Multispectral Band Analysis: Application on the Classification of Hepatocellular Carcinoma Cells in High-Magnification Histopathological Images
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Abstract

The nuclei structure has a significant interpretation for cancer analysis in histopathological microscopic images. In this paper, we analyze hepatocellular carcinoma in 100x magnification from nuclear chromatin patterns. The multispectral imaging is a new potential technique for histopathology. It may provide an alternative to pathologists to see additional information. This paper utilizes multispectral images which have spatial and spectral information for nuclear analysis. The proposed framework is based on texture analysis of nuclei. The system aim to analyze the significant of multispectral bands for discriminating cancer and non-cancer nuclei. The textural features were extracted using Gabor descriptors. We present nuclei textural feature with 30 Gabor patterns at different scales and orientations. Bag-of-visual-word model with random forest classifier is employed to classify normal and cancer cells. Moreover, we remove irrelevant Gabor parameters using optimization algorithm, which achieve high recognition performance significantly. Experimental result shows that our approach achieves approximately 99% of classification accuracy.
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Introduction

Histopathology is a microscopic study of tissue especially in cancer diagnosis. Sub-cellular components of tissue play an important role for diagnosing cancers. Most cancer types are diagnosed by visualizing histopathology images [1]. To diagnose cancers, pathologists use tissue samples obtained from patients via biopsy. Pathologists mainly examine tissues such as nuclei, glands and lymphocyte and discriminate them on normal, benign and malignant [2]. The morphological appearance of these structures is an important indicator for analysis. Since most of normal cells appear as a round or ellipsoidal shape, the sizes and morphological features of nuclei tend to be uniform. In contrast, cancer nuclei have irregular shapes, variables in size and atypia chromatin patterns [3]. Consequently, the characteristics of nuclei indicate cancerous condition. In this paper, we focus on analysis of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) stained by hematoxylin and eosin (HE).

The visual interpretation of cancer diagnosis depends on pathologists’ experiences for analyzing quantitative information. Except diagnosis perspective, the quantitative analysis based on chromatin texture in cancer cells [1] will assist pathologists to explore the reason behind a specific disease identification. Therefore, computer aided diagnosis (CAD) systems would support them to make decisions and to provide more useful information to clinical treatment. This kind of system is based on image analysis, pattern recognition and machine learning techniques.

The multispectral imaging technology has been extended from a remote sensing field to medical and biological fields as an effective technique for medical image analysis. Multispectral images provide spatial and spectral information. Therefore, this information has an advantage to perform histopathological analysis and broaden pathological knowledge as a new potential [4].

Histopathology analysis based on multispectral imaging technologies may support a novel system and provide an additional option to pathologists to see over traditional color images. Over the years, researchers have invented various multispectral imaging systems for histopathological analysis of biological tissue specimen [5-7]. These systems take advantage of using combined spatial-spectral information to detect and classify diseases. CAD systems in cancer diagnosis have been proposed in cervical cancer [8,9], breast cancer [10], and white blood cell [11] by utilizing multispectral images. Some publications analyzed cancers by using multispectral images. Their result showed that multispectral images can enhance the system performance compared with the conventional color images. Wu et al. [8] and Xin et al. [10] studies have shown the possibility of multispectral imaging technologies in pathological analysis. Moreover, multispectral images also contribute to researchers to get more knowledge on tissues and cells.

This study proposes a classification approach of HCC with high recognition performance. It was applied to 100x magnification histopathological multispectral images of HE stained tissue slides. The proposed system utilizes spatial information of individual multispectral band to discriminate between cancer and non-cancer nuclei. We apply an optimization algorithm to select relevant features, which
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appropriate for all multispectral bands. Moreover, the proposed system is aimed to achieve high classification accuracy with free-conditions of band selections.

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 reviews related studies. Section 3 describes the process of image acquisition, image pre-processing and basic techniques applied in proposed system. The overview of classification model, optimized Gabor parameters and nuclei segmentation procedure are provided in section 4. Our experiments and results are provided in section 5. Section 6 concludes the entire study.

Related Works

Basically, an automated cancerous nuclei classification contains two main parts; i) segmentation of sub-cellular structures, ii) cancer classification. Researchers have proposed segmentation algorithms to identify subcellular structures from multispectral images. Most of segmentation algorithms utilized both of spatial and spectral information. The pixel-based methods are widely used in multispectral and hyper spectral images for segmentation such as Spectral Angle Mapper (SAM) [12] and Spectral Information Divergence (SID) [13]. The idea of SAM and SID techniques is to compute the spectral similarity between two spectral vectors. Guan et al. [14] applied SID algorithm in white blood cell segmentation on hyperspectral images and achieved promising result. Furthermore, conditional random field model (CRF) [15] and support vector machine (SVM) [11] were proposed to segment nuclei and other sub-cellular structures. For classification in multispectral images, Qi et al. [10] classified breast cancer tissue microarrays as well as low-magnification. They utilized a bag-of-visual-word technique with texture descriptors. The experiment was performed by applying SVM classifier with radial basis function for each individual multispectral band. The bands that achieved higher classification rate than their transformed gray scale RGB were chosen as candidates in final decision. The majority voting strategy was applied for final classification result. In addition, they compared classification performance with conventional RGB images. Their experimental result showed multispectral images achieved higher classification rate than RGB images. From above mentioned, CAD system for HCC diagnosis have not been proposed extensively in multispectral imaging technology.

Band selection [16-20] is one of the popular techniques and widely used in remote sensing. This technique refers to select the optimal subset of multispectral bands. The advantage of band selection is removing redundancy of data and reducing number of bands for analysis. In particular, the computational time of utilizing subsets of bands is faster than dealing with whole multispectral bands. However, the aim of this study focuses on different point of view. The present paper aims to analyze the significance of individual multispectral band in terms of characterizing cancer and non-cancer cell.

For feature extraction, the utility of Gabor filters have been beneficial. Particularly, Gabor filters have accomplished in many applications related to texture analysis. Gabor descriptors are the effective features to represent the characteristic of an image. However, the parameters of Gabor filter bank depend on a database. The design of filter bank is done by setting parameters manually. Therefore, the insignificant filters for representing the data are included. In color image, researchers have proposed feature selection techniques to remove irrelevant Gabor patterns such as Sequential Forward Selection (SFS), Sequential Backward Selection (SBS), Mutual information [21] and Minimum Redundancy and Maximum Relevance (mRMR) [22]. These techniques could not apply directly to our framework in multispectral images. Therefore, our objective of utilizing optimization algorithm is to find relevant Gabor patterns which suitable for overall multispectral bands in term of improving classification accuracy. The algorithm can mainly take the advantage to reduce dimensionality of feature and speed up computational time.

Materials

Data acquisition

In this experiment, multispectral image dataset is captured using multispectral microscopic-camera developed by Akasaka National Vision Research Center, Japan [23]. This camera system is composed of a 16-band rotating filter wheel and a 2048×2048 pixels CCD camera. The wavelength range spans the visible spectrum i.e., 400-750 nm. Each multispectral image is captured in different narrow band of wavelengths at the same position and represented in a gray scale image. Figure 1 shows HCC multispectral images of 16 spectral bands. Multispectral can be also visualized as a 3D-image cube in x, y and λ directions which represent 2D-spatial information along x and y directions and 1D-spectral wavelength information in λ dimension as shown in Figure 2. A spectrum can be formed by plotting the spatial coordinates against wavelength dimension at the same position. Each spectrum represents a specific characteristic of its spatial point in image cube. Normal liver and HCC tissue microarray specimen were purchased from US Biomax, Inc., (Rockville, MD, USA).

All tissue samples were collected with informed consent from patients. Multispectral dataset contains normal and HCC images of HE stained histopathology liver tissues from 19 patients, captured from the tissue microarray. One multispectral image is classified as normal or cancer by experienced pathologists. The multispectral dataset includes

![Figure 1: HE stained histopathology images captured from different wavelength interval. (a) to (p) represent multispectral bands from the 1st to 16th band, respectively.](image-url)
where

\[ p(x; y) = \frac{B_{xy}(\lambda)}{\lambda} \]

and represent sample image and a blank slide image in

\[ B_{xy}(\lambda) \]

under exactly the same acquisition condition in a blank area of the tissue slide. \( x \) and \( y \) are spatial coordinates, \( \lambda \) is the wavelength and \( 1/\nu \) is spatial frequency of the cosine factor. The ratio \( u/v \) determines the spatial frequency bandwidth \( bw \). The relationship between the half-respond of spatial frequency bandwidth \( bw \) and the ratio \( u/v \) can be expressed as

\[ bw = \frac{\sqrt{u^2 - (\ln 2)^2}}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{2} (u/v)^2 - 1}} \]

(3)

The image features can be extracted by convoluting original image \( I(x, y) \) with a set of Gabor patterns as defined

\[ G_{uv}(x, y) = I(x, y) * g_{uv}(x, y) \]

(4)

where \( G_{uv}(x, y) \) represents the convolution result corresponding to the original image and Gabor filter \( g_{uv} \).

Gabor feature may provide useful information from region of interest. The main key of generating Gabor filter bank is scales and orientation parameters. In particular, the combination of their parameters will produce different results. Therefore, this study focuses on orientation \( \theta \) and spatial frequency bandwidth \( bw \) parameters for creating a set of Gabor filters.

Methods

Texture classification model

In multispectral images, the classification of HCC is based on BoW model of textural features. Figure 3 shows the overview of classification procedures for single multispectral band, while the experiments were performed for every multispectral band. Firstly, we extracted features of nuclear texture utilizing Gabor filter bank. Then, BoW model is applied for representing nuclei as a histogram frequency. This histogram of visual words provides a discriminative characteristic for each nucleus in HCC images. A random forest classifier [26] is adopted in classification step. The histogram is used as an input for generating model of random forest in training step and also used in testing step. As a result of the training, posterior probability of each class is represented. The class that has maximum posterior probability is selected as the final class of that nucleus. Finally, majority voting strategy is utilized for making a final decision of each patient. Let \( qc \) be the total number of nuclei which belong to class \( c \) and \( r \) be total number of nuclei. Also let the probability of a patient classified into class \( c \) be \( pc = qclr \). Given a threshold \( T \), if maximum of \( pc \) is greater than \( T \), then the patient is classified into class \( c \).

Feature extraction by Gabor descriptors

In our experiment, we utilized Gabor descriptors. Gabor filtering is one of the textural feature extraction techniques, and widely used in various kinds of recognition applications including medical image diagnosis system [24].

The 2D-Gabor filters explained in [25] can be defined as

\[ g_{uv}(x, y) = \exp \left( -\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2\sigma^2} \right) \cos \left( 2\pi \frac{x\cos \theta + y\sin \theta}{\nu} \right) \]

(2)

\[ x' = x \cos(\theta) + y \sin(\theta), \]

\[ y' = y \cos(\theta) - x \sin(\theta) \]

where \( g_{uv} \) denotes a Gabor filter with spatial aspect ratio \( \gamma \), standard deviation of Gaussian \( u \), phase offset \( \psi \) and orientation \( \theta \). \( x, y \) are spatial coordinates, \( \nu \) is the wavelength and \( 1/\nu \) is spatial frequency of the cosine factor. The ratio \( u/v \) determines the spatial frequency bandwidth \( bw \). The relationship between the half-respond of spatial frequency bandwidth \( bw \) and the ratio \( u/v \) can be expressed as

\[ bw = \frac{\sqrt{u^2 - (\ln 2)^2}}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{2} (u/v)^2 - 1}} \]

(3)

The image features can be extracted by convoluting original image \( I(x, y) \) with a set of Gabor patterns as defined

\[ G_{uv}(x, y) = I(x, y) * g_{uv}(x, y) \]

(4)

where \( G_{uv}(x, y) \) represents the convolution result corresponding to the original image and Gabor filter \( g_{uv} \).

Gabor feature may provide useful information from region of interest. The main key of generating Gabor filter bank is scales and orientation parameters. In particular, the combination of their parameters will produce different results. Therefore, this study focuses on orientation \( \theta \) and spatial frequency bandwidth \( bw \) parameters for creating a set of Gabor filters.

Methods

Texture classification model

In multispectral images, the classification of HCC is based on BoW model of textural features. Figure 3 shows the overview of classification procedures for single multispectral band, while the experiments were performed for every multispectral band. Firstly, we extracted features of nuclear texture utilizing Gabor filter bank. Then, BoW model is applied for representing nuclei as a histogram frequency. This histogram of visual words provides a discriminative characteristic for each nucleus in HCC images. A random forest classifier [26] is adopted in classification step. The histogram is used as an input for generating model of random forest in training step and also used in testing step. As a result of the training, posterior probability of each class is represented. The class that has maximum posterior probability is selected as the final class of that nucleus. Finally, majority voting strategy is utilized for making a final decision of each patient. Let \( qc \) be the total number of nuclei which belong to class \( c \) and \( r \) be total number of nuclei. Also let the probability of a patient classified into class \( c \) be \( pc = qclr \). Given a threshold \( T \), if maximum of \( pc \) is greater than \( T \), then the patient is classified into class \( c \).
Optimization algorithm for Gabor parameters

The process of extracting features is also important for representing nuclei before performing BoW framework. A result of feature extraction directly influences classification performance. This study utilizes Gabor filters by setting different frequencies and orientations. Therefore, Gabor parameters construct a filter bank. However, not all of frequencies and orientations play an important role in liver cancer cell classification. We propose a concept to select subset of relevant Gabor parameters. The idea takes an advantage of improving the overall computational complexity. The objective of our proposed algorithm is to search the most effective parameter which is appropriate to overall multispectral bands. Moreover, this optimization technique also makes the data comparable under the same condition. In this study, the proposed optimization algorithm focuses on selecting a subset of relevant parameters in Gabor filter, that is, orientation and spatial frequency bandwidth. The overview of proposed algorithm is shown in the optimization part of Figure 3.

The algorithm is described as follows.

Given a data set of nuclei $D \subset \mathbb{Z}^{N \times 16}$, where $N$ denotes the total number of nuclei in the multispectral database, we randomly select $K$, $K < N$ data from $D$ for optimizing Gabor parameters. $A$ and $B$ denote a set of orientations and spatial frequency bandwidths of Gabor filter bank respectively where $A=\{a_1, a_2, ..., a_j\}$ and $B=\{b_1, b_2, ..., b_N\}$. The optimal values in $A$ and $B$ are selected from the minimum ranking of mean square error (MSE) of texture classification.

We describe our proposed parameter optimization procedure in Algorithms 1 and 2. The Algorithm 1 is aimed to find the optimal subset of parameters $A$ and $B$. Firstly, MSE of each parameter in $A$ (MSE$_A$) and $B$ (MSE$_B$) is calculated by Algorithm 2. After that, first $n$-rank and $p$-rank of descending order of $MSE_A$ and $MSE_B$, respectively are selected as optimal values in $A$ (optA) and $B$ (optB), $n$ and $p$ are the number of selected elements in $A$ and $B$ respectively. The MSE$_B$ is calculated using Algorithm 2 with input $d$, $A$, $B$. Secondly, the MSE$_A$ is also computed from Algorithm 2 with input $d$, $B$, $A$. The optimization of Gabor parameters is described in Algorithm 2. The input consists of data $d$ and parameter sets $A$ and $B$. Firstly, a set of Gabor pattern $f$ is generated by utilizing $a_i$ with a set of $B$. Then, Gabor descriptors in each multispectral bands is calculated by taking convolution between original image $I_j$ at band $j$ and $f$. We calculate error classification rate $e_i$ at band $j$ from texture classification model as described in texture classification model.

We iteratively compute $e_i$ for every multispectral band. $MSE$ of $a_i$ is computed, where

$$\mu = \frac{1}{16} \sum_{j=1}^{16} e_i^2$$

Consequently, we iteratively change $a_i, i=1,2,...,m$. Finally, the result of Algorithm 2 return $MSE$. Moreover, the $MSE_A$ is also computed in Algorithm 2 as described above.

Algorithm 1

INPUT: data $d \subset \mathbb{Z}^{K \times 16}$, set of parameters $A$ and $B$, and $n$ and $p$ are numbers of optimized $A$ and $B$ respectively.

OUTPUT: optA and optB

$MSE_A=\text{OptimizedGaborParameter}(d, A, B)$

$MSE_B=\text{OptimizedGaborParameter}(d, A, B)$

optA=first $n$ — value of $\text{SortDescent}(MSE_A)$

optB=first $p$ — value of $\text{SortDescent}(MSE_B)$

Algorithm 2 Optimized Gabor Parameter $(d, A, B)$

INPUT: data $D \subset \mathbb{Z}^{K \times 16}$, set of parameters $A$ and $B$

OUTPUT: $MSE_A$

for $i = 1 : m$ do
  $a(i) = \{a(i)|i = 1, ..., m\}$
  for $j = 1 : 16$ do
    $F_j \leftarrow I_j * f(a(i), B)$
    $e_i \leftarrow \text{Classify}(F)$
  end for
  $MSE_{aj} = \frac{1}{16} \sum_{j=1}^{16} (e_i - \mu)^2$
end for

$MSE_B = \{MSE_{aj} | i = 1, ..., m\}$

return $MSE_A$

Nuclei segmentation

In our data set, a normal liver and HCC images mainly consist of four regions: nucleus, cytoplasm, lymphocyte and background regions. Our segmentation purpose is to find regions of nuclei. However, we perform multiclass segmentation to get more precise and accurate segmentation. The segmentation method is based on pixel-based classification and enhancement. We obtained experts’ annotated 15 sample images. Each image is annotated into four regions: nucleus, cytoplasm, and lymphocyte and background regions. Generally, features of nucleus and lymphocyte regions are sometimes overlapped. Therefore, we group nucleus and lymphocyte regions as one class. We utilized 147 multispectral images from 19 patients as experimental dataset.

From eq. (1), we have a multispectral image $I(x,y,\lambda), j=1,...,16$. The spectrum at a point $(x,y)$ can be defined as a vector $V(x,y)$

$$V(x,y)=(I(x,y,\lambda_1),I(x,y,\lambda_2),...,I(x,y,\lambda_{16}))$$

(5)

The spectrum given by equation (5) represents a pixel feature vector. In pixel-based classification, random forest classifier [26] is
utilized for classification. The feature vectors of annotated regions were used as an input for generating model of random forest in training step. Then, we obtained prediction probability of each class as a result of random forest training. Since our goal focuses on nuclei segmentation, we consider only prediction probability of nucleus-lymphocyte class. Subsequently, we normalized these probabilities into gray scale image as shown in (Figure 4b).

In addition, we enhanced the result of pixel-based classification by applying image processing techniques to segment the nuclei effectively. The first step is to apply the morphological opening operator. After that, binarize the image by Otsu’s binarization algorithm [27]. Then, the morphological closing operator is applied to remove small regions. Since the size of lymphocyte is always smaller than nucleus region, we set the threshold value for removing lymphocyte region. The result is shown in (Figure 4c). Finally, boundaries of nuclei in images were detected. (Figure 4d) shows the result of nuclei segmentation. The out-of-focus nuclei were not detected in this method, since they have unclear boundary and chromatin pattern. As a result of pixel-based classification, the probability of nucleus class of that area is not high. Since the size of lymphocyte is always smaller than nucleus region, we consider only prediction probability of nucleus-lymphocyte class. Therefore, only focused nuclei were used for analysis.

Experiments and Results

Implementation

After the segmentation of nuclei, we used these nuclei to discriminate cancer and non-cancer cells in classification step. In the following experiments, Gabor descriptor is utilized to extract textural patterns from each nucleus. A set of Gabor filters has different frequencies and orientations. We set $\theta$=$\{0^\circ, 30^\circ, 45^\circ, 60^\circ, 90^\circ, 135^\circ\}$ in orientation, the spatial frequency bandwidth $bw$=$\{0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9, 1\}$ spatial aspect ratio $\gamma$=0.6 and wavelength $\nu$=2. Thus, we obtain 9-Gabor patterns. The set of Gabor patterns may contain irrelevant patterns and it may affect the classification accuracy. Therefore, we perform optimization of Gabor parameter on our proposed algorithm. Our study randomized 25% of nuclear database to find relevant parameters which are suitable for all multispectral bands. From this experiment, we selected first 3 ranks of values in parameter $\theta$ and $bw$ by the proposed optimization algorithm. Thus, we obtain 9-Gabor patterns. After extracting feature descriptors, classification procedure is performed in patient level for each individual multispectral band as shown in (Figure 3). Our experiment created 30 visual vocabularies of dictionary. This number of visual words provides the optimal classification rate. The final decision is specified by majority voting strategy for each patient. Furthermore, k-fold cross validation (CV) is applied for evaluating the performance. The average accuracy over k-fold is obtained as a result of CV accuracy. Specifically, we compared the classification performance by utilizing all Gabor parameters and optimized Gabor parameters in this study. In addition, we performed experiment by combining the multispectral bands into several color spectrums according to the reference of wavelength interval of each color spectrum as shown in (Table 2). The classification was performed by concatenating the histogram of visual words in each band. Consequently, random forest classifier and majority voting strategy were performed for final decision.

Parameter selection

The optimization of Gabor parameters is resulted by selecting the first 3 ranks in a subset of parameter $\theta$ and $bw$. In this experiment, the relevant parameter in $\theta$=$\{0^\circ, 30^\circ, 60^\circ\}$ and $bw$=$\{0.6, 0.7, 0.8\}$ are suitable for discriminating cancer and non-cancer nuclei in HCC images. Therefore, the feature vector for representing nucleus pixel reduces 30% of utilizing all parameters.

Classification on single spectral band

The classification results of individual multispectral bands are presented in Table 1. We evaluated the performance of the system with 10-fold CV and its standard deviation (SD) in patient level. We compared the classification accuracy with optimized and non-optimized Gabor parameters for discriminating normal and cancer nuclei. We can conclude the result as follows.

1. After performing optimization algorithm, the result shows that the 1st, 2nd, 4th, 5th and 8th-14th band successfully achieve high patterns. The set of Gabor patterns may contain irrelevant patterns and it may affect the classification accuracy. Therefore, we perform optimization of Gabor parameter on our proposed algorithm. Our study randomized 25% of nuclear database to find relevant parameters which are suitable for all multispectral bands. From this experiment, we selected first 3 ranks of values in parameter $\theta$ and $bw$ by the proposed optimization algorithm. Thus, we obtain 9-Gabor patterns. After extracting feature descriptors, classification procedure is performed in patient level for each individual multispectral band as shown in (Figure 3). Our experiment created 30 visual vocabularies of dictionary. This number of visual words provides the optimal classification rate. The final decision is specified by majority voting strategy for each patient. Furthermore, k-fold cross validation (CV) is applied for evaluating the performance. The average accuracy over k-fold is obtained as a result of CV accuracy. Specifically, we compared the classification performance by utilizing all Gabor parameters and optimized Gabor parameters in this study. In addition, we performed experiment by combining the multispectral bands into several color spectrums according to the reference of wavelength interval of each color spectrum as shown in (Table 2). The classification was performed by concatenating the histogram of visual words in each band. Consequently, random forest classifier and majority voting strategy were performed for final decision.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band</th>
<th>All filters</th>
<th>Optimization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>92.32 ± 5.09</td>
<td>99.11 ± 1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>88.58 ± 11.39</td>
<td>99.84 ± 0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>88.45 ± 9.07</td>
<td>98.61 ± 1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>90.00 ± 9.78</td>
<td>99.84 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>87.81 ± 9.99</td>
<td>99.13 ± 1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>87.53 ± 7.73</td>
<td>98.85 ± 1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>94.22 ± 4.49</td>
<td>98.55 ± 0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>97.88 ± 2.94</td>
<td>99.94 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>93.67 ± 5.11</td>
<td>99.78 ± 0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>88.06 ± 9.88</td>
<td>99.84 ± 0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>95.10 ± 2.87</td>
<td>99.21 ± 0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>94.00 ± 3.23</td>
<td>99.75 ± 0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>95.82 ± 3.21</td>
<td>98.58 ± 1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>93.74 ± 4.65</td>
<td>98.09 ± 1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>92.50 ± 4.19</td>
<td>97.04 ± 2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>90.70 ± 6.58</td>
<td>97.35 ± 2.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Comparison of classification accuracy (%) and its standard deviation between use of all of Gabor filters and optimized Gabor parameter.
classification rate approximately over 99%. Furthermore, classification performance in 6th, 7th, 10th, 11th, and 12th band are around 98%.

2. The 15th and 16th band which is in the wavelength range 686-753 nm. have not much significant for classifying cancer and non-cancer nuclei in HCC images compare with other spectral bands.

3. Classification rates utilizing optimized Gabor parameters achieve higher performance than non-optimized for all multispectral bands. The classification result showed that single multispectral band contains enough information for classifying cancer and normal nuclei in high-magnification of liver tissue. In other words, the combination of multispectral bands was not necessary. By utilizing feature optimization, a small number of features are used to select the most significant features. The experimental result also showed that there are no additional advantage of using more features. It was not get more beneficial information of chromatin patterns. In addition, parameter optimization assists to improve recognition performance and reduce computational time for generating feature and speed up the system efficiently.

Classification on color spectrum

In this experiment, we analyzed the impact of different color spectrum in visible wavelength interval on HCC classification. The classification results are shown in Table 2. Green spectrum reported the highest classification performance (99.82%). However, blue, yellow, and orange spectrum obtained classification rate 99.5% approximately and red spectrum achieved recognition rate 98.34%. These five color spectrums have similar classification performance.

Conclusions

This study proposed a HCC cell classification system using multispectral images in 100x magnification. We applied Gabor descriptors with BoW model to extract chromatin pattern of nucleus. Consequently, this system analyzed the use of spatial information on descriptors with BoW model to extract chromatin pattern of nucleus. Efficiently, computational time for generating feature and speed up the system beneficial information of chromatin patterns. In addition, parameter optimization, a small number of features are used to select the most significant features. The experimental result also showed that there are no additional advantage of using more features. It was not get more beneficial information of chromatin patterns. In addition, parameter optimization assists to improve recognition performance and reduce computational time for generating feature and speed up the system efficiently.

Table 2: The wavelength interval in each color [28]. Band column is grouped multispectral bands by mapping approximately the wavelength interval for each color.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Wavelength interval</th>
<th>Band</th>
<th>Classification rate (%) ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Red</td>
<td>~700-635 nm.</td>
<td>12th-16th</td>
<td>99.34 ± 2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>~635-590 nm.</td>
<td>10th-12th</td>
<td>99.51 ± 0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>~590-560 nm.</td>
<td>9th-10th</td>
<td>99.73 ± 0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green</td>
<td>~560-490 nm.</td>
<td>5th-8th</td>
<td>99.82 ± 0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue</td>
<td>~490-450 nm.</td>
<td>1st-4th</td>
<td>99.69 ± 0.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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