Perceived Autonomy Support in Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder
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Abstract

Autonomy support has been found to be critical for typically developing children, but not as much is known about its importance for children with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD). The current study examined the relationship between autonomy support, self-determination, scholastic competence and social acceptance in ASD. Twenty-six participants with high-functioning ASD completed self-report measures related to autonomy support, friendship, and academics. We found that greater teacher autonomy support was related to higher self-determination in school, and in turn, higher scholastic competence. Self-determination in friendship did not function as a mediator between mother/father autonomy support and social acceptance, but self-determination in friendship was significantly related to social acceptance. This study highlights the importance of fostering self-determination in both the academic and social lives of individuals with ASD. Future studies should examine best practices for incorporating autonomy support into existing scholastic settings and interventions.

Keywords: Autism; Autonomy; Self-determination; Intrinsic motivation; Extrinsic motivation; School; Social skills; Academics; Friendship

Introduction

Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is a neurodevelopmental disorder that is characterized by deficits in communication and social interaction, and is often accompanied by repetitive behaviors or stereotyped interests [1,2]. Recent prevalence rates estimate that on average 1 in 88 children is diagnosed with an ASD [3]. Moreover, the number of teachers with the training and experience needed to work with individuals with ASD has not kept up with the dramatic increase in diagnoses [4]. The number of individuals with ASD who are in need of services is a challenge for both the school and health care systems. Therefore, there is a need to understand best practices for promoting success in academics and peer relationships.

Historically, intervention approaches for children with ASD have trended towards the use of extrinsic motivation to achieve gains [5]. Extrinsic motivation is characterized by gaining something that is outside the activity itself [6] and, furthermore, an extrinsically motivated person is regulated by external rewards, pressures, or constraints [7]. In contrast, intrinsic motivation is the natural tendency to seek out novelty and to use one’s abilities to learn and explore [6]. Intrinsic motivation occurs when a person engages in an activity for the inherent achievement of the activity itself; thus, an intrinsically motivated person’s actions are self-determined [6]. Thus, it is possible that interventions that use extrinsic motivation to achieve gains may inhibit intrinsic motivation, especially for academic tasks and social interaction.

One approach to promoting intrinsic motivation comes from Self-Determination Theory (SDT) [6,8]. SDT focuses on three innate psychological needs: competence, autonomy, and relatedness, which appear to be essential for supporting optimal functioning, facilitating the process of natural development, and promoting social well-being [6]. When these needs are met, they foster the development of intrinsic motivation. If fulfilled to some extent, these innate psychological needs produce enhanced self-motivation and well-being; in contrast, when suppressed there is diminished motivation and poorer well-being. Research guided by SDT focuses on social-contextual conditions that facilitate intrinsic motivation and self-determination. Different social contexts may lead to individual differences in motivation [6]. Research that focuses on conditions that facilitate intrinsic motivation, such as autonomy, may contribute to the design of social and educational environments that optimize self-determination, performance, and well-being.

A person is self-determined when he or she is intrinsically motivated and able to act in an autonomous manner [6]. Autonomy is an integral part of many daily activities including decision making, achievement, problem solving, self-management, and self-awareness [9]. People experience autonomy when they perceive themselves as the initiator of their own actions, and when they are self-governing [6,8]. In contrast to autonomy, being controlled implies that individuals feel as if they have no choice but to engage in an activity. When controlled, individuals experience their actions as determined by outside pressures, rewards, or forces external to the self [10].

Past research has shown that fostering autonomy enhances intrinsic motivation [8]. Autonomy support is particularly important for both parents and teachers, they have the ability to facilitate or hinder the development of self-determination through autonomy support. A broad range of past research has investigated autonomy support provided by both parents and teachers, and from this research a profile of characteristics has emerged that describes parents and teachers who support autonomy. Autonomy-supportive parents encourage their children to solve their own problems, participate in taking their children’s perspectives, rarely use pressures and controls, and provide choice when possible. In contrast, controlling parents solve children’s problems for them and value obedience and conformity [11]. It is important to keep in mind that parents are able to provide autonomy support while still caring for their children, and children can develop...
a secure relationship with their parents without feeling controlled in their actions [10].

Autonomy-supportive teachers are active listeners, allow students time for independent work, offer support rather than giving students answers to problems, and avoid using directives [12]. They also praise mastery, avoid criticism, communicate in an empathetic manner, and engage in perspective-taking. Teachers create an autonomy-supportive environment by providing students with meaningful rationales concerning why a lesson or way of behaving is important and relevant to the child's well-being; this allows teachers to create relationships with children that exemplify flexibility and choice rather than control and pressure [12]. Autonomy-supportive teachers also make a point to acknowledge and accept negative feelings that may arise when students are asked to engage in difficult and challenging activities. When creating an autonomy-supportive environment, it is important to take into account that choice and responsibilities offered to a child must be at a level of optimal challenge [13].

There has been a wealth of research on the effects of parental autonomy support in typically developing children, as well as some research in children with learning disabilities. Parental autonomy support has been found to be positively associated with grade point average, scholastic competence [10], social and academic adjustment at school [14], and higher perceived competence [15]. In addition, children of autonomy-supportive parents are more intrinsically motivated [16]. Research has also found that parental autonomy support has been positively associated with self-determination in friendship and school [10]. Moreover, self-determination in friendship has been found to be positively correlated with social acceptance [10]. Similarly, teacher autonomy support has been positively associated with school engagement [17], school adjustment, and higher grades [18]. Teacher support of autonomy has been positively associated with self-determination in school, and self-determination in school has been found to be predicting better school grades and academic competence [10].

Although education and therapy services for children with developmental and learning disabilities have improved greatly, there is still a belief today that individuals with disabilities are unable to independently make appropriate life decisions [9]. Despite this belief, research has also shown that autonomy support has benefits for children with learning disabilities. Perceptions of autonomy in the home and classroom environments for elementary and junior high school students with learning disabilities were related to math and reading achievement, as well as adjustment. This research also found autonomy to be a central factor for students who were unable to build or maintain satisfactory relationships with peers or teachers; autonomy was more predictive of their outcomes in comparison to competence [5].

Children with ASD often have difficulty building and maintaining social relationships, and there is reason to believe that autonomy may be an important factor for children with ASD, though no current research has been conducted to support this idea. Therapies that use extrinsic motivation to achieve gains may be at odds with the development of autonomy because they often rely on controlling behavior through external rewards, pressures, or constraints [9], which in turn may be fostering dependency on others rather than fostering autonomy and self-determination. A concrete understanding of the role that autonomy plays in the academic and social domains of life for individuals with ASD will help determine how parents and teachers can better provide autonomy support and promote self-determination in this unique group of individuals.

Purpose of this Study

The goal of the present study is to investigate the role that autonomy plays in academic and social functioning for children with ASD. Specifically, this research will examine relationships between perceived autonomy support, self-determination, and specific domain outcomes in individuals with ASD. Based on previous research on autonomy support in typically developing children and children with learning disabilities, we predict that self-determination in school will act as a mediator between teacher autonomy support and scholastic competence for children with ASD, and that self-determination in friendship will act as a mediator between mother/father autonomy support and social acceptance.

Method

Participants

Participants were 26 adolescents with ASD between the ages of nine and 15 (Mean=12.37, SD=1.90). Participants were recruited from the community, with appropriate permission, through local parent groups, local ASD service agencies, doctors' offices, and local schools. We used our laboratory database, which consists of families who have voluntarily provided their contact information, or who have previously participated in research at the lab and have indicated an interest in being contacted for future studies. The gender ratio for this study (22 males, four females) is generally reflective of the demographic of the general population of individuals with ASD, the current gender ratio of males to females ranges from 4:1 [19] to 8:1 [20]. Ninety-two percent of participants identified themselves as Caucasian and eight percent identified themselves as mixed race. The average IQ of this sample was 107.73 (SD=19.10, Range=78-142) as measured by the Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence (WASI) [21].

Participants in this study were required to meet criteria for an ASD: Autistic Disorder, Asperger Disorder, or Pervasive Developmental Disorder-Not Otherwise Specified (PDD-NOS) as outlined in the DSM-IV-TR [1]. All participants had completed a phone screen prior to the study, during which they indicated that they had previously received one of these diagnoses from a community professional. Diagnoses were independently confirmed by a combined evaluation using the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule [22], parent report using the Social Communication Questionnaire-Lifetime (SCQ-L) [23], and clinical judgment by a clinical psychologist with expertise in the diagnosis of ASD. This approach is considered a gold standard for confirming diagnoses of ASD in research [24]. Participants were also required to have an IQ score above a 75 and be above 9 years of age; these exclusion criteria were put into place to ensure that participants would be able to understand the self-report measures used in this study. Given this cutoff, most of our sample would be characterized as having "high-functioning" ASD, although there are no set definitions or standard for what is considered high-functioning [25]. Originally a total of 30 participants participated in the study, but three participants did not meet ASD criteria, and one did not meet the IQ cut off.

Materials

Learning climate questionnaire (LCQ)

The LCQ [26] was used to assess children's perceptions of their teacher's autonomy support. The LCQ is a 15 item questionnaire, participants rate each response on a 7-point Likert scale from strongly disagree to strongly agree. An example of an item on this questionnaire is "My teacher tries to understand how I see things
Academic self-regulation questionnaire (SRQ-A)

The SRQ-A was used to assess self-determination in school. The SRQ-A is a 32-item questionnaire, completed by the child that concerns reasons why children do their schoolwork. There are four questions, each followed by eight responses; participants rated each response on a 4-point Likert-type scale from "not true at all" to "very true". The intrinsic motivation subscale was used as an indicator of self-determination. Ryan and Connell [27] reported extensive evidence for the construct validity of the SRQ-A, it has been shown to correlate positively with scales of intrinsic versus extrinsic motivation and autonomy, which provides evidence that this scale adequately assesses self-determination in school. Cronbach’s alpha for the intrinsic motivation subscale in our sample was .81.

Perceptions of parents scale, the child scale (POPS)

The POPS assesses children's perceptions of the degree to which their parents are autonomy supportive. This questionnaire has six items on mother's autonomy support (POPS-M) and six items on father's autonomy support (POPS-F). On each item participants choose one of four descriptions that best described their parents. An example of a possible choice from one question on the scale is, “Some mothers always like their children to decide for themselves what to do”. Concurrent validity for this scale was established by comparing the scale to interviews’ ratings of parental autonomy support; children's perceptions of their parents' autonomy support was significantly related to interviewer ratings of parental autonomy support r=0.36, p<0.005 [15]. For our study, Cronbach’s alpha was 0.33 for mothers (POPS-M) and 0.52 for fathers (POPS-F). These numbers indicate poor internal consistency of this scale in our sample of children with ASD; alphas for this scale in a typically developing population have been reported at 0.76 for the POPS-M and 0.75 for the POPS-F [10].

Friendship self-regulation questionnaire (SRQ-F)

The SRQ-F concerns the reasons why a person is in a particular friendship, and the degree to which a person feels self-determined and autonomous with respect to friendship. The questionnaire has four overall questions followed by multiple responses that represent the same four regulatory styles as in the SRQ-A. Each response is rated on a 4-point Likert scale from "not true at all" to "very true". The intrinsic motivation subscale was used as an indicator of self-determination in friendship. The Cronbach’s alpha for this scale was 0.87 in our sample.

Self-perceptions profile for children (SPPC)

Two subscales from the SPPC, the scholastic competence (SPPC-SC) and social acceptance (SPPC-SA) subscales were used. The SPPC scale is for children between the ages of eight and 15 years. Each item on the SPPC consists of two contrasting descriptions, for example “Some children often forget what they have learned” and “Other children are able to remember things easily”. After reading the two statements children chose what statement best described them and then indicated whether the description was "somewhat true" for them or "very true" for them [28]. Convergent validity was established for the SPPC with Harter’s Teacher Rating Scale of Child’s Actual Behavior (TRS), Pearson Correlations for the SPPC-SC and the SPPC-SA scales were both significant at 0.53 and 0.31, p<0.001 [29]. Cronbach’s alpha for the internal consistency in our sample for the subscales was 0.77 for the SPPC-SC subscale and 0.81 for the SPPC-SA subscale.

Procedure

This study took place at an off-campus university center devoted to community-based research on children and families. After obtaining consent and assent, each participant with ASD was administered the ADOS and then administered the WASI. Following these examinations, participants filled out the seven brief self-report questionnaires: LCQ, POPS-M, POPS-F, SRQ-A, SRQ-F, SPPC-SC, and SPPC-SA. During this time, parents were in a separate, adjacent room filling out the SCQ-L. Assistance was available for both participants and primary care givers if they had any questions or concerns with the questionnaires. Completion of the study took two to three hours, including time for breaks.

Results

Two separate mediation models were used in order to test our hypotheses: (a) self-determination in school will function as a mediator between teacher autonomy support and scholastic competence (hypothesis 1, Figure 1); and (b) self-determination in friendship will function as a mediator between mother/father autonomy support and social acceptance (hypothesis 2, Figure 2). Correlations between measures for each hypothesis are presented in tables 1 and 2, respectively.

For hypothesis 1, we implemented the nonparametric, bias-corrected bootstrap method [30–32] to test the association between teacher autonomy support (LCQ) and scholastic competence (SPPC-SC), Pearson Correlations for the SPPC-SC and SPPC-SA scales were both significant at 0.53 and 0.31, p<0.001 [29]. Cronbach’s alpha for the internal consistency in our sample for the subscales was 0.77 for the SPPC-SC subscale and 0.81 for the SPPC-SA subscale.
Moreover, these correlations were significantly different, Fisher's z=1.93, p=0.05, a finding which highlights the unique and independent importance of self-determination in friendship for social acceptance. We also found that self-determination in school (SRQ-A) was significantly correlated with scholastic competence (SPPC-SC), r=0.46, p<0.05, but self-determination in friendship was not, r=0.27, p=0.18, although these correlations were not significantly different, Fisher's z=0.74, p=0.47. It is important to note that social skills have been found to be very important for academic performance in ASD, perhaps even more important than IQ [34], which might partially explain the lack of significant difference between the SRQ-A and the SRQ-F in the domain of scholastic competence.

### Discussion

There is extant research on using autonomy support to promote self-determination in multiple contexts for typically developing children ([35] for a review), and some research involving children with learning disabilities [5], but there is limited research on the impact of autonomy support and self-determination on children with ASD. This study examined the relationship between perceived autonomy support, self-determination, and academic and social outcomes in high-functioning individuals with ASD. We found that self-determination is an important factor for both social acceptance and scholastic competence, and that teacher autonomy support increased self-determination in school, which in turn increased scholastic competence in this population.

The results of this study supported the hypothesis of an indirect effect of self-determination on the relationship between teacher autonomy support and scholastic competence. This relationship has been found before in typically developing children [10]; this is the first study to establish this relationship in children with ASD. Our findings suggest that, for children with ASD, autonomy support might have similar benefits in school settings as those found in their typically developing peers. Children with ASD who rated their teachers higher in autonomy support reported greater self-determination in school, which in turn was related to higher scholastic competence. Autonomy-supportive teachers promote independence, provide support for the learning process, praise mastery, and avoid controlling directives [12]. Therapy approaches that rely on controlling behavior through external rewards, pressures, or constraints are useful for building basic skills that make autonomy possible for children with ASD, but our results suggest that autonomy support, when possible, has the potential to improve school performance for high-functioning children with ASD who have attained these basic skills.

The results of this study did not support our second hypothesis; self-determination in friendship did not function as a mediator between mother/father autonomy support and social acceptance. This study was the first to use the mother/father autonomy support (POPS-M & POPS-F) measures in children with ASD; the Cronbach's alphas for this measure (0.33 and 0.52, respectively) indicate that it may not be reliable in children with ASD. It is possible that this measure is not appropriate for use with this population. For example, parents of children with ASD often play multiple roles in the lives of their children; they may not only be a parent but a therapist or an advocate. The multiple roles that parents may play in the lives of children with ASD may distort their child's perceptions of their autonomy support in relation to parenting, which may contribute to why the measures had such low internal consistency. The POPS-M and POPS-F scales had low internal consistency, but it may also be the case that there is no relationship between mother/father autonomy support and self-determination in friendship.

### Table 1: Correlations between self-report measures: LCQ, SRQ-A, and SPPC-SC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LCQ</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRQ-A</td>
<td>0.45†</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPPC-SC</td>
<td>0.33†</td>
<td>0.49†**</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. LCQ=Learning Climate Questionnaire [26]; SRQ-A=Self-Regulation Questionnaire-Academic [26]; SPPC-SC=Self Perceptions Profile for Children-Scholastic Competence [28].

*†p<0.05, **p<0.01

### Table 2: Correlations between self-report measures: POPS-M, POPS-F, SRQ-F and SPPC-SC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POPS-M</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POPS-F</td>
<td>0.728**</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRQ-F</td>
<td>-0.122</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPPC-SC</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.565**</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


*†p<0.01.
Although self-determination in friendship was not found as a mediator between mother/father autonomy support and social acceptance, feelings of self-determination in friendship were positively correlated with feelings of social acceptance. Self-determination in friendship involves an intrinsic interest (enjoyment) in being friends. In the context of the SDT model, it would be important to provide individuals with ASD opportunities to participate in activities of interest to them, which would in turn provide them with natural opportunities to meet people with shared interests. For example, it would follow that a child with a special interest in robots would have more opportunities for self-determination in friendship in an afterschool science program even if the parents would prefer that the child participate in a sport. Of course, there are many factors that affect opportunities for social interactions (e.g., availability of programs, comorbid symptoms for the child with ASD), but we believe that the situations most likely to promote self-determination will be the ones most likely to facilitate social development.

Clinical Implications

There are many clinical implications from the findings of this study that could contribute to existing therapies and provide teachers with tools to help their students with ASD become more self-determined. The number of children with ASD who are in need of services is a challenge for school systems, and educational programs are not adequately funded [36]. Teaching teachers to better support autonomy in their students with ASD would be relatively inexpensive and has been shown to increase self-determination in typically developing children. For example, past research has found that teachers who participated in independent study and informational sessions on how to support students' autonomy were able to teach and motivate their students in more autonomy supportive ways [37]. Teaching teachers skills to support autonomy in their students would not only help to develop their self-determination, something that is greatly needed in children with ASD [9], but in addition it would have the potential to increase their scholastic competence.

The findings from this study provided initial evidence that autonomy support is beneficial for children with ASD. Future research should explore ways to make existing therapy approaches more autonomy supportive or new therapies that emphasize autonomy support and the development of self-determination. For example in Pivotal Response Training (e.g., [38,39]) sessions are conducted in natural environment and with natural reinforcement, based on a child's own intrinsic interests or desires.

Another implication from the findings in this study is that self-determination in friendship was uniquely related to social acceptance, and self-determination in school was uniquely related to scholastic competence. Self-determination in school was not related to social acceptance and self-determination in friendship was not significantly related to scholastic competence. These findings support that self-determination in different domains of life is uniquely related to an individual's functioning in that domain. This means that interventions could target increasing self-determination in areas of life where children with ASD have difficulty, and increasing self-determination could lead to improvement in those areas. This study showed that self-determination in friendship was significantly related to social acceptance; an intervention could target increasing self-determination in friendship, which would then have the potential to lead to better social acceptance. Although this study did not find a relationship between self-determination in friendship and academic competence, previous studies have noted the effect that improved social skills have on academic performance for individuals with ASD [34], therefore, these potential downstream effects warrant further study.

Limitations and Future Directions

It will be important for future studies to replicate these findings in a larger, more diverse population of individuals with ASD. A sample size of 26 participants, although a modest sample size for a study involving children with ASD, is not ideal. Because child self-report measures were used, this study only included children with ASD who had IQ scores above 75. This subset of “high-functioning” individuals with ASD constitutes a majority of individuals with the diagnosis, and a much higher proportion than previously thought [3,40]. Still, approximately thirty eight percent of children with ASD have IQ scores below 70 [3], which mean that this research cannot be generalized to children with ASD who have lower IQ scores. It will be important to examine the role of fostering intrinsic motivation in this population, as well. Future studies could use observer, parent, or teacher ratings of these constructs in children with ASD who are unable to fill out self-report questionnaires.

It will be important to find a measure of perceived parental autonomy support that would be reliable in children with ASD in order to determine if mother/father autonomy support is related to self-determination in friendship and social acceptance, or if this relationship does not exist. Understanding this relationship is particularly important for children with ASD because they often struggle with friendships [41]. A better understanding of this relationship would give researchers the ability to incorporate these findings into social skills interventions. Another way to provide support for the model that SDT has created would be to further investigate self-determination in school. In typically developing children, self-determination in school has been associated with better learning strategies and higher school grades [10]. The present research found a significant relationship between self-determination in school and perceived scholastic competence; future studies should investigate this general relationship in greater detail.

We must also note that we cannot infer causation from these findings. Although our results were consistent with our predicted indirect pathway, we cannot be sure that high scholastic competence did not lead to more self-determination in school or greater teacher autonomy support. Previous research on autonomy and self-determination in other populations with and without impairments in social and academic settings, however, offers a compelling argument for the importance of these constructs on social and academic performance.

Conclusions

In sum, this study found important relationships between self-determination and social and academic functioning in individuals with ASD. Moreover, the findings highlight the importance of teacher autonomy support in facilitating self-determination in school, which in turn improved academic competence in this population. It will be important for future studies to investigate the unique contributions that an SDT model [6] can make to improving outcomes for children with ASD in multiple contexts.
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