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Abstract

Posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is a universal anxiety disorder, affecting not only soldiers but also victims of every sort of traumatic stress-natural disaster, automobile collision, crime, domestic violence, bereavement. Aggressiveness, stemming from “intermittent explosive anger”, is a not infrequent comorbidity of PTSD, with the most serious consequences. The neurophysiology of aggression and anger in general, and PTSD in particular, is a jigsaw puzzle of which we are first gathering the pieces. In this chapter, PTSD is conceptualized as revolving around an idée fix of the traumatic experience, leading to fear and a derivative defensive form of aggression. The history of research in the neurophysiology of aggression and pertinent forms of memory and emotion are then reviewed, leading to a neuronal system that spans the brain. Some basic phenomena in neurophysiology are touched on-synaptic plasticity in the form of long-term potentiation of synaptic transmission, G-protein–coupled receptor modulation thereof, and the theta rhythm of the electroencephalogram-and the path we are following in our attempt to integrate these phenomena into a model of the neurophysiology of aggression in PTSD is described.
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Introduction

"Trauma destroys the fabric of time... it doesn't just destroy the flow of the present into the future; it corrodes everything that came before, eating at moments and people from your previous life; until you can't remember why any of them mattered."

David J. Morris, The Evil Hours

The conceptualization and analysis of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) began in 1967 under the tutelage of Eugene Brody [1]. The two faces of PTSD are fear and anger or aggression. It was not until the release of the fifth edition of the American Psychiatric Association’s Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders in late 2014 that the importance of aggression in PTSD was fully acknowledged. Many clinical studies led up to this realization that aggression is major in PTSD [2-8]. A meta-analysis of 39 such studies found that aggression is a major component of PTSD [9], with severity increasing with time. There were many cases of record in which the aggression of PTSD took the form of irrational, angry attack-attack upon unprovoking civilians or even family members. A survey as part of the National Vietnam Veterans Readjustment Study showed that about one-third of veterans with PTSD perpetrated “intimate partner violence” in the previous year, nearly three times the rate of both veterans without PTSD and the general public [10]. In fact, over the last decade, PTSD has been extensively linked to violence, especially intimate partner violence, and especially in the military [11-20]. The irrational, angry attack is increasingly recognized to include suicide, angry attack of the patient against his or her own self. A large number of individuals in the United States self-report patterns of impulsive angry behavior and also possess firearms at home (8.9%) or carry guns outside the home (1.5%) [21]. According to the Center for Disease Control and Prevention, intentional acts of interpersonal violence with guns killed 11,622 people and injured an additional 59,077 people in the United States in 2012. Although irrational, angry attack stemming from PTSD presents an ever-increasing danger to society as more and more troops return from Iraq and Afghanistan, the neuropsychology of PTSD is not understood.

The Idée Fixe and Its Context

PTSD revolves around an idée fixe of terror. Ideas sink into the mind as they are related to other ideas. But this idea cannot be made congruent with others. Not only can it take center stage in life, but, by being always present during the normal process of memory reconsolidation [22,23], it can progressively metastasize throughout the patient’s psyche. Cognitive therapy, in one sense, is a struggle to take over the idée fixe with positive memory and experience, rather than let the idée fixe reshape other memories and experience. The thesis of this chapter is that potentiation of synaptic transmission between hippocampus, prefrontal cortex, amygdala, and hypothalamus, modulated by synapses mediated by G-protein–coupled receptor, fixes the cognition of the traumatic event to the emotional response. Thereafter, when any memory is recalled in the normal or stress-altered process of memory reconsolidation, the traumatic emotional response is attached to that memory too. The psychosis is intrinsically one of the anxieties, with other manifestations such as fear, and both defensive, and impulsive aggression. The context of the terrible experience is fixed along with the experience, generalizing to all environments in which the patient is present, including otherwise obviously safe environments. This generalizing of context and the fixing of the experience to the generalized context appears to be largely a function of the hippocampus and adjacent cortex, and will be discussed further in the following text.

PTSD Seen as Defensive Aggression

The pioneering neurophysiology on aggression was done in cats, which evince a clear defensive rage in response to danger such as a...
menacing dog. The defensive rage consists of piloerection, pupillary dilation, sweating of the paws, growling, hissing, and ultimately either attack or escape. (Growing up in neighborhoods having dogs and feral cats, the author has witnessed such defensive attack by a cat onto the face of a dog, menacing or possibly just curious, numerous times.) We understand this defensive rage to be closely akin to the aggression of PTSD.

At this point in time, the escalation of adaptive aggression violence of PTSD can be most readily tied to three structures—the hippocampus, the amygdala, and the functionally defined “hypothalamic attack area.” Attribution of attack was first focused on the hypothalamus by Bard [24] who in 1928 showed in cats that removal of the entire brain rostral to the hypothalamus left an animal capable of, and actually prone to, blind rage attacks, while if the hypothalamus was also removed then only component reflexes remained. In the cat made placid by extirpation of the entire neocortex, Bard and Mountcastle found that the only subsequent forebrain lesion that caused the gentle cat to become savage was removal of amygdala and pyriform lobe [25], leading to the inference that amygdala, or some subsystem therein, inhibits the hypothalamic attack area. With advances in electronics, it was discovered that this rage reaction could be sham elicited by electrical stimulation in central grey extending from the posterior hypothalamus to the periaqueductal grey [26,27]. Congruent with the lesions study of Bard and Mountcastle just mentioned [25], electrical stimulation of the basolateral amygdala concomitant with stimulation of the hypothalamus suppressed the attack that would otherwise result from the hypothalamic stimulation [28,29].

Rodent Model for Research on PTSD

In subsequent research, aggression in rodents has been favored over the cats because rodents are more manageable in behavioral testing, have the same basic defensive aggression, the same basic neuronal structure, and, especially in the case of the mouse, have been extensively developed for genetic manipulation. In our own rat model of PTSD, a number of signal pathways have been found to coactivate with the acoustic startle response pathognomonic of PTSD [30], and corticosterone was found to mitigate the acoustic startle response [31]. Signs of defensive aggression in the rat, in addition to attack, are much the same as in the cat—piloerection, sweating of the paws, and ultimately attack or escape. While hissing and growling are not audible to humans, such signs are being sought in recordings of the ultra-high frequency vocalization of the rat. Defensive aggression in the rat and mouse has been most studied in the “resident-intruder” paradigm [32,33], in which a male rodent resident in a cage, cohabiting with a female, attacks another rodent that intrudes in the resident’s domain. In mimicking PTSD per se in an animal model, Siegmund and Wotjak [34] distinguished two components that can be addressed in a rodent model of PTSD—memory and sensitization, which have been largely localized to the hippocampus and amygdala, respectively.

The Amygdala, Hypothalamic Attack Area, and Hippocampus

Recent research, mostly in the rat, has confirmed and detailed the classic behavioral neurophysiology described previously in the cat. The influence of the basal amygdala, medial amygdala, septum, and bed nucleus of the stria terminalis specifically are seen to influence the hypothalamic attack area. Neurostimulation behavior experiments [35,36] have resolved the hypothalamic attack area to the anterior hypothalamic nucleus, the dorsomedial and ventrolateral divisions of the ventromedial hypothalamus, and the nearly coextensive rostral level of the lateral and dorsolateral columns of the periaqueductal gray [37]. The impulsivity of the attack, the absence of preliminaries, the insensitivity for context, the absence of aims resulting from electrical stimulation therein suggest that the hypothalamic attack area itself functions merely to destroy [38], requiring rostral regions of the brain to control and direct its function.

The amygdala is composed of subnuclei, each having their own network. The lateral amygdala brings in auditory information from the medial geniculate, spatial structure, and memory from the hippocampal formation and temporal cortex, and forwards that to the basal amygdala for some level of processing. The basal amygdala connects to the central amygdala and the medial amygdala.

The central amygdala lies in with the autonomic nervous system. c-Fos, a protein indicative of cellular activation, is consistently expressed in the central amygdala of both rat and mouse in the resident-intruder paradigm, and decreased in the lateral septum [39]. Optogenetic stimulation of lateral basal amygdala terminals in the central amygdala is anxiolytic [40].

The medial amygdala projects heavily and specifically to the anterior and ventromedial hypothalamic nuclei [41]. The projection from the medial amygdala to the hypothalamus corresponds to the hypothalamic attack area [42]. Likewise, cells in the floor of the hypothalamus that reciprocally project to the medial amygdala are located in a continuous layer in the floor of the hypothalamus. c-Fos is increased in the medial amygdala, as well as the hypothalamic attack area, of rats [43] and mice [44] after the resident-intruder paradigm activation. Although the majority of neurons of the medial amygdala are striatum like GABAergic medium spiny neurons, the majority of medial amygdala neurons projecting to the ventromedial hypothalamus are cortical like glutamatergic pyramidal neurons receiving excitatory input from sensory neurons and inhibition from local GABAergic neurons [45]. Therefore, it might be expected that the medial amygdala would promote aggression. Congruently, lesions in the medial amygdala reduce defensive aggression in the rat [46].

However, the internal function of even a part of the medial amygdala, the posterodorsal subnucleus, creates a balance, because GABAergic neurons therein promote aggression and glutamatergic neurons inhibit aggression [47]. Since GABAergic neurons, which are inhibitory, are promoting aggression and glutamatergic neurons, which are excitatory, are inhibiting aggression, it must be recognized that there must be an alternation between excitation and inhibition before the final result, attack, is produced. This alternation is to be researched in the alluvial fan spreading out from the medial amygdala to include the hypothalamic attack area. This alluvial fan includes the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis and the septum. As well as projecting to the hypothalamic attack area directly, the medial amygdala projects topographically and reciprocally to the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis [48,49]. c-Fos is increased in the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis, as well as the medial amygdala and hypothalamic attack area, of rats [43] and mice [44] after the resident-intruder paradigm activation. Projections from the lateral septum frequently converge with amygdala afferents onto medial hypothalamic neurons [40,50]. Stimulation of lateral septum in the rat suppresses aggressiveness [51]. The posterior division of the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis has its own network domain, with some of the projections from the interfascicular and transverse divisions of the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis continuing to the hypothalamic attack area [49].
Along with the medial amygdala, bed nucleus of the stria terminalis, and septum, the amygdalohippocampal area and the subiculum project to the hypothalamic attack area, as does a plate containing the orbitofrontal, medial prefrontal [40]. The hypothesis that context memory subserved by the hippocampal formation subserves context memory in PTSD, explained later, is supported by the demonstration of reciprocal connections between the medial amygdala and the amygdalohippocampal area on one side, and the ventrolateral part of the ventromedial hypothalamus on the other side [52]. In addition, the amygdalohippocampal area massively innervates the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis, the lateral septal nucleus, the cingulate cortex, and the prefrontal cortex [53], further tying the hippocampus and memory in with the basal forebrain system of aggression just described.

The Amygdala in PTSD

Lesion studies in the rat show that the amygdala is involved in aggression [54]. Optogenetic stimulation in the amygdala generates anxiety in mice [55]. Fear conditioning induces long-term potentiation of synaptic transmission in the lateral [56,57] and basolateral [58] amygdala of the rat. The amygdala may be understood as associating the visceral and somatic components of flight/flight to hippocampal-entorhinal-prefrontal cortical representation of environment. The resultant state is anxiety, and in the extreme, PTSD, with various manifestations as fear, aggression, and avoidance.

The Amygdala and Hippocampus in PTSD

Memory per sé is a function of the hippocampus [59], to the exclusion of the amygdala. In humans with congenital progressive destruction of the basolateral amygdala, working memory is intact, even facilitated [60]. Numerous studies of memory in rats, reviewed by Squire [61], confirm this finding. So, the amygdala is not a memory processor per sé. But the amygdala appears to participate in the stress-induced consolidation of memory in that lesion or inactivation of the amygdala blocks stress-induced impairment of hippocampal long-term potentiation and spatial memory [62].

The idée fixe may be broken down into the traumatic event and the context of that event. In rodent models of PTSD, the relevant aspect of memory reverberating between the hippocampus and the amygdala is the context of traumatic experience [63]. The hippocampus is involved in the long-term, ongoing reconsolidation of this context memory as well as the initial consolidation [64]. The amygdala appears to participate with the hippocampus in this process [62]. PTSD patients show a globally diminished capacity to use contextual memory to modulate fear expression [65], which may underlie the anxiety experienced by PTSD patients in even safe environments far from their traumatic experience. Memory of context subsumed by “place” cells in the hippocampus [66] and “grid” cells in the entorhinal cortex [67,68] is, to date, one of the most extensively worked out systems in the brain. Cues may represent the event and are evidenced to alter the context map [69].

Vulnerability to PTSD and the paranoia underlying PTSD then may be understood as an ability of the amygdala in those individuals to associate the visceral and somatic components of fight/flight to hippocampal-entorhinal-prefrontal cortical representation of all environments, and to do so permanently. Alternatively, the vulnerability may be an inability of perhaps the hippocampal-entorhinal-prefrontal cortices to constrain the indiscriminant association of the visceral and somatic components of fight/flight to all representations of experience. The objective of neurophysiologic research in our laboratory at the Center for the Study of Traumatic Stress at the Uniformed Services University is to understand the neurophysiology of this vulnerability.

The Theta Rhythm and Context Memory

The 4-12 Hz theta rhythm, a semi-sinusoidal fluctuation in the electric field of the brain most readily recorded in the hippocampus [70], is the manifestation of a process that is intrinsic to the mapping of space and cognition in the hippocampal formation. Whether the hippocampal theta rhythm is induced locally [71,72], from the lateral basal amygdala [73], from the septum [74], from dorsal raphe serotonergic projections [75], from the supramammillary nucleus of the hypothalamus [76], from nucleus reticularis pontis oralis [77,78], or from all six, theta phase appears to be mechanistically involved in spatial coding in both the hippocampus [79] and the medial entorhinal cortex [80]. Theta paces the updating of cue-referenced context maps in the CA3 hippocampus [81]. Consequently, loss of hippocampal theta rhythm results in context memory deficit [82], which, as indicated earlier, is part of PTSD. During anxiety behavior in mice, the synchrony of the theta rhythm in the ventral hippocampus and the medial prefrontal cortex increases [83]. High-frequency gamma oscillations synchronize with the theta rhythm [84]; these may be a manifestation of the actual information transmitted among CA1, CA3, medial entorhinal cortex, and possibly other brain regions during each theta cycle.

The Theta Rhythm and Synaptic Potentiation

Hippocampal excitability is phase-locked to the theta rhythm in free-moving rats [85]. Stimulation at the theta frequency is optimal for the induction of hippocampal long-term potentiation of synaptic transmission [86]. High-frequency electrical stimulation in hippocampal CA1 in behaving rats yields long-term potentiation of synaptic transmission when delivered to the peak of theta and long-term depression when delivered to the trough [87]. High-frequency electrical stimulation to perforant path between hippocampus CA1 and entorhinal cortex in urethane-anesthetized rats yields long-term potentiation when delivered to the peak of theta and long-term depression when delivered to the trough [88].

In addition to the previously mentioned brain regions, hippocampal theta synchronizes with the dorsal raphe nucleus. The discharge of half of the neurons in the dorsal raphe nucleus synchronizes with hippocampal theta. The electrophysiology of these dorsal raphe neurons suggests that they are the serotonergic pool composing half of the population in the dorsal raphe nucleus [89].

In our own laboratory, high-frequency packets of electrical stimulation given at the theta frequency to the lateral basal amygdala in the in vitro slice of the rat’s brain induces short-term potentiation; when two such theta burst stimulations are given ten minutes apart, long-term potentiation is induced [90]. That high-frequency stimulation of the ventral angular bundle, which projects from hippocampus to lateral basal amygdala, induces long-term potentiation of synaptic transmission in the lateral basal amygdala is particularly significant in PTSD research in that lesion of ventral angular bundle blocks fear conditioning to context [91]. Synaptic potentiation and the amygdala are therein connected to fear of environment and resultant defensive aggression in PTSD.

Synaptic G-Protein–Coupled Receptors Are the Key

The G-protein–coupled receptors in synaptic transmission are the key in deciphering the neurophysiology of aggression in PTSD, because the neurotransmitters for these-serotonin, norepinephrine,
acetylcholine, and vasopressin, among others—modulate aggressiveness in animal models, regulate the theta rhythm, prime the induction of long-term potentiation of synaptic transmission, and, in the case of serotonin and norepinephrine together, have been found to be therapeutic for aggression in PTSD.

Serotonin, with its receptors, is widely studied in anxiety [92] and is the most widely studied neurochemical in relation to aggression [93]. Increase of serotonin in the midbrain [94], as well as stimulation of serotonergic neurons in the dorsal raphé nucleus, causing release of serotonin in the medial prefrontal cortex among other locations [95], escalates intermale aggression in mice in the resident-intruder paradigm. Serotonin appears to act by increasing the intensity of the aggression, rather than by decreasing the threshold for the aggression [94]. Paradoxically, aggression toward a human intruder is increased with blockade of the 5-HT₁A receptor in normal rats, and 5-HT₁A receptor expression is decreased in frontal cortex, amygdala, hypothalamus, and midbrain in rats bred for aggressiveness toward a human intruder [96]. Likewise, rats bred for aggressiveness toward a human intruder have decreased levels of serotonin in the hypothalamus, midbrain, and cortices relative to rats bred for low aggressiveness toward a human intruder [97]. Resolution of the paradox may be found in perhaps two ways. First, serotonin may promote aggression by acting on a serotonin receptor other than the 5-HT₁A receptor, perhaps the 5-HT₂A receptor. Indeed, in our learned helplessness in rat model of PTSD, it is an antagonist for the serotonin 5-HT₁A receptor (MDL 11,939) that prevents exaggeration of the acoustic startle response pathognomonic for PTSD [98]. Second, the resident-intruder paradigm may, after all, measure a dominance-based offensive aggression against a conspecific, while the paradigm based on aggression toward a human intruder, menacing by sheer size, measures defensive aggression. Rats bred for aggressiveness in this “human-intruder” paradigm were, in fact, not more aggressive toward conspecifics in the resident-intruder paradigm [97]. The human-intruder paradigm would best parallel the situation producing the defensive aggression of PTSD. Importantly, in this human-intruder paradigm, it is a decrease, rather than an increase, in serotonergic transmission that leads to the defensive aggression of PTSD. Therapy for aggression in PTSD based on increasing serotonin is then congruent.

A dorsal raphé nucleus serotonergic projection to hippocampus generates theta rhythm [75]. GABA<sub>₄</sub> receptors in the dorsal raphé nucleus activate these serotonergic neurons, and, after ethanol ingestion, a major comorbidity of PTSD, GABA<sub>₄</sub> receptors are activating too [99]. The GABA receptors are not on the serotonergic neuron itself, so must be on some interneuron or presynaptic terminal in the dorsal raphé nucleus [100]. Similarly, the GABA receptors are not on the serotonergic nucleus itself in the lateral amygdala [101]. Stress, in our rat model of PTSD, blocks the facilitation of induction of long-term potentiation of synaptic transmission by a serotonin 5-HT₂A receptor agonist (DOI) in the lateral amygdala [102], indicating that stress decreases the expression of the serotonin 5-HT₂A receptors that mediate the facilitation of induction of long-term potentiation in the lateral amygdala. Stimulation of the medial prefrontal cortex reciprocal projections back to the dorsal raphé nucleus increases effort by a rat [103], which may correspond to the impulsivity of PTSD. Activation is seen in the medial prefrontal cortex of soldiers with PTSD when hearing recorded sounds of battle [104].

Norepinephrine is important in PTSD. In our rat model of PTSD, the norepinephrine alpha 1 receptor agonist, A61603, diminishes anxiety and fear, as measured by the acoustic startle response [105], an indicator of hyper arousal, anxiety, fear, and likely aggressiveness in PTSD. So, the action of norepinephrine via its alpha 1 receptor in diminishing anxiety symptoms may be via blockade of potentiation of excitatory synaptic transmission. Norepinephrine may diminish anxiety symptoms by blocking facilitation of excitatory synaptic transmission, as expanded upon below, or by facilitating the release of the inhibitory neurotransmitter GABA. In our rat model of PTSD, the same norepinephrine alpha 1 receptor agonist, A61603, facilitates GABAergic neurotransmission in the lateral amygdala, and stress impairs that facilitation [106].

Venlafaxine, a serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor, increases both serotonin- and norepinephrine-mediated neurotransmission. Venlafaxine is the only medication to date to show direct improvements in PTSD patients’ ability to deal with daily stress, anger, and aggression [107,108], indicating that, as inferred earlier, a combined increase in both serotonin- and norepinephrine-mediated neurotransmission diminishes the defensive aggression of PTSD. The aggressiveness is decreased by venlafaxine before fear cognition is decreased [107], suggesting that it is the connection between the hippocampal formation and the amygdala that is blocked.

As stated earlier, serotonergic neurons in the dorsal raphé nucleus synchronize with hippocampal theta [89]. Inhibition of serotonergic neurons in the medial raphé nucleus increases hippocampal theta, indicating that serotonergic neurons in the medial raphé nucleus normally decrease hippocampal theta [109]. Serotonin, acting via its 5-HT₁A receptor, primes the induction of long-term potentiation in the lateral basal amygdala slice such that long-term potentiation requires only a single, rather than a double, theta burst stimulation to induce long-term potentiation [110]. Additionally, stress impairs 5-HT₂A receptor-mediated serotonergic facilitation of GABA release in the lateral amygdala [110]. So a model is emerging in which serotonin 5-HT₂A receptor-mediated enhancement of long-term potentiation and GABA release damps anger and acts as a brake on aggression. Stress-induced diminution of this serotonergic transmission promotes defensive aggression. Enhancement of the serotonergic transmission is therapeutic. The model includes alpha 1 receptor-mediated noradrenergic transmission in the same way.

Cholinergic actions that act on muscarinic receptors, a G-protein–coupled receptor, on principle neurons in the entorhinal cortex and in the lateral amygdala generate persistent, graded activity. For the lateral amygdala, these cholinergic projections are demonstrated to be from the basal nucleus of Meynert [111]. Muscarinic cholinergic synapses influence septal-hippocampal theta [112].

Increase of vasopressin, which is a G-protein–coupled receptor ligand [113], in the lateral septum correlates with increased resident aggression against an intruder, while increase of vasopressin in the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis correlates with decreased resident aggression against an intruder [114]. The vasopressin 1b receptor, one of the two principle vasopressin receptors in the brain mediating behavior, has its major expression in the hippocampus, specifically in the limited CA2 region [115]. Vasopressin perfusion into the medial amygdala is antipryretic [116], suggesting systemic deactivation since pyresis is a result of energy production.

While most examples of priming induction of long-term potentiation are direct (monosynaptic) as in the case of the projection from the hippocampus to the lateral basal amygdala [91], priming of the induction of long-term potentiation can also have multiple stages and more than one G-protein-coupled receptor synapses involved. An
example is the following pathway: nucleus subcoeruleus-acetylcholine → nucleus locus coeruleus-norepinephrine → septum → dentate gyrus, which ultimately primes long-term potentiation in the perforant path to dentate granule cell synapse [117].

**Genomics of Aggressiveness in PTSD**

Along with the aggressiveness of PTSD and the hypothesized alteration of neuroplasticity in the hippocampus, amygdala, and prefrontal cortex, evidence is appearing for dysregulation of gene expression. Two hundred twenty five (225) mitochondrial related genes in the amygdala and 295 mitochondrial related genes in the prefrontal cortex of the male rat are dysregulated in response to tail-shock stress [30]. Three (3) of the stress dysregulated mitochondrial related genes in the amygdala – Elk3, Avp, Bcl2l1 – and four (4) in the prefrontal cortex – AVP, PrkcdBp, A2Zi1, Srf – are also found in the set of 105 genes up or down regulated in association with territorial aggressiveness [118]. The inference then is that stress dysregulation of gene expression promotes aggressiveness. The AVP gene is particularly relevant in that the metabolic product – arginine vasopressin – is central to stress induced aggressiveness [114] and is a G-protein receptor ligand such as is here hypothesized to be instrumental in neuroplasticity underlying aggression.

In the female rat, similarly, gene dysregulation is associated with aggressiveness. In the female rat 400 genes in the amygdala and 368 genes in the hippocampus are dysregulated in response to predator odor stress [119]. Four (4) of these stress dysregulated genes in the amygdala Akt1, Avp, Fos, Ozα1 – and three (3) in the hippocampus – Akt1, Tcf4, FOS – are also found in the set of 105 genes up or down regulated in association with territorial aggressiveness [118]. The inference then is that stress dysregulation of gene expression promotes aggressiveness in females as well as males.

Since post-traumatic stress disorder significantly increases risk for suicide, even after adjusting for depression, which is often comorbid, it is noteworthy that three genes correlated with suicide - TUBA1, CTSD, and Grik2 – are dysregulated by stress in the tail-shock rat model of PTSD [30]. The genomics of PTSD are similar in the mouse [120].

**The Neuronal System in Aggression**

The Figure 1 represents the neuronal structures and interactions.

How these structures interact in anxiety, aggression, and fear is for the most part not known. One technique for finding out is to measure the synaptic connectivity within and between these brain nuclei with the electrically evoked excitatory postsynaptic field potential during the escalation leading up to aggression. The excitatory postsynaptic field potential, measured with a metal electrode inserted into the nucleus under stereotaxic guidance, is a waveform graphing the activation of the whole population of excitatory synapses over time evoked by electrical stimulation through another electrode. The baseline stimulation is given as single pulse at a low frequency, perhaps one pulse every ten seconds, so that the response to the pulses is far enough apart in time to not interact with each other. Giving a brief burst of stimulation pulses at a high frequency, say 100 pulses per second for five seconds, can reveal an ability of the synapses to be "potentiated," that is, after the stimulation is returned to the slow, baseline rate, the amplitude of the field potential is observed to be larger. Figure 2 is an example of an excitatory postsynaptic field potential evoked in the amygdalohippocampal area by electrical stimulation of the medial amygdala in a (urethane-anesthetized) rat. The two traces at the upper left are the time course of an excitatory postsynaptic field potential generated by the medial amygdala synapses on all of the neurons in the amygdalohippocampal area around the recording electrode. The upper
trace is before a burst of high-frequency stimulation was given through the stimulating electrode in the medial amygdala, and the second trace, visibly larger, was recorded after the high-frequency burst. Each point in the graph represents the average of the maximum amplitude of six excitatory postsynaptic field potentials. The excitatory postsynaptic field potential is seen to increase after high-frequency stimulation (200 pulses per second in this case). Prazosin, a norepinephrine receptor antagonist that is therapeutic (for the sleep disturbance) in PTSD, diminishes the increase following high-frequency stimulation.

Because it connects the theta rhythm to synaptic potentiation, a technique for studying the two together employed in our lab is the “two theta burst/long-term potentiation” paradigm [121]. In the in vitro brain slice from the rat containing the basolateral amygdala a single “theta burst” induces “short-term potentiation,” and two theta bursts delivered ten minutes apart induce long-term potentiation. Short-term potentiation is an increase in the efficacy of the transmission at the synapse which lasts a few minutes. Long-term potentiation is an increase in the efficacy of the transmission at the synapse which lasts as long as the tissue remains healthy in the case of the brain slice in vitro and for hours to days or more in the living organism. The stimulation inducing this potentiation of synaptic transmission is given at the theta frequency, about 5 Hz. More specifically, packets of electrical pulses are given at five packets per second, each packet consisting of high-frequency pulses at 100 pulses per second. The high frequency in each packet matches the Gamma frequency mentioned earlier which is contained within the theta frequency (Figure 3).

A1: Excitatory postsynaptic field potentials (fEPSPs) recorded before (a), after (b) and 30 minutes after (c) theta burst stimulation to the external capsule (EC), an axonal bundle that contains medial geniculate auditory afferents to the lateral amygdala. Each of these fEPSPs is evoked by a single electrical pulse delivered to the external capsule. These probe pulses are delivered ten minutes apart, far enough apart in time so that the fEPSPs they evoke do not interact. A2: Time series of the amplitudes of the fEPSPs. Each point is first an average of six fEPSPs from a single brain slice, then averaged over six slices, with error bars shown. In the control condition, first theta burst stimulation induces short-term potentiation and a second theta burst stimulation delivered ten minutes later induces long-term potentiation. The glutamatergic NMDA receptor blocker APV blocks synaptic potentiation, showing that the potentiation is dependent on release of the transmitter glutamate at the synapse and its action on the NMDA receptor. B: The same as A, with the addition of recordings in which theta burst stimulation was given to the ventrobasal amygdala (VBA), instead of the external capsule. Theta burst stimulation to the VBA induces no potentiation of synaptic transmission in the basolateral amygdala. This negative result is important because it shows that the two theta burst technique can differentiate functionally connected regions from those that are not. (See text for further explanation.)

G-protein–coupled receptor–mediated synaptic transmission can have an equivalent effect to the second theta burst in inducing long-term potentiation of synaptic transmission. An example is shown in Figure 4 in which the brain slice in vitro taken from the rat at the level of the amygdala is exposed to a serotonin 5-HT$_{2A}$ receptor agonist (DOI). In the presence of the serotonin agonist, just one theta burst is sufficient to induce long-term potentiation of synaptic transmission in the basolateral amygdala, indicating that modulation by serotonergic synapses primes long-term potentiation of synaptic transmission. In vivo, an antagonist for the serotonin 5-HT$_{2A}$ receptor (MDL 11,939) prevents exaggeration of the acoustic startle response in our rat model of PTSD [98].

Figure 3: Two theta bursts–induced long-term potentiation in the lateral amygdala in the in vitro brain slice of the rat.

Figure 4: The serotonin 5-HT$_{2A}$ receptor agonist (DOI) primes theta burst–induced long-term potentiation of synaptic transmission in the lateral amygdala.

The conditions are the same as in Figure 3. In the electrophysiology graphed in Figure 4, the serotonin 5-HT$_{2A}$ receptor agonist DOI is present in the slice bath, either alone or with the serotonin 5-HT$_{2A}$ receptor blocker RS 102221. In the presence of the serotonin 5-HT$_{2A}$ receptor agonist, a single theta burst is sufficient to induce long-term potentiation of synaptic transmission. Serotonin modulation can now be understood to be equivalent to, or a proxy for, theta frequency stimulation. Blocking the influence of serotonin blocks the induction of long-term potentiation of synaptic transmission.

Alternatively, G-protein–coupled receptor–mediated synaptic transmission can block long-term potentiation that would otherwise be induced by two theta bursts. An example is shown in Figure 5 in which the brain slice in vitro taken from the rat at the level of the amygdala is exposed to a norepinephrine alpha 1 receptor agonist (A61603). In the presence of the norepinephrine agonist, two theta bursts separated by ten minutes fail to induce long-term potentiation in the lateral amygdala.

The conditions are the same as in Figure 3. In the electrophysiology graphed in Figure 5, when the norepinephrine alpha 1 receptor agonist...
(A61603) is present in the slice bath, two theta bursts fail to induce long-term potentiation. Norepinephrine modulation can now be understood to counter theta frequency-induced synaptic potentiation.

In our rat model of PTSD, the same norepinephrine alpha 1 receptor agonist, A61603, diminishes hyper arousal, as measured by the acoustic startle response [105]. Hyper arousal is symptomatic of PTSD. So, the action of norepinephrine via its alpha 1 receptor in diminishing PTSD-like symptoms may be via blockade of potentiation of excitatory synaptic transmission.

Mechanistically similar results in synaptic transmission have been found in the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis with dopamine D1 receptors [122] and the vasopressin receptor.

To recapitulate the main points, a burst of stimulation at a frequency matching the endogenous theta frequency of the electroencephalogram, given in the in vitro brain slice, potentiates synaptic transmission for a short time, a few minutes. A second theta burst given ten minutes later extends that synaptic potentiation to the long term. This paradigm shows a functional connection between brain regions in that not all brain regions respond. As examples of modulation of synaptic potentiation in one synapse by G protein-receptor–coupled synaptic transmission at another synapse, serotonergic transmission can transform theta burst–induced short-term potentiation of synaptic transmission to long-term potentiation, and noradrenergic transmission can block long-term potentiation. At least via some of their receptors, the effect of serotonin and norepinephrine on synaptic potentiation appears to correspond to their effect on anxiety symptoms.

**Discussion and Conclusion**

**Current Work**

To better understand and treat aggressiveness in PTSD, current work in the behavioral neurophysiology laboratory at the Center for the Study of Traumatic Stress is bringing together clinical analysis of PTSD, animal behavioral models of defensive aggression, and neurophysiology of memory, context, theta rhythm, and synaptic transmission in the following paradigm. A PTSD model in the rat is first generated in a learned helplessness paradigm [123], or a social defeat paradigm [124]. The PTSD model rat is then tested in the resident-intruder paradigm [23] for escalation of aggressiveness relative to control rats. The next step is to record theta synchrony and two theta burst–generated long-term synaptic potentiation between the candidate brain structures of aggression (Figure 1) leading up to and during aggression in the resident-intruder paradigm. An increase in synchrony or potentiation during aggression relative to quiescence, and in PTSD aggression relative to control interaction, is indicative of interaction of those brain structures in PTSD-escalated aggression. Finally, and especially in pursuit of pharmacotherapy for aggression in PTSD, G protein-receptor–coupled reagents are tested for their effect in the resident-intruder paradigm on aggressive behavior and on theta coupling and synaptic potentiation between structures in the neuronal system determined in the previous step.

An example of the level of simplification of the brain that we would hope to attain to aid our understanding is a sample-and-hold register as diagrammed in Figure 6.

Information, somehow encoded in a high-frequency burst of action potentials entering from the bottom, is held in the register by serotonergic (5-HT)-induced synaptic potentiation. The system is strobed at theta frequency. For instance, each theta cycle may bring serotonergic activation which would hold the information in the register, or noradrenergic activation, which is evidenced to cancel synaptic potentiation, i.e., erase the information. Alternatively, information may arrive with each theta cycle and be held or passed depending on the serotonergic or adrenergic modulation. The 200 millisecond bins in which visual information enter perception corresponds to the theta frequency centering on 5 Hz.

In the context of aggression, an information processing system built from units such as diagrammed in Figure 6 might connect memory and action, and, particularly in PTSD, lay out the manner in which an experience and its context may be fixed in memory and

![Figure 6: An information processing unit in the brain modeled as a sample-and-hold register.](image-url)
become metastatic in the psyche. In so far as digital computers are built up of strobed units such as sample and hold registers, principles of information technology may be brought to bear on the issue. Furthermore, a biological system built up as such is inherently non-linear, meaning that areas of contemporary mathematics such as sensitive dependence on initial conditions and state divergence [125], which in a way model out-of-context behavior, such as inappropriate anger and aggression, might be understood.

**Future Directions**

Figure 1 "Neuronal structures involved in aggression, and their connectivity", illustrates the neurophysiology of aggression can only be understood as the simultaneous interaction of multiple brain regions (Figure 7). Synchrony between the oscillation of the neuronal population field potential of different brain regions may be pursued as an indicator of synaptic interaction between those brain regions.

Some simplification and intuition maybe derived from modeling rhythmic interaction between brain regions as a "Newton's cradle". A Newton's cradle is simply five adjacent steel balls, each hanging on a string.

It is available as an actionable toy on the web or in extended form in science museums such as the San Francisco Exploratorium. When graphed out over time, the oscillation of a ball swinging on a string can be used to model a brain wave. Because this toy is our model for the nuclei of the rat's brain, or yours or mine, we label the balls LA, PFC, BA, MA, and HAA, as in figure legend 1. When you push one ball back ( ) in our toy brain, say LA, and let it go to hit BA, BA will then swing to hit PFC. PFC will hit MA and MA HAA. String length determines frequency of oscillation. Separation between balls determines how much energy is stored as potential energy before one ball hits the next ball. In this toy brain, kinetic energy is synaptic transmission and potential energy is synaptic potentiation.

Peaks and troughs in a multidimensional phase diagram drawn from the multi-nuclei electrode recording would then indicate synaptic interaction between the brain structures involved in aggression. The parameters of a digital model of Newton's cradle (string length for frequency, kinetic energy for synaptic transmission, and potential energy for synaptic potentiation) might be adjusted to give an output matching the multidimensional phase diagram drawn from the multi-nuclei electrode recording. The adjusted model would then be the model of neuronal interaction during aggression.

Finally, toward understanding aggressiveness in PTSD, the stressed rat is to be compared with the unstressed (control) rat. The parametric differences between the two states are to tell the story.
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