Potential of Natural Antimicrobials for the Production of Minimally Processed Fresh-Cut Apples
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Abstract

Background: Minimally processed fruit are susceptible to microbial spoilage and loss of sensory quality. In this experimental work, in order to increase the shelf-life and to maintain quality parameter (texture and colour) of sliced apples (Malus communis, var. Golden delicious), the use of natural antimicrobials was proposed.

Materials and methods: Natural antimicrobials were purposed to produce fresh-cut apples. Hexanal, citral, and combinations of hexanal+citral, citron essential oil+carvacrol, citral+2-(E)-hexenal, citral+citron essential oil and hexanal+2-(E)-hexenal were used in dipping step. After treatment, sample were stored at 6°C in ordinary atmosphere. During storage, yeast and lactic acid bacteria were monitored. Also volatile and electronic nose profiles, colour and texture analyses were considered. The samples were compared with a control (apples dipped in ascorbic and citric acid solution).

Results: Yeast cell loads showed that natural antimicrobials changed naturally occurring yeast growth parameters. The combination of citron+carvacrol prolonged the yeast lag phase of 6 d comparing with control, while citral and hexanal+2-(E)-hexenal decreased the maximum reached yeast cell load and growth rate, respectively. After 8 d, samples with hexanal+2-(E)-hexenal and citral showed equivalent or even better quality attributes compared to the controls, suggesting that this approach is a useful tool for fresh-cut apple production.

Highlight: Natural antimicrobial can be useful for the dipping of minimally processed apples Citral and hexanal+2-(E)-hexenal prolonged the apples shelf-life.

Apples treated with Citral and hexanal+2-(E)-hexenal had good quality attributes.
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Introduction

Minimally processed fruits are among the most requested products because they provide high nutritional value while maintaining their freshness appeal and they do not generally contain preservatives or antimicrobial substances. However, the raw materials are frequently in contact with soil, animals, insects or humans during growth and harvesting [1]; therefore by the time they reach the manufacturing the majority of fresh produces retain microbial populations of 4–6 log CFU g⁻¹. For this, the safety of fresh-cut raw fruit is significantly affected by the presence of microorganisms on raw fruit surface [2]. Also preparation steps during processing such as peeling, cutting or slicing favour the microbial growth on the final product [3,4]. The presence on fresh fruit and related fresh-cut products of pathogenic bacteria belonging to Listeria monocytogenes, Escherichia coli, Salmonella spp., Yersinia enterocolitica, Aeromonas hydrophilia and Staphylococcus aureus is well documented [5,6], so fresh fruit productions have been incriminated in several outbreaks caused by these pathogenic species. On the other hand, pathogens eventually introduced during the production chain may remain until the product consumption due to the lacking of treatments able to inactivate the microbial cells. Actually the safety and the shelf-life of the products is generally based on the cold chain maintenance during storage and the most used disinfectant agent of the raw material is chlorine [7,8]. Currently, there is increasing consumer pressure to replace chemically synthesized antimicrobials with natural alternatives in order to ensure food safety and maintain quality of fresh-cut fruit [9-13]. Plants and plant products can represent a source of natural antimicrobials for this purpose; moreover they are characterized by a wide range of volatile compounds, some of which are important flavour quality factors [14]. Among plant derivatives, essential oils have been investigated for their antimicrobial activity against many microorganisms, including spoilage and pathogenic species [15]. A wide literature is focused on the antimicrobial activity of citrus oils [16-18]. The action of their single constituents has been studied to identify their cell targets and the most active molecules, and to balance their intrinsic variability [19]. Particularly citral (3,7-dimethyl-2,7-octadienal), an acyclic α,β-unsaturated monoterpane aldehyde, is an isoprenoid compound with 2 isomers, geranial and neral, naturally occurring in citrus essential oils and characterized by a broad spectrum antimicrobial activity [20]. Belletti et al. [21] showed that, used at concentration compatible with the sensorial properties of the products, citral and citron essential oil, were able to prolong the microbial shelf life of the fruit-based salads in syrup.

The antimicrobial activity against spoilage species of hexanal and 2-(E)-hexenal, which are components of the aroma of many fruits and vegetables, was already tested in model [22] as well as in real systems.
Hexanal, 2-(E)-hexenal, and hexyl acetate prolonged the shelf-life of fresh-cut fruit [24].

Also Siroli et al. [8] proved that the use of natural antimicrobials, combined with modified atmosphere packaging (MAP), were effective in the shelf-life prolongation of minimally sliced apples. Specific combinations of natural antimicrobials resulted in products having a shelf-life of 35 d. However, some Authors report that the use of MAP can produce some flavor and visual and/or by microbial outgrowth defects. For example, exposure to elevated CO2 levels (10-20%) may result in the suppression of various metabolic processes [25]. Also the lack of O2 can provoke off-flavour development in fresh-cut products inducing a shift from an aerobic towards an anaerobic atmosphere. In addition the packaging in MAP is perceived by the producers as an additional cost.

In this direction, the principal aim of this work was to evaluate the effects of dipping treatments with different concentrations of hexanal, (E)-2-hexenal, citral, carvacrol and citron Essential Oil (EO), alone or in combination, on the shelf-life of sliced fresh-cut apple stored at 6°C in ordinary atmosphere. Before using in real system, the determination of citron EO composition, the Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) values of the chosen substances against the most frequent pathogenic species in this kind of products (Listeria monocytogenes, Es. coli, Salmonella spp.) were performed. The influence of the tested substances on microbial evolution, colour and texture changes, as well as on aroma compounds and electronic nose profiles were determined during maximum 21 d of storage at 6°C in ordinary atmosphere.

Materials and Methods

Natural antimicrobials

Citron essential oil (EO), whose composition was reported by Belletti et al. [16] was obtained from Flora s.r.l. (Pisa, Italy), while all the other compounds (Hexanal, 2-(E)-hexenal, Citral and Carvacrol) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Milano, Italy). The EO and the used natural antimicrobials were selected both for their antimicrobial activity and for the impact on organoleptic properties of the product.

Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) and Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC) determination

For the determination of MIC values, 150 µL of BHI broth inoculated at three different levels (2, 4 or 6 log CFU mL-1) of the tested pathogens (Listeria monocytogenes Scott A, Salmonella enteritidis E5, Escherichia coli 555, S. aureus F1, Bacillus cereus SV90) were added to 200 µL microtiter wells (Corning Incorporated, NY, USA). 15 µL of the tested EO or natural antimicrobials, properly diluted in Brain Heart Infusion (BHI, Oxoid Ltd., Basingstoke, Hampshire, United Kingdom) broth and conveyed through 96% ethanol (VWR international, PROLABO, France) were added to each well in order to obtain the required concentration of each compound in the final volume (200 µL), and with a constant amount of ethanol (1% v/v in wells). Microtiter plates were incubated at 37°C and checked after 24 and 48 h. The MBC were determined by spotting 10 µL of each well after 48 h, onto BHI agar plates [26].

MIC was defined as the lowest concentration of the compound preventing visible growth of the inoculated cells after 24 h (MIC 24 h) or 48 h (MIC 48 h). The MBC was defined as the lowest concentration of the compound that caused the death of the inoculated cells, corresponding to no growth after 24 h of incubation at 37°C of a 10 µL spot plated onto BHI agar.

Preparation of fresh-cut apple slices

Apples (Golden delicious) were purchased from a local retailer and conditioned at 10°C for three hours before the preparation. Subsequently the fruits were washed with running water at 13°C for 2 min, dried with blotting paper and peeled and sliced into cubes of roughly 1.5 cm3 by hand with a sharp knife. EO and natural antimicrobials were added to an aqueous solution at 1% citric acid + 0.5% ascorbic acid. Eight different dipping solutions at a temperature of 13°C were prepared with running water and a concentration of 250 mg L-1 of citral and hexanal alone; 125+125 mg L-1 for the combinations of citral+hexanal, citral-(2-(E)-hexenal, hexanal+2-(E)-hexenal, citral+citron EO; 200+50 mg L-1 for the combination of citron EO+carvacrol. Natural antimicrobials were conveyed through 1% (v/v) of ethanol. Control apple slices were subjected to the dipping treatment without the supplementation of natural antimicrobials. Apple slices were dipped and gently agitated in the solutions for 2 min with a ratio apples:water of 1:10 (w/v). After the treatment, apples were dried with paper and packaged into 50 µm-thick BOPP bags (PCO2 at 22°C: 2720 (cm3/m2/d), PO2 at 22°C: 970 (cm3/m2/d)) inserting 50 g of product with a ratio apples:headspace of 1:1. The packages have been stored at 6°C and analysed during 21 d of storage.

Microbiological analyses

The evolution over time of lactic acid bacteria and yeasts was evaluated during storage by plate counting, respectively on Man Rogosa and Sharpe Agar (MRS, Oxoid Ltd., Basingstoke, Hampshire, United Kingdom) and Sabouraud Dextrose Agar (SAB, Oxoid Ltd., Basingstoke, Hampshire, United Kingdom). Microbiological analyses were performed after stomacher and properly dilution of the samples into sterile physiological water (diluted into 90 mL of physiological water (0.9% w/v NaCl)). The analyses were performed immediately after the treatments and after 2, 3, 7, 10, 14 and 21 d of storage.

Volatile molecule profiles and electronic nose analyses

Apple packages were used for headspace volatile compound analysis by GC/MS-SPME technique. For each treatment condition the samples were analyzed immediately after the treatments and after 3 and 10 d of storage. The samples were conditioned 30 min at 37°C; after that for fiber and gas-chromatographic conditions, the method reported by Patrignani et al. [26] was adopted.

Compounds were identified by the use of the Agilent Hewlett-Packard NIST 98 mass spectral database.

Electronic nose (EN) analyses have been performed on the headspace of 40 mL vials, sealed by a lid with a PTFE/silicon septa, containing 5 g of apples. EN evaluations were carried out immediately after the treatments and after 3 and 10 d of storage. Sample vials were conditioned before the analysis for 30 min at 37°C. Determinations were performed with a commercial portable electric nose PEN2 (Airsense Analytics, Milano, Italy) composed of an array of 10 temperature-modulated metal-oxide sensors (MOS), a sampling system, a data acquisition system, and a data processing system according to the method reported by Sado et al. [27].

Physical analyses: colour and texture

Surface colour was measured using a colour-spectrophotometer mod. Colorflex (Hunterlab, USA). Colour was measured using the
CIE Lab scale and Illuminant D65. The instrument was calibrated with a white tile (L* 98.03, a* -0.23, b* 2.05) before the measurements. Results were expressed as L* (luminosity) and a* (red index); numerical values of a* and b* were converted into hue angle (h°), according to the following equations [28]

\[ h° = \frac{\tan^{-1}(b*/a*)}{2\pi} \times 360 \]

At each storage time, 21 readings were obtained for each sample from the seven packages, measuring three slices for each package.

Firmness measurement was performed at room temperature (20 ± 2°C), about 1 h after removing the samples from the refrigerated room. Penetration tests were carried out by measuring the maximum force generated during penetration of a 6 mm diameter stainless steel cylinder for 6 mm into the apple slice tissue, using a Texture analyser mod. HD500 (Stable Micro Systems, Surrey, UK) equipped with a 50 kg load cell. Test speed was 0.5 mms⁻¹ and data were expressed in kilograms (kg). At each storage time, 21 tests were performed for each sample from the seven packages, measuring three slices for each package.

### Statistical analysis

All the data obtained are the meaning of three repetitions.

The yeast cell load data were modelled according to the Gompertz equation, modified by Zwietering, Jongenburger, Lombouts and Van 'T Riet [29]:

\[ y = K + A \cdot \exp\left(-\exp\left(\mu_{\max} \cdot e/A \cdot (L-t) + 1\right)\right) \]

where

- \(k\): initial level of yeast (log CFU ml⁻¹);
- \(A\): maximum cellular density increase with respect to the initial cell load (k) (log CFU ml⁻¹);
- \(\mu_{\max}\): maximum specific growth rate (log (CFU/ml) days⁻¹);
- \(\lambda\): latency time (lag time) (days);
- \(t\): is the time

The experimental data were modelled through the Non Linear Regression Procedure of the statistic package Statistica for Windows (Statsoft, Tulsa, OK).

The spoilage threshold (6 log CFU g⁻¹) can be defined as the sum of k, corresponding to the initial level of yeast after sample packaging, and A, corresponding to the maximum cellular density increase with respect to initial cell load (k).

For each sample, microbiological, volatiles and EN data were the average of three different samples of three independent experiments.

The significance of the differences among the Gompertz parameters in relation to the dipping conditions, was evaluated using ANOVA followed by LSD test at p<0.01. The same statistical approach was employed to evaluate the significance of the differences recorded in the time (d) necessary to reach the cell load of 6.0 log CFU mL⁻¹, chosen as spoilage threshold.

The quantitative data obtained from metabolites determinations and EN were used to build up a single matrix, which was submitted to a two-way hierarchical clustering analysis. A heat map, visualizing metabolite concentrations, was obtained; in the map the values are represented by cells coloured according to the Z-scores, where:

\[ Z = (\text{observed value-average})/\text{standard deviation} \ [30]. \]

### Results and Discussion

#### Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) evaluation

The MICs and the MBCs of the citral, hexanal, 2-(E)-hexenal, citron EO and carvacrol against *Listeria monocytogenes* Scott A, *Escherichia coli* 555, *Salmonella enteritidis* E5, *Staphylococcus aureus* F1, and *Bacillus cereus* SV 90 were assessed after incubation at 37°C, with three levels of the target microorganisms (Table 1). Pronounced differences in the MICs and MBCs were observed in relation to the substances, the species and the inoculum level considered. Citron oil exhibited the lowest antimicrobial activity with respect to the other molecules studied, showing, with few exceptions, MIC and MCB values higher than 1000 mg L⁻¹ independently from the species and the inoculation level. Only *L. monocytogenes* and *S. aureus* inoculated at levels of 10⁵ CFU mL⁻¹ had MIC values of 500 and 800 mg L⁻¹, respectively. Belletti et al. [21] showed a reduced effects of this oil used at a concentration ranging between 300 and 600 mg L⁻¹ on Gram-negative species, such as *S. enteritidis* and *E. coli* deliberately inoculated in salad fruit in syrup, but a marked inhibition toward the Gram-positive pathogen *L. monocytogenes*.

Citril showed a low antimicrobial effectiveness against the Gram-negative species considered, being the MIC values always higher than 1500 mg L⁻¹ independently on the inoculation level. On the contrary, Gram positive species had MIC values ranging between 250 and 700 mg L⁻¹ as a function of the initial inoculation level. The effect of inoculation level is particularly evident for *B. cereus* and *S. aureus*, whose MICs and MBCs decreased from 700 and 550 mg L⁻¹ to 250 and 300 mg L⁻¹ in cultures of 10⁶ and 10⁵ CFU mL⁻¹ respectively. The needed concentrations to obtain MICs and MBCs at high cell levels were in these cases about doubled compared with those needed to reach the same result at the lower cell level. The influence of the initial inoculum on MICs and MBCs was evident also in the presence of hexanal and 2-(E)-hexenal showing highest efficacy against *E. coli* and *S. enteritidis* while they were quite ineffective against the other target microorganisms. This different response of Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria is already reported in the literature. Gram-negative bacteria are generally more resistant to many compounds due to the outer membrane, which acts as an efficient permeability barrier against macromolecules and hydrophobic substances as well as to the high content in cyclopropane fatty acids of the inner membrane [31]. If enough hydrophilic, low molecular mass molecules seem to be more efficient in passing through these barriers and they may have access, throughout porin proteins, to the deeper parts of Gram-negative bacteria without any alteration to the permeability of the outer membrane. On the contrary, carvacrol showed the highest efficacy both against considered Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria having MIC values ranging between 175 and 200 mg L⁻¹ for *S. Enteritidis* and *E. coli* and 175-275 mg L⁻¹ for *L. monocytogenes*, *B. cereus* and *S. aureus*. The results showed values of MIC and MCB relatively high due to the optimal microbial growth conditions, and to the high inoculation levels used and not compatible with the product sensorial properties. However, for apple slices treatment, concentrations significantly lower with respect to MIC values were used, taking into consideration the real contamination level (pathogens were absent in 25 g of products) of the product with pathogenic species, the more stringent conditions of the...
Effects of citron EO and natural antimicrobials on the shelf-life of apple slices

During refrigerated storage, the growth of Lactic Acid Bacteria (LAB) and yeasts was evaluated because they are the main microbial groups involved in fresh-cut fruit spoilage. In fact, for these commodities the pH value, the sugar content and the C/N ratio favour the growth of LAB, yeast and moulds. However, the increased respiration rate of the fresh-cut fruit tissue caused by endogenous wounding response rapidly consumes a great part of the oxygen present in the packages, creating an environment not suitable for the growth of aerobic moulds. The Gompertz parameters recorded for yeasts are shown in Table 2. Immediately after apple packaging, the yeast levels were under the detection limit, independently of the presence of the test compounds; for this reason K values were not reported. Yeasts showed a significant (p<0.01) higher growth rate in the control sample compared to the other samples, reaching cell loads of 6.0 log CFU g⁻¹ after about 12 d.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Listeria monocytogenes</th>
<th></th>
<th>Escherichia coli</th>
<th></th>
<th>Salmonella enteritidis</th>
<th></th>
<th>Bacillus cereus</th>
<th></th>
<th>Staphylococcus aureus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cells concentration</td>
<td>6 log CFU mL⁻¹</td>
<td>6 log CFU mL⁻¹</td>
<td>6 log CFU mL⁻¹</td>
<td>6 log CFU mL⁻¹</td>
<td>6 log CFU mL⁻¹</td>
<td>6 log CFU mL⁻¹</td>
<td>6 log CFU mL⁻¹</td>
<td>6 log CFU mL⁻¹</td>
<td>6 log CFU mL⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIC/MBC</td>
<td>L-1) MIC 24h (mg L⁻¹) MBC (mg L⁻¹)</td>
<td>L-1) MIC 24h (mg L⁻¹) MBC (mg L⁻¹)</td>
<td>L-1) MIC 24h (mg L⁻¹) MBC (mg L⁻¹)</td>
<td>L-1) MIC 24h (mg L⁻¹) MBC (mg L⁻¹)</td>
<td>L-1) MIC 24h (mg L⁻¹) MBC (mg L⁻¹)</td>
<td>L-1) MIC 24h (mg L⁻¹) MBC (mg L⁻¹)</td>
<td>L-1) MIC 24h (mg L⁻¹) MBC (mg L⁻¹)</td>
<td>L-1) MIC 24h (mg L⁻¹) MBC (mg L⁻¹)</td>
<td>L-1) MIC 24h (mg L⁻¹) MBC (mg L⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citral</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2- (E)-hexenal</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>&gt;1500</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>&gt;1500</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>1400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexanal</td>
<td>&gt;1500</td>
<td>&gt;1500</td>
<td>&gt;1500</td>
<td>&gt;1500</td>
<td>&gt;1500</td>
<td>&gt;1500</td>
<td>&gt;1500</td>
<td>&gt;1500</td>
<td>&gt;1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citron oil</td>
<td>&gt;1200</td>
<td>&gt;1200</td>
<td>&gt;1200</td>
<td>&gt;1200</td>
<td>&gt;1200</td>
<td>&gt;1200</td>
<td>&gt;1200</td>
<td>&gt;1200</td>
<td>&gt;1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carvacrol</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) of citral, 2- (E)-hexenal, hexanal, citron oil and carvacrol against L. monocytogenes, E. coli, S. enteritidis, B. cereus and S. aureus in relation to the inoculum level.
higher cell concentrations can result in a visible blowing of the package. All the tested molecules, alone or in combination, significantly delayed the yeast growth (p<0.01). However, the most effective substances were hexanal when used at 250 mg L⁻¹, citral and the mixture hexanal+ (E)-hexenal, both used at 125 mg L⁻¹. Hexanal delayed the reaching of the spoilage threshold of about 10 d with respect to the control (Table 2), while the yeast cells in samples dipped with citral and the mixture of the two aldehydes never reached that limit. A similar trend has been shown by LAB although their growth was delayed with respect to yeasts (data not shown). In fact, after 14 d of storage at 6°C LAB reached levels of 5.0 log CFU g⁻¹ only in the control samples, while in the other samples the LAB cell loads ranged between 1.80 and 2.30 log CFU g⁻¹. Moreover these molecules determined the naturally occurring spoilage species, also when deliberately inoculated with yeasts.

In order to evaluate the effect of the compounds taken into consideration on the volatile molecule profiles as a function of storage time, the samples were analyzed by means of GC/MS-SPME and electronic nose. Although only the most significant molecules were reported in Table 3, the GC/MS-SPME allowed the identification of 45 molecules belonging to different chemical classes and provided specific volatile fingerprinting in relation to the antimicrobial agent used and to the storage time advancement. The supplemented antimicrobials and their detoxification greatly affected the volatile profile composition (Table 3). Actually, neral, geranial, nerol and geraniol characterized the samples supplemented with citral and citral in combination with citron oil. The latter sample showed the presence of high levels of limonene and terpinene, linalyl butyrate, β-mircene. The samples treated with citral was characterized also by citronellol acetate and β-citronellol while hexanal, (E)-hexenal, hexanal, and acetic acid hexyl esters were detected in the samples supplemented with hexanal and 2-(E)-hexenal. This sample showed a remarkable abundance (in term of peak area) of 2-hexen-1-ol, acetate. Hexanal and 2-(E)-hexenal showed higher levels in the control samples with respect to the samples treated with the same molecules indicating that their supplementation fastened the detoxification mechanisms adopted by tissues and naturally occurring microorganisms (Table 3). On the other hand, it has been demonstrated also for other aldehydes such as neral and geraniol their reduction into nerol and geraniol as the first step of citral biotransformation by Penicilli into lower toxicity compounds [34]. Patrignani et al. [26] showed the increase during storage of such alcohols in fruit juices supplemented with citral over the storage; the authors attributed this phenomenon to the detoxifying mechanisms of spoilage yeasts. A similar detoxifying mechanism, i.e. reduction to the respective alcohols, was shown for six carbon aliphatic aldehydes. The samples supplemented with citron EO showed the presence of high amounts of monoterpenes and oxygenated monoterpenes, whose presence is well documented in citron EO. Carvacrol and thymol methyl ether were the main volatile molecules detected in the GC/MS-profiles of the samples added with carvacrol. A multivariate analysis using a heat map was performed in order to identify the molecules able to significantly contribute to the statistical discrimination among the samples, and five small clusters were obtained. The heat map underlined the role of the EO or the natural antimicrobials in grouping the samples (Figure 1). In particular, the sample submitted to the treatment with citron EO+carvacrol and stored up to 5 d grouped together (Cluster 2) and

![Table 2: Gompertz parameters of yeast cell load dynamic equations in apples, stored at 6°C, in relation to the applied dipping.](image-url)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>μ_{max}</th>
<th>λ</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>Time (days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>7.55</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>12.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citron oil + citral</td>
<td>6.54</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>15.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexanal + 2-(E)-hexenal</td>
<td>5.40</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citral</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citral + Hexanal</td>
<td>8.30</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>7.53</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>17.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexanal</td>
<td>6.97</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>22.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citral + 2-(E)-hexenal</td>
<td>7.62</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>8.56</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>21.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citron oil + Carvacrol</td>
<td>7.74</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>10.90</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>16.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\* Control was washed only with dipping solution (1% citric acid + 0.5% ascorbic acid)
\* Concentration employed 125 mg L⁻¹ each.
\* Concentration employed 125 mg L⁻¹ each.
\* Concentration employed 250 mg L⁻¹ each.
\* Concentration employed 250 mg L⁻¹.
\* Concentration employed 125 mg L⁻¹.
\* Concentration employed 250 mg L⁻¹ each.
\* Concentration employed 125 mg L⁻¹ each.
\* Concentration employed 200 mg L⁻¹ citron oil and 50 mg L⁻¹ carvacrol.

**Table 2:** Gompertz parameters of yeast cell load dynamic equations in apples, stored at 6°C, in relation to the applied dipping.
α-terpinene, limonene and p-cymene contributed to the grouping. Hexanal clearly contributed to the formation of cluster 3 that grouped the samples added with hexanal immediately after packaging and after 10 d of storage; the sample supplemented with the mixture hexanal+2-(E)-hexenal immediately after packaging as well as the sample supplemented with citral+2-(E)-hexenal immediately after packaging and after 10 d of storage. This cluster was characterized by the presence of 2-(E)-hexenal, hexanal and ethyl acetate. The samples supplemented with citral+citron and stored for 10 d showed the highest similarity to the controls analysed immediately after the packaging. (Cluster 1) comprised the samples supplemented with hexanal and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>Control a</th>
<th>Citron oil +Citral a</th>
<th>Hexanal+ 2-(E)-hexenal c</th>
<th>Citral a</th>
<th>Citral +Hexanal a</th>
<th>Hexanal b</th>
<th>Citral + 2-(E)-hexenal a</th>
<th>Citron oil Citral b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>34.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic acid, isobutyl ester</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic acid, butyl ester</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-butanol, 2-methyl-acetate</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic acid, hexyl acetate</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>235.1</td>
<td>460.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Hexen-1-ol, acetate</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butanolic acid methyl esters</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citronellol acetate</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linalyl butyrate</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Esters</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>282.4</td>
<td>489.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexane</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-myrcene</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limonene</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Terpine</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carynne</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-pinene</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thymol methyl ether</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Hydrocarbons</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neral</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geraniol</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carvacrol</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-citronellol</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>43.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexanol</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Alcohols</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>63.7</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexanal</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neral</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geraniol</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-(E)-hexanal</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Aldehydes</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>63.7</td>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>85.3</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total metabolites</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>138.1</td>
<td>1101.0</td>
<td>2036</td>
<td>2596</td>
<td>1274</td>
<td>3393</td>
<td>5148</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Control was washed only with dipping solution (1% citric acid + 0.5% ascorbic acid)

b Concentration employed 125 mg L⁻¹ each.

c Concentration employed 125 mg L⁻¹ each.

Table 3: Volatile aroma compounds (expressed as Area %) detected in apples treated with different dipping solutions during the storage time at 6°C.
After four d of storage, among investigated treatments, citral+citron oil+carvacrol immediately modified the sensory impact of the product. In this regard, the human eye can recognize ∆L* differences higher than three units; the L* values, with a progressively decreasing trend until the end of the experiment, as a consequence of enzymatic browning advancement. According to Fletcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. According to Fletcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. According to Fletcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. According to Fltcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. According to Fltcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. According to Fltcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. According to Fltcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. According to Fltcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. According to Fltcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. According to Fltcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. According to Fltcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. According to Fltcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. According to Fltcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. According to Fltcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. According to Fltcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. According to Fltcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. According to Fltcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. According to Fltcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. According to Fltcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. According to Fltcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. According to Fltcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. According to Fltcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. According to Fltcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. According to Fltcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. According to Fltcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. According to Fltcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. According to Fltcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. According to Fltcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. According to Fltcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. According to Fltcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. According to Fltcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. According to Fltcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. According to Fltcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. According to Fltcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. According to Fltcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. According to Fltcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. According to Fltcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. According to Fltcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. According to Fltcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. According to Fltcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. According to Fltcher [36], the achromatic component of fresh-cut apples colour, corresponding to a decrease of L* in the range of 1.5-3.5 units. After four d of storage, among investigated treatments, citral+citron...
EO, citral+2-(E)-hexenal, citral+hexanal and citral 250 mg L⁻¹ evidenced the highest levels of L*, showing a positive effect on the inhibition of L* decrease. Treatments with hexanal 250 mg L⁻¹, citral 250 mg L⁻¹, citral+citron EO and citral+2-(E)-hexenal caused an immediate increase on the red index (a*) of apple slices colour (Figure 3b). While hexanal+2-(E)-hexenal, citron EO+carvacrol and citral+hexanal samples showed initial values of a* very similar to control sample. After two d of storage, all treated samples showed significantly higher values of a* compared to the control, reaching similar values at the fourth d of refrigeration. Among investigated treatments, during the second part of storage, citral+hexanal permitted to maintain the lowest a* values on apple slices surface. As far as hue angle (h°) (Figure 3c), treatments with citrus EO+carvacrol and citral+hexanal seemed not to influence this parameter, but after two d of storage control sample showed a h° value very similar to the initial one, while all treated samples evidenced a fast decrease in the first part of the storage period. From the fourth d to the end of the experiment, the decreasing trend of h° was very similar for all the samples investigated excluded sample citral+hexanal, that showed the highest h° values after respectively height and ten d of storage.

The initial browning caused by citral is in accordance with the data of Belletti et al. [21] who observed citotoxic effect on apple slices in fruit salad in syrup, when the terpenic molecule was used at concentration of 125 mg L⁻¹. Probably in our experimental conditions, the negative effects of citral was reduced when in combination with hexanal. On the other hand, the positive effect of hexanal on apple colour maintenance has been already observed. To the conversion of hexanal to hexanol was attributed the key to understanding its effect on browning delay. In fact, the aliphatic alcohols are regarded as inhibitors of polyphenol oxidase [37]. As a consequence of dipping treatment, only sample citral 250 mg L⁻¹ showed higher value of firmness compared with the control, while the treatment with citral+citron EO caused the maximum softening. Citral 250 mg L⁻¹ effect was lost after just two d of storage (Figure 4).

As expected, generally during storage, apple slices firmness decreased for all samples investigated in a very similar way. Among them, only the sample hexanal+2-(E)-hexenal maintained higher firmness values compared with the control for all the storage period investigated.

Conclusions

The effectiveness of citron oil and natural antimicrobial compounds to delay the spoilage agents of minimally processes apples was demonstrated in this research. The sole use of the antimicrobials considered delayed the reaching of the yeast spoilage threshold in a range of 3-10 d with respect to the controls. Among the tested conditions, citral and hexanal+2-(E)-hexenal were the most effective to inhibit the yeast growth that did not attain the spoilage threshold within 21 d of storage and to maintain the texture and colour parameters during the prolonged storage. Although all the compounds used determined a specific GC/MS-SPME volatile molecule profile, they did not affect the electronic nose profiles of the samples that clustered mainly on the basis of storage time. Exceptions were represented by the samples added with citral or hexanal stored for 3 d that clustered with 10 d-stored samples, demonstrating that during this storage time no significant modifications appeared in the electronic nose profiles of these samples. Physical analysis results showed that generally until fourth-seven d of storage control sample better maintained its initial
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