Occupational Hazards in Veterinarians: An Updating
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Abstract

**Background:** The veterinarian is a complex and varied work: risks in veterinary activity show are more typical of manual work than medical profession. Many reviews analyzed occupational risks related to veterinarians, but compared to the past current risks are more different. This review analyzes veterinary profession considering main occupational risk factors, as defined by World Health Organization: physical, chemical, biological or other agents that may cause harm to an exposed person in the workplace and is potentially modifiable.

**Methods:** Publications investigating physical, chemical, biological, cancer and stress risk as well as effects associated with these exposures through veterinary practice were searched in the PubMed and Web of Science database. Publications were judged to be covered in the review when the following inclusion criteria applied:

- Articles should be published in the English language;
- Articles published after 2000;
- Studies reporting some numerical data about exposures and subjects considered;
- Studies concerning health effects regarding only veterinarians associated with exposure to animals, not general population.

**Results:** Compared to the past, when the main risk of occupational disease was represented by zoonosis (in particular mycotic infections, mange, swine erysipelas, anthrax and tuberculosis), current risks are also represented by new entities such as mental and physical stress. However injuries, radiations, chemicals, zoonosis and allergies continue to represent a considerable portion of professional risks.

**Conclusions:** Zoonosis, injuries and trauma remain the main occupational risk for veterinarians today, but new emerging risks, such as psychological risks are becoming increasingly important for these workers.
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Introduction

Veterinary medicine is the science that deals with the health and welfare of animals, particularly with regard to the prevention and cure of diseases. Since the health of humans is connected to the health of animals and the environment, veterinarian protects human health by controlling diseases that are transmitted from animals to humans (zoonoses) and ensuring the health check on foodstuffs of animal origin.

The veterinarian job is a complex and varied work and the risk to undergo by to prove it. The risks in veterinary activity show are typical of the medical profession that manual work.

The veterinarians are employed in a large field of activities, ranging from animal health and welfare to food safety and public health [1]:

- Companion animal veterinarians, who treat pets and generally work in private clinics;
- Equine veterinarians, who work with horses;
- Food animal veterinarians, who work with farm animals such as pigs, cattle, sheep, goats, poultry and other food producing animals as
fish and bees. They spend much of their time at farms and ranches treating illnesses and injuries and testing for and vaccinating against diseases. They also may advise owners or managers about feeding, housing, and general health practices;

Food safety and inspection veterinarians, veterinary public health concerns all aspects of food production chain from controlling transmissible diseases that may impact on human health to slaughter procedures and inspection of carcasses and products until their sale on the stores; in this field they are made to check on animal products from abroad in order to ensure safe food supplies;

Research veterinarians, who work in laboratories, conducting research on human and animal health problems. These veterinarians may perform tests on experimental animals for example to identify the effects of new drug therapies, or they may test new surgical techniques. They may also research how to prevent, control, or eliminate food- and animal-borne illnesses and diseases. Also there are veterinarians who deal with experimental animals, to ensure their health and welfare;

Veterinarians who work in laboratories, they deal with diagnostic procedures with various specializations: pathological anatomy, microbiology, virology, pharmacology, chemistry applied to foods, immunology, etc.

Veterinarians perform different tasks that can be counted among those at risk for health and safety, in particular herds of animals, lairages, slaughter houses, farms larvae, stables, horse stables and meat processing plans, and much different tasks that relate in various ways to the problem (and risks) Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy (BSE).

Compared to the past, when the main risk of occupational disease was represented by zoonosis (in particular mycotic infections, mange, swine erysipelas, anthrax and tuberculosis), current risks are also represented by new entities such as mental and physical stress [2]. However injuries, radiations, chemicals, zoonosis and allergies continue to represent a considerable portion of professional risks (Figure 1) [2,3].

Materials and Methods

Definition of veterinary profession risk factors: This paper analyzes veterinary profession considering main occupational risk factors, as defined by World Health Organization (WHO) [4], physical, chemical, biological or other agents that may cause harm to an exposed person in the workplace and is potentially modifiable.

The main physical risks for veterinary profession are injuries/trauma/musculoskeletal disorders and ionizing radiations. Chemical risk can result mainly from the use of gaseous anesthetics, drugs (and in particular antineoplastic and antiparasitic agents), detergents and disinfectants. Biological risk is present in all work activities where there is risk of exposure to biological agents that is any organism that may cause infection, allergy or poisoning.

Literature search: Publications investigating physical, chemical, biological, cancer and stress risk as well as effects associated with these exposures through veterinary practice were searched in the PubMed and Web of Science databases. The following search terms were utilized: “occupational exposure”, “physical risk”, “chemical risk”, and “biological risk”, “stress”, linked with the word “veterinary” or “animal”. Publications were judged to be covered in the review when the following inclusion criteria applied:

- Articles should be published in the English language;
- Articles published after 2000;
- Studies reporting some numerical data about exposures and subjects considered;
- Studies concerning health effects regarding only veterinarians associated with exposure to animals, not general population.

The process of study selection is shown in Figure 2.

![Figure 1: Main type of occupational risks in veterinarians.](image)

### Results

Physical risk data in veterinary medicine were reported in Table 1: most of chronic or significant injuries and trauma were due to contact with large animals [5-15], in particular horses and cows. The injuries
most frequently reported were bites [2,5,6,9,10,15-17] scratches [5,9,10,16-18], hits [2,6-10,19] and needle sticks, in particular in zoo vets [2,9,20-22], while the main trauma were associated to chronic work-related musculoskeletal problems and resulted from lifting animals or working in improper postures [11,12,15,16,23-25].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Type of risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jeyaretnam and Jones [2]</td>
<td>Physical, chemical and biological hazards in veterinary practice.</td>
<td>Injuries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucas et al. [6]</td>
<td>Significant injuries in Australian veterinarians and use of safety precautions.</td>
<td>Injuries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucas et al. [7]</td>
<td>Injuries to Australian veterinarians working with horses.</td>
<td>Injuries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berry et al. [10]</td>
<td>Cumulative trauma disorders among California veterinarians.</td>
<td>Injuries, trauma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattel et al. [13]</td>
<td>Rectal palpation associated cumulative trauma disorders and acute traumatic injury affecting bovine practitioners.</td>
<td>Injuries, trauma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scuffham et al. [14]</td>
<td>Prevalence and risk factors associated with musculoskeletal discomfort in New Zealand veterinarians.</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fritschi et al. [15]</td>
<td>Injury in Australian veterinarians.</td>
<td>Injuries, trauma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeyaretnam et al. [16]</td>
<td>Disease and injury among veterinarians.</td>
<td>Injuries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nordgren et al. [18]</td>
<td>Evaluation of factors associated with work-related injuries to veterinary technicians certified in Minnesota.</td>
<td>Injuries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucas et al. [19]</td>
<td>Serious injuries to Australian veterinarians working with cattle.</td>
<td>Injuries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leggat et al. [20]</td>
<td>Exposure rate of needlestick and sharps injuries among Australian veterinarians.</td>
<td>Injuries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fowler et al. [22]</td>
<td>Survey of occupational hazards in Minnesota veterinary practices in 2012.</td>
<td>Injuries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macdonald and Scott [23]</td>
<td>Scanning through the pain: ergonomic considerations for performing echocardiography of animals.</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith et al. [24]</td>
<td>Muskuloskeletal disorders and psychosocial risk factors among veterinarians in Queensland, Australia.</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scuffham et al. [25]</td>
<td>Tasks considered by veterinarians to cause them musculoskeletal discomfort, and suggested solutions.</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O’Sullivan and Curran [26]</td>
<td>It shouldn’t appear to a vet. Occupational injuries in veterinary practitioners working in Ireland.</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kabuusu et al. [27]</td>
<td>Prevalence and pattern of self-reported animal-related injury among veterinarians in metropolitan Kampala.</td>
<td>Injuries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shirangi et al. [3]</td>
<td>Birth defects in offspring of female veterinarians.</td>
<td>Radiations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hall et al. [29]</td>
<td>Occupational exposures to antineoplastic drugs and ionizing radiation in Canadian veterinary settings: findings from a national surveillance project.</td>
<td>Radiations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shirangi et al. [31]</td>
<td>Prevalence of occupational exposures and protective practices in Australian female veterinarians.</td>
<td>Radiations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gatherer et al. [32]</td>
<td>Exposure of veterinary personnel to ionising radiation during bone scanning of horses by nuclear scintigraphy with 99mtechnetium methylene diphosphonate.</td>
<td>Radiations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fritschi [33]</td>
<td>Cancer in veterinarians.</td>
<td>Radiations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1: Papers and relative type of risk about physical agents.

In the studies we found, authors reported an incidence of injuries/trauma ranging from 49% to 93% [15,20,21,26,27].

The most affected parts of the body were upper limb [2,5,6,10,11,19,23,24,27,28], lower limb [2,8,28], head [2,5,6,8,10,15,24-26] and neck [10,11,24,25]. Some authors reported also bone fractures [2,5,6,7,17], which were more frequent when large animals are involved.

Another kind of physical exposure regards radiations; we found 9 studies [3,9,12,29-34] about this risk (Table 1): the majority of the exposures were below the annual limit of 20 mSv/year recommended by International Commission on Radiological Protection [35], except for one study [9]. Some authors reported an increased risk of spontaneous abortion for pregnant medical staff [3,30,31]. In particular dose received by the assistant is on average 6 times higher than the dose received by the veterinarian, and sometimes the received dose is comparable with the recommended limit [34].

Some authors report an increased risk for birth defects when using cytotoxic drugs and/or anesthetic gases [16,30,38,41], even if this result is not shared by other studies [37]. Nienhaus et al. describe 2 cases of encephalopathy due to solvent [5].

There are few publications on quantitative exposure to pesticides in the veterinary profession, and they are all published before 2000. In Figure 1 we summarized published paper we found about biological risks in veterinary medicine.

Little is known about the prevalence, diagnosis and treatment of zoonotic disease among veterinarians: in our review we found an incidence of zoonosis ranging from 16.6% to 65.7% [9,42-45].

The main zoonotic agents we found in our review are reported in Table 3.

Table 2: Papers and relative type of risk about chemicals.

Reference | Title | Type of risk
--- | --- | ---
Reijula et al. [12] | Work environment and occupational health of Finnish veterinarians. | Anesthetics,
Jeyaretnam et al. [16] | Disease and injury among veterinarians. | Anesthetics,
Shirangi et al. [31] | Prevalence of occupational exposures and protective practices in Australian female veterinarians. | Anesthetics,
Fritschi [33] | Cancer in veterinarians. | Anesthetics, pesticides
Allweiler and Kogan [37] | Inhalation anesthetics and the reproductive risk associated with occupational exposure among women working in veterinary anesthesia. | Anesthetics
Shirangi et al. [38] | Association of unsaveged anesthetic gases and long working hours with preterm delivery in female veterinarians. | Anesthetics
Fritschi et al. [39] | Trends in exposure of veterinarians to physical and chemical hazards and use of protection practices. | Pesticides
Ransbeeck et al. [40] | Exposure levels of farmers and veterinarians to particulate matter and gases during operation tasks in pig-fattening houses. | Particulate matter

Table 3: Papers and relative type of risk about chemicals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>No of studies</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H1N1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Myers et al. [60] Myers et al. [70] Weiler et al. [74]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacillus anthracis</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Epp et al. [9] Molineri et al. [42]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartonella spp</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Epp and Waldner [9]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sayin-kutlu et al. [51]  
Lantos et al. [121]  
Maggi et al. [122]  
Lin et al. [123]  

**Blastomyces dermatitidis**  
1  
Epp et al. [9]  

**Borrelia burgdofi**  
1  
Nienhaus et al. [5]  

**Bovine norovirus**  
1  
Widdowson et al. [75]  

**Brucella spp.**  
9  
Nienhaus et al. [5]  
Epp and Waldner [9]  
Molineri et al. [42]  
Ergönül et al. [124]  
Reid [125]  
Kutlu et al. [126]  
Shalami et al. [127]  
Van den Brom et al. [128]  
Thakur and Thapliyal [129]  

**Campylobacter**  
1  
Epp and Waldner [9]  

**Chlamydophilapapsittaci**  
2  
Nienhaus et al. [5]  
Raso et al. [141]  

**Coxiellaburnetii**  
9  
Vest and Clark [132]  
Abe et al. [133]  
Dorko et al. [134]  
De Rooij et al. [135]  
Bernard et al. [50]  
Ergönül et al. [124]  
Bacci et al. [78]  
Chang et al. [136]  
Welders et al. [137]  
Fenga et al. [138]  
Whytney et al. [88]  

**Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever**  
1  
Ergönül et al. [124]  

**Cryptosporidium spp**  
1  
Epp and Waldner [9]  

**Encephalomyocarditis virus**  
1  
Rivera-Benitez et al. [48]  

**Feline foamy virus**  
1  
Butera et al. [49]  

**Feline immunodeficiency virus**  
1  
Butera et al. [49]  

**Giardia spp**  
1  
Epp and Waldner [9]  

**Helicobacter suis**  
1  
Joosten et al. [72]  

**Hendra virus**  
1  
Mendez et al. [58]  

**Hepatitis E**  
3  
Meng et al. [46]  
Chaussade et al. [79]  
Mendez et al. [58]  

**Leptospira spp**  
4  
Rivera-Benitez et al. [48]  
Molineri et al. [42]  

**Listeria spp**  
2  
Regan et al. [59]  
Zelenik et al. [73]  

**Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus**  
15  
Epp and Waldner [9]  
Zemlickova et al. [130]  
Schawaber et al. [52]  
Jordan et al. [53]  
Loeffler et al. [54]  
Ishii et al. [55]  
Paul et al. [56]  
Huber et al. [57]  
Verkade et al. [76]  
Moodley et al. [80]  
Garcia-Graels et al. [82]  
O’Mahony et al. [131]  
Burtlin et al. [53]  
Rosenkranz et al. [84]  
Cuny et al. [85]  

**Microsporum spp**  
2  
Epp and Waldner [9]  
Molineri et al. [42]  

**Mycobacterium tuberculosis**  
2  
Molineri et al. [42]  
Cooke et al. [161]  

**Novel Canine norovirus**  
1  
Mesquita et al. [62]  

**Porcine rubulavirus**  
1  
Rivera-Benitez et al. [48]  

**Rabies virus**  
3  
Jackson and Villarroel [43]  
Epp and Waldner [9]  
Molineri et al. [42]  

**Toxoplasma gondii**  
3  
Molineri et al. [42]  
Shuhaibe et al. [139]  
Rahman et al. [140]  

**Trichophyton spp**  
2  
Epp and Waldner [9]  
Molineri et al. [42]  

**West Nile Virus**  
1  
Epp and Waldner [9]  

Table 3: Zoonotic agents we found in our review.

The most reported animals which can cause zoonosis in literature are primarily food animals [9,43,46-53] in particular swine and cattle, and companion animals in particular dogs, cats and, to a lesser extent, birds. Equine are mentioned in a few studies [54-88].

The contact and the manipulation of animals can determine the appearance of numerous clinical forms allergic. The prevalence of allergic diseases increased with the length of occupational exposure and female veterinarians in practice were more likely to develop allergies than were male veterinarians [5,9]; in our review we found an incidence of zoonosis ranging from 5% to 63% [9,12,36,63,64,68,89].
with the highest incidence reported for respiratory symptoms (5.12,63-65,90,91). Rhinitis was the most frequent one, followed by cough/chest tightness, wheezing and airways obstruction. 6 studies reported skin problems: dermatosis was the most frequent disease [12,63,64,66,89,92].

The most common agents which can cause symptoms are animal-related products: body fluids, hair, dander, latex and chemicals [9,63,64,66,68,90,93].

Occupational health problems arising from mental or physical fatigue have rarely been assessed. Veterinarians, especially practice principals, have an enormous responsibility in managing a veterinary practice. In Figure 1 are represented studies concerning stress we found in our review.

In the studies we found authors reported a stress incidence ranging from 37% to 73% [9,12,94]. The main causes reported were long hours working by day [95-100], client expectations [97-100] and physical demand [96,98-100].

Women and younger vets seem to be exposed to higher stress levels [12,95,97-102].

Some stress is necessary for achieving one's best performance, but poorly managed stress can result in burnout, substance abuse, depression, anxiety, relationship distress, a negative work–home environment, and even suicide [98,99,103-106].

Discussion

In this paper we analyzed veterinary profession considering main occupational risk factors: physical, chemical and biological, next to an emerging risk factor: psychological risk.

Many studies on veterinary profession have demonstrated that veterinary work is physically demanding and poses an elevated risk of severe injuries and/or trauma: from the analysis of literature we did, we can affirm that physical risk (which includes injuries/trauma, radiation and noise) remain one of the main risk factors for veterinary activities.

Trauma include bites, scratches and injuries caused by animals to handling errors and containment of the animals themselves; injuries from sharp instruments such as syringes, needles, scalpel blades, nose tongs for cattle, halters, calf pulling equipment, metal cattle chutes and injuries from falls on slippery surfaces. Large animals and cattle was the species most likely to cause injury, predominantly in the upper extremities, but also dog bites, cat bites and scratches and horse kicks resulted particularly dangerous [5]. Sharp instruments are a frequent agent of injuries, but it is unlikely that they cause severe injuries alone: more likely it will be the chemical or biological agents introduced that cause severe problems [16].

In addition to acute injuries, veterinarians suffer from Repetitive Strain injuries or Musculoskeletal disorders (MSD), best known in literature as “Work-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders of Upper Extremities” (WRMSDs-UE), which are inflammatory and degenerative disorders responsible for pain and functional impairment in tendons, muscles, joints, nerves or blood vessels. Static or awkward postures, repetitive or forceful tasks may be risk factors for the development of MSD in the upper extremities and backbone, and it could make worse by pressure of time, work stress, career structure and after hours duties [12,24,107]. Some practitioners, in particular those employed in imaging, work with one or both arms above shoulder level for over one hour daily [23], and this could be an important risk factor for the development of MSD in the upper extremities.

Another kind of physical exposure regards ionizing radiations: it is thought that most practicing veterinarians use radiographic equipment; this occurrence is more frequently in veterinary procedures since the animals must be restrained and therefore the operator could be very close to the source of radiations. Despite this, it seems that veterinarians currently have lower exposures than radiologists and surgeons: the majority of the exposures we found were below the annual limit of 20 mSv/year, except one [9]. There are known risks of skin cancer, thyroid cancer, and leukemia with exposure to X-rays, but the doses received in veterinary practice are probably insufficient to cause major increases in risk, unless there are problems with the equipment or with radiology procedures.

Noise is a well-known risk factor for several occupational activities, but hearing loss has not been widely reported in the veterinary profession: actually in veterinary facilities do not normally exist situations or machines that subjecting operators to noises that exceed those permitted.

Chemical risk appeared to be less relevant than physical and biological risk, but it could be due to undernotification of claims, which it is not always easy to recognize the causal link: many substances used in veterinary practice may accidentally be split on the skin, inhaled, ingested or injected and can cause hazardous effects, which include mutagenicity, teratogenicity, carcinogenity, acute toxicity, flammability, explosiveness, skin irritation, allergic reactions and lung damage.

Among chemicals, the majority of exposures regards anesthetics gases: dispersion of these chemical compounds in the operating room depends on several factors (conveyance and disposal of gas, the quality and amount of ventilation of the room, taking into account the issue of the gas inhaled by the animal anesthetized through the respiratory tract); although there are no statistical data on their concentration, it is possible to assume, on the basis of known concentrations of the same hospital halls, that an exhibition is not indifferent. Anesthetics are then accused of disorders of the central nervous system and peripheral, liver (especially halothane) and kidney; females were more likely to experience adverse reactions, which included headache, nausea, sleepiness and dizziness [16]. The risk of adverse pregnancy outcome or spontaneous abortion has been analyzed in several studies [30,38,108-110], but, thus far, the results have been inconclusive. Also the evidence of cancerogenicity of volatile anesthetics was an important risk factor; its role was reviewed by IARC 1987. In the past years some authors reported an increase in lymphohaeomopoietic and pancreatic cancer but these were not consistent [33,111,112] and actually we didn't find any recent work about this topic.

Drugs, in particular antineoplastic drugs are a potential problem for the operator private veterinarian, since the chemotherapy is an increasing practice for pets and thus the existence of such risk entails the necessary allocation of appropriate protective equipment (gloves, masks, chemical hood, etc.).

Drugs can also cause allergic contact dermatitis and antibiotics are the most common sensitizers [93]. It has been suggested that prostaglandins could cause adverse respiratory conditions and abortion [2]: in past years Wilkins and Bowman reported a spontaneous abortion after an accidental self –injection of a prostaglandin compound [113].
Detergents, disinfectants and pesticides are used directly on animals to control parasites or applied to the area where animals are confined for cleaning and disinfection of premises, equipment and tools for the prevention of infectious and contagious diseases, postoperative and iatrogenic infections. They may present hazards to contact (burns), inhalation (inflammation of the mucous membranes of the respiratory tract and ocular conjunctival) and special attention should be paid to products that cause toxic, carcinogenic and teratogenic effects.

There are few publications on quantitative exposure to pesticides in the veterinary profession, and they are all published before 2000: some veterinarians had experienced mild symptoms of poisoning, but there was no consistent and progressive depression of blood cholinesterase activities [114]. Handlers who used flea control products were significantly more likely to report symptoms such as skin rash, tearing, unusual tiredness, burning of the eyes and flushing of skin [115]. Some studies in the past demonstrated that use of flea and tick dips could be a risk factor for cancer: Glickman showed that these substances could be a risk factor for bladder cancer in dogs, but the relevance of this to human carcinogenesis is unknown [116], while a case-control study examined the risk of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma with use of lindane: they found a slight significant increase in the risk with ever use of lindane [117].

Benign occupational disease continued to be the main occupational risk for vets: it is present in all work activities where there is risk of exposure to biological agents. Zoonosis and allergic reactions (respiratory symptoms or allergic contact dermatitis) to animal hair, dandruff and feathers proved to be the most frequent cause of all verified occupational diseases in veterinary practice [5]. NIOSH reports that there are approximately two million workers with jobs requiring constant handling of animals [120].

A zoonosis can be defined as "any disease or infection caused by all types of agents (bacteria, parasites, fungi, viruses and unconventional agents) transmissible from vertebrate animals to humans and vice versa" [121]; as for biological risk from zoonoses is difficult to express the extent of damage expected: the consequences of exposure to the most common zoonotic agents may vary from the simple seroconversion to the disease with extremely variable symptomatic manifestations until the onset of irreversible sequelae or death. Damage is conditioned by different factors such as infectious dose received, pathogenicity of the strain, individual immune status, presence of risk factors such as drug treatments, disease or intercurrent infection, age, physiological state (pregnancy).

Little is known about the prevalence, diagnosis and treatment of zoonotic disease among veterinarians; in our review we found an incidence of zoonosis ranging from 16.6% to 65.7% [9,42-45].

Zoonotic agents can be transmitted through various routes: those transmitted via skin contact without breaking the skin include agents such as ringworm [9,42]; actually these agents did not seem to represent the main cause of zoonosis. Diseases requiring a break in the skin's integrity include cat scratch disease (Bartonella henselae), leptospirosis, rabies and brucellosis are frequently reported [5,9,42,43,48,51,81,86,88,120-128]. A third category includes diseases caused by agents that are aerosolized and inhaled, such as H1N1, Bacillus anthracis, Brucella spp, MRSA and Q fever (even if the route of transmission of this last agent remain unclear): respiratory route remained the main route of zoonosis transmission [9,42,50,52-57,60,70,74,76,78,80,82-85,88,123,129-137]. The oral or ingestion route includes a large number of zoonotic agents such as Cryptosporidia, Campylobacter species (sp), HEV, Toxoplasma [9,46,58,79,138,139]. Another possible route is ocular exposure to agents such as Chlamydia [5,141]. Food animals, in particular swine and cattle, and companion animals (dog, cats and birds too) were the main responsible for the transmission of zoonosis: this result could be easily assume because these animals are very common in the population.

During recent decades, the public health risk represented by zoonosis was suggested by the onset of previously unknown human infection diseases that emerged from animal reservoirs such as Ebola virus, West Nile virus, Creutzfeld-Jakob diseases [9,141].

Zoonosis are also suspected to bring about an increased risk of cancer, as suggested by some epidemiological data showing that veterinarians, meat inspectors and slaughterhouse workers experience an increased risk of myelolymphoproliferative disorders attributed to contact with animal oncoviruses, in particular those associated with poultry and cattle farming [142-146]. Exposure to oncogenic viruses, in particular papillomaviruses, could also be an etiologic agent of esophageal cancer in cattle and humans: some studies reported an increased risk of esophageal cancer in veterinarians [135,147].

Fortunately cancer risk in general seems to be declining: in our literature review we didn't find any recent study about this topic. This could be due to a better awareness of occupational risks, in addition to a reduction of carcinogens, in particular physical (radiation) and chemical agents, and to the application of protective measures, both collective and individual.

The contact and the manipulation of animals can also determine the appearance of numerous clinical forms allergic. The prevalence of allergic diseases increased with the length of occupational exposure [16]; female veterinarians in practice appeared to be more likely to develop allergies than were male veterinarians [9].

This occupational disease affects veterinary staff who have daily contact and close to the animals [67], the staff becomes more sensitive to inhaled allergens suspended in the atmosphere or as a result of abrasion, scratches or bites. The majority of the allergens can be defined as bio-aerosol, dust with a heterogeneous composition containing many toxic and immunogenic particles, for instance pathogenic and/or non-pathogenic microorganisms (bacteria, viruses and fungi) and their biological active components (bacterial endotoxin, mycotoxin), plant fragments (pollen) and animal-derived materials (hair, dander and allergens) and these allergens are associated with mammalians, such as cows, horses, cats, dogs, rats and mice [6,57,148].

Rats and mice are the animals most commonly used in scientific experimental studies: occupational exposure to these animals often occurs when working with laboratory animals. The prevalence of allergy against rats in laboratory animal's workers ranged from 12-31% in some recent studies, and for mice ranged from 10-32% [149,150]. Several epidemiological studies showed a strong association between intensity of exposure to laboratory animal allergens and elevated prevalence of LAA [151,152]: this higher prevalence of allergy against rats and mice, compared to other animal allergens, is probably due to the more frequent use of these animals in experimental studies, and not to lesser ability of other animal allergens to trigger allergy [67].

A substantial number of veterinarians showed sensitivity to latex surgical gloves or powder within the gloves [9,63,64,66,90]: 5-12% of...
In the past years contact with biological agents, in particular mycotoxins and bacterial endotoxins, could also result in poisoning. Mycotoxins are toxic substances produced by the metabolism of fungi or molds, which develop, under certain conditions on grass silage, grain and feed business or industrial; the most common agents are Aspergillus, whose aflatoxin is carcinogenic, hepatotoxic, immunosuppressant, Fusarium, whose fumonisin is neurotoxic, carcinogenic, genotoxic and zearalenone is estrogen-like, and Penicillium, whose ochratoxin is nephrotoxic, teratogenic, immunosuppressant and carcinogenic [153]. Contamination can occur on the field or during the later stages of transport, processing and storage.

While the mycete can be considered a pathogen for the plant, ingestion of mycotoxins can cause acute and chronic toxicity in humans and in animals.

Plants most frequently at risk to cause intoxication are peanuts and derivatives, flour and corn germ, corn gluten feed and corn grain; symptoms than can occur are divided in acute and chronic: the former include systemic and respiratory symptoms due to increasing of inflammatory response, while the second include an accelerated lung function decline [154,155]; in our revision of literature we didn’t find any recent paper about this topic: notification could be underestimated, especially in poorer countries. It’s also probable a real decrement of their incidence thanks to an improvement in information and prevention of this risk.

Occupational health problems arising from mental or physical fatigue have rarely been assessed, but this risk seems to become increasingly important in the last years. Veterinarians, especially practice principals, have an enormous responsibility in managing a client expectations, working in teams, and balancing work and home responsibilities can cause considerable mental stress, burn-out and also an increased risk of suicide.

Sources of stress that create poor wellness in veterinarians include giving bad news, managing adverse events, long hours worked by day, clients expectations, working in teams, and balancing work and home life. But handling ethical dilemmas, in particular regarding euthanasia (especially among veterinarians involved in small animal and equine work [12,95,96,99,156-158], seemed to be the worst stressor. Some stress is necessary for achieving one’s best performance, but poorly managed stress can result in burnout, substance abuse, depression, anxiety, relationship distress, abuse of tobacco, alcohol and drugs, a negative work–home life environment, and even suicide, in particular in women and younger vets [12,94,99,100,101-106,159,160].

Conclusions

The analysis of recent works about veterinarian occupational risks showed some changes compared to the past.

Biological risk (zoonosis) remained the most common risk represented in literature: MRSA, Coxiella burnetii and Brucella spp were the most common infections for vets. Beside this risk, injuries and trauma remained an important risk factor, probably due to intensive working rhythms and pressure of time.

Compared to the past the main change was represented by mental stress and suicide risk arising from mental or physical fatigue, which became increasingly important in the last years.

Ionizing radiation risk is still represented, even if the majority of works we analyzed did not consider it as a risk because doses did not exceed the maximum level permitted.

Chemical risk, in particular anesthetics and drugs remained an important risk for pregnant women, even if results were inconclusive. Also allergic risk was still present in literature: it was related to bioaerosol in particular, but also to drugs, detergents and disinfectant exposure.

Noise risk was not represented in our review: compared to the past it became a marginal risk thanks to PPE and technological improvements.
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