Pharmacokinetic Comparison of Two Gabapentin Formulations in Healthy Volunteers
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Abstract

The objective of the study was to establish bioequivalence between a local generic against the innovator product of gabapentin 300 mg. The study design was a standard two-way crossover, open-label, randomised and single dose study in 24 healthy male volunteers under fasting condition. The washout period was 7 days between both periods to allow adequate drug elimination. Liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry was utilised to determine gabapentin concentration in plasma. Non-compartmental model was used to analyse \( T_{\text{max}} \), \( C_{\text{max}} \), \( \text{AUC}_{\text{0-t}} \), \( \text{AUC}_{\text{0-\infty}} \), and \( k_{\text{e}} \). Potential adverse events were closely monitored and recorded throughout the study. The study found all pharmacokinetic parameters were within the bioequivalence limit of 80.00%-125.00%, with minimum side effects. In conclusion, the generic product was bioequivalent to the innovator product.
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Introduction

Gabapentin (1-(aminomethyl)cyclohexaneacetic acid) is licensed for use in Malaysia as adjunct therapy to intractable partial epilepsy and treatment for neuropathic pain [1]. Gabapentin is a chemical analogue to gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) but it does not interact with GABA receptors nor does it interfere with GABA metabolism [2]. Instead it is found that gabapentin is a ligand to the α2δ subunit of the voltage-gated calcium channel, where it acts by disrupting calcium channel trafficking thereby blocking new synapse formation [3].

Plasma concentration of gabapentin does not show proportionality with the dose ingested [4]. This is mainly due to the saturable absorption pathway of gabapentin, namely a zero-order pharmacokinetic process [5,6]. Gabapentin does not bind to plasma protein [7] and it is not metabolized in humans [8]. In addition, gabapentin exhibit zero-order absorption pharmacokinetic, and poor oral bioavailability (absolute bioavailability varies from 60% to 33% with increasing dose from 900 to 3600 mg daily) [7]. The peak plasma concentration of gabapentin is reached within 2 to 3 hours after ingestion of an immediate-release formulation [9] whereby administration with food does not appreciably affect the absorption. Nonetheless, high-protein meal (consisting of total 80g protein) significantly increases \( C_{\text{max}} \) by 36%, and to a lesser extent, increases \( \text{AUC} \) by 11%. [10]. Gabapentin has an elimination half-life of 5 to 7 hours [9].

The purpose of the current study was to assess the rate and extent of absorption of two gabapentin formulations, and to establish bioequivalence between both products.

Method

Study protocol

The study was approved by the Malaysian Medical Research Ethics Committee (MREC), and was conducted according to the Malaysian Good Clinical Practice (GCP) guideline and consistent with the Helsinki Declaration. The study consisted of two parts: the clinical phase and the bioanalytical phase. The prior was conducted at the Clinical Trial Unit, Seberang Jaya Hospital (Penang, Malaysia) while the latter was conducted in the bioanalytical laboratory, Hovid Research Private Limited, Universiti Sains Malaysia (Penang, Malaysia). All investigators involved in the clinical phase were GCP-certified. Written informed consent was obtained from all volunteers prior to the conduct of any study related procedures.

Test and reference product

The test product was manufactured by Hovid Berhad, Malaysia (Neuran 300 mg Capsule) while the reference product was Neurontin 300 mg capsule produced by Pfizer Pharmaceuticals LLC, Vega Baja, United States. (Reference product: batch number G98395, expiry date January 2016; Test product: batch number BD11641, expiry date November 2016).

Participants

A screening procedure was conducted to identify eligible volunteers to participate in this study. The inclusion/exclusion criteria were listed as below:

Inclusion criteria: Male healthy volunteers who were between the age of 21 to 55 years old, with a Body Mass Index (BMI) from 18.5 to 29.9 and in good physical condition as determined by medical history and laboratory tests. The laboratory tests included renal function tests (serum creatinine and blood urea nitrogen), liver function tests (total protein, total albumin, total globulin, aspartate transaminase, and alanine transaminase), full blood count (haemoglobin, red cell count, total white cell count, haematocrit, and platelet) and fasting blood glucose test. All volunteers were able to understand the study procedure, and had given informed consent to participate in the study.
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Exclusion criteria: Significant clinical deviation from norm as observed by study clinician, a history of or suspicion of drug or alcohol dependence, requirement of tranquilizers, sedatives, chronic medications (for hypertension and diabetes, anti-platelet agents, anti-epileptics, analgesics, opioids, psychotropic, antibiotics, monoamine oxidase inhibitors), a history or presence of organ dysfunction, history or presence of bone-marrow depression, serious blood disorders, cardiac arrhythmias, cardiovascular disease, stroke, bronchospasm, diabetes mellitus, renal disease, liver disease, thyrotoxicosis, parkinsonism, benign prostatic hypertrophy, epilepsy or migraine, and malignancy. Volunteers were excluded as well if they exhibit hypersensitivity towards the study drug gabapentin, or had participated in other study or donated blood within 8 weeks prior to study date, who smoke more than 10 cigarettes daily, or unable to neither understand the study protocol nor give informed consent.

Study design

The study was designed as an open-label, randomized-sequence, single-dose, two-treatments, two-way crossover bioequivalence study. The participants were randomly assigned into one of two groups, where the first group will receive the test (T) and reference (R) formulation in the T/R sequence; while the second group will receive both formulations in the R/T sequence. Randomization of participants was conducted with the randomization program. A washout period of at least 7 days between two periods was implemented to allow adequate elimination of study drug from the body.

All volunteers were recruited within one month period prior to the study date to ensure no significant changes to their physical condition. All participants were required to stay in the clinical facility and undergo a 10-hour fasting prior to dosage administration. A single dose of either the test or reference formulation was administered by qualified pharmacist with 240 ml of plain water, followed by a mouth check to ensure the dose was administered successfully. Access to water was prohibited for 1 hour before and after dosage administration, and all participants were requested to continue fasting until 4-hour post dosing. A total of 15 blood samples (5 ml) were taken from each participant at 0 hour (pre-dose), 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 12, 24, and 48 hours after ingestion of each formulation. A maximum of 5% deviation was allowed for the scheduled sampling time before being considered as protocol deviation. The blood samples were centrifuged at 3500 rpm for 15 minutes immediately after collection, and subsequently transferred to separate glass containers where the samples were kept frozen at -20°C. Standardized, calorie-counted meals were provided at 4- and 10-hour, while standardized snacks were provided at 8- and 13-hour. All food consumption was supervised whereby the participants were required to finish all food provided.

Vital signs such as blood pressure, pulse rate, respiratory rate and temperature were measured multiple times throughout the study period, and prior to subject discharge from the clinical facility. Study participants were advised to inform study clinicians if they experience any discomfort or adverse events.

Analysis of plasma gabapentin

Plasma concentration of gabapentin was analyzed with liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC/MS/MS). Before injection into the system, gabapentin was extracted from the plasma samples with direct deproteinization procedure. The bioanalytical process was subjected to validation before being implemented in the study.

The liquid chromatography component consisted of an Agilent 1200 Series Binary Pump (Agilent, Waldbronn, Germany), an Agilent 1200 Series Degasser (Agilent, Waldbronn, Germany), an Agilent 1200 Series Thermostatted Column Compartment (Agilent, Waldbronn, Germany) and a Agilent 1200 Series Instant Pilot (Agilent, Waldbronn, Germany). The tandem mass spectrometry component consisted of an Applied Biosystems API 3200 triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Applied Biosystems/MDS SCIEX, Ontario, Canada) in positive electrospray ionization (ESI) mode. Data acquisition and analysis were performed with the software Analyst version 1.4.2 (Applied Biosystems/MDS SCIEX, Ontario, Canada).

The analytical column used was a Phenomenex Luna HILIC 200 Å (150 × 2.0 mm id, 3μm) (Phenomenex, USA), which was fitted with the SecurityGuard® HPLC Guard Cartridge System with a SecurityGuard® Cartridge (HILIC, 4 × 2.0 mm ID Guard) (Phenomenex, USA). The system was maintained at 30°C. The mobile phase used was a mixture of 80.0% acetonitrile in 1mM ammonium formate buffer adjusted to pH 3.0 with formic acid. The flow rate was set at 0.20 ml/ min isocratically. Tramadol was used as internal standard. Multiple reactions monitoring (MRM) was employed to monitor the transition m/z 172.1->154.1 (gabapentin) and m/z 264.3->58.1 (tramadol). The samples were quantified using peak area.

Pharmacokinetic analysis

According to European Medicine Agency (EMA), two pharmacokinetic parameters should be analyzed: the area-under-the-concentration-time-curve from time zero to the last measurable concentration, AUC(0-t) and maximum plasma concentration, C(max) [11]. The FDA guideline recommended additional parameter such as total area-under-the-concentration-time-curve, AUC(0-∞) and elimination half-life, t(1/2) [12]. The value of AUC(0-t) was calculated with the trapezoidal formula, and the value of AUC(0-∞) was found by dividing the last measurable plasma drug concentration with the elimination rate constant (k). k was calculated from the terminal slope of the logarithmic transformed plasma concentrations with at least three concentration values, and the subsequent application of linear regression. The gabapentin half-life was calculated with the formula t(1/2)=ln2/k.

Non-compartmental pharmacokinetic model was used to determine the parameter required. This was consistent with the recommendation by the EMA guideline [11].

Statistical analysis

Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was used in the analysis of AUC(0-t), AUC(0-∞) and C(max). The data were logarithmically transformed prior to analysis, and the confidence interval of the difference between both formulations was calculated. This was then back-transformed to arithmetic scale. The terms used in the ANOVA model included sequence, subject within sequence, period and formulation.

In order to conclude bioequivalence between the two formulations, the 90% confidence interval of the ratio of test over reference formulation parameter (AUC(0-t), AUC(0-∞) and C(max)) should be within the acceptance interval of 80.00 to 125.00% [11-13].

Results

A total of 24 healthy volunteers were recruited into the study, and all completed both phases successfully. The participants’ demographic distribution was shown in Table 1.
Pharmacokinetic and bioequivalence analysis

Figure 1 depicted the mean plasma concentration versus time profile for both the test and reference gabapentin formulation, superimposed on each other. The related pharmacokinetic parameter derived was shown in Table 2. There was no statistical difference detected between the logarithmic transformed values of AUC<sub>0-∞</sub> (p=0.5895), AUC<sub>max</sub> (p=0.5187) and C<sub>max</sub> (p=0.5866). The value for T<sub>max</sub> showed no significant difference as well (p=0.7712).

The 90% confidence interval of the ratio of test over reference formulation was all within the acceptance values (80.00-125.00%). The confidence intervals were 0.9197-1.1393 (AUC<sub>0-∞</sub>), 0.9258-1.1358 (AUC<sub>max</sub>) and 0.9598-1.1630 (C<sub>max</sub>).

Method validation and analysis of plasma concentration

The analysis of gabapentin plasma concentration was performed in a Good Laboratory Practice (GLP) certified facility. The analysts were blinded to the treatment randomization even though the study was an open label study. The analytical method was fully validated. Several key aspects were assessed including the selectivity, linearity and range of calibration curve, accuracy, precision and analyte recovery, and also the stability of the analyte. Accuracy was defined as the percentage of measured concentration against the actual (spiked) concentration. Precision was denoted the coefficient of variation.

The calibration curve was linear over the range of 78.1-10000.0 ng/ml with a correlation coefficient of at least 0.99. For within-day validation, mean measured concentration did not deviate by more than ± 11.3% from the actual value for all six concentrations measured. The coefficient of variation values were not more than 7.6%. For between-day validation, the mean measured concentrations did not deviate by more than ± 13.4% from all concentration measured, with a coefficient of variation or less than 9.5%. The absolute recovery of gabapentin ranged from 74.3 to 81.1%. The limit of quantification was set at 78.1 ng/ml and the limit of detection was set at 39.1 ng/ml. No endogenous peak was found at the retention time of gabapentin and tramadol respective (2.4 and 2.1 minutes).

Stability testing indicated that gabapentin was stable for at least 3 freeze-thaw cycles, and when stored between -15°C and -22°C, gabapentin was stable for at least 2 months. Short term stability study showed that gabapentin in plasma was stable for 6 hours in room temperature. All analysis was completed within 2 months period from the start of the sampling collection date.

Discussion

Gabapentin is widely used to treat partial epilepsy in Malaysia, and is recommended as second-line adjunct therapy for patients who have poor epileptic control on first-line antiepileptic drug (AEDs) or intolerant to them [15]. Rising costs of innovator drugs has prompted many patients to seek generic substitutions.

Based on the bioequivalence acceptance criteria, it was concluded that the test product was bioequivalent to the reference (innovator) products. Although there were concerns with regards to generic substitution of AEDs, the Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) has produced a report which stated that no specific precaution is needed when prescribing gabapentin. The clinicians were allowed to prescribe generically [16].

The values of the intra-subject coefficient of variation (CV) for AUC<sub>0-∞</sub>, AUC<sub>max</sub> and C<sub>max</sub> were all under 30%, as estimated using the mean square error of ANOVA analysis (18.5%, 17.2% and 17.5%). Based on these values, it was concluded that the sample size of 24 subjects was sufficient to provide a power (1-β) of more than 80%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value (n=24)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>28 (10.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interverquartile Range</td>
<td>22 (91.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, cm</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg</td>
<td>70.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index, kg/m&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>23.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tolerability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Both formulations were very well tolerated. There was no reported serious adverse event during the study periods. Only one subject reported to experience giddiness during both study periods when he was administered both the test and reference products. Giddiness was a common side effects of gabapentin [2,14]. The subject fully recovered as the side effects within 24 hours. Another subject experienced mild flu-like symptoms during the washout period (after administration of the reference products during first study period). However the side effects was deemed not drug related.

Tolerability

Both formulations were very well tolerated. There was no reported serious adverse event during the study periods. Only one subject reported to experience giddiness during both study periods when he was administered both the test and reference products. Giddiness was a common side effects of gabapentin [2,14]. The subject fully recovered as the side effects within 24 hours. Another subject experienced mild flu-like symptoms during the washout period (after administration of the reference products during first study period). However the side effects was deemed not drug related.

Discussion

Gabapentin is widely used to treat partial epilepsy in Malaysia, and is recommended as second-line adjunct therapy for patients who have poor epileptic control on first-line antiepileptic drug (AEDs) or intolerant to them [15]. Rising costs of innovator drugs has prompted many patients to seek generic substitutions.

Table 1: Demographic distribution of study participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value (n=24)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>28 (10.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interverquartile Range</td>
<td>22 (91.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, cm</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg</td>
<td>70.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index, kg/m&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>23.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The 90% confidence interval of the ratio of test over reference formulation was all within the acceptance values (80.00-125.00%). The confidence intervals were 0.9197-1.1393 (AUC<sub>0-∞</sub>), 0.9258-1.1358 (AUC<sub>max</sub>) and 0.9598-1.1630 (C<sub>max</sub>).
given the type 1 error rate (α) was 0.05. The CV values were crucial for sample size calculation, and were found comparable to values reported in other studies [4,17].

Conclusion

The study concluded that the test formulation was bioequivalent to the reference formulation.

Acknowledgement

The authors thank all medical staffs at the Clinical Research Centre, Seberang Jaya Hospital who contributed to the study.

Declaration of Personal Interest

Kah Hay Yuen was the advisor to the R&D department of Hovid Ltd, the manufacturer of the test formulation. Siew Siew Tan, Jia Weei Wong, Siew Kuen Chin, Al Boey Lim and Ean Peng Soon were employees at Hovid-Research Sdn Bdn, an independent research company which was affiliated with Hovid Ltd. Wen Yao Mak and Irene Loci did not have any conflict of interest to disclose.

Declaration of Funding Interest

This study was supported by Hovid Ltd.

References


OMICS International: Open Access Publication Benefits & Features

Unique features:

• increased global visibility of articles through worldwide distribution and indexing
• Showcasing recent research output in a timely and updated manner
• Special issues on the current trends of scientific research

Special features:

• 700 Open Access journals
• 50,000 editorial team
• Rapid peer review process
• Quality and quick editorial, review and publication processing
• Indexing on PubMed (partial), Scopus, EBSCO, Index Copernicus and Google Scholar etc
• Sharing Option: Social Networking Enabled for better prominence and citations
• Authors, Reviewers and Editors rewarded with online Scientific Credits
• Best discounts for your subsequent articles

Submit your manuscript at: http://www.editorialmanager.com/jbiobio