Periodontal Disease and Preterm Birth, is There any Relationship?
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Abstract

Aim: Prematurity and low birth weight are major causes of neonatal morbidity, mortality and long-term disability. The purpose of this study was to determine if an association exists between periodontal disease and preterm birth (PT).

Material and methods: 59 females from the delivery ward at St. Mary’s Health Center, St. Louis, MO. Group I had 29 women who had Preterm birth (PT). Group II had 30 women whose babies were born full term (T). Full-mouth periodontal examination performed. Data collected about risk factors: race, maternal age, pregnancy complications; infections/inflammation; number of previous pregnancies and chemical abuse. Mothers were considered to have moderate to severe periodontal disease if ≥ 10% of sites measured ≥ 5 mm probing depth. Nominal logistic regression analysis used to calculate the odds of having a preterm birth infant having moderate to severe periodontal disease while adjusting for other risk factors.

Results: After adjustment for smoking, preeclampsia, and infections/inflammation other than periodontitis, a statistically significant association was found between preterm birth and moderate to severe periodontitis (odds ratio = 5.8, 95% CI = 1.2-37.5, p = 0.04).

Conclusion: Moderate to severe periodontal disease may be an independent risk factor for preterm birth.

Keywords: Oral health; Systemic disease; Periodontitis; Preterm birth

Introduction

Preterm birth is the greatest contributor to infant death and long-term neurological morbidity [1]. Despite all the medical efforts to reduce the preterm birth, the rate is still relatively high; according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, preterm birth affected about 1 of every 10 infants born in the United States in 2014. Prevention and treating the underlying causes of preterm labor would be more successful than treating existing preterm labor [2]. Maternal infections are responsible for between 30%-50% of preterm births [3]. Remote subclinical infections have also been considered as causes of preterm labor [1]. Periodontal disease being an inflammatory disease triggered by microbial biofilm has been identified as a risk factor for preterm birth [4-6]. The purpose of this study is to determine if a relationship exists between periodontal disease and preterm birth.

Material and Methods

Patient selection

This Case-Control study was conducted at St Mary’s Health Center in St Louis Missouri in 2005. A convenience sample of 70 postpartum women were asked to participate in the study. Selection criteria were: 1) Hospital stay within three days postpartum 2) 18 years of age or older 3) No postpartum complications. Exclusion criteria were: 1) Requiring prophylactic antibiotics before periodontal examination 2) Bleeding tendency 3) Immune-compromised patients 4) Acute infections or chronic inflammatory diseases that directly caused preterm labor 5) Inability to comprehend and sign the informed consent written in English 6) Multiple gestation. Institutional Board Review approvals were obtained from Saint Louis University and Southern Illinois University. Informed consents were signed by participating patients.

Interview and questionnaire

The purpose of the study, risks, benefits and alternatives were discussed with each participant prior to the examination. A medical history was obtained from each subject and verified from the subject’s hospital record, if necessary. Data collected were: 1) Baby’s gestational age at delivery 2) Baby’s weight 3) Race 4) Maternal age 5) Smoking 6) Pregnancy complications 7) Current infections and/or inflammation 8) Number of previous pregnancies 9) Chemical substance.

Examination procedure

The examination was performed while the patient in a semi-reclined position in a hospital bed or a rocking chair. A built-in examination light in each room was used in addition to room light. All present teeth were included except for inaccessible third molars. Teeth with potential source of infection besides periodontal disease were also identified such as large cavities, remaining roots, or purulent exudates. Measured parameters were: 1) Probing depths (PD) 2) Bleeding on probing (BOP) marked as present or absent. PD and BOP measured at six sites of each tooth which were distobuccal, buccal, mesiobuccal, distolingual, lingual and mesiolingual 3) Mobility of each tooth 4) Clinical attachment level (CAL) was estimated by measuring the distance between the cementoenamel junction (CEJ) and the gingival margin at two sites, buccal and lingual 5) Plaque marked as present.
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or absent on two surfaces per tooth, buccal and lingual 6) Calculus marked as present or absent on two surfaces one on the buccal and one on the lingual surface of each tooth 7) Teeth with large cavities, remaining roots, purulent exudates were also marked. The examination instruments used were sterile disposable plastic mirrors, UNC-15 periodontal probes, and sterile gauze. Universal precautions and infection control measures were applied by the examiner and assistant recording the findings. Examinations were performed by one examiner who was not blinded to the pregnancy outcome. The timeline for the total encounter with the subject ranged between 20–30 minutes.

Subject education

All subjects were informed about their dental needs, based on the limited finding of this clinical examinations. Oral hygiene instructions were given and each subject was given an oral hygiene kit as a compensation for their participation supplied by Saint Louis University Graduate Periodontal program.

Study design

This a retrospective case-control study. Test cases were defined as subjects who delivered their babies before completion of 37 weeks of gestation, referred to as Preterm Birth (PT Group). Control were subjects delivered their babies after 37 weeks of gestation, referred to as Term Birth (T Group).

Statistical analysis

Age distributions in the two groups were tested for normality with Shapiro-Wilk W tests and equality of variances were tested for equality with O’Brien, Brown-Forsythe, Levene, and Bartlett tests. Age was also dichotomized for risk of PT, with women older than 34 years being considered at risk. This association was tested for significance with a Fisher’s exact test. Race distributions between the two groups were tested for significant differences with a Fisher’s exact test. Smoking, preeclampsia, infection/inflammation, and number of previous pregnancies were dichotomized based on the extent of their periodontal disease. If ≥10% of their measured sites had ≥ 5 mm probing depths, they were considered to have moderate-to-severe periodontal disease. If less than 10% they were considered to have healthy or mild periodontal disease. For each variable, nominal logistic regression analysis was used to calculate the odds of a woman’s having a preterm birth. No subject indicated substance abuse during the current pregnancy. Statistical analyses were performed with JMP Statistical Software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

Results

59 subjects were eligible to participate, 29 were in the PT and 30 in the T groups. For the two groups variances were equal (p=0.05); however, age distributions were not normally distributed (p<0.05), so the non-parametric Wilcoxon test was used to compare group, for which there was no significant difference between ages (Wilcoxon-test, p=0.23). Of the 29 subjects with PT, 14 (48%) were African American and 15 (52%) were white, Table S1.

The distribution of percentage of sites measuring ≥ 5mm probing depth for the subjects, who had and did not have Preterm birth. The horizontal line is the grand mean for the two groups (9.8%). Below 10%, subjects were considered to have healthy or mild periodontal disease. Above or equal 10% subjects were considered to have moderate to severe periodontal disease.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PT (n = 29)</th>
<th>Term (n = 30)</th>
<th>Total (n = 59)</th>
<th>P value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Healthy or Mild</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate-Severe</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preeclampsia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection/inflammation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior pregnancy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Fisher’s exact test

Table 1: Cross-tabulations of PT with (1) periodontal status, (2) smoking, (3) preeclampsia, (4) infection/inflammation, and (5) prior pregnancies.
Periodontal disease (mod-severe) | 0.88 | 0.43 | 4.18 | 5.8 | 1.2-37.5 | 0.04
Smoking | 0.22 | 0.43 | 0.27 | 1.6 | 0.3-8.8 | 0.61
Preeclampsia | 1.45 | 0.63 | 5.31 | 18 | 2.1-420.6 | 0.02
Infection/inflammation | 60 | 0.36 | 2.79 | 3.3 | 0.8-14.5 | 0.09
Previous pregnancy | 0.64 | 0.36 | 3.06 | 3.6 | 0.9-16.8 | 0.08

*95% confidence interval.

Discussion

This study demonstrated that moderate to severe periodontal disease is associated with preterm birth. This association is highly significant with odds ratio of 5.8 (p=0.04). These findings are in agreement with many other studies that found a positive correlation [4,6-10].

The first study to suggest an association between periodontal disease and preterm labor and low birth weight was in 1996 [4], despite the differences in the selection criteria, adjusted variables and definition of periodontal disease, the investigators found that results consistent with this study with odds ratio for periodontal disease and premature birth 7.9. In a 5-year prospective study titled “Oral Conditions and Pregnancy (OCAP)” Offenbacher et al. [11], demonstrated that both antepartum maternal periodontal disease and incidence/progression of periodontal disease based on full mouth periodontal examination are associated with preterm birth and growth restriction. Regarding the potential mechanism behind this relationship is that premature birth and periodontal disease share a common pathological pathway, inflammation [12-16]. Pathogenesis of periodontitis involves release of inflammatory cytokines, specially IL-1B, PGE2, TNF alpha. Labor can be induced if a threshold level of inflammatory cytokines in fetoplacental units is reached before 37 weeks of gestation [12,17]. Remote infections such as periodontitis can trigger this rise in cytokine level and promote premature labor.

One of the shortcomings of this study is the parameters used to define periodontal disease. We used deep probing depth percentage to assess the extent and severity of periodontal disease. The current best practices for diagnosing periodontal disease is based on a set of clinical and radiographic evaluations. Some studies investigating this relationship have used PD, BOP, and CAL as an indicators of periodontal disease [11,18-21]. Radiographic evaluation was not possible within the hospital setting and in consideration of the patient’s comfort. It may have been better to include bleeding on probing, which may be a better reflection of the inflammatory status of the periodontal pockets and systemic markers of inflammation that represent tissue invasion and systemic dissemination of organisms [22]. Attachment level, a widely acceptable measure of chronic periodontitis, may not be the most appropriate clinical measure when the goal is to assess periodontitis as an exposure for a systemic disease. Attachment loss may only be a blunt indicator of the current status of the underlying causes of periodontitis, infection, and inflammatory response, which may be better represented by PD and BOP [22]. Other intraoral infections can pose similar effect on systemic health as periodontal disease. As a matter of fact, pericoronitis can pose a more acute form of infection and hence systemic inflammatory burden. A study was conducted to detect the effect of asymptomatic third molars on periodontal disease and inflammatory mediators. Results indicated that the levels of GCF IL-1B and PGE2 were higher if a patient had a PD equal to or greater than 5 mm in the third molar region [23]. By exclusion of inaccessible third molars in this study and other studies a major source of inflammation and infection can be missed.

In our study subjects with deep cavities, remaining roots, dental purulent exudate were considered as having an infection and were adjusted for in our statistical analyses. Other factors that could have affected the periodontal inflammatory status is that postpartum women can be taking non- steroidal anti-inflammatory medications to control pain. All preterm labor group were given steroid injection to halt down labor and help in baby’s lungs maturation. Smoking is another factor that might mask the degree of bleeding on probing masking the inflammatory status of the patient. Antibiotics were also given in many patients which might have affected the periodontal bacterial load and hence the inflammation. That is why prospective studies are preferred to view results from a different perspective. One prospective study conducted before delivery on a large sample size of 1,313 subjects [7], examined periodontal status at 21 -24 weeks of gestation. Their results were in accordance with our results, they concluded that preexisting periodontal disease in the second trimester of pregnancy increases the risk of preterm labor with odds ratio of 4.45 to 7.07 for subjects with generalized periodontitis. Some interventional studies are also in support of our study [24-26], provided periodontal treatment before 28 weeks of gestation for 200 women compared to 200 women who were not treated, periodontal therapy during pregnancy was found to significantly reduces the rates of preterm labor and low birth weight in women with periodontal disease. They also concluded that periodontal disease was the strongest factor among other risk factors related to Preterm Labor and Low birth Weight odds ratio of 4.7. Unlike other studies, we adjusted for preeclampsia as a known risk factor for preterm labor and low birth weight; we found that preeclampsia was highly correlated and independent risk factor for preterm labor. Other studies suggested an association between preeclampsia and periodontal disease [19,27]. Preeclampsia also involves increase in inflammatory cytokines in the blood stream, which makes adjusting for preeclampsia in our study important before any conclusion can be made. On the other hand, many studies did not find a positive correlation between periodontal disease and preterm labor [28-32]. Based on these results they do not support a specific drive to improve periodontal health of pregnant women as a means of improving pregnancy outcomes [28]. Another study that did not find any significant relationship used partial mouth recordings which may underestimates the prevalence of periodontal disease [29]. An interventional study did not find a difference in the clinical periodontal status between preterm low birth weight cases and normal birth weight outcome. However, PLBW mothers had significantly higher levels of Bacteroides forsythus and Campylobacter rectus, and consistently elevated counts for the other species examined. It is worth mentioning that the sample size in that study was too small [31]. Studies were both performed in the United States [4,7], involved higher proportions of subjects from African American ethnic groups (approximately 60% of Offenbacher’s and 82% of Jeffcoat’s cohorts), which is a known risk factor. Racial disparity
should be taken into consideration when appraising these studies. A more recent systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials evaluated the efficacy of scaling and root planning in reducing preterm birth and low birth weight risks. In those studies, they analyzed important subgroups further explored the heterogeneity and bias risks in the pooled studies. The analysis indicated statistically significant effect in reducing risk of preterm birth for scaling and root planning in pregnant women with periodontitis for groups with high risks of preterm birth only. According to that study there was insufficient evidence to support the need for periodontal disease treatment to reduce preterm birth but periodontal treatment may be beneficial for populations in which the incidence of preterm birth is high [33].

Conclusions
Generalized moderate to severe periodontal disease clinically manifested by probing depths measuring ≥5mm in ≥20% of sites is an independent risk factor for preterm birth with odds ratio of 5.8 (95% CI =1.2-37.5, p=0.04). After adjustment for other significant risk factors of smoking, preeclampsia, infections/inflammation, number of previous pregnancies. There is a need for further interventional prospective multicenter studies with larger sample size and different ethnic backgrounds to support such an association relationship.

Clinical relevance
Scientific rationale for the study: The evidence that a relationship between periodontal disease and some systemic diseases such as cardiovascular diseases, arthritis, stress, preeclampsia and preterm birth is controversial.

Principal findings: This retrospective case-control study was performed to explore whether periodontitis can adversely affect pregnancy outcome. A positive correlation was found as a result of this study.

Practical implications: Studies supporting this relationship can be used to motivate health care providers and pregnant women to maintain good oral health during pregnancy and may contribute to prevention of a serious condition that is preterm birth.
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