Predicting Therapeutic Results of Interferon Based Treatment by Modeling the First Week Viral Kinetics in Patients with Chronic Hepatitis C
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Abstract

Background: The present study aimed to develop an optimal model predictive of the treatment response one week after initiating antiviral therapy.

Patients and Methods: In all, 166 patients completed the treatment and were followed up for six months.

Results: A viral response was achieved in 14 (8.4%) genotype 1 (G1) and 32 (19.3%) non-genotype 1 (G0) patients at week one after initiation of therapy and 45 (27.1%) G1 and 90 (54.2%) G0 patients at week four. A sustained viral response (SVR) was achieved in 50 (30.1%) G1 and 83 (50.0%) G0 patients, in 13 (29.5%) G1 and 31 (70.5%) G0 patients whose antiviral response was achieved at one week, and in 37 G1 (32.2%) and 78 G0 (67.8%) patients whose antiviral response was achieved at four weeks. The HCV viral kinetic parameter \( \pi \) (i.e., \( \frac{1}{\alpha} \)), defined as the area under the line \( y \) [viral load]=– \( ax[t]\) between week 0 and week 1) was found to be the best performing test for predicting SVR (p<0.0001). Regression analysis identified \( \pi \) as the only independent predictor of SVR (p=0.043).

Conclusions: The use of bio-mathematical models of HCV kinetics can reliably predict SVR one week after starting therapy.
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Abbreviation

HCV: Hepatitis C Virus; HCC: Hepatocellular Carcinoma; EG-IFN: Pegylated interferon-α; RBV: Ribavirin; SVR: Sustained Virological Response; ROC: Receiver operating Curve; AUROC: Area Under the ROC Curve; BMI: Body Mass Index; G1: Genotype 1; G0: Non-Genotype 1; ALT: Alanine Aminotransferase

Background

The chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) epidemic affects approximately 170 million people worldwide [1]. Infection may lead to end stage liver diseases, such as liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) [2], inevitably increasing the burden of HCV-related morbidity and mortality. Therefore, antiviral therapy is a matter of the utmost importance. The current standard-of-care therapy for chronic hepatitis C (i.e., once weekly pegylated interferon-α [PEG-IFN] plus daily oral ribavirin [RBV] for 24 to 48 weeks [3]) has improved the sustained virological response (SVR) rate. Although the therapeutic effectiveness of antiviral therapy against HCV infection has improved, the relapse rate and nonresponse rate still remain high. Furthermore, current antiviral treatment still has adverse effects. Thus, it is important to predict therapeutic efficacy earlier in the treatment phase. One study suggested that the decay of HCV RNA 48 hours after therapy is an independent predictor of SVR [4]. Accordingly, mathematical models have been developed to describe HCV kinetics during therapy [5-7]. Furthermore, several studies using mathematical models of HCV infection indicate that the final slope of HCV-RNA decay represents the rate of infected cell loss [7] and that the typical pattern of HCV RNA decline during treatment is biphasic [8]. Mathematical models of virus infection were initially used to study the dynamics of human immunodeficiency virus infection [9,10] and later extended to the study of both HCV [8,9,11] and hepatitis B virus infections [12]. The observations of these studies indicate that host factors as well as viral factors are associated with rates of response to antiviral therapy. The aim of the current study was to identify determinants from a range of the viral-kinetic parameters that could be used to accurately predict SVR after one week’s antiviral therapy. The HCV-RNA level in sera from naïve patients infected with HCV was monitored frequently to determine viral kinetics during therapy.

Patients and Methods

From July 2009 to December 2010, this study enrolled 181 patients to receive antiviral therapy for chronic hepatitis C. Patients were eligible for the study if they were 18–75 years old, chronically infected with HCV without a history of treatment for HCV infection, and serum HCV RNA positive. Ten patients were excluded from the study owing to (1) loss to follow-up; (2) diagnosis of HCC at study entry; (3) other liver diseases; (4) other forms of viral hepatitis; and (5) insufficient data. Five patients dropped out due to the adverse effects of the therapy. The remaining 166 patients (106 males; 60 females; mean age: 56.6 ± 10.0 years old; 68 genotype 1 [G1] and 98 non-genotype 1 [G0] patients) completed the scheduled treatment and scheduled follow up for six months. Clinical information including
Statistical analysis

Continuous variables are expressed as means ± SD. Between-group differences in continuous variables were analyzed with the use of the Student’s t-test (with 95% confidence intervals) or the Mann-Whitney U test. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Stepwise logistic regression was used to identify predictors of SVR that might be confounders. Receiver operating curve (ROC) statistics were used for various HCV kinetic predictive model comparisons. The ROC curve (sensitivity plotted against 1–specificity) was constructed. The area under the ROC curve (AUROC) was considered a measure of how well a parameter distinguishes between two diagnostic groups and how well a diagnostic variable performs. The Youden index J (defined as max [sensitivity + specificity − 1]) represents the optimal cut-off point on the ROC curve and indicates a variable’s best performance [13]. Graphically, J is the maximum vertical distance between the ROC curve and the diagonal line. Ideally, the AUROC would have a value of 1. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression statistical models were implemented for the analysis of clinical factors (age, sex, BMI, baseline ALT, genotypes, and history of diabetes mellitus) and HCV kinetic factors predictive of SVR. SPSS version 14.0 software (SPSS Inc. USA) was used to analyze the data.

Results

Baseline characteristics of patients are shown in Table 1. An SVR was achieved in 133 patients (80.1%; G1: 50 [30.1%], G0: 83 [50.0%]). Among 46 patients (14 G1 and 32 G0) with a viral response at the end of the first week, 44 (13 [29.5%] G1 and 31 [70.5%] G0) but not 2 (1 G1 [50%] and 1 G0 [50%]) patients achieved an SVR. Among 135 patients (45 G1 and 90 G0) with a viral response at the end of the fourth week, 115 (37 G1 [32.2%] and 78 G0 [67.8%]) but not 20 (8 G1 [40%] and 12 G0 [60%]) patients achieved an SVR. Univariate analysis identified baseline ALT level (p=0.024), α (p<0.001), δ (p=0.022), and HCV RNA level at week 0 (p=0.001), week 1 (p<0.001), and week 4 (p<0.001) as significant predictors of SVR. Comparing different clinical parameters and HCV kinetic variables, ROC analysis identified π as the most powerful predictor of SVR (p<0.001, AUROC=0.74) (Table 2 and Figure 3).

Figure 1: The graph shows the HCV RNA kinetics one week after PEG-IFN + RBV treatment of chronic hepatitis C. The viral load at week 0 and week 1 is V0 and V1, respectively. W1=week 1. W0=week 0. α is the trapezoidal area under the line connecting (V0, W0) and (V1, W1), which is equal to ([(V0 – V1)(W1 – W0)]/2)+ V1(W1 – W0). π is the ratio 1: α.

Figure 2: The graph shows the HCV RNA kinetics one week after PEG-IFN + RBV treatment of chronic hepatitis C. The viral load at week 0 and week 1 is V0 and V1, respectively. W1=week 1. W0=week 0. α is the trapezoidal area under the line connecting (V0, W0) and (V1, W1), which is equal to ([(V0 – V1)(W1 – W0)]/2)+ V1(W1 – W0). π is the ratio 1: α.
Figure 3: Comparing ROC curves of different clinical factors and HCV kinetic parameters for predicting SVR. π is the best predictor. BMI, body mass index; DM, diabetes mellitus; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; Log W0, logarithm of the baseline HCV RNA level; Log W1, logarithm of the HCV RNA level at week 1; Log W4, logarithm of the HCV RNA level at week 4; ε, logarithm of the HCV RNA level at week 1 divided by the baseline level; δ, logarithmic decline of the HCV RNA level; π, the ratio 1: α; α, trapezoidal area under the line between week 0 and week 1.

The optimal cut-off point (the Youden index J) of the ROC curve of π was calculated as ≥0.2 (sensitivity=71.6%, specificity=68.8%, PPV=92%). Multivariate logistic regression analysis of the HCV kinetic factor (π) and clinical factors (age, sex, BMI, genotypes, baseline ALT level) identified π as the only independent predictor of SVR (p=0.043).

Table 2: Comparison of different clinical parameters and HCV kinetic variables for Predicting SVR based on area under the ROC Curve.

Discussion

The present study shows that HCV kinetic variables derived from bio-mathematical models can be used as predictors of SVR one week after starting therapy. The viral factors affecting the response to IFN-based therapy include genotype and viral load. Patients with a low pretreatment HCV RNA level are more likely to respond to therapy than those with a high pretreatment level. Furthermore, a larger proportion of patients with a rapid viral response (RVR) and negative viremia at week 4 (i.e., 60-72%) achieve SVR than those without an RVR [14]. Neumann and colleagues [6] showed that HCV RNA levels generally begin declining 7-10 hours after initiation of IFN therapy, which may mainly represent the time needed for IFN signal transduction and IFN stimulated gene expression. The above studies suggest that hepatitis C virus during antiviral treatment is highly dynamic, particularly in the initial phase of treatment.

Earlier monitoring of HCV RNA level after starting antiviral treatment to detect RVR (a potent predictor of SVR after four weeks of treatment) is an important guide to therapeutic strategies [15,16]. However, in our study, 20 patients (14.8%) with RVR did not achieve SVR.
indicating whether viral kinetic modeling has an important role in optimizing therapeutic strategies. However, a large population study is needed to overcome the limitation of small sample size and validate the results. Based on the extrapolation of kinetic data demonstrating HCV decline, we were able not only to measure HCV RNA level earlier (i.e., one week after initiating antiviral treatment) but also to test five determinants of HCV RNA decay right after initiating treatment. Theoretically, models of the dynamic response to HCV therapeutic strategies can be used to individualize and optimize therapy by predicting SVR. Our study is the first to specifically examine change in viral kinetics at week 1 after initiation of antiviral treatment. Based on this pioneer design, five HCV viral kinetics models were developed from the results of the first week’s treatment. Mathematical parameters in our study were estimated from HCV RNA levels. Among the five parameters mentioned above, π was defined as the ratio 1: α. In previous studies, integral calculus was used to calculate α [17,18] (the area between the line and the x-axis). Another method would be to divide the distance between week 0 and week 1 into a number of equal segments. The current study used the trapezoidal area (the sum of the areas of each segment of the trapezoid) to approximate the area under the curve. Multivariate logistic analysis identified π as the only independent predictor of SVR. The predictive capability of π was validated by ROC curve analysis and compared to that of other baseline parameters (such as viral load before treatment, gender, and age), previously studied for their effect on treatment response [19,20]. The optimal cut-off point of π was calculated as ≧0.2, which is located at the upper left corner of the ROC curve. Therefore when the ratio of the area under the straight line between the logarithm of the HCV RNA level at week 0 and that at week 1 is 0.2 or higher, SVR has a positive predictive value of 92%. The change in HCV RNA level is used herein as a predictor of therapeutic response because viral kinetics during antiviral therapy may reflect interactions between virus and host and thereby account for treatment outcome. Treatment failure or resistance to antiviral therapy can be due to viral or cellular factors. Neither HCV alone nor host factors can accurately predict SVR. Therefore viral kinetics during therapy may take into account both viral and host factors. Little data is available indicating whether viral kinetic modeling has an important role in the analysis of HCV-RNA decay after the initiation of antiviral therapy. A key strength of this study is its use of viral kinetics to estimate the initial viral decline during the first week’s treatment, to estimate viral elimination and the achievement of SVR, and to guide the adoption of different anti-HCV treatment strategies to optimize therapy.

Understanding and careful studies of HCV kinetics during antiviral treatment may help individualize and optimize combination therapy. In the current study, mathematical models provided detailed information on HCV RNA kinetics during the time of antiviral treatment. Our findings have important implications for predicting treatment outcomes and managing response-guided combination antiviral therapies. We speculated that the area under the line between week 0 and week 1 is inversely related to the SVR rate. In other words, the smaller the area, the higher the SVR rate. Therefore, the HCV RNA titer after one week of antiviral treatment may be a useful tool for optimizing therapeutic strategies. However, a large population study is needed to overcome the limitation of small sample size and validate the model.

SVR. Therefore, HCV RNA level might not be the only factor that determines a therapeutic response. Furthermore, HCV viremia neither correlates with the severity of liver disease nor predicts the therapeutic outcome of HCV infection. Therefore, viral kinetics after initiating antiviral treatment might be a predictor of the real world therapeutic response in most situations. Based on the extrapolation of kinetic data demonstrating HCV decline, we were able not only to measure HCV RNA level earlier (i.e., one week after initiating antiviral treatment) but also to test five determinants of HCV RNA decay right after initiating treatment. Theoretically, models of the dynamic response to HCV therapeutic strategies can be used to individualize and optimize therapy by predicting SVR. Our study is the first to specifically examine change in viral kinetics at week 1 after initiation of antiviral treatment. Based on this pioneer design, five HCV viral kinetics models were developed from the results of the first week’s treatment. Mathematical parameters in our study were estimated from HCV RNA levels. Among the five parameters mentioned above, π was defined as the ratio 1: α. In previous studies, integral calculus was used to calculate α [17,18] (the area between the line and the x-axis). Another method would be to divide the distance between week 0 and week 1 into a number of equal segments. The current study used the trapezoidal area (the sum of the areas of each segment of the trapezoid) to approximate the area under the curve. Multivariate logistic analysis identified π as the only independent predictor of SVR. The predictive capability of π was validated by ROC curve analysis and compared to that of other baseline parameters (such as viral load before treatment, gender, and age), previously studied for their effect on treatment response [19,20]. The optimal cut-off point of π was calculated as ≧0.2, which is located at the upper left corner of the ROC curve. Therefore when the ratio of the area under the straight line between the logarithm of the HCV RNA level at week 0 and that at week 1 is 0.2 or higher, SVR has a positive predictive value of 92%. The change in HCV RNA level is used herein as a predictor of therapeutic response because viral kinetics during antiviral therapy may reflect interactions between virus and host and thereby account for treatment outcome. Treatment failure or resistance to antiviral therapy can be due to viral or cellular factors. Neither HCV alone nor host factors can accurately predict SVR. Therefore viral kinetics during therapy may take into account both viral and host factors. Little data is available indicating whether viral kinetic modeling has an important role in the analysis of HCV-RNA decay after the initiation of antiviral therapy. A key strength of this study is its use of viral kinetics to estimate the initial viral decline during the first week’s treatment, to estimate viral elimination and the achievement of SVR, and to guide the adoption of different anti-HCV treatment strategies to optimize therapy.

Understanding and careful studies of HCV kinetics during antiviral treatment may help individualize and optimize combination therapy. In the current study, mathematical models provided detailed information on HCV RNA kinetics during the time of antiviral treatment. Our findings have important implications for predicting treatment outcomes and managing response-guided combination antiviral therapies. We speculated that the area under the line between week 0 and week 1 is inversely related to the SVR rate. In other words, the smaller the area, the higher the SVR rate. Therefore, the HCV RNA titer after one week of antiviral treatment may be a useful tool for optimizing therapeutic strategies. However, a large population study is needed to overcome the limitation of small sample size and validate the model.
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