Palliative – Stereotactic Radiosurgery (SRS) and Stereotactic Body Radiotherapy (SBRT): Innovative and Effective Tool in the Management of Advanced Cancer Using Modern Radiotherapy Instrumentation
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Introduction

The goal of palliative radiation therapy is to achieve meaningful relief of symptoms and promote quality of life in patients with advanced cancer instead of trying to achieve cure [1]. Due to the generally poor physical conditions of patients with terminal cancers, and the need to achieve a relatively fast relief response, the ideal would be to use a radiation modality that is delivered in a short time with minimal acute toxicity achieving durable palliation. This is virtually the definition of “Hypofractionation” a radiation therapy technique which prescribes fewer, larger-dose-per fraction treatments delivered over a shorter time period than the usually protracted conventional radiation scheme which uses daily fraction sizes of 1.8 to 2.0 Gy for several weeks [2]. This is actually nothing new as hypofractionation was used since the beginnings of Radiation Oncology through the early 1900’s. At that time its higher incidence of severe “late effects” caused many radiation oncologists across the world to abandon hypofractionation as a method for curative treatment. It was assumed that hypofractionation produces a higher risk of late complications due to irreversible damage in irradiated healthy tissues surrounding the target. The mainstream of radiation therapy has thus taken the path of the protracted fractionation scheme. Early application of hypofractionation was often limited to patients with advanced disease with the assumption that late effects would not be seen due to the patients’ short life expectancy. It was however often accompanied with severe acute toxicity.

Recently, hypofractionation has been making a comeback thanks to technical innovations including improvement in radiation treatment units with faster delivery of higher dose, intensity modulation, and improved radiation planning systems, use of image guided techniques and the acquisition of new radiobiological data [3]. The success of such comeback lies in the ability to deliver a large dose of radiation to the target with high precision avoiding excessive amount of radiation exposure to surrounding normal tissues. This innovative technology is usually called Stereotactic Radiosurgery or SRS when used in the brain and Stereotactic Body Radiotherapy or SBRT when used in the body. As defined by the America Society of Therapeutic Radiation Oncology (ASTRO) on June 2006 and reaffirmed in November 2009, “Stereotactic Radiosurgery (SRS) is a distinct discipline that utilizes externally generated ionizing radiation in certain cases to inactivate or eradicate (a) defined target(s) in the head and spine without the need to make an incision. The target is defined by high-resolution stereotactic imaging. To assure quality of patient care the procedure involves a multidisciplinary team consisting of a neurosurgeon, radiation oncologist, and medical physicist” [4].

Contrary to the popular perception of being a modern development, radiosurgery has a long history as impressive as conventional radiation therapy. It started with the creation of the first Gamma Knife by Dr. Lars Leksell in 1951 and it was perfected in the late 1960s in Sweden’s Karolinska Institute [5]. The GammaKnife was the first machine specifically designed to deliver ablative doses to achieve complete obliteration of intracranial arteriovenous malformations (AVMs) as an alternative to microsurgery. This application swiftly expanded to treat benign and malignant brain tumors. Its successful clinical outcome established the foundation for intracranial radiosurgery [6]. Following its success, intracranial radiosurgery with radiation delivery systems other than GammaKnife,
was investigated since the 80’s and has achieved equivalent clinical efficacy. These systems are mainly linear accelerator-based platforms, with progressively improved technologies, notably, on-board image guidance (real-time or near real-time) and intensity modulation. These advances enabled the extension of radiosurgery to other body sites outside of intracranial region making it practical to deliver ablative radiation dose in 1 to 5 fractions. A new terminology, “Stereotactic Body Radiotherapy” or “SBRT” was introduced and it has rapidly gained popular recognition to describe this technical innovation in general. Stereotactic body radiation therapy (SBRT) is an external beam radiation therapy method used to very precisely deliver a high dose of radiation to an extracranial target within the body, using either a single dose or a small number of fractions. Specialized treatment planning results in high target dose and steep dose gradients beyond the target. SBRT can be applied using noninvasive or minimally invasive stereotactic localization and radiation delivery techniques. It requires significantly improved delivery precision over that required for conventional radiotherapy. Maneuvers to either limit or compensate for target movement during treatment planning and delivery are often useful and may be required as defined in the ASTRO guidelines for the performance of SBRT [7].

Although it has been generally acknowledged that radiosurgery (SRS) should refer to the delivery of a single-fraction ablative radiation dose to the treatment target volume, and SBRT should refer to 1 to 5 fractions of high dose (higher than 5 Gy) to the treatment target volume, there is no sharp differentiation between the two and they are often used interchangeably.

The goal of SRS or SBRT is to administer an ablative dose to treatment targets without damaging the surrounding normal tissue. Their unique physical characteristics are: high precision (sub-millimeter), high dose gradient outside of target volume (about 10% dose fall-off per mm outside of treatment margin), and high dose.

Much of early and present mainstream clinical applications of SRS/ SBRT are with curative intent or with justification of favorable prognosis. We wish to draw attention to the possibility of advancing SRS/SBRT beyond its current scope applications, to the care of patients with terminal cancer. The justification is simple and sound: the higher fractional dose warrants a faster relief of symptoms, and the high dose gradient minimizes radiation toxicity of normal tissues and thus improves patients’ quality of life.

State of the Art

SRS/SBRT is an ideal technique for palliation in patients who develop symptoms such as pain, bleeding, and for example obstruction of the upper airway or urinary or gastrointestinal tracts making it possible to obtain excellent prompt results in a short treatment course. The management of patients with late-stage lung cancer, bone metastases and multiple brain metastases is briefly described below. As an institutional experience, we present a case in which SBRT was used for the care of a late-stage cancer patient.

Management of late-stage lung cancer patients

A clear advantage of a very short hypofractionated regimen is to palliate patients for example with advanced Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC) with a short expected survival time allowing them to spend more of their remaining time at home rather than in a hospital [8]. Respiratory symptoms are common in patients with locally advanced lung cancer and are effectively palliated with conventional single doses of 10 Gy to the thoracic inlet and mediastinum. A practical approach would be to use SBRT in these patients to ameliorate chest pain and hemoptysis, cough and dyspnea obtaining faster responses with longer local control [9-11].

Management of bone metastases and bone pain

Osteosclerotic involvement is a common cause of cancer pain. It is estimated that over 100,000 people in the United States develop bone metastases each year and 350,000 die annually with it [1]. These patients may benefit greatly from radiation therapy [12,13]. Takahashi, et al recently described in their mini-review published in 2014 the value and benefits of radiation therapy for the management of bone metastases and metastatic spine cord compression [14]. Although the appropriate fractionation regimen is still in debate, several meta-analyses and randomized studies have shown that single fraction (total dose 5 to 15 Gy) is as effective in pain relief as multifraction (total dose 15 to 30 Gy in three to 10 fractions) radiotherapy for painful bone metastases [11-13]. The brief course of treatment naturally shortens the time from treatment to pain relief. For localized bone pain, SBRT is clearly more advantageous in providing prompt pain relief without causing significant acute or long-term toxicity. Radiosurgery is an excellent technique for the management of spine metastases commonly using either 18 Gy in a single session or 3 sessions of 7 Gy depending on the volume to treat [15]. This usually achieves quick pain relief with a short treatment course without compromising concomitant chemotherapy [16]. This has also been shown to be a useful approach in patients with spinal cord compression where emergency radiotherapy is needed. This condition must be diagnosed and treated early to prevent irreversible neurologic injury. Back pain usually precedes neurologic deficit, which can evolve to paraplegia within hours or days.

Management of multiple brain mets

Owing to recent advances in systemic cancer treatment, an appropriately selected group of patients with brain metastases can now achieve longer survival with good quality of life if brain metastases are well controlled. This can be achieved using radiosurgery, which compared to the standard Whole-Brain Radiation Therapy (WBRT) has several advantages: 1) can be done in one day; 2) more than 80% of patients will have their tumor control by this treatment leading to early and lasting symptom palliation; 3) patients have lower incidence and severity of deterioration of neurocognitive function [17]. Single fraction is an effective scheme of radiation treatment that produces long-term survivals in many types of cancer without the toxicity of whole brain radiation with the classic protracted regimen. For several years there has been mounting evidence that patients with up to 10 metastases might be potential candidates for primary alone [18]. A recent study suggests that SRS without WBRT in patients with 5 to 10 brain metastases is non-inferior to that in patients with 2 to 4 brain metastases [19].

Case Report

A 67 year old wheelchair-bound female with history of renal cell carcinoma (RCC) treated with a right radical nephrectomy in 2004 and left nephrectomy for recurrent tumor in 2008, who was undergoing regular dialysis at the time of consultation, presented in May 2014 with 6 small brain metastasis. She received 30 Gy in 3 Gy daily fractions over two weeks of whole brain radiation at another institution. She subsequently developed recurrent brain metastasis in
early September of the same year, and was treated with single fraction of 11 Gy using Gamma Knife radiosurgery to three metastatic lesions (Figures 1 and 2). In October 2014, she presented with severe back pain, later proven to be due to metastatic disease to the lower thoracic and upper lumbar spine secondary to metastatic RCC. In November 2014 she received palliative SBRT, in 5 fractions of 5 Gy each to the T12 to L3 spine with a volume of 383 cc (Figure 3), using a Linear Accelerator (LINAC). The patient was pain-free immediately after the spinal SBRT and had a complete negative follow up brain MRI in January 2015 and continues to do well as of March 2015. This case demonstrates the feasibility and efficacy of using SRS for brain metastasis after WBRT, as well as the value of SBRT for large volume spinal metastasis for palliation of severe bone pain. The precise delivery of spinal SBRT avoided large dose of radiation to the adjacent spinal cord and bowels significantly minimizing toxicity.

This case study demonstrates the value of the hypofractionation with SRS/SBRT in the management of an advanced cancer patient with bone and brain metastasis with improved quality of life.

Discussion

Radiation therapy is still not a widely used tool for palliation in advanced cancer patients even though is one of the best options available. It fulfills all palliative requirements including short treatment duration. As modern radiation therapy instrumentation advances, SRS/SBRT has become easily accessible, and with its minimal normal tissue toxicity, many patients could benefit from it instead of being treated with expensive systemic therapies with significant associated toxicity.

A recent study from Bernard et al. demonstrates that cancer patients have a greater financial burden than patients with other chronic illnesses: 13% of the cancer patients spend at least a 20% of their income on healthcare costs and insurance [20]. The newer equipment and resources costs associated with cutting-edge radiation oncology technologies can be partly mitigated by shorter treatment courses. Better tumor control and less toxicity, together with fewer treatment courses decrease the indirect costs of cancer care, including lost time and economic productivity secondary to treatment-related and cancer-related illness and death [21].

In general, we tend to use newer technologies only with curative intent and in early cancer where we expect long term results. However recent emerging clinical data including our own early institutional experience supports the use of newer localized radiation delivery in selected patients in need of rapid and effective palliation.

Conclusion

SRS/SBRT can achieve rapid and effective palliation with minimal toxicity and should be seriously considered as a modality for cancer palliation. This technique is convenient for the patient as well as for the family caring for the terminal patient. Furthermore, when applied adequately it can be more cost effective compared to systemic therapy.
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