Osteoarthritis of the Knee: Assessing the Disease
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Abstract

Knee osteoarthritis (OA) is the most common joint disease. It affects about 12% of adults 60 years of age but its prevalence is expected to rise. The incidence of OA increases with age but the disease can be stable for a long time and could reactivate some years later. Diagnosis of OA is primarily based on history and physical examination and on radiographic findings, including joint space narrowing (JSN), osteophyte formation and subchondral sclerosis. The radiographic examination of involved joints is also useful in assessing the severity of the disease. However, considerable discrepancy may exist between symptoms and the radiographic findings. The review gives proposed approaches to define symptomatic, progressive and end-stage knee OA. It focuses on the available scoring methods and their various advantages and disadvantages.
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Introduction

Osteoarthritis (OA) is the most frequent musculoskeletal joint disorder. It is a major cause of pain and disability and the most common indication of joint replacement surgery. Though knee OA is most prevalent in general population, hip OA and hand OA are equally disabling, especially in older people. For the purpose of epidemiologic investigation, OA can be defined as a pathological process, development of radiographic changes and last, but not least, as a sequence of clinical symptoms.

Prevalence and Incidence of OA

Knee OA appeared to be the primary diagnosis of 430,000 hospital discharges yearly in the United States [1]. The disease is strongly age-related but gender-specific differences are also evident. In persons younger than 50 years of age, the prevalence of OA is higher in men but in older subjects women become much more frequently affected [2]. The community-based analyses showed that both the prevalence and incidence of OA increased 2 to 10-fold between 30 and 65 years of age and continued to rise even later [3]. It has been estimated that the prevalence of knee OA is 12% in adults 60 years of age or older [4]. The number of people affected with OA is likely to increase. This phenomenon is undoubtedly related both to the aging of population and growing rate of overweight and obesity. OA is predicted to reach 30% of population older than 60 years of age by the year 2030 [5]. The annual incidence rates of knee OA range from 2% to 4% [6,7].

Pathogenesis

OA is a disease with multifactorial provenience. All subjects who have developed OA are probably affected by both genetics and environmental factors with individually distributed predominance. It has previously been reported that the risk of post-traumatic OA after a meniscal injury of the knee is strongly affected by a family history of OA, by the presence of generalized OA (like the nodal osteoarthritis of the hand), by obesity, and by sex [8-10]. Many subjects with OA in one joint have the disease at different stages in other joints. The condition is usually expressed as a mixture of different features including inflammation, osteophyte formation and cartilage loss. However, since several of the environmental risk factors such as obesity, joint injury and joint overload are of biomechanical nature, OA is primarily a mechanical problem.

Joint changes result usually from either abnormal mechanical strains that affect healthy cartilage or from failure of pathologically impaired cartilage that degenerates under the influence of physiological mechanical strains. In the cases with mechanical origin, the pathology is focal and not generalized.

In general, the disease occurs when the dynamic equilibrium between the destruction and repair of joint tissues is impaired. The degenerative process starts at joint cartilage level and is characterized by a discrepancy between cartilage production and degradation. The process is, however, not limited to cartilage alone but is considered as a chronic disease of all joint tissues including subchondral bone, menisci, ligaments, and per articular muscles [11]. It consequently leads to joint instability and muscle weakness [12-14] that nowadays can be regarded as early features of structural and functional joint failure [15].

In the natural course of OA, one can distinguish the symptomatic phase, the radiographic stage and the end-stage that demands knee replacement surgery.

Clinical Manifestation

When the joint failure begins, it is hard to say. At the beginning the condition can be asymptomatic, but the later the structural joint failure is characterized with disabling pain and joint dysfuction. Clinical assessment of patients with joint symptoms allows evaluating limitation in the range of movement, deformities and instability.

For assessment of joint function, symptoms and disability the disease-specific and patient-related outcomes (PROs) have been developed. In OA, the Index of Severity for osteoarthritis of the Knee (ISK) [16], Western Ontario and McMaster Universities osteoarthritis index (WOMAC) [17] and the Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome

*Corresponding author: Przemysław Tomasz Paradowski, Sunderby Central Hospital of Norrbotten, Sjukhusvägen 10, SE-971 80 Luleå, Sweden, Tel: + 46 70 8329574; E-mail: przemyslaw.t.paradowski@gmail.com

Received February 14, 2014; Accepted February 18, 2014; Published February 20, 2014

Citation: Paradowski PT (2014) Osteoarthritis of the Knee: Assessing the Disease. Health Care Current Reviews 2: e103. doi: 10.4172/2375-4273.1000e103

Copyright: © 2014 Paradowski PT. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original author and source are credited.
been graded 0 or 1. New-onset of incident radiographic OA has usually as the emergence of K/L grade 2 in the knee joints that had previously

Rotterdam Study that used K/L scale have defined new-onset disease in the community with OA ultimately need joint replacement [36].

later. It is consistent with the fact that only a small proportion of people level, OA can be stable for a long time and could reactivate some years

However, it is not always so. When evaluating larger population groups, it has been observed that the progression rate is small but that a

on the reduction of the joint space as an indirect sign of cartilage loss [27]. Indeed, joint space narrowing has been suggested as the best

The Ahlbäck grading system has not, however, gained a widespread

in individuals with no priorly diagnosed OA. The discussion if the

and therefore is not indicated in subjects with knee OA, it is often

to technical demands. The assessments need standardized procedures

be missed when analyzed only on standard X-rays. [37,38]. Since joint degeneration exists before the x-ray is able to show radiographic changes, the value of radiographic examination, especially in early phases of OA is nowadays debatable. It would be recommended to find a method defining the disease in a different way.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging

Contrary to radiographic examination in which JSN is considered to be a surrogate marker for cartilage damage [39], magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) allows to assess cartilage more directly [40]. MRI has the capability to visualize multiple joint structures and is especially useful to detect early cartilage changes and subchondral bone marrow abnormalities (that has been shown to be predictors of radiographic progression) [41,42]. It has been found that there is a correlation between progression of JSN on radiographic images and cartilage loss in MRI [43]. However, the substantial proportion of knees with cartilage loss could be missed when analyzed only on standard X-rays.

The use of MRI is still limited, partly due to its costs and partly due to technical demands. The assessments need standardized procedures and methods to interpret results. Examination of patients could be sometimes difficult, eg. because of the subject’s obesity or feeling of claustrophobia. It is also difficult to compare results obtained from different systems, which is relevant for longitudinal multicenter investigations [40].

Knee Arthroscopy

Although arthroscopy has no relevance as a treatment method and therefore is not indicated in subjects with knee OA, it is often performed when the knee injury (such as meniscus tear) is suspected in individuals with no priorly diagnosed OA. The discussion if the subjects with symptoms typical for OA with concomitant changes
seen during knee arthroscopy could be defined as having OA is ongoing. Indeed, arthroscopy allows assessing only changes within the internal joint structures and therefore does not seem to be suitable to describe the whole picture of the disease. The correlation between arthroscopic Outerbridge classification [44,45] (which describes only cartilage condition but says nothing about osteophytes and joint space narrowing) was recorded to be poor, especially in women with milder cartilage affection [46].

Conclusion

OA of the knee joint is already a problem which is expected to get more and more severe as we can observe a rise in knee injuries and obesity in population. Although much progress in understanding the disease has been done in the past several decades, we still do not know enough to assess the risk of joint failure, to prevent it and last but not least manage it effectively in its early phase. It seems to be necessary to be able to define OA or joint failure as early as possible with no need to wait for confirmation that disease has reached its developed stage. Future research is needed to find and standardize the methods of joint evaluation that could select patients with knee pain that might suggest a pre-OA stage, which, in turn, could help us treat them more effectively.
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