Physical Activity and Oxidative Stress Biomarkers in Generally Healthy Women
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Abstract

Objectives: The associations between physical activity and oxidative stress biomarkers are still controversial, and few large human studies have comprehensively investigated the relationship between physical activity and oxidative stress biomarkers. The purpose of this study was to examine the association between physical activity and oxidative stress biomarkers in a large sample of women by measuring biomarkers of both oxidation and antioxidant defense.

Design and Methods: We conducted a cross-sectional study among 1,144 generally healthy women ages 43-70 years, who were included in a prospective nested case-control study of coronary heart disease in the Nurses’ Health Study. Fluorescent oxidation products (FIOPs) are oxidation markers reflecting global oxidation burden. Antioxidant defense was quantified by the activities of three major antioxidant enzymes in erythrocyte (superoxide dismutase [SOD], glutathione peroxidase [GPx] and catalase [CAT]). Self-reported physical activity was estimated in metabolic equivalents per week.

Results: Physical activity was not associated with FIOP levels, or GPx and CAT activities after adjusting for covariates (all P trend>0.15). Higher levels of physical activity were associated with decreased SOD activity (P trend<0.01). We then conducted subgroup analysis of participants with and without any vigorous physical activity. Greater levels of physical activity were associated with lower SOD activity among participants with any vigorous physical activity (P trend=0.02).

Conclusions: Greater physical activity was associated with lower SOD activity, but not with higher plasma FIOPs in generally healthy women. Our findings may be important for women to maintain a low level of oxidative stress during exercise because high oxidative stress is related to the development of many chronic diseases.
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CAT catalase, CVs coefficient of variations, FlOPs fluorescent oxidation products, GPx glutathione peroxidase, IQR inter quartile range, MDA malondialdehyde, METs metabolic equivalents, NHS Nurses’ Health Study, ROS-reactive oxygen species, SOD superoxide dismutase

Introduction

Oxidative stress occurs when the reactive oxygen species (ROS) overwhelm the capacity of antioxidant defense system. The excessive ROS can cause oxidative damage to the components of protein, lipids and DNA. Numerous studies have suggested that high level of oxidative stress is an important etiological risk factor for coronary heart disease, cancer and neurodegenerative diseases [1-6].

To comprehensively assess the potential burden of oxidative stress, biomarkers of both oxidation and antioxidant defense should be integrated. Previously, we [4-7] and others [8,9] have reported that the level of oxidation assessed by the fluorescent oxidation products (FIOPs) can be measured at three pairs of excitation/emission wavelengths (360/420 nm for FIOP_360, 320/420 nm for FIOP_320, 400/475 nm for FIOP_400). FIOPs are considered a global measure of oxidation stress because they are generated from many different oxidation pathways (lipid, protein and DNA) [4,6,10]. Moreover, it has been suggested that FIOP assay is 10-100 times more sensitive than measurement of malondialdehyde (MDA) via colorimetric thiobarbituric acid assay [9]. To reduce ROS, superoxide dismutase (SOD), glutathione peroxidase (GPx) and catalase (CAT) are three primary antioxidant enzymes in human cells. Assessment of the levels of FIOPs and the activities of SOD, GPx and CAT in blood samples are two noninvasive and reliable approach to measure the circulating oxidative stress biomarkers [11].

Regular physical activity is an important lifestyle factor for maintaining general health, but the association between physical activity and oxidative stress biomarkers is still controversial [12-15]. On one hand, as oxygen consumption increases during exercise, the production of ROS is up-regulated. Several exercise intervention studies in humans found that MDA and lipid peroxidation were significantly elevated after high-intensity physical activity [14,15]. On the other hand, the MDA, protein carbonyl and other ROS have been shown to decrease among participants with repeated or low-intensity physical activity [12,13]. Similarly, the activities of antioxidant enzymes were reduced during exercise [14].
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Methods

Study setting and participants

The Nurses’ Health Study (NHS) initiated in 1976 is a longitudinal cohort of 121,701 female nurses investigating the factors that influence women's health [17]. The NHS collected blood samples from 32,826 women between 1989 and 1991. The association of oxidative stress biomarkers with coronary heart disease has previously been evaluated in a prospective nested case-control study within the NHS blood cohort [4,18]. All participants of this prospective nested case-control study were free of cardiovascular diseases and cancers at the time of blood draw. The present cross-sectional study was conducted with a subset of the baseline data of this prospective nested case-control study, in which the levels of FIO_360, FIO_320, FIO_400 and the activities of SOD, GPx, CAT and physical activity were available. One thousand one hundred and forty four women aged 43-70 years were included in the final analysis. This investigation was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Brigham and Women’s Hospital and Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health and the University of Cincinnati. All participants provided written informed consent to participate the NHS.

Demographic data collection

We ascertained age, anthropometric data (e.g. weight and height), life style data (e.g. tobacco smoking and alcohol intake), menopause status, family history of MI, history of diabetes and hypertension, and postmenopausal hormone therapy via 1990 questionnaire. Current smokers were defined as present tobacco use on the same questionnaire. History of diabetes and hypertension was reported by the participants based on their physicians’ diagnosis.

Physical activity assessment

Physical activity was assessed via structured questionnaire which has been previously described to be valid and reproducible [19]. By using the 1992 questionnaire (the time period closest to the blood draw), weekly physical activity during the previous year was estimated with the levels of FIO_360, FIO_320, FIO_400 and the activities of SOD, GPx, CAT and physical activity were available. Vigorous activities were defined as requiring MET values ≥6, and included jogging (>10 minutes per mile), running (<10 minutes per mile), bicycling, swimming, tennis, squash or racquetball, and other vigorous activities (i.e., lawn mowing).

Blood collection

Blood samples were collected in heparin anticoagulant tubes. The tubes were placed on ice packs, stored in Styrofoam containers and returned to central laboratory by overnight courier. The blood samples were centrifuged, and plasma, packed erythrocytes and buffy coats were divided into aliquots for storage in liquid-nitrogen freezers (-130°C or colder) within 36 hours. Time since last meal (hours) was collected by questionnaire during blood collection. Fast participants were defined if the length of fasting before blood draw was at least 8 hours. All the others were non-fasting participants.

Assay of FIOs

Measurement of plasma FIOs was performed with previously described procedures [10]. Briefly, plasma was extracted with ethanol/ether (3:1, v/v) and centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatant was used to test fluorescence with a fluorescence spectrofluorometer. The level of fluorescence was measured at wavelengths of 360/420 nm (excitation/emission) for FIO_360, 320/420 nm for FIO_320 and 400/475 nm for FIO_400, and expressed as relative fluorescent intensity units per millilitre of plasma. FIO_360 were generated from oxidized phospholipids or from lipid oxidation products reacting with proteins, DNA and carbohydrates in presence of phospholipids; FIO_320 was formed when oxidation products such as lipid hydroperoxides, aldehydes, and ketones react with DNA in the presence of metals; and FIO_400 reflected the interaction between MDA, proteins and phospholipids [9]. The average within-run coefficient of variations (CVs) for FIO_360, FIO_320 and FIO_400 measurements were all <13%. The delay in processing blood samples up to 36 hours appeared to have minimal influence on the measurement of FIO_360, FIO_320 and FIO_400. The overall intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs) of FIOs were all greater than 0.95 in the shorter- (0 to 24 hours) and longer-delayed processing (0 to 36 hours). A pilot study in 40 NHS participants showed that the between- and within-person ICC for repeated measurements over 1.4 year apart was 0.44 for FIO_360, 0.55 for FIO_320, and 0.70 for FIO_400.

Assay of SOD, GPx and CAT activities

We measured erythrocyte SOD and GPx activities with previously described procedure [18]. Briefly, the erythrocytes were diluted with ice-cold high-performance liquid chromatography grade water and centrifuged at 10,000xg for 15 min at 4°C. Then, the samples were measured at 440-460 nm for SOD activity and 340 nm for GPx activity in contrast with the background and positive control wells. We measured erythrocyte CAT activity by using the method described by Beers et al. [22] and Aebi [23]. Erythrocyte supernatant was diluted with PO4 buffer. A standard solution was prepared using CAT from bovine liver (Cat#C1345, Sigma Corporation of America, Ronkonkoma, New York). The samples and standard were added with 20 mM H2O2. The wavelength absorbance for CAT samples and standard was 240 nm. SOD, GPx and CAT activities were expressed as U/mg of hemoglobin. The average within-run CVs for SOD, GPx and CAT measurements were 14%, 10% and 6%, respectively. The activities of the three antioxidant enzymes measured in the 48 hours delayed
processing samples were not significantly different from those processed immediately (P=0.7, 0.3 and 0.5 for SOD, GPx and CAT, respectively). In the same pilot study as mentioned above (N=40), the between- and within-person ICCs for repeated measurements over an average of 1.4 years apart were 0.7, 0.8 and 0.9 for SOD, GPx and CAT, respectively.

**Assay of other biomarkers**

HDL cholesterol, LDL cholesterol and triglycerides were measured using reagents and standard methods designed by Roche Diagnostics and Genzyme (all CVs <6%) [24]. The level of C-reactive protein was quantified by the means of a highly sensitive immunoturbidimetric assay from Denka Seiken, and this assay has day-to-day variability between 1% and 2% [25].

**Statistical Analysis**

In descriptive analyses, continuous variables with normal and skewed distribution, and categorical variables were shown in means (standard deviation, SD), medians (inter-quartile range, IQR) and percentage, respectively. We further examined the distribution of the characteristics and level of biochemical variables of the study participants across quartiles of physical activity. The P for trend was estimated with linear regression models, in which physical activity was skewed distribution, and categorical variables were shown in means (logarithmic transformed due to skewed distribution).

The associations between physical activity and the levels of FlOP_320, FlOP_360, FlOP_400, the activities of SOD, GPx, CAT were analyzed with linear regression models. Covariates included age (continuous), smoking (current smoker, past smoker and never smoked), month (in seasons) and time (am and pm) of blood sampling, body mass index (BMI; <25, ≥25 and <30, ≥30 and <35, and ≥35 kg/m2), alcohol intake (0, >0 and <5, ≥5 and <15, and ≥15 g/day), fasting status (<8 and 8+ hours), postmenopause (yes/no), family history of MI (yes/no), history of diabetes (yes/no), triglycerides, C-reactive protein, FlOP_400, SOD activity, increased HDL cholesterol, LDL cholesterol (continuous), HDL cholesterol (continuous), triglycerides (continuous), C-reactive protein (continuous). We analyzed the associations of light, moderate and heavy physical activity with oxidative stress biomarkers compared to the reference group of sedentary lifestyle. Once we found a significant association between physical activity and oxidative stress biomarkers, we stratified our analysis by each covariate and intensity of the activities (with vigorous vs. without vigorous activities) to examine their influence on this relationship. Lastly, we also analyzed the association between physical activity and oxidative stress biomarkers in controls only (free of subsequent incident coronary heart disease) to rule out the potential influence of subclinical factors of coronary heart disease. All analyses were performed with Statistical Analysis System (Version 9, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

**Results**

**Descriptive characteristics**

The average age for 1,144 women was 60 years (range 43-70 years). The median physical activity per week was 11.8 METs (IQR: 4.8-25.2 METs). Approximately 46% of the study participants were overweight or obese (BMI ≥25 kg/m2). Approximately one quarter (24.3%) and one third (36.3%) of the study participants were current smokers and hypertensive, respectively. The levels of FIOPs and activities of antioxidant enzymes were in the comparable range as compared with our previous studies [4,18].

**The characteristics and levels of biochemical variables stratified by quartiles of physical activity**

Higher physical activity was associated with reduced BMI, triglycerides, C-reactive protein, FlOP_400, SOD activity, increased HDL cholesterol, greater proportion of postmenopausal hormone therapy and lower proportion of current smokers, history of diabetes and hypertension (Table 1). All the other factors were not significantly related to physical activity (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Quartile 1 &lt;4.8 METs/week</th>
<th>Quartile 2 ≥4.8; &lt;11.75 METs/week</th>
<th>Quartile 3 ≥11.75; &lt;25.2 METs/week</th>
<th>Quartile 4 ≥25.2 METs/week</th>
<th>P for trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>59.8 (6.7)</td>
<td>59.9 (6.5)</td>
<td>60.2 (6.6)</td>
<td>60.0 (6.3)</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m2)</td>
<td>27.2 (5.4)</td>
<td>24.9 (4.4)</td>
<td>25.2 (4.3)</td>
<td>24.8 (4.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol intake (g/day)a</td>
<td>0.9 (0, 5.9)</td>
<td>2.0 (0, 9.9)</td>
<td>1.1 (0, 5.7)</td>
<td>2.0 (0, 9.7)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting hoursa</td>
<td>11.0 (8.0, 12.0)</td>
<td>11.0 (8.0, 13.0)</td>
<td>11.0 (8.0, 12.0)</td>
<td>12.0 (9.0, 13.0)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smokers (n, %)</td>
<td>83 (29.2%)</td>
<td>82 (28.5%)</td>
<td>67 (23.6%)</td>
<td>46 (16.0%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post menopause (n, %)</td>
<td>234 (82.4%)</td>
<td>238 (82.6%)</td>
<td>248 (87.3%)</td>
<td>241 (83.7%)</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of MI (n, %)</td>
<td>47 (16.6%)</td>
<td>41 (14.2%)</td>
<td>43 (15.1%)</td>
<td>45 (15.6%)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of Diabetes (n, %)</td>
<td>47 (16.6%)</td>
<td>20 (6.9%)</td>
<td>21 (7.4%)</td>
<td>15 (5.2%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of Hypertension (n, %)</td>
<td>134 (47.2%)</td>
<td>94 (32.6%)</td>
<td>110 (38.7%)</td>
<td>77 (26.7%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHT (n, %)</td>
<td>94 (33.1%)</td>
<td>113 (39.2%)</td>
<td>113 (39.8%)</td>
<td>111 (38.5%)</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Biomarkers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sedentary</th>
<th>Light</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Heavy</th>
<th>P for trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol (mg/dL)</td>
<td>137 (35)</td>
<td>139 (38)</td>
<td>138 (40)</td>
<td>138 (36)</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol (mg/dL)</td>
<td>52.5 (15.6)</td>
<td>58.1 (16.8)</td>
<td>57.1 (15.7)</td>
<td>60.8 (16.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mg/dL)</td>
<td>125 (88, 172)</td>
<td>110 (77, 153)</td>
<td>110 (81, 161)</td>
<td>98 (70, 132)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-reactive protein (mg/dL)</td>
<td>0.28 (0.13, 0.59)</td>
<td>0.22 (0.10, 0.45)</td>
<td>0.19 (0.09, 0.45)</td>
<td>0.14 (0.07, 0.30)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FlOP_360 (FI/mL)</td>
<td>221 (176, 289)</td>
<td>216 (178, 290)</td>
<td>220 (177, 288)</td>
<td>221 (171, 283)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FlOP_320 (FI/mL)</td>
<td>413 (316, 646)</td>
<td>381 (296, 582)</td>
<td>397 (301, 654)</td>
<td>370 (288, 572)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FlOP_400 (FI/mL)</td>
<td>65.7 (49.4, 87.9)</td>
<td>62.5 (49.5, 88.0)</td>
<td>60.7 (49.0, 85.2)</td>
<td>59.6 (47.2, 78.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOD activity (U/mg of hemoglobin)</td>
<td>9.05 (1.56)</td>
<td>8.98 (1.61)</td>
<td>8.83 (1.43)</td>
<td>8.61 (1.62)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPx activity (U/mg of hemoglobin)</td>
<td>15.94 (3.83)</td>
<td>16.34 (4.13)</td>
<td>16.54 (4.20)</td>
<td>16.18 (3.76)</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAT activity (U/mg of hemoglobin)</td>
<td>235 (54)</td>
<td>233 (52)</td>
<td>233 (53)</td>
<td>237 (54)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1:** The characteristics and levels of biochemical variables according to quartiles of physical activity. Values are means (standard deviation), unless otherwise specified. *Values for the variables that were not normally distributed are shown in medians (inter-quartile range). Abbreviations: METs: Metabolic equivalents; PHT: Postmenopausal hormone therapy; FlOP: Fluorescent oxidation products; CRP: C-reactive protein; GPx: Glutathione peroxidase; CAT: Catalase; SOD: Superoxide dismutase.

**Association between physical activity and oxidative stress biomarkers**

There were no associations between physical activity and FlOP_360, FlOP_320, FlOP_400, GPx, or CAT in multivariate models (Table 2). In the univariate model, higher levels of physical activity were associated with decreased SOD activity (P<0.01). In the multivariable model, the association between physical activity and SOD activity remained significant (P<0.01). Additional subgroup analysis stratified by each covariate suggested that the association between physical activity and SOD activity was likely not modified by other individual characteristics and levels of biomarkers (Figure 1). We then conducted subgroup analysis of participants with and without any vigorous physical activity. Greater levels of physical activity were associated with lower SOD activity among participants with any vigorous physical activity (Figure 2). Among multiple types of physical activity, longer time of walking or hiking outdoors, and standing or walking around home was associated with decreased SOD activity (all P values between extreme levels of PA were <0.05).

**Table 2:** The association between levels of fluorescent oxidation products, activities of antioxidant enzymes and physical activity: multivariable generalized linear regression analysis. Unless otherwise specified, values are β (P value) comparing sedentary lifestyle, and were adjusted for age, smoking status, time and month of blood draw, body mass index, alcohol intake, fasting hours, postmenopause, family history of myocardial infarction, history of diabetes, history of hypertension, hormone replacement therapy, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, triglycerides, C-reactive protein. Abbreviations: METs: Metabolic equivalents; FlOP: Fluorescent oxidation products; GPx: Glutathione peroxidase; CAT: Catalase; SOD: Superoxide dismutase.
The negative association between physical activity and SOD activity is in agreement with several previous studies [26-28], but not with other studies [29,30]. The specific reasons for this inconsistency are still unclear, but could be explained by dynamic response of antioxidants against ROS [31,32]. Several studies have suggested that oxidation level was either stable or decreased under repeated and relatively low-intensity types of physical activity [12,13]. A decreased SOD activity associated with increased physical activity may indicate that SOD has been used to reduce ROS generated from physical activity. This may be one of the reasons that we did not observe an elevation of FlOPs associated with higher physical activity. At the stage of mild to moderate physical activity, we hypothesize that high production of SOD may not be necessary because ROS generated from physical activity can be well controlled by existing antioxidants and antioxidant enzymes.

However, when the existing antioxidants and antioxidant enzymes are insufficient to control ROS, the production of SOD can be up-regulated, and an increased SOD activity in people with highly intensive and long-term physical activity may indicate a significantly elevated ROS due to heavy exercise. The reason that SOD is a more sensitive marker than other antioxidant enzymes in response to ROS is because SOD is the first-line antioxidant enzyme to reduce ROS [33-35]. Indeed, all the above studies with controversial results showed an elevated oxidative stress and antioxidant defense following long-term and highly intensive exercise [29,30]. In this scenario, although production of antioxidant enzymes was up-regulated, they are still insufficient to detoxify excessive ROS generated from this type of exercise. Thus, the risk of oxidative damage due to heavy exercise in these study participants is high. This is the major reason that antioxidant supplements are popular among athletes [36].

Although our study had some participants with heavy physical activity (≥30 METs/week), the physical activity level was still far less than the level reported by Tauler et al. [29]. Tauler et al. conducted an interventional study among nine male subjects, and every participant had a cycling exercise for 171.8 km within 283 minutes (it is relatively equivalent to ≥100 METs/week) before measuring the oxidative stress biomarkers. Besides the reason mentioned above, the inconsistent results of our study as compared with other studies were likely due to different study designs, in which our study was cross-sectional and the studies reported by Shin et al. [30] and Tauler et al. [29] were interventional studies. Unlike interventional studies, we did not control the physical activity level before blood draw in our study, which is a major limitation of our study. The above statements are only possible explanations for the results and should not be over interpreted. Further studies are warranted to investigate the role of SOD in the physical activity.

The major strength of this study is that a large number of female participants were included. Further, our study have measured markers of both oxidation and antioxidant defence in relation to physical activity. Thus, the associations of physical activity with oxidative stress biomarkers are comprehensive. Lastly, our study included most regular physical activities of healthy women. This is also an advantage of our study as compared to interventional studies in which types of physical activity intervention are limited.

Besides the limitation mentioned above, our study has several other limitations. We only measured SOD activity for one time, which may not accurately reflect the average levels of the biomarker in a prolonged period of time. We have assessed the reproducibility of SOD measurements over approximately one-year period in a pilot study. The
ICC was excellent, indicating that this marker is relatively stable over 1–2 year period and should represent the level of SOD during the time when physical activity was assessed. There is a concern about the stability of oxidative stress biomarkers measured in the blood samples that have been stored for more than 10 years in the -180 Celsius degrees. We cannot fully exclude this possibility in this study.

Our study is also limited as the data on the long-term stability of oxidative stress biomarkers stored in liquid-nitrogen freezers were not available. In addition, since only a very small number of participants reported to have extremely high level of physical activity in our study, our findings can only be generalized to the population with relatively low and moderate level of physical activity. The results of present study may not be able to generalize to men as such data are not available. Since we only measured the oxidative stress biomarkers in the erythrocytes, the oxidative stress biomarkers at extra-cellular sites or low intensity antioxidants may be more sensitive in relation to physical activity.

Regular physical activity reduces the risk of several diseases [37]. However, we are still unclear what specific oxidative stress biomarkers we should measure in order to avoid oxidative damage due to exercise on a regular basis. Physical activity has been suggested to be inversely associated with SOD activity in our study. We observed that higher physical activity was associated with a decreased SOD activity in generally healthy women, which may partly reflect a stable oxidative stress. Our findings may be important for women to maintain a low level of oxidative stress during exercise because high oxidative stress is related to the development of many chronic diseases [1–6].

In summary, the present study suggested that an increased physical activity is significantly associated with lower SOD activity, but not with plasma FLOPs in generally healthy women. These results suggest that SOD activity may be a more sensitive marker than oxidation markers in relation to higher physical activity in this population. Certainly, further research is necessary to investigate the clinical utilization SOD activity as a marker to optimize benefit of regular physical activity to maintain general health for women.
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