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An outlier is a scientific term to describe things or phenomena that lie outside normal experience. In pharmacokinetics this would be a parameter such as drug clearance or half-life that has a value that is much different from the average. Patients with pharmacokinetic parameters that are outliers are often the most difficult to manage. Identifying the reasons for being an outlier has always been a goal in the field of pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics. One of the challenges of modern medicine is to accurately identify the cause of outliers, thus allowing that for better targeted drug selection and dosage. This is the basic principles of what today is called individualized medicine. The clinical relevance of variability depends on the specific drug or drug class in question. As an example, some drug classes have wide therapeutic ranges and response is easily monitored and as such do not need an individualized approach to therapy. Examples include antihypertensive such as the angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors or angiotensin receptor blockers. Similarly certain antimicrobial agents are much simpler to use than others. The fluoroquinolones are easier and generally safer to use than aminoglycosides and vancomycin with the latter 2 classes of antibiotics requiring a individualized approach to manage therapy. It is fortunate that the number of drugs that actually require individualized attention is relatively small. The 2011 edition of Pharmacotherapy: A Pathophysiologic approach lists 18 selected drugs that are monitored routinely with blood concentrations of which only 4 drugs (carbamazepine, Phenobarbital, phenytoin and digoxin) in the in the top 200 drugs in 2012 presented by RxList [1,2]. This editorial will argue that despite a very large volume of literature and much improved understanding of the causes of pharmacokinetic variability that there is still much to learn about those factors that are important in determining initial drug dosage selection. Specifically, identifying those factors that are responsible for extremes seen in variance or the causes of being an outlier. This will be illustrating using 2 old standards that have been studied for at least the past 4 decades, vancomycin and warfarin.

Vancomycin is the mainstay of therapy used for the treatment of gram positive infections, specifically those caused by methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Its effective use is limited because of nephrotoxicity and ototoxicity which can be effectively managed through appropriate monitoring and dosing strategies. The pharmacokinetics of vancomycin has been studied for over 30 years with more manuscripts published each year now than 20 years ago. A recent PubMed search showed over 1400 publications under the terms “vancomycin pharmacokinetics” and over 500 publications under the terms “vancomycin dosing.” In years 2007-2011, 76 articles per year were published on weight and creatinine clearance while in pediatric patients age is an additional factor to be considered. Through inclusion of these covariates the mean value of the interindividual variability in vancomycin clearance was 30% (range: 15.6-45%) and the mean (range) value of the residual variability was 20% (7-39.6%). Use of age, weight and creatinine clearance in dosage selection will improve accuracy of dosage selection but with the degree of accuracy shown, will still require follow up serum concentration monitoring to achieve optimum response. Another recent study [6] in patients with congestive heart failure showed, using multiple regression analysis, that vancomycin clearance was related to LVEF (left ventricular ejection fraction), creatinine clearance and body weight. This still left 35% of the variance in vancomycin clearance not explained. Unexplained variability in clearance is one reason studies continue to show that patients receiving vancomycin are exposed to high trough concentrations (a risk factor for nephrotoxicity). For example, a recent prospective multicenter trial showed that almost 30% of patients dosed by standard care had trough values above 15 mcg/ml, a risk factor for developing vancomycin induced nephrotoxicity [7]. Identifying additional factors beyond creatinine clearance, weight and that explain why patients have clearance values that outliers continue to be a challenge with vancomycin. This remains one of the reasons for the continued need for patients to be monitored by skilled professionals in pharmacokinetics so that patients receiving vancomycin are appropriately managed.

Large variations in pharmacokinetics can be explained through genetic variation in drug metabolizing enzymes and transporter proteins. An example includes drug hypersensitivity in patients with...
gene duplication of the CYP2D6 enzyme (ultrarapid metabolizers (UM)). In an extreme case, the death of an infant from morphine toxicity resulted from being breast fed by a mother with the UM CYP2D6 phenotype who received relatively modest doses of codeine (ie, 60 mg twice a day) [8]. Poor analgesic response to codeine appears to be related to the presence of CYP2D6 alleles associated with poor metabolizers (PMs) (i.e., the CYP2D6 *3, *4, *5, *6 and *7 alleles) [9,10]. Tamoxifen patients who have the CYP2D6 alleles *4, *5, *10 and *41 (which are associated with decreased formation of antiestrogen metabolites) have a poorer response to tamoxifen in treating breast cancer [11]. Another example, is the relationship between reduced effectiveness to clopidogrel treatment in patients who are poor metabolizers with the inherited CYP2C19 *2 and CYP2C19 *3 alleles [12]. The FDA package insert recommends that clopidogrel therapy be avoided in patients with impaired CYP2C19 function [13].

The importance of illustrating how genetics can assist in the identification of an outlier can be well exemplified by warfarin. This is a drug that has been a mainstay of anticoagulation therapy for decades. In PubMed under the search term “warfarin pharmacokinetics” there is 1385 articles cited over a 4 decade period. Complexities associated with appropriate dosing and management is well founded and yet after 4 decades is not yet completely understood. Pharmacogenomic studies in recent years have, however, provided good insight into reasons why certain patients are outliers in response. Genotypic variation in the CYP2C9 (metabolizes warfarin) and VKORC1 (vitamin K epoxide reductase complex subunit 1; the target for warfarin inactivation of vitamin K) accounts for 30–40% of the variability in response to warfarin. Patients with the CYP2C9 *2 or *3 variant alleles require lower doses and as do patients with certain single nucleotide polymorphisms in the VKORC1 gene (ie the -1639G>A allele) [14]. Pharmacogenomic based dosing correctly predicts patients requiring extreme doses of warfarin almost 2 fold better than a clinical algorithm [15]. The IWPC pharmacogenomic algorithm which can be downloaded at www.pharmgkb.org [16] accounts for age, weight, height, CYP2C9 genotype, VKORC1 genotype, ethnicity and the presence of drug interactions (i.e., inducers and amiodarone). Three methods of pharmacogenomic dosing of warfarin were compared and it was found that dosing accuracy is improved with pharmacogenomic dosing. However they concluded that the degree of accuracy still did not support routine utilization of genotypic dosing [17]. This is illustrated in a recent study that showed suboptimum performance of the International Warfarin Pharmacogenomic Consortium model15 in Israeli patients. Only 27% of the variance in dose was accounted for based on this model. Incorporation of the VKORC1 D36Y variant, which is not accounted for in the IWPC model, improved model performance by explaining 47.2% of the variance in dose. Identifying the cause of a patient’s warfarin dose being an outlier still remains a large therapeutic challenge. This is exemplified by very large dose ranges seen by VKORC1 genotype. For the VKORC1-1639 AA genotype the weekly dose may be as low as 5 mg/week or as high as 50 mg/week, while for the VKORC1-1639 GG genotype the weekly dose ranges from 10 mg/week to 100 mg/week.

These examples indicate how initial dose selection and our understanding of desired endpoints have improved over many years. Despite this we still do not fully understand all the variables associated in patients who are outliers and are at the highest risk for therapeutic failure or toxicity. It will always be a goal to identify characteristics of patients that are outliers. These examples also illustrate that despite a large volume of literature that help to improve initial dosage selection that active strategies via therapeutic monitoring of desired endpoints is still required. Therapeutic drug monitoring remains a necessity. At least for these 2 drugs the only way to insure efficacy and minimize toxicity is through an individualized approach to therapy guided by skilled health care professionals who have expertise in pharmacokinetics and pharmacogenomics.
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