head-injured children to a pediatric trauma center. *Pediatr Neurosurg* 25: 309-314
9. Friess SH, Kilbaugh TJ, Huh JW (2012). Advanced neuromonitoring and imaging in pediatric traumatic brain injury. *Crit Care Res Pract* 361-310

\*Corresponding author: Luis Rafael Moscote-Salazar, Neuro surgeon, Red Latino, Latin American Trauma & Intensive Neuro-Care Organization, Chile, Tel: +57301283538; E-mail: [mineurocirujano@aol.com](mailto:mineurocirujano@aol.com)

Received December 10, 2015; Accepted December 11, 2015; Published January 05, 2016

Citation: Moscote-Salazar LR, Satyarthee GD (2016) Moving Forward: The Role of Neuromonitoring in Pediatric Traumatic Brain Injury and Targeted Therapy. *Clin Pediatr* 1: e103.

Copyright: © 2016 Moscote-Salazar LR, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.