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Editorial

The history of anesthesiology can be characterized by plateaus of progressive evolution, punctuated by moments of sudden revolution. Ancient Greek lore recounts the use of deliriant herb brew of mandragora and Datura by the goddess Circe. From India, we receive descriptions of Sushruta’s cannabis vapors. China brought acupuncture and Hua Tu’s Mafeisan-wine cocktail. Despite many centuries, the techniques of anesthesia did not see any more significant progress, until the momentous day of October 16, 1846. Within the operating theatre of the prestigious Massachusetts General Hospital, Mr. William T.G. Morton ushered the modern science and discipline of anesthesiology through the successful administration to a surgical patient of the newly developed inhalation agent called ether. That event not only captivated the public imagination, but also clearly christened the new era of modern anesthesiology that would revolutionize surgery and all of medicine [1]. The medical subspecialty of anesthesiology has since achieved remarkable success and proliferation with more than 120,000 anesthesia professionals today in the United States alone. The expanding scope of anesthetic techniques has allowed for the development of continual development of surgical techniques that are not only of ever increasing invasiveness, but also increasing precision and delicacy. Most remarkably, the pioneering efforts of anesthesia safety science has resulted in a significant decrease in mortality in the last 20 years alone and remains to this day the only medical specialty that has achieved mortality improvements by multiple orders of magnitude [2]. These remarkable triumphs of anesthesiology are a cumulative result of synergy between scientific advancement, technological progress, human factors, and rigorous professional standards of practice. Despite these achievements, emerging evidence is presenting yet another call to even further improve the practice of anesthesiology. New studies are demonstrating the potential for profound long-term effects to patients, despite relatively fleeting exposure to anesthesia. Firstly, anesthesia can alter the brain and impact cognitive function in both negative and permanent ways, an effect that has significant implications in both the young and the old for different reasons [3]. Anesthesia is known to impact immune function in ways that are directly linked to the occurrence of surgical site infection and other post-operative infections [4,5]. Furthermore, the previously shocking suggestion that anesthesia can independently influence the rates of recurrence and metastasis in various cancers has continued to gather increasingly more robust evidence [2]. Finally, within the current epidemic of opioid misuse [6] special attention must be given for comprehensive anesthetic strategies of perioperative pain management in patients suffering with chronic pain syndrome [7], and it can be utilized to mitigate the development of chronic pain syndromes [8]. The convergence of these new findings challenges the field and practitioners of anesthesiology to continue to pursue that path of continuous evolution and revolution that has been a hallmark of our field. Conventional anesthesia practice features the universal checklist, protocols, and guidelines that are rigorously adopted by individual anesthesiology professionals [9]. Often based on random controlled, prospective studies, this standardization of practice helps to ensure that the majority of patients receive high quality and consistent anesthesia care, but it may be ineffective and potentially inappropriate for a minority of patients. While not diminishing improvements in quality, reliability, and safety that result from standardization of practice, the pitfall is a potential for rigidity that does not take into consideration individual variation between even normal individuals. As a striking example, it is well established that opioid metabolism can vary as much as 100-fold between individual due to different genetic makeup, which can significantly affect the anesthesia and analgesia management of these patient [10]. Thus far, more than 535 pain genes have also been identified [11] which are further personalized at epigenetic, transcriptional, and protein level [12]. Unfortunately, this crucial information is largely unnoticed within the field of anesthesiology, and its potential for clinical use is yet to be scientifically explored and studied. There is currently an explosion in “omics” science, offering a more refined understanding of genes (genomics), mRNA (transcriptomics), proteins (proteomics) and metabolites (metabolomics). This offers anesthesiology, and indeed all of medicine, an unprecedented challenge as well as opportunity to begin imagining the future of precision medicine, where individual characteristics of “personomics” become an integral anesthetic consideration and practice [13]. Precision anesthesia begins with precision delivery, which is the most fundamental and the essential component of precision medicine [14]. In anesthesiology, we presently have numerous opportunities, moving away from opioid-intensive general anesthetics to individualized opioid-sparing regimens; leveraging regional anesthetic techniques to deliver anesthetics in a precise manner. By utilizing this minimally invasive anesthesia [15], we can also minimize the amount of opioids and inhalation anesthesia agents, which are important to a large number of perioperative patients. For example, in the realm of geriatric medicine, emergent hip fracture surgeries continue to rise with a high rate of mortality, but through minimizing anesthetics and opioids, we can decrease postoperative complications such as delirium, pneumonia, and myocardial infarctions and improve functional recovery [16]. Additionally, the emerging convergence between the pathway based Perioperative Surgical Home (PSH) and individual based point-of-care (POC) genetic test allow us to reconcile the vital differences between the evidence-based standardization and individualized medicine that is indeed unique to each person in their “Omics”, psycho-social-living environments as well as lifestyles [17,18]. Granted, the “Omics” fields are still in their infancy, with many puzzles that are yet to be solved, as well as many technologies yet to be developed and tested, but the paradigm shift in our thinking and practice can begin now.
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