Participatory Evaluation and Selection of Improved Tef Varieties in Agro pastoral areas of Guji Zone, Oromia Regional State, Ethiopia
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Abstract

The trial was carried out during 2015 and 2016 cropping seasons in Wadera district on 3 pastoral and agro pastoralists field on one kebele based on Tef production potentials. Accordingly, Andowa Keno kebele was selected. Three varieties (Kuncho, Boset and Tsedey) including local check were evaluated with the objective of selecting adaptable and best performing Tef variety under farmers management. The combined analysis of variance done over two years show that there was significant difference between treatments. The combined mean grain yields of Tsedey and Boset varieties were 17.67 and 15.61 q/ha while remained higher than the local check (9.65 q/ha). The yield of Kuncho (10.78 q/ha) was also higher than the local. The combined analysis of variance revealed the mean values of grain yield ranged from Kuncho 9.65 q/ha to Tsedey 17.67 q/ha. Kuncho and the local gave 10.78 and 9.56 q/ha respectively. Agro pastoralists’ selection criteria were grain yield, seed color, lodging character, maturity character/earliness and market value. Based on their selection criteria, agro pastoralists preferred Tsedey and Boset for early maturity, market value, grain yield, white seeded color and lodging character. Since, both varieties were preferred by agro pastoral community they were recommended to be scaled up for further expansion in the agro pastoral area.
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Introduction

Tef (Eragrostis tef (Zacc.) Trotter) is a self-pollinated, annual, warm season cereal crop; believed to have originated in Ethiopia and have been domesticated and used throughout the world due to its excellent nutritional value as grains for human consumption and as forage for livestock [1]. Ecologically, Tef is adapted to diverse agro-ecological regions of Ethiopia and grows well under stress environments better than wheat, barley and other cereals known world-wide stated that Tef can grow in low rainfall and drought prone areas characterized by protracted growing seasons and frequent terminal moisture stress [2,3]. According to Seyfu, the plant can be grown from sea level up to 2800 Masl under various rainfall conditions, temperature and soil regimes [4,5]. However, for better performance, it requires an altitude of 1800-2100 Masl, annual rainfall of 750-850 mm and a temperature range of 10-25°C (Adera, 2016).

Tef grows in dry as well as water-logged soils, can tolerate anoxic situations better than maize, wheat and sorghum; and is resistant to many pests and diseases. Its grains are milled and made to make foods and beverages for human consumption. The nutritional benefits of this cereal have also been acknowledged, appreciated and accepted by many other people around the world. Although Tef is grown for its grain, the straw is also used as forage for livestock as well as to reinforce mud or plasters in construction of houses both in rural and urban areas. Thus, tef is multipurpose crop used for maintaining food security, straw material for house making and generating income for farmers. Tef has both cultural and economic value for Ethiopian farmers. In recent days it is among the cash crops and has been attracting an export market due to its nutritional value and is believed to be gluten free. However, its productivity is not comparable with other major cereal crops growing in the country. Reduction of Tef crop productivity could be resulted from a complex interaction among the environment, crop genetics, and management, biotic and abiotic stress that could occur across the fields. Average national yield of Tef in 2014/2015 Meher production season ranged from 1281 kg/ha to 1575 kg/ha [5-8].

Tef is the main crop produced in the midland and lowland areas of Guji Zone. Usually the crop is sown after other crops (maize and haricot bean) are harvested. The crop is produced for both household consumption and cash crop. Tef could be produced in both seasons (Meher and Belg) hence the crop is used for double cropping purpose which increases pastoral and agro pasturals production and income. The straw of Tef is also used for construction of house and used as the main feed resource for cattle during drought.

Despite Tef is used for human consumption, has high nutritional values, used as raw material, used for livestock feeding during drought, the agro pastoralists of Guji Zone were not getting much this benefit. This is due to lack of improved varieties, drought resistant and low application of the recommended packages of Tef.

In the past, there was limited participatory research approach in Tef technology development and improvement in agro pastoral areas. Most participatory activities were done in highland and midland areas of Ethiopia [9-12]. Once the adaptation of varieties was approved elsewhere then varieties were distributed without agro pastoralists’ preference trait. Agro pasturals have no multiple choice over varieties since they lacked improved varieties and use what they got from seed...
suppliers. However, there were no detailed participatory activities conducted in agro pastoral of Guji Zone. Consequently, there is no formal documentation of agro pastoralist production on Tef and Tef preferences by agro pastoral were not addressed in Guji Zone, Ethiopia.

Specific Objectives
- To select best varieties of Tef through agro-pastoral participation.
- To evaluate and recommend high yielding, early maturing, drought stress resistant and diseases resistant improved Tef varieties through Agro Pastoral Research Group participation.
- To assess agro pastoral selection criteria for improved Tef.
- To evaluate the productivity of improved Tef varieties under agro-pastoralists circumstances.
- To build agro-pastoralists’ knowledge and skill of production and management of improved Tef technologies.

Materials and Methods
The trial was carried out during 2015/2016 cropping seasons in Wadera district, Andowa Keno Kebele. Site for participatory demonstration was selected based on Tef production potential. The selection of kebele and number of agro pastoralists were done by APRG members. Data on plant height (cm), number of tiller, productive tiller, lodging (%) and grain yield on plant basis were collected and subject to statistical analysis using SAS statistical software (SAS 9.0). Agro pastoralists assessment feedback on Tef production also collected from Andowa keno kebele. During this field visit 25 agro pasturals, five non APRG, and 6 experts were participated to evaluate the varieties and select best varieties.

Agronomic and yield Performance of Tef
The analysis of variance revealed that there is significant variation was observed in yield and agronomic traits among tested Tef varieties Kuncho, Tsedey, Boset and local check.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variety</th>
<th>HD</th>
<th>MD</th>
<th>PH</th>
<th>PL</th>
<th>NT</th>
<th>NPT</th>
<th>GYLD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tsedey</td>
<td>32 c</td>
<td>52 b</td>
<td>80 b</td>
<td>40 b</td>
<td>4.8 a</td>
<td>4.4 a</td>
<td>17.67 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boset</td>
<td>31 c</td>
<td>55 b</td>
<td>80 b</td>
<td>37.5 b</td>
<td>5.2 a</td>
<td>4.25 a</td>
<td>15.81 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuncho</td>
<td>37 a</td>
<td>64 a</td>
<td>109 a</td>
<td>46 a</td>
<td>4.8 a</td>
<td>4 a</td>
<td>10.78 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local check</td>
<td>34.5 b</td>
<td>55 b</td>
<td>83.5 b</td>
<td>32 c</td>
<td>3.35 b</td>
<td>3 b</td>
<td>9.56 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD (0.05)</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>3.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV (%)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>8.94</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>7.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Combined mean yield and agronomic traits for tested Tef varieties of two years in Wadera district.

Analysis of the data indicated that highest plant height was recorded by Kuncho (109 cm) followed by local check (83.50 cm), whereas lower plant height was recorded in Boset variety (80 cm). The statistical result at <5% (p<0.05) showed Kuncho is significantly vary from all tested variety and the local check; but the other tested variety was not show statically different between them.

In case of number tillering per plant, highest number of tiller had recorded by Boset (5.2) whereas local check had the lower than other tested varieties (3.35). Number of productive tillers was show significant difference between tested variety and local check. Generally, there was no significant difference in number of productive tiller between the improved tested varieties but have a significant difference with local check. Similarly, there was significant difference between Kuncho and other tested varieties in terms of plant height and between Local check. But there is no significant difference between Tsedey, Boset and local check. Panicle length ranges from 32 (local check) to 46 (Kuncho). The local check had lowest panicle length compared to other tested varieties.
The lower lodging percentage of was recorded 12% by Tsedey variety which gave better yield than all tested varieties. Tsedey variety had better yield than all tested varieties which gave 17.67 quintal per a hectare; Boset, Kuncho and local check indicates second, third and last with 15.81, 10.78 and 9.56 q/ha respectively. This yield result indicates that agro pastoral areas of Guji Zone were potential to produce Tef as the average yield of Tef in Guji Zone was 14.27 qt/ha (CSA, 2015) which was lower than in the agro pastoral areas. Generally, Tsedey performed better in yield and in all tested yield traits than all other tested varieties whereas local check had low performance in most agronomic and yield traits and yield despite having low lodging due to its short height in nature. Boset was the second better performed variety next to Tsedey.

**Agro Pastoral Preference on Tef Varieties**

Agro pastoralists have a broad knowledge and experience accumulated over many years and did different experiments by their indigenous knowledge and skills to generate innovations on their farming. Even though agro pastoralists lack control treatment for comparison and statistical tools to test the hypothesis they well knew their environmental condition, crops, cropping system, seasonal calendar and have well documented experiences over their farming system. Tef has several peculiar features which make it a preferred crop among agro pastoralists. Culturally and nutritionally Tef is very important crop preferred by agro pastoralists. Being the most preferred staple food in the country in general and agro pastoral the demand for Tef production was increasing from time to time.

Agro pastoralists selection criteria were grain yield, seed color, maturity character (earliness) and market value. Based on their selection criteria in both season (i.e., 2015 and 2016) agro pastoralists were preferred first Tsedey among the tested Tef varieties due to its high grain yield, seed color, earliness, lodging character/lodging resistant and market value. Secondly, Boset was preferred by the agro pastoralists due to it is seed color, grain yield and high market demand. Among the agro pastoral selection criteria set in the two seasons, seed color, lodging resistant and high market demand coincide with the participatory varietal selection of Tef. Early maturity crop was preferred by agro pastoralists due to there is a shortage of rain fall and high drought in agro pastoral areas. Tsedey variety was earliness to mature and resists drought this make Tseday variety preferred by agro pastoralists in the study area. This result is like the finding of Fekede and Gosa who described seed color, resistant lodging and tolerant to drought Tef was preferred by farmers [11].

### Table 2: Varietal selection criteria and the average scores given by the agro pastoralists (n= 25).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Varieties</th>
<th>Agro pastoral selection criteria</th>
<th>Total score</th>
<th>Average score</th>
<th>Rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Seed color</td>
<td>Earliness</td>
<td>Lodging tolerance</td>
<td>market value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsedey</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boset</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuncho</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local check</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion and Recommendation**

Three varieties (Tsedey, Boset and Kuncho) including local check were evaluated with the objective of selecting adaptable and best performing Tef variety with full participation of agro pastoralists. The experiment was carried out on three agro pastoralist Research Group (APRG) field for 2015 and 2016 cropping season in Wadera district. Agro Pastoral Research Group members were conducting research on Tef during production season. Agro Pastoral Research Group evaluate different types of Tef varieties on their land. Different phenotype, growth and agronomic traits like plant height, number of tillering, panicle length, lodging and grain yield were considered by the researchers as evaluation criteria. The combined analysis of variance showed higher yield of Tsedey which is 17.67 quintal per hectare followed by Boset whereas lower grain yield was recorded by local check 9.65 quintals per hectare. Agro pastoralists’ feedback assessment about the adaptability and performance of Tef were assessed. Accordingly, the demonstrated Tef varieties were adapted and showed good performance in agro pastoral area. Agro pastoralists’ selection criteria for Tef varieties were market demand, lodging tolerance, grain yield and seed color. Based on their selection criteria, agro pastoralists selected Tsedey and Boset for grain yield, earliness and seed color. Since, both varieties were preferred by the agro pastoralists in the study area they were recommended to further extension in the agro pastoral areas to increase Tef production and improve agro pastoral production.
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