Operational Methods and Lorentz-Type Equations of Motion

Danilo Babusci,1 Giuseppe Dattoli,2 and Elio Sabia2

1 Frascati National Laboratories (LNF), National Institute of Nuclear Physics (INFN), Via E. Fermi 40, 00044 Frascati, Rome, Italy
2 Frascati Research Centre, National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and the Environment (ENEA), Via E. Fermi 45, 00044 Frascati, Rome, Italy

Address correspondence to Giuseppe Dattoli, giuseppe.dattoli@enea.frascati.it

Received 2 June 2011; Accepted 26 June 2011

Abstract We propose an operational method for the solution of differential equations involving vector products. The technique we propose is based on the use of the evolution operator, defined in such a way that the wealth of techniques developed within the context of quantum mechanics can also be exploited for classical problems. We discuss the application of the method to the solution of the Lorentz-type equations.
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1 Introduction

Operational methods provide powerful techniques to solve problems both in classical and quantum mechanics. The distinctive feature of these tools is their versatility and the possibility of exploiting them in absolutely different contexts, from the time-dependent Schrödinger problems to the charged beam transport in accelerators. Differential equations have been the primary motivation for the introduction of these techniques. Lie introduced the algebras bearing his name within the framework of a program aimed to clarify the reasons why only restricted families of ordinary differential equations (ODE) can be solved by quadrature. Operational methods have become “popular” in applied science for their wide flexibility and have stimulated the development of new computer languages, useful for symbolic manipulation.

In this paper, we go back to the solution of some differential equations involving vector products and we will further develop the point of view suggested in [10,11,12], by presenting an analysis which includes a variety of problems often encountered in applications, including classical time ordering techniques, which are not widespread known as they should.

We will start our analysis with the following Cauchy problem:

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \vec{S} = \vec{T} \times \vec{S}, \quad \vec{S}|_{t=0} = \vec{S}_0, \]  

(1.1)

almost ubiquitous in physics, from classical mechanics to nuclear magnetic resonance. Although this equation can always be written in a matrix form, we will develop our considerations using the vector notation and the properties of the vector product because they are more concise and more insightful from the physical point of view. We will assume, for the moment, that the torque vector \( \vec{T} \) is not explicitly time-dependent, so that a straightforward application of the evolution operator formalism yields

\[ \vec{S}(t) = \hat{U}(t)\vec{S}_0, \quad \hat{U}(t) = e^{i\vec{T}}, \quad (\hat{U}(0) = \hat{1}), \]  

(1.2)

where \( \hat{U}(t) \) is the evolution operator defined in terms of the operator \( \hat{T} \), whose properties will be specified below. The series expansion of the exponential provides the following solution:

\[ \hat{S}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} \hat{T}_n \hat{S}_0, \]  

(1.3)

where \( \hat{T} \), called vector evolution operator (VOP), satisfies the following identities:

\[ \hat{T}^0 \hat{S}_0 = \hat{S}_0 \quad \ldots \quad \hat{T}^n \hat{S}_0 = \hat{T} \times (\hat{T} \times (\hat{T} \times \ldots (\hat{T} \times \hat{S}_0) \ldots)), \]  

\( n \)-times

(1.4)
The use of the cyclical properties of the vector product leads to the following closed form for $\vec{S}$ [10,11,12] ($T = |\vec{T}|$):

$$\vec{S}(t) = \cos(Tt)\vec{S}_0 + \sin(Tt)(\vec{n} \times \vec{S}_0) + \left[1 - \cos(Tt)\right](\vec{n} \cdot \vec{S}_0)\vec{n} \quad (\vec{n} = \vec{T}/T). \quad (1.5)$$

This solution has an almost natural geometrical interpretation, which is recognized as a Rodrigues’ rotation (R. r.) [27,29,32].

The solution of the non-homogenous version of (1.1),

$$\frac{d}{dt}\vec{S} = \vec{T} \times \vec{S} + \vec{N}, \quad \vec{S}|_{t=0} = \vec{S}_0, \quad (1.6)$$

is given by

$$\vec{S}(t) = \hat{U}(t)\left(\vec{S}_0 + \int_0^t dt'\hat{U}^{-1}(t')\vec{N}\right), \quad (1.7)$$

which is the solution of the first ODE with the evolution operator in place of the integration factor.

The results we have obtained so far are a more concise form for the well-known solutions of equations of the type (1.6), often encountered in the study of problems involving the Coriolis [17] and Lorentz [20,24] forces. In the next sections, we will discuss specific applications of the outlined formalism and we will see how it may provide further progress when applied to actual physical problems, including time-dependent or spatially non-homogenous fields.

2 The Lorentz equation of motion

The non-relativistic dynamics of a particle with mass $m$ and charge $e$, under the combined influence of static electric and magnetic fields, is ruled by the Hamiltonian ($\epsilon = 1$):

$$H = \frac{1}{2m}(\vec{p} - e\vec{A})^2 + e\Phi, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\vec{p}$ is the canonical momentum. In the static symmetric gauge, the vector and scalar potentials are given by [21]

$$\vec{A} = \frac{1}{2}\vec{B} \times \vec{r}, \quad \Phi = -\vec{E} \cdot \vec{r}. \quad (2.2)$$

The equation of motion for the mechanical momentum

$$\vec{\pi} = m\vec{v} = \vec{p} - \frac{e}{2}\vec{B} \times \vec{r},$$

derived from (2.1), is the Lorentz equation:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\vec{v} = -\vec{\Omega} \times \vec{v} + \vec{Q}, \quad (2.3)$$

where we have introduced the following vectors:

$$\vec{\Omega} = \frac{e}{m}\vec{B}, \quad \vec{Q} = \frac{e}{m}\vec{E}. \quad (2.4)$$

This equation has the same form of (1.6) ($\vec{T} = -\vec{\Omega}, \vec{N} = \vec{Q}$) and its solution is given by (1.7) with $\hat{U}(t) = e^{-t\vec{\Omega}}$. Therefore, we get ($\vec{\eta} = \vec{B}/B$)

$$\vec{v}(t) = \cos(\omega_c t)\vec{v}_0 + \frac{\sin(\omega_c t)}{\omega_c}\vec{Q} + (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\ell})\vec{n} - \vec{n} \times \vec{m}, \quad (2.5)$$

where $\omega_c = \Omega = eB/m$ is the cyclotron frequency, and we put

$$\vec{\ell} = \left[1 - \cos(\omega_c t)\right]\vec{v}_0 + \left[t - \frac{\sin(\omega_c t)}{\omega_c}\right]\vec{Q}, \quad \vec{m} = \frac{1}{\omega_c}\frac{d}{dt}\vec{v}(t). \quad (2.6)$$

A further integration, with respect to the time, yields the position vector, which reads as follows:

$$\vec{r}(t) = \vec{r}_0 + \frac{\sin(\omega_c t)}{\omega_c}\vec{v}_0 + \frac{1 - \cos(\omega_c t)}{\omega_c^2}\vec{Q} + (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\ell})\vec{n} - \frac{1}{\omega_c}\vec{n} \times \vec{\ell}, \quad (2.7)$$

where $\vec{n} = \vec{B}/B$.
where
\[
\vec{\sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} t - \frac{\sin (\omega_c t)}{\omega_c} \tilde{v}_0 + \left[ \frac{t^2}{2} - \frac{1 - \cos (\omega_c t)}{\omega_c^2} \right] \tilde{Q} \end{bmatrix}.
\]

The quantity \( r_L = v_0 / \omega_c \) is called Larmor radius.

It is interesting to stress the contribution \( \vec{n} \times \vec{m} \), that appears when the initial velocity and/or the electric field are not parallel to the magnetic field. It is given by
\[
\vec{n} \times \vec{m} = \frac{e}{m} B \times \vec{v}_0 - 2 \sin^2 \left( \frac{\omega_c t}{2} \right) \tilde{v}_d, \quad \vec{v}_d = \frac{E \times B}{B^2},
\]
where \( \vec{v}_d \) is the drift velocity \([21,30]\). In physical terms, it can be understood as the component of the velocity which allows the balance between electric and magnetic forces in the Lorentz equation of motion. In fact, if we decompose the velocity as \( \vec{v} = \vec{u} + \vec{V} \), and impose that \( e \vec{B} \times \vec{V} = e \vec{E} \),
\[
(2.7)
\]
solving for \( \vec{V} \), we recognize that, in the case \( \vec{B} \cdot \vec{V} = 0 \), this vector coincides with the drift velocity vector defined in (2.6). It is evident that the presence of a further force acting on the particle will induce an analogous drift. By replacing \( \vec{E} \) with \( \vec{E} + \vec{E}' \) in the Lorentz equation, we obtain the composed drift velocity
\[
\vec{v}_d = \frac{(\vec{E} + \vec{E}') \times \vec{B}}{B^2},
\]
which is ensured by (2.3), and has been used as an a posteriori check of the correctness of our computations.

### 3 Lorentz-type equations and damping

It is evident that terms of non-electric/magnetic nature\(^1\) can be added to (2.1). An example is represented by the simplified Drude-like models \([18]\), where a term is introduced depending on the velocity and a relaxation time and that may be associated with electromagnetic-type interactions. From the mathematical point of view, the problem to be treated is the search of the solution for the following vector differential equation:
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \vec{v} = -\vec{\Omega} \times \vec{v} + \vec{Q} - \frac{1}{\tau} \vec{v}.
\]
\[
(3.1)
\]
The presence of a velocity-dependent contribution does not modify the procedure described before and the solution is given by (1.7) and written for the following evolution operator:
\[
\hat{U}(t) = \exp \left\{ -t \left( \frac{1}{\tau} + \vec{\Omega} \right) \right\},
\]
\[
(3.2)
\]
and \( \vec{N} = \vec{Q} \).

The Lorentz equation (2.3) is mathematically equivalent to the one describing the falling of a body under the influence of the Coriolis force. It has been stressed, within the framework of the so-called gravito-magnetic theories \([8]\), that effects like the Foucault rotation can be associated to a vector potential of the type reported in (2.2) and the relevant analysis has been conducted using the perspective of parallel transport and covariant derivative \([22]\).

The supposed existence of such a potential has given the opportunity of developing further speculations as those associated with a possible observation of the Aharonov-Bohm \([1]\) effect involving the Coriolis vector potential. This phenomenon, suggested by Aharanov and Carmi \([2]\), has been observed experimentally using the techniques of neutron interferometry \([28]\).

\(^1\) We mean that the magnetic and/or electric field do not appear explicitly in its expression. As shown in the following, genuine non-electric/magnetic effects, like the gravitational term, can also be included.
For the above, reasons we will treat in detail the solution of the equation of motion of a body falling on the Earth under the action of the Coriolis force. If we include the effect of a velocity-dependent force, due, for example, to air friction, we can write this equation as follows:\(^2\)

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \vec{v} = -2\vec{\omega} \times \vec{v} - \eta \vec{v} + \vec{g}, \quad (\vec{\omega} = \omega \vec{n}), \tag{3.3}
\]

where \(\omega\) is the angular velocity of Earth rotation. Also in this case, with an obvious redefinition of the vectors, the formal solution is given by (1.7). The explicit form for the velocity vector is

\[
\vec{v}(t) = e^{-\eta t} \cos(2\omega t) \vec{v}_0 + \left\{ a - e^{-\eta t} \left[ a \cos(2\omega t) - b \sin(2\omega t) \right] \right\} \vec{g} + (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\ell})\vec{m} - \vec{n} \times \vec{m}, \tag{3.4}
\]

where

\[
\vec{\ell} = e^{-\eta t} \left[ 1 - \cos(2\omega t) \right] \vec{v}_0 + \left\{ \frac{1}{\eta} - a - e^{-\eta t} \left[ \frac{1}{\eta} - a \cos(2\omega t) + b \sin(2\omega t) \right] \right\} \vec{g},
\]

\[
\vec{m} = e^{-\eta t} \sin(2\omega t) \vec{v}_0 + \left\{ b - e^{-\eta t} \left[ a \sin(2\omega t) + b \cos(2\omega t) \right] \right\} \vec{g},
\]

\[
a = \frac{\eta}{\eta^2 + 4\omega^2}, \quad b = \frac{2\omega}{\eta^2 + 4\omega^2},
\]

while, for the position vector one has

\[
\vec{r}(t) = \vec{r}_0 + \left\{ a - e^{-\eta t} \left[ a \cos(2\omega t) - b \sin(2\omega t) \right] \right\} \vec{v}_0
\]

\[
+ \left\{ at - a^2 + b^2 + e^{-\eta t} \left[ (a^2 - b^2) \cos(2\omega t) - 2ab \sin(2\omega t) \right] \right\} \vec{g} + (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\ell})\vec{m} - \vec{n} \times \vec{p}, \tag{3.5}
\]

where

\[
\vec{\ell} = \left\{ \frac{1}{\eta} - a - e^{-\eta t} \left[ \frac{1}{\eta} - a \cos(2\omega t) + b \sin(2\omega t) \right] \right\} \vec{v}_0
\]

\[
+ \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{\eta} - a \right) t - \frac{1}{\eta^2} + a^2 - b^2 + e^{-\eta t} \left[ \frac{1}{\eta^2} - (a^2 - b^2) \cos(2\omega t) + 2ab \sin(2\omega t) \right] \right\} \vec{g}, \tag{3.6}
\]

\[
\vec{p} = \left\{ b - e^{-\eta t} \left[ a \sin(2\omega t) + b \cos(2\omega t) \right] \right\} \vec{v}_0 + \left\{ bt - 2ab + e^{-\eta t} \left[ (a^2 - b^2) \sin(2\omega t) + 2ab \cos(2\omega t) \right] \right\} \vec{g}.
\]

We expect that after some time the motion will be dominated by the so-called limit velocity occurring in any problem characterized by a damping term due to friction, and that is reached when the total force acting on the moving body is zero. By imposing this condition to the case of (3.3), we find

\[
2\vec{\omega} \times \vec{v}^* + \eta \vec{v}^* - \vec{g} = 0, \tag{3.7}
\]

This is a kind of algebraic equation having the velocity vector as the unknown quantity. By applying the method developed in this paper, we find for this equation the following formal solution:

\[
\vec{v}^* = \frac{1}{\eta + 2\omega} \vec{g}, \tag{3.8}
\]

and taking into account the Laplace transform identity

\[
\frac{1}{A} = \int_0^\infty \text{d}s e^{-sA}, \tag{3.9}
\]

valid also if \(A\) is an operator, we obtain the following expression for the limit velocity:

\[
\vec{v}^* = \int_0^\infty \text{d}s e^{-\eta s} e^{-2\omega s} \vec{g} = \frac{1}{\eta^2 + 4\omega^2} \left[ (\eta + 2\omega) \vec{g} + \frac{4}{\eta} (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\ell}) \vec{m} \right]. \tag{3.10}
\]

The results obtained in the present and the previous sections are valid if the vectors defining the VOPs do not depend on time and space coordinates. If it is not the case, we have a completely different phenomenology, that will be discussed in the next sections.

---

\(^2\) The term associated to the centrifugal force has not been included. We will comment later on the possibility of including this type of contribution in the formalism discussed in this paper.
4 Field inhomogeneities and the Lorentz-type equations

Before getting into the specific details relevant to the solution of the equation of Lorentz-type for space and/or time-dependent fields, it is important to remind some important issues regarding operational ordering problems emerging from the non-commutative nature of the vector product.

We will illustrate the associated difficulties considering, as an example, the Lorentz equation in absence of electric field and with a magnetic field consisting of two (non-parallel) components \( \vec{B} = \vec{B}_1 + \vec{B}_2 \). We may wonder whether the Lorentz equation can be solved in such a way that the solution reflects the above decomposition. The evolution operator can be expressed in terms of the VOPs \( \hat{A}_1, \hat{A}_2 \) associated with the two components of the magnetic field, as \( \hat{U} = \exp \left[ t \left( \hat{A}_1 + \hat{A}_2 \right) \right] \), but, as a consequence of the non-commutative character of the vector product, the exponential function does not possess the semi-group property \( \exp(A + B) = \exp(A) \exp(B) \). More sophisticated disentanglement procedures of the exponential are in order, as, for example, the Zassenhaus identity [26], which provides a formula yielding a disentangled expression for the exponential \( \exp(\hat{A} + \hat{B}) \) in terms of successive commutators of \( \hat{A} \) and \( \hat{B} \), namely,

\[
e^{\hat{A} + \hat{B}} = e^{\hat{A}} e^{\hat{B}} e^{Z_1} e^{Z_2} \ldots
\]  

(4.1)

with

\[
Z_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \left[ \hat{A}, \hat{B} \right], \quad Z_2 = \frac{1}{6} \left[ 2 \left[ \hat{B}, \left[ \hat{A}, \hat{B} \right] \right] + \left[ \hat{A}, \left[ \hat{A}, \hat{B} \right] \right] \right].
\]

The evolution operator containing the sum of two non-parallel VOPs cannot be na\"ively disentangled and, accordingly, the associated dynamics cannot be simply expressed as two successive R. r. The identity (4.1) can be applied and we will illustrate its usefulness by keeping only the \( Z_1 \) correction,

\[
Z_1 = -\frac{t^2}{2} \left[ \hat{A}_1, \hat{A}_2 \right] = -t^2 \hat{A}_1 \times \hat{A}_2,
\]

and, thus, writing the evolution operator as follows:

\[
e^{t \left( \hat{A}_1 + \hat{A}_2 \right)} \simeq e^{t \hat{A}_1} e^{t \hat{A}_2} e^{-t^2 \langle \hat{n}_1 \times \hat{n}_2 \rangle}.
\]  

(4.2)

The truncation of the Zassenhaus formula at the first commutator holds for quasi-parallel vectors and/or for small times, that is, when the following inequality is satisfied:

\[
i^2 \left| \hat{A}_1 \times \hat{A}_2 \right| \ll 1,
\]  

(4.3)

and, therefore, the approximate solution for the velocity vector can be written as

\[
\vec{v}(t) \simeq e^{t \hat{A}_1} e^{t \hat{A}_2} \vec{v}'(t),
\]  

(4.4)

where, on account of the condition (4.3) and (1.5), \( \vec{v}' \) can be written as

\[
\vec{v}'(t) \simeq e^{-t^2 (\hat{n}_1 \times \hat{n}_2)} \vec{v}_0 \simeq \vec{v}_0 - \omega_{c,k} \omega_c 2t^2 (\hat{n}_1 \times \hat{n}_2) \times \vec{v}_0,
\]  

(4.5)

with

\[
\omega_{c,k} = \frac{eB_k}{m}, \quad \vec{n}_k = \frac{\vec{B}_k}{B_k} \quad (k = 1, 2).
\]  

(4.6)

The successive action of the exponential operators is that of providing two consecutive R. r. of the vector \( \vec{v}' \).

For example, if we consider the motion of a charged particle under the action of the terrestrial magnetic field, gravity and Coriolis force, we should write the equations of motion as

\[
m \frac{d}{dt} \vec{v} = -\left( e\vec{B}_T + 2m\vec{\omega} \right) \times \vec{v} + m\vec{g},
\]  

(4.7)

3 The symmetric split disentanglement \( \exp \left[ t \left( \hat{A}_1 + \hat{A}_2 \right) \right] \simeq \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \hat{A}_1 \right] \exp \left( t \hat{A}_2 \right) \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \hat{A}_1 \right) \) yields an integration more accurate than that provided by (4.2) because it is of the order \( O(t^2) \). The inclusion of further orders in the Zassenhaus expansion may give a better approximation. The symmetric split provides an easier interpretation in geometrical terms since it can be understood as three successive R. r.
where $\vec{B}_T$ denotes the terrestrial magnetic field. According to the previous discussion, the above equation can be solved by introducing a kind of equivalent magnetic field vector given by

$$\vec{B}^* = \vec{B}_T + 2 \frac{m}{e} \vec{\omega},$$

(4.8)

thus getting for the associated drift velocity the expression

$$\vec{v}_d = \frac{m}{e} \vec{g} \times \frac{\vec{B}^*}{\vec{B}^* + 2},$$

(4.9)

that gives rise to a current flow orthogonal to the gravity force line and to the direction of the equivalent magnetic force (4.8). However, if we are interested to disentangle the magnetic and Coriolis components we can follow the just outlined procedure. By assuming that Coriolis and Lorentz force vectors are quasi-parallel, that is, $\vec{B}^* \times \vec{\omega} \simeq 0$, we obtain a first correction induced by the combined action of the fields given by (see (4.5))

$$\frac{\delta \vec{v}}{\delta \vec{v}_0} \simeq \frac{\omega_{c,T} \omega^2}{\sin \lambda \sin \chi},$$

(4.10)

where $\omega_{c,T}$ is the cyclotron frequency associated to $\vec{B}_T$, $\lambda$ is the angle between the vectors $\vec{B}_T$ and $\vec{\omega}$, and $\chi$ is the angle between the vector $\vec{B}_T \times \vec{\omega}$ and the initial velocity $\vec{v}_0$.

Let us now assume that the magnetic field is not homogenous, that is, it exhibits a dependence on the transverse coordinates. This modifies the dynamics of the charge undergoing the Lorentz force effect since during its motion the particle experiences space regions with different magnetic field intensity and orientation. Furthermore, by assuming that we can choose a (small) finite time integration step $\delta$ during which the fields remain constant, the velocity and position vectors can be followed, step by step, by means of the following equations (see (2.4), (2.5)) with $\vec{Q} = 0$:

$$\begin{align*}
\vec{v}_{k+1} &= \cos (\Omega_k \delta) \vec{v}_k + [\vec{n}_k \cdot \vec{\ell}_k(\delta)] \vec{n}_k - \vec{n}_k \times \vec{m}_k(\delta), \\
\vec{r}_{k+1} &= \vec{r}_k + \frac{\sin (\Omega_k \delta)}{\Omega_k} \vec{v}_k + [\vec{n}_k \cdot \vec{\sigma}_k(\delta)] \vec{n}_k - \frac{1}{\Omega_k} \vec{n}_k \times \vec{\ell}_k(\delta),
\end{align*}$$

(4.11)

where the index $k$ corresponds to successive integration steps, and

$$\begin{align*}
\vec{\ell}_k(\delta) &= \left[1 - \cos (\Omega_k \delta)\right] \vec{v}_k, \\
\vec{\sigma}_k(\delta) &= \delta - \frac{\sin (\Omega_k \delta)}{\Omega_k} \vec{v}_k, \\
\vec{B}_k &= \vec{B}(\vec{r}_k), \\
\vec{\Omega}_k &= \frac{m}{e} \vec{B}_k.
\end{align*}$$

The main effect of the coordinate dependence of the magnetic field is the appearance of a drift velocity contribution [3]. The physical origin of the drift is in the fact that an increase or a reduction of the magnetic field implies a corresponding reduction or increase of the Larmor radius, and, therefore, in one period the orbit described by the particle is no more closed and the particle, according to the sign of its charge, drifts along the varying field direction. A well-known, straightforward, calculation allows the evaluation of the drift force under the assumption that the field does not vary significantly over a Larmor radius. The magnetic field dependence on the vector position yields

$$\vec{B}(\vec{r}_0 + \delta \vec{r}) = e^{\delta \vec{r} \cdot \vec{g}} \vec{B}(\vec{r}_0) \simeq \vec{B}_0 + (\delta \vec{r} \cdot \vec{g}) \vec{B}_0 \quad (\vec{B}_0 = \vec{B}(\vec{r}_0)).$$

(4.12)

The extra-contribution to the Lorentz force is

$$\vec{F} = -e \langle (\delta \vec{r} \cdot \vec{g}) \vec{B}_0 \times \vec{v} \rangle,$$

(4.13)

where the average is taken over one cyclotron period. In the case $\vec{B}_0 \perp \vec{v}_0$, and assuming $\vec{B} \simeq \vec{B}_0$, from (2.4), (2.5) one obtains

$$\vec{F} \simeq -\frac{eB_0}{2} \left[ (\vec{n} \times \vec{r}_L) \cdot \vec{v}_T \right], \quad \vec{v}_T = \vec{n} \times \vec{v}_0,$$

(4.14)

and the associated drift velocity is evaluated according to (2.8). Other types of drift can be included, but the procedure remains the same.
5 Time-dependent fields and Lorentz-type equations

The electric and magnetic fields in the Lorentz equation of motion may be time-dependent. The solution of the problem in the most general cases presents various difficulties associated to the fact that the field vectors evolve in time and may not be parallel to themselves at different times. This situation is reminiscent of what occurs in quantum mechanics when the Hamiltonian is time-dependent and, thus, does not commute with itself at different times. In this case, the solution of the problem demands for the use of the ordering methods, which can also be exploited for the present problem.

However, let us start with the case in which the fields are time-dependent but their evolution implies only a variation in the modulus but not in the direction. Under this hypothesis, time-ordering techniques are not necessary, but the problem deserves some comments reported below. We will indeed solve the Lorentz equation of motion for a charge moving in two mutually orthogonal electric and magnetic fields, with a sinusoidal time dependence, that is, we assume

\[
E = E_0 \sin(\omega t + \varphi) \hat{e}_x, \quad B = B_0 \sin(\omega t + \varphi) \hat{e}_y.
\]

According to (5.1) the field vectors remain mutually orthogonal and parallel to themselves at any time and, therefore, the solution of the equation is given by (1.7) with the evolution operator

\[
\hat{U}(t) = e^{\hat{\Phi}(t)},
\]

where

\[
\hat{\Phi}(t) = \frac{1}{\omega} \left[ \cos(\omega t + \varphi) - \cos \varphi \right] \hat{g}_0 \left( \hat{r}_0 = \frac{e}{m} B_0 \hat{e}_y \right).
\]

Albeit trivial from the mathematical point of view, we report the solution in the simplified case \( E = 0 \) because it presents some aspects useful for the next developments (\( \hat{r} = \hat{g}_0/\Omega_0 \)):

\[
\hat{v}(t) = \cos \left( \hat{\Phi}(t) \right) \hat{v}_0 + \left( 1 - \cos \left( \hat{\Phi}(t) \right) \right) (\hat{r} \cdot \hat{v}_0) \hat{r} - \sin \left( \hat{\Phi}(t) \right) \hat{r} \times \hat{v}_0.
\]

By assuming \( \varphi = \pi/2 \), \( \hat{r} \cdot \hat{v}_0 = 0 \) and using the Jacobi-Anger expansion [4], one obtains

\[
\cos(x \sin \theta) = J_0(x) + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \cos(2n\theta) J_{2n}(x), \quad \sin(x \sin \theta) = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sin \left( (2n + 1)\theta \right) J_{2n+1}(x),
\]

we obtain, from (5.3), the following expression for the velocity (\( \zeta = \Omega_0/\omega \)):

\[
\hat{v}(t) = J_0(\zeta) \hat{v}_0 - 2J_1(\zeta) \sin(\omega t) \hat{r} \times \hat{v}_0 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \cos(2n\omega t) J_{2n}(\zeta) \hat{v}_0 - \sin \left( (2n + 1)\omega t \right) J_{2n+1}(\zeta) \hat{r} \times \hat{v}_0 \right],
\]

which shows the interplay between the cyclotron frequency and the frequency of the oscillating magnetic field.

The inclusion of the electric field implies some additional computational problems. Again in the case \( \varphi = \pi/2 \), we write the inhomogenous term of the solution as follows:

\[
\hat{w}(t) = e^{\hat{\Phi}(t)} \int_0^t dt' e^{-\hat{\Phi}(t')} \hat{Q}(t') = e^{-\sin(\omega t) \zeta} \int_0^t dt' e^{\sin(\omega t') \zeta} \cos(\omega t') \hat{Q}_0 \left( \hat{Q}_0 = \frac{eE_0}{m} \hat{e}_x \right).
\]

This integral can be treated in different ways, and, to have an idea of the mathematical problem one may face with, we choose to carry out the integration using the Bessel function expansion of (5.4). We get

\[
\hat{w}(t) = \frac{t}{2} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left( i \frac{n + 2k}{2} \omega t \right) F_n(t) \hat{J}_{k,n}.
\]
where
\[ F_n(t) = e^{iωt/2} \sin(\frac{n+1}{2}ωt) + e^{-iωt/2} \sin(\frac{n-1}{2}ωt), \quad \mathbf{J}_{k,n} = J_k(i\mathbf{c})J_n(-i\mathbf{c})\mathcal{Q}_0. \tag{5.8} \]

The last vector can be specified either in terms of the series expansion for the Bessel functions\(^5\) or by the use of the integral representation [4]
\[ J_n(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta e^{i(x \sin \theta - n\theta)} \tag{5.9} \]
that allows to write
\[ \mathbf{J}_{k,n} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\chi e^{-i(k\theta + n\chi)} e^{-(\sin \theta - \sin \chi)}\mathbf{c}\mathcal{Q}_0. \tag{5.10} \]

We have mentioned this specific problem to give a very first idea of the problems associated with the solution of equations of the type (2.3), where the torque and inhomogenous vector are explicitly dependent on the integration variation, but also because it has interesting implications for the understanding of the role played by the Poynting vector in the dynamics of charged particles moving under the combined action of mutually time-dependent orthogonal fields. The method of solution we have proposed, having an intrinsic vector nature, can be ideally suited to treat vector in the dynamics of charged particles moving under the combined action of mutually time-dependent orthogonal fields. The method of solution we have proposed, having an intrinsic vector nature, can be ideally suited to treat the question addressed in [30] and clarify the link between the Poynting vector and the drift velocity term given in (2.6). This aspect of the problem will be treated elsewhere.

5.1 Operational methods and time-ordering techniques

Before considering problems requiring time-ordered products, we will present a method of solution involving an elaboration of the Heaviside operational method [19]. To better appreciate the usefulness of the procedure, we consider the case in which the particle is initially at rest and only the electric field is a function of time while the magnetic field is static. We can write the formal solution of (2.3) in the following way:
\[ \mathbf{v}(t) = (\frac{d}{dt} + \Omega)^{-1} \mathbf{\tilde{Q}}(t), \tag{5.11} \]
and the use of the Laplace transform methods leads to
\[ \mathbf{v}(t) = \int_{0}^{\infty} ds \exp \left\{-s(\frac{d}{dt} + \Omega)\right\} \mathbf{Q}(t). \tag{5.12} \]

In this integral, the exponential can be straightforwardly disentangled because the VOP and derivative operators appearing in its argument commute between them. Therefore, from (2.4), under the further assumption that the electric field differs from zero only for \( t > 0 \), one has \((\mathbf{n} = \hat{\Omega}/\Omega)\)
\[ \mathbf{v}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} dt' e^{(t'-t)\hat{\Omega}} \mathbf{\tilde{Q}}(t') = \mathbf{\tilde{c}} + (\mathbf{n} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{f}})\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{\tilde{n}} \times \hat{\mathbf{f}}, \tag{5.13} \]
with
\[ \mathbf{\tilde{c}} = \int_{0}^{t} dt' \cos(\Omega(t' - t)) \mathbf{\tilde{Q}}(t'), \quad \mathbf{\tilde{s}} = \int_{0}^{t} dt' \sin(\Omega(t' - t)) \mathbf{\tilde{Q}}(t'), \quad \mathbf{\tilde{f}} = \int_{0}^{t} dt' \left\{1 - \cos(\Omega(t' - t))\right\} \mathbf{\tilde{Q}}(t'). \tag{5.14} \]

The case in which the vector \( \hat{\mathbf{f}} \) varies with time, not only in modulus but also in direction, in such a way that
\[ \hat{\mathbf{f}}(t_1) \times \hat{\mathbf{f}}(t_2) \neq 0, \tag{5.15} \]
implies that the VOPs associated at different times do not commute. The solution of our problem cannot be obtained using a straightforward integration of the time-dependent part, that is, it will not be sufficient to replace in the Rodrigues’ rotation \( t\hat{\Omega} \) with \( \int_{0}^{t} d\tau \hat{\Omega}(\tau) \).

\(^{5}\) \( J_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{z^{n+2k}}{\pi^{n+1+2k}}. \)
From the geometrical point of view, the condition (5.15) states that the torque vector is no more parallel to itself at different times, or that the corresponding matrix equation is expressed in terms of an explicitly time-dependent matrix, not commuting with itself at different times. The situation is clearly reminiscent of what is occurring in quantum mechanics, where the solution of Schrödinger problems requires a time-ordered expansion of the evolution operator, like the Dyson [16] or Magnus [25] expansion. We will treat the problem by exploiting the theory of path-ordered exponential [9].

From the mathematical point of view, the path-ordered exponential function is defined in non-commutative fields and is equivalent to the exponential function in a commutative field. We define, therefore, the following ordered exponential with respect to the ordering parameter \( t \):

\[
\exp\left\{ \int_0^t dt' \hat{T}(t') \right\}_+,
\]

(5.16)

where the symbol \( (\cdot)_+ \) denotes the Dyson time-ordering operator for the element \( \hat{T}(t) \) of an algebra with a non-commutative product \( \circ \). The ordered exponential can be defined in many different ways. Here we use the differential equation

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \exp\left\{ \int_0^t dt' \hat{T}(t') \right\}_+ = \hat{T}(t) \circ \exp\left\{ \int_0^t dt' \hat{T}(t') \right\}_+, \quad \left( \exp\left\{ \int_0^t dt' \hat{T}(t') \right\}_+ \right)(0) = \hat{1}.
\]

(5.17)

The solution of (5.17) can be written in terms of the following series:

\[
\exp\left\{ \int_0^t dt' \hat{T}(t') \right\}_+ = \hat{1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp\left\{ \int_0^t dt' \hat{T}(t') \right\}_+ \circ \exp\left\{ \int_0^{-1} dt' \hat{T}(t') \right\}_+ \circ \cdots \circ \exp\left\{ \int_0^{-(n-1)} dt' \hat{T}(t') \right\}_+ \circ \exp\left\{ \int_0^{-(n+1)} dt' \hat{T}(t') \right\}_+ \circ \cdots \circ \exp\left\{ \int_0^{-t} dt' \hat{T}(t') \right\}_+ \circ \exp\left\{ \int_0^{-t} dt' \hat{T}(t') \right\}_+ \circ \cdots.
\]

(5.18)

The above solution is clearly recognized as an ordinary Dyson expansion [16], which is not fully satisfactory because it is a perturbative series which does not ensure properties (e.g. the conservation of the norm of a vector) holding for time-dependent vectors. Different expansions, preserving at any order the norm, can be employed as, for example, the already quoted Magnus expansion (see also [9]), which can be written as follows:

\[
\exp\left\{ \int_0^t dt' \hat{T}(t') \right\}_+ = \exp\left\{ \int_0^t dt' \hat{T}(t') + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} dt_2 \left[ \hat{T}(t_1), \hat{T}(t_2) \right] \cdots \right\}.
\]

(5.19)

where the dots refer to higher-order commutators, not reported here. Let us note that in the case of Lorentz equation the non-commutative product is the vector product \( \circ \equiv \times \), and therefore

\[
\left[ \hat{T}(t_1), \hat{T}(t_2) \right] = 2T(t_1) \circ T(t_2).
\]

(5.20)

According to this result, the solution of the Lorentz equation (2.3) with \( \tilde{Q} \) and \( \hat{Q} \) depending on time is given by (1.7) with the evolution operator

\[
\tilde{U}(t) = e^{-\hat{\Lambda}(t) + \hat{T}(t)},
\]

(5.21)

where the following notation has been introduced

\[
\hat{\Lambda}(t) = \int_0^t d\tau \hat{T}(\tau), \quad \hat{T}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} dt_2 \left[ \hat{Q}(t_1), \hat{Q}(t_2) \right] + \cdots.
\]

(5.22)

As for the correction \( \hat{\Delta}(t) \), if we assume that the modulus of the vector \( \tilde{Q} \) remains constant, one has

\[
\left[ \hat{Q}(t_1), \hat{Q}(t_2) \right] = 2T(t) \circ \theta_{12} \hat{u},
\]

(5.23)

where \( \theta_{12} \) is the angle formed by the two vectors and \( \hat{u} \) is the versor pointing in the direction orthogonal to the plane defined by \( \hat{Q}(t_1) \) and \( \hat{Q}(t_2) \). For sufficiently small time differences and for adiabatic changes, we expect that \( \theta_{12} = \omega(t_2 - t_1) \ll 1 \), and therefore

\[
\hat{\Delta}(t) \approx \frac{1}{6} \Omega^2 \omega t^3 \hat{u}.
\]

(5.24)

Higher-order corrections can also be included but calculations become more and more cumbersome.
The previous discussion has been developed on purely mathematical grounds. As an example of application, we can consider the motion of a particle under the influence of a magnetic field with a slowly varying component along the $z$-axis and a constant $y$-component, that is, the magnetic vector changes its direction and it is not parallel to itself at any time. The lowest-order corrections in the Magnus expansion allow the inclusion of the effect of the slow field evolution. If we assume that the variation is adiabatic over one gyration period, the correction can be evaluated by means of (5.24), with a frequency $\omega$, assumed to be constant during this time, given by

$$\omega = \frac{B_y B_z}{B^2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.25)

6 Second-order Lorentz equation

Before entering the specific topic of this section, we will discuss the application of the operational method developed in the previous sections to the solution of the following evolution equation:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \vec{S} = \vec{T} \times \vec{S} + \lambda \vec{T} \times (\vec{T} \times \vec{S}), \quad \vec{S}\big|_{t=0} = \vec{S}_0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.1)

which is an evolution-type vector equation, with a further contribution associated with a double vector product of the torque vector. The associated evolution operator writes

$$\hat{U}(t) = e^{t\vec{T} + \lambda t\vec{T}^2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.2)

which involves linear and quadratic VOPs. It resembles the generating function of two variable Hermite polynomials $H_n(a, b)$ [5] and can be therefore expanded in series according to the identity

$$e^{a\xi + b\xi^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\xi^n}{n!} H_n(a, b), \quad H_n(a, b) = n! \sum_{k=0}^{[n/2]} \frac{1}{(n-2k)!k!} a^{n-2k} b^k.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.3)

The use of the operator $\vec{T}$ as an expansion parameter yields the following series for the evolution operator:

$$\hat{U}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} H_n(t, \lambda t) \vec{T}^n.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.4)

Even though slightly more complicated than an ordinary exponential expansion, we can again take advantage from the cyclic properties of the vector product to reduce it to a kind of Rodrigues’ rotation, by defining the following cos- and sin-like functions:

$$\text{Ch}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!} T^{2n} H_{2n}(t, \lambda t), \quad \text{Sh}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)!} T^{2n+1} H_{2n+1}(t, \lambda t).$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.5)

The form of the solution is therefore exactly that given in (1.5) with the replacements $\cos \to \text{Ch}, \sin \to \text{Sh}$. In the case in which the evolution operator

$$\hat{U}(t) = \exp \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{p} \lambda_k t \hat{T}^k \right\},$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.6)

we can exploit the same procedure involving higher-order Hermite polynomials, as we will discuss in the concluding section.

Let us now discuss the inclusion of radiation correction effects, which are usually incorporated in the classical Lorentz equation by means of a second-order time derivative term, according to the following expression [20,24]:

$$\left( -\tau \frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \frac{d}{dt} + \hat{\Omega} \right) \vec{v} = \hat{Q}(t),$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.7)

where

$$\vec{v}(0) = \vec{v}_0, \quad \frac{d}{dt} \vec{v}\big|_{t=0} = \vec{a}_0, \quad \tau = \frac{2 r_0}{3 c}, \quad r_0 = \frac{e^2}{mc^2}.$$
In this case equation, we have the contribution of an extra term that acts as an anti-damping giving rise to the so-called runaway solutions. The physical content of this equation is well known and will not be commented here. We will limit our analysis to its mathematical aspects, which have some elements of interest, since this equation represents a non-homogenous second-order differential vector equation and we can use an extension of the previously outlined method to write the relevant solution. For future convenience, we factorize the operator acting on the velocity vector as follows:

$$\left( \frac{d}{dt} - \hat{A}_+ \right) \left( \frac{d}{dt} - \hat{A}_- \right) \vec{v} = -\frac{1}{\tau} \vec{Q}, \quad (6.8)$$

where

$$\hat{A}_\pm = \frac{1}{2\tau} (1 \pm \hat{\alpha}), \quad (\hat{\alpha} = \sqrt{1 + 4\tau \hat{\Omega}}).$$

In the hypothesis that \( \vec{v}_0 = \vec{a}_0 = 0 \), we can write the formal solution of (6.7) using a generalization of (5.11), namely,

$$\vec{v}(t) = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \left\{ e^{t \hat{A}_+} \int_0^t d\xi e^{-\xi \hat{A}_+} \vec{Q}(\xi) - e^{t \hat{A}_-} \int_0^t d\xi e^{-\xi \hat{A}_-} \vec{Q}(\xi) \right\}. \quad (6.9)$$

We note that

$$\hat{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty ds \frac{e^{-s (1 + 4\tau \hat{\Omega})}}{\sqrt{s}}, \quad (6.11)$$

and, using the Newton series expansion for the operator \( \hat{A}_\pm \), we get for the exponential operators the following:

$$e^{t \hat{A}_\pm} = \exp \left\{ \frac{t}{2\tau} \left[ 1 \pm \sum_{k=0}^\infty \left( \frac{1/2}{k} \right) (4\tau \hat{\Omega})^k \right] \right\}, \quad (6.12)$$

which can be written in terms of a Rodrigues’ rotation involving the previously quoted sin- and cos-like functions expressed in terms of the higher-order Hermite polynomials (see (6.5)).

According to the previously outlined steps, we know how to handle the formal expression given in (6.12) to get an explicit solution for our problem. Let us now consider only the homogenous part of (6.7), whose formal solution reads

$$\vec{v}(t) = e^{t \hat{A}_+} \vec{c}_1 + e^{t \hat{A}_-} \vec{c}_2, \quad (6.13)$$

where \( \vec{c}_{1,2} \) are constant vectors linked to the initial vectors by the relations

$$(\hat{A}_+ - \hat{A}_-) \vec{c}_1 = -\hat{A}_- \vec{v}_0 + \vec{a}_0, \quad (\hat{A}_+ - \hat{A}_-) \vec{c}_2 = \hat{A}_+ \vec{v}_0 - \vec{a}_0. \quad (6.14)$$

The action of the exponential operators on the constant vectors can be defined according to the previous prescriptions.

Since (6.7) is a second-order equation, we can cast it in the form of a matrix equation as follows:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \vec{Z} = \hat{M} \vec{Z} + \vec{K}, \quad (6.15)$$

where

$$\vec{Z} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{v} \\ \dot{\vec{v}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{M} = \frac{1}{\tau} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tau \\ \hat{\Omega} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{K} = -\frac{1}{\tau} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vec{Q} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.16)$$
The evolution operator $e^{t\hat{M}}$ associated to this equation is given by the exponential of a $2 \times 2$ matrix. Since the matrix $\hat{M}$ does not depend on time, standard means, for example the Cayley-Hamilton theorem [15], can be used to cast it in the form reported below ($\sigma = t/2\tau$)

$$
\hat{U}(t) = e^{t\hat{M}} = e^{\sigma}
\begin{pmatrix}
-\frac{1}{\alpha} \sinh(\sigma\dot{\alpha}) + \cosh(\sigma\dot{\alpha}) & \frac{2\tau}{\alpha} \sinh(\sigma\dot{\alpha}) \\
2\frac{\tau}{\alpha} \sinh(\sigma\dot{\alpha}) & \frac{1}{\alpha} \sinh(\sigma\dot{\alpha}) + \cosh(\sigma\dot{\alpha})
\end{pmatrix},
$$

(6.17)

and thus ($Z_0 = Z|_{t=0}$)

$$
Z = \hat{U}(t)Z_0 + \int_0^t dt' \hat{U}^{-1}(t')K,
$$

(6.18)

that is the same solution as before, written in matrix notation.

The structure of the previous formalism may rise some confusion. As an example, let us consider the definition of the norm of $Z$:

$$
|Z|^2 = \vec{v}^T \vec{a} \cdot \vec{v}^T \vec{a},
$$

(6.19)

where the dot represents an ordinary scalar product. It is evident that, according to such a definition, the norm is not preserved. This is due to the rotation matrix, which is not norm-preserving, and to the runaway mechanism, associated with the anti-damping term $e^\sigma$. Such a term is, from the mathematical point of view, not particularly significant and can always be eliminated by means of a Liouville transformation [4].

Let us consider again the following second-order vector equation:

$$
\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \vec{s} + \hat{A} \frac{d}{dt} \vec{s} + \hat{B} \vec{s} = 0,
$$

(6.20)

where $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{B}$ are VOPs. An equation of this type is met in physics in the study of Coriolis problems, including the effects of the centrifugal forces, and presents an extra difficulty associated with the presence of the vector operator in the damping term. Equation (6.20) can be reduced to a Liouville standard form by setting

$$
\vec{s}(t) = \exp\left(-t\frac{\hat{A}}{2}\right) \vec{u}(t)
$$

(6.21)

and, if $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] = 0$, we can write the equation for the vector $\vec{u}$ as follows:

$$
\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \vec{u} + \left(\hat{B} - \frac{1}{4} \hat{A}^2\right) \vec{u} = 0.
$$

(6.22)

The transformation provided by (6.21) is geometrically interpreted as a R. r. of the vector $\vec{u}$, induced by the torque vector associated with the VOP $\hat{A}$. The solution of (6.22) can be obtained using the procedure illustrated before.

The previous results (see also the first paper in [10,11,12]) can be exploited to develop a numerical code for the motion of bodies under the influence of gravity, Coriolis and centrifugal forces.

7 Relativistic effects

In the previous sections, we have discussed the motion of charged particles in electric and magnetic field without considering any relativistic correction. This can be easily accounted for by rewriting the Lorentz equation in the form

$$
m_0 \frac{d}{dt} (\gamma \vec{v}) = e\left(-\vec{B} \times \vec{v} + \vec{E}\right),
$$

(7.1)

where $m_0$ is the electron rest mass and $\gamma$ is the relativistic factor. The integration of this equation appears problematic, even for constant and homogenous fields, since $\vec{E}$ induces a non-conservation of the modulus of the velocity. The relativistic factor $\gamma$ is no more constant and a further equation, specifying its time dependence, should be coupled to (7.1). By introducing the vector $\vec{A} = \gamma \vec{v}$, (7.1) can be rewritten as (with the usual means for the vectors $\vec{B}$ and $\vec{Q}$)

$$
\frac{d}{dt} \vec{A} = -\frac{1}{\gamma} \vec{B} \times \vec{A} + \vec{Q},
$$

(7.2)
By multiplying both sides of this equation by the velocity, we obtain
\[
\vec{A} \cdot \frac{d}{dt} \vec{A} = \vec{A} \cdot \vec{Q}
\]  
(7.3)
and thus \((\vec{A}_0 = \vec{A}(t = 0))\)
\[
A^2(t) - A_0^2 = 2 \int_0^t dt' \vec{Q} \cdot \vec{A}(t'),
\]  
(7.4)
that provides the relativistic kinetic energy variation due to the interaction of the charge with the electric field. As already stressed, the presence of the relativistic factor prevents us from the possibility of finding an analytical solution using the tools developed so far. However, a fairly straightforward integration scheme can be used adopting the same iterative procedure described in Section 4 (see (4.11)). In this case, the solution is obtained at each time step in terms of (2.4), in which \(\Omega\) is replaced by \(\Omega/\gamma_{n-1}\).

8 Bremsstrahlung effects

The radiation emitted by an accelerated charge can be evaluated from the Lienard-Wiechert integral [20,24], which yields the energy radiated per unit solid angle and unit frequency as
\[
\frac{d^2}{d\Omega d\omega} I = \frac{e^2 \omega^2}{4\pi^4 c^2} S^2
\]  
(8.1)
with \(S\) modulus of the vector
\[
S = \int_0^T dt \vec{q} \times (\vec{q} \times \vec{\beta}) \exp \left\{ i\omega \left( t - \frac{\vec{q} \cdot \vec{r}}{c} \right) \right\},
\]  
(8.2)
where \(\vec{q}\) denotes the unit vector of the direction along which the emitted radiation is observed (see Figure 1), \(\vec{v} = \vec{v}_0/c\) is the velocity of the charge and \(T\) is the time during which the charge effectively experiences the acceleration due to the fields.

By assuming that the motion occurs in the absence of electric field and under the influence of a constant magnetic field, by using (2.5) (with \((\vec{r}_0 = 0))\) it is easy to show that
\[
\exp \left\{ i\omega \left( t - \frac{\vec{q} \cdot \vec{r}}{c} \right) \right\} = \exp \left\{ i\frac{\omega}{\Omega} c_1 \right\} \exp \left\{ i\omega \Omega_2 t \right\} \exp \left\{ i\frac{\omega}{\Omega} \left[ c_3 \sin(\Omega t) - c_1 \cos(\Omega t) \right] \right\},
\]  
(8.3)
where \((\vec{n} = \vec{B}/B, \vec{\beta}_0 = \vec{v}_0/c)\)
\[
c_1 = \vec{q} \cdot (\vec{n} \times \vec{\beta}_0), \quad c_2 = \left[ 1 - (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta}_0) (\vec{q} \cdot \vec{n}) \right], \quad c_3 = (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta}_0) (\vec{q} \cdot \vec{n}) - \vec{q} \cdot \vec{\beta}_0,
\]
and, according to the Jacobi-Anger expansion \[4\]6,
\[
\exp \left\{ i \frac{\omega}{\Omega} [c_3 \sin(\Omega t) - c_2 \cos(\Omega t)] \right\} = \sum_{k=\infty}^{\infty} e^{ik\Omega t} B_k \left( \frac{\omega}{\Omega} c_3, -i \frac{\omega}{\Omega} c_2 \right) = \sum_{k=\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{m=\infty}^{\infty} J_k(-m) B_k \left( \frac{\omega}{\Omega} c_3 \right) I_m \left( -i \frac{\omega}{\Omega} c_2 \right). \tag{8.4}
\]
Moreover, it turns out that
\[
\vec{q} \times (\vec{q} \times \vec{\beta}) = \vec{a}_1 + \vec{b}_c \cos(\Omega t) + \vec{b}_s \sin(\Omega t), \tag{8.5}
\]
where we introduced the following vectors:
\[
\vec{a}_1 = \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta}_0, \quad \vec{b}_c = \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta}_0 - \vec{a}, \quad \vec{b}_s = \vec{n} \times \vec{\beta}_0 - (\vec{n} \times \vec{\beta}_0). \tag{8.6}
\]
Inserting these results in (8.1), one obtains (for notation simplicity, in the following formula we have omitted to indicate the argument of the \(B\)-functions):
\[
\vec{S} = \frac{T}{2} \exp \left\{ \frac{i \omega}{\Omega} c_1 \right\} \sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ 2 \vec{a} B_r + \vec{b} B_{r-1} + \vec{b}^* B_{r+1} \right] \exp \left\{ i \frac{\phi_r}{2} T \right\} \frac{\sin \left( \frac{\phi_r}{2} T \right)}{\sin \left( \frac{\phi_r}{2} T \right)}, \tag{8.7}
\]
where
\[
\vec{b} = \vec{b}_c + i \vec{b}_s, \quad \phi_r = r \Omega + \omega c_2.
\]
According to the previous equation, the spectrum of \(\vec{S}\) presents a series of harmonics with frequency
\[
\omega_r = \frac{r \omega}{1 - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\beta}_0} \tag{8.8}
\]
In the case of a relativistic electron, we have
\[
\Omega = \frac{eB}{\gamma m_0} = \frac{\omega c_0}{\gamma}, \tag{8.9}
\]
and we can treat the solenoid as an undulator with period
\[
\lambda_c = \gamma \lambda_{c,0} = \frac{2\pi c}{\omega_{c,0}} \quad \tag{8.10}
\]
If \(\vec{n} = \vec{q}\) and the initial motion is mainly in the same direction of the field, we find
\[
\lambda_r \simeq \frac{\lambda_c}{2\gamma^2}. \tag{8.11}
\]
If we limit ourselves to the non-relativistic case, the inclusion of the electric field does not imply any particular computational problem for the radiation integral. A significant difference is associated with the lineshape which is not simply the square of the sinc-function appearing in (8.7), but is now given by the square modulus of the function
\[
F_r = \int_0^T dt \exp \left( \phi_r t - \omega \frac{\vec{q} \cdot \vec{q}}{c^2} t^2 \right). \tag{8.12}
\]
Figure 2 shows the shift and broadening of the spectral line of the radiation emitted by electrons moving in the field of a solenoid.

\[6\] The functions \(B_k\) can be written in terms of the cylindrical Bessel functions by exploiting the identity \(I_n(ix) = i^n J_n(x)\) and the Graf addition theorem \[4\], which yields
\[
B_n(x, iy) = \left( \frac{x + iy}{x - iy} \right)^{n/2} J_n \left( \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \right).
\]
Figure 2: Comparison of the emitted radiation lineshape between (a) the case with only the solenoid and (b) the case where also an electric field is present.

9 Quantum mechanical aspects and concluding remarks

The final topic we will treat is the extension of these methods to quantum mechanics. To this aim, we consider again the Hamiltonian given in (2.1), but in the absence of any electric field. If we assume that the magnetic field is directed along the $z$-axis, we can write the explicit form of the Hamiltonian as follows:

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2m} ( -\hbar \nabla - e\vec{A} )^2 = \frac{1}{2m} \{ -\hbar^2 \nabla^2 + e^2 B^2 (x^2 + y^2) - i\hbar eB(x\partial_y - y\partial_x) \},$$

which can be more conveniently cast in the form (see also [21] and references therein)

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + \frac{1}{2m} \omega_L^2 r_L^2 (\hat{F}_1^2 + \hat{F}_2^2),$$

where

$$\hat{F}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (i\partial_x + \eta), \quad \hat{F}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (i\partial_x - \xi), \quad \xi = \frac{x}{x_L}, \quad \eta = \frac{y}{r_L}.$$ (9.3)

The operators $\hat{F}_{1,2}$ satisfy the commutation rule

$$[\hat{F}_1, \hat{F}_2] = -i,$$ (9.4)

and ($\hat{T} = \hat{F}_1 \hat{F}_2$)

$$[\hat{F}_1^2, \hat{F}_2^2] = -4i\hat{T} + 2, \quad [\hat{T}, \hat{F}_k^2] = (-1)^{k+1} 2i\hat{F}_k^2 \quad (k = 1, 2),$$ (9.5)

that is, they exhibit the commutator properties of SU(1, 1). The relevant Heisenberg equations are written in the form of a vector torque equation of the same type of (1.1) and the time-dependent solution of the associated Schrödinger problem can be obtained using the standard Wei-Norman ordering procedures [13,33].

A different way of treating the quantum evolution problem consists in introducing a suitable transform as follows ($\zeta = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3)$):

$$\hat{U}(t) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{it}{2m\hbar} (i\hbar \nabla + e\vec{A})^2 \right\} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\zeta e^{-\zeta^2} \exp \left\{ 2i \sqrt{\frac{it}{2m\hbar}} \zeta \cdot (i\hbar \nabla + e\vec{A}) \right\},$$ (9.6)

Note that in the static symmetric gauge (cf. (2.2)), $\nabla \cdot \vec{A} = 0.$
where, from (2.2)
\[
(\imath \hbar \vec{\nabla} + e \vec{A})_1 = \imath \hbar \partial_x - \frac{e}{2} B y, \quad (\imath \hbar \vec{\nabla} + e \vec{A})_2 = \imath \hbar \partial_y + \frac{e}{2} B x, \quad (\imath \hbar \vec{\nabla} + e \vec{A})_3 = \imath \hbar \partial_z.
\] (9.7)

In (9.6), by ordering the exponential in the integrand, for the wave function at later time we obtain
\[
\Psi (\vec{r}, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int^{\infty}_{-\infty} d\zeta \exp \left\{ -\zeta^2 + \omega_c t \zeta \right\} \Psi \left[ \vec{r} - \sqrt{\frac{\imath m}{\hbar}} \zeta \right]. \] (9.8)

This relation is a generalization of the Gauss-Weierstrass transform and the study of its consequences will be discussed elsewhere.

It is worth stressing that the case where the direction of the magnetic field is arbitrary implies only a slightly more complicated integral transform. Even the inclusion of the electric field is not particularly tricky. Assuming that the electric field lies in the \((x, y)\)-plane \((\vec{E} = (E_x, E_y, 0))\), we can recast the Hamiltonian operator in the form
\[
\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2m} \left( -\imath \hbar \vec{\nabla} - e \vec{A} \right)^2 - e \vec{E} \cdot \vec{r}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2m} \left\{ -\hbar^2 \nabla^2 + \frac{e^2 B^2}{4} \left[ (x - \alpha_x)^2 + (y - \alpha_y)^2 \right] - \imath \hbar \frac{e B x}{2} \left[ (x - \alpha_x) \partial_y - (y - \alpha_y) \partial_x \right] \right\}
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{2} m \omega_c^2 \left( \alpha_x^2 + \alpha_y^2 \right) + \imath \hbar \frac{c}{2} (\alpha_x \partial_x + \alpha_y \partial_y),
\] (9.9)

where
\[
\alpha_{x,y} = \frac{4 m E_{x,y}}{e B^2}, \quad \omega_c = \frac{e B}{2m} = \frac{\omega_e}{2}.
\]

This Hamiltonian is that of a multidimensional harmonic oscillator with shifted coordinates. The constant term \(\frac{1}{2} m \omega_c^2 (\alpha_x^2 + \alpha_y^2)\) is just the vacuum field energy redefinition associated with the transformation used to move to the shifted coordinate representation. The term proportional to \((\alpha_x \partial_x + \alpha_y \partial_y)\) is the quantum counterpart of the drift motion.

In this paper, we have stressed the analogy between Coriolis and Lorentz forces. This is more than a formal analogy and the previous considerations can be extended to the so-called quantum Coriolis states [14], that are similar to the Landau quantum states [23,31] entering in the analysis of the motion of a quantum electron in a classical magnetic field. Some aspects of the problem and the possibility of studying them within the context of the present formalism will be the argument of a forthcoming investigation.

The methods we have developed are flexible, fairly simple and easily amenable for numerical computation. Their use can also be extended to non-linear equations like the Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation describing the precessional motion of the vector magnetization \(\vec{M}\) in solids [7]. Without entering in details, we remind that such equation, in our notation, writes
\[
\partial_t \vec{M} = - (\alpha + \beta \vec{M} \times) (\vec{M} \times \hat{H}). \] (9.10)

The quadratic non-linearity creates noticeable difficulties and the equation can be viewed as a kind of Riccati vector equation. Assuming however that the conditions of the problem allow the definition of a time step in which the variations of the vector \(\vec{M}\) are not large, we can use the following solution scheme:
\[
\partial_t \vec{M}_n = \vec{P} \times \vec{M}_n, \quad \vec{P} = (\alpha + \beta \vec{M}_{n-1} \times) \hat{H}, \] (9.11)

where the vector \(\vec{P}\), containing the vector \(\vec{M}\) at the previous integration step, is treated as a constant torque with the inclusion of an anti-damping term. The solution is essentially that provided in (3.4), and the evolution should be followed by successive steps.

The points in these concluding remarks have been briefly treated just to show the flexibility of the method we have proposed and the number of topics which it allows to treat. Most of them deserve a deeper analysis, that we will develop in a forthcoming investigation.
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