Preparation and Characterization of Protein-loaded Lipid-polymer Hybrid Nanoparticles with Polycaprolactone as Polymeric Core Material
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Abstract

Lipid–polymer hybrid nanoparticles (LPNs) have emerged as a potent therapeutic nano-carrier alternative to liposomes and polymeric nanoparticles. In this work, lipid–polymer hybrid nanoparticles were prepared using polycaprolactone, phosphatidylcholine: glyceryl tripalmitate mixture and lysozyme as the polymer, lipids and model protein, respectively. Uniform nanoparticles with about 100 nm in size were obtained using the modified emulsification solvent evaporation method. The results indicated that LPNs showed higher encapsulation efficiency compared with naked polycaprolactone nanoparticles. According to the results of bioactivity assay, 63.86% bioactive lysozyme was recovered from the LPNs. These results indicated that modification of polycaprolactone nanoparticles with lipids could considerably increase the drug-delivery efficiency and LPNs had potential in the delivery of peptides and proteins.
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Introduction

Use of nanoparticle has shown great potential as novel drug delivery systems. The ability to deliver drugs more effectively and efficiently to the site of interest translates into less harmful systemic side effects and more beneficial therapeutic action [1]. Nanoparticulate delivery systems such as liposomes and polymeric nanoparticles (NPs) have been extensively developed for delivering a variety of bioactive molecules such as drugs, genes, proteins, and targeting ligands [2,3]. Among these delivery systems, liposomes are spherical lipid vesicles with a bilayer structure of natural or synthetic amphiphilic lipid molecules. Liposomes have been widely used as drug delivery vehicles because of their biocompatible, biodegradable, nontoxic, flexible, and non-immunogenic properties for systemic and local administration. Furthermore, the lipid materials are able to fuse with the cell membrane and enhance the cell internalization of liposomes. However, liposomes have several limitations from the viewpoint of physical and chemical stability, batch-to-batch reproducibility, sterilization and manufacturing scale-up [4,5]. On the other hand, polymeric nanoparticles possess high structural integrity afforded by the rigidity of the polymer matrix, and are thus inherently more stable than liposomes [6,7]. The limitations of polymeric NPs include use of toxic organic solvents in the production process, poor drug encapsulation for hydrophilic drugs, polymer cytotoxicity and polymer degradation [8].

The lipid–polymer hybrid NPs (LPNs) combining the positive attributes of both liposomes and polymeric NPs are increasingly being considered as promising candidates to carry therapeutic agents safely and efficiently [9,10]. LPNs are polymeric nanoparticles enveloped by lipid layers [11]. The inclusion of lipid coat enables the hybrid nanoparticles to encapsulate not only water-insoluble drugs, but also water-soluble drugs with greater encapsulation efficiency. LPNs exhibit high structural integrity, stability during storage, and controlled release capability attributed to the polymer core, and high biocompatibility and bioavailability owed to the lipid layer [12,13].

Polycaprolactone (PCL) is a widely used Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved polymer which has been used in the preparation of NPs. PCL is a biocompatible and biodegradable polymer which is non-toxic and has great permeability to several drugs. Degradation of PCL does not result in an acidic environment which might disrupt the structure and properties of proteins, unlike the other commonly used biodegradable polymers such as poly(lactide) (PLA) and poly(lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA) [14].

Among some of the technologies developed to prepare LPNs, the polymeric core and lipid shell are prepared separately using two independent processes; then the two components are combined by direct hydration, sonication, or extrusion to obtain the desired lipid polymer core structure in a two-step procedure. However, some limitations of this method are the technical complexity and less efficient processes of preparing both polymeric core and liposomes vesicles separately. To circumvent these problems, a relatively simple approach that combines the dual steps of the two-step method into a single step has been evaluated. Variations of the single-step method have been reported in the literature, including modified solvent extraction/evaporation and nanoprecipitation methods [15].

In this paper, we successfully devised and characterized LPNs for the delivery of proteins/peptides which can preserve their stability and release them in a controlled way. Lysozyme was used as a model protein. PCL was chosen as the polymeric core material due to its great biodegradability and biocompatibility; phosphatidylcholine (PC) and glyceryl tripalmitate were selected as lipids. The modified water1-in-oil-in-water2 (w1/o/w2) emulsion method was used to prepare the LPNs. The resulting LPNs were characterized for their physicochemical properties. Additionally, the enzyme activity of lysozyme was determined by using turbidimetric method.
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Experimental

Materials

Lysozyme, egg white was obtained from Vivantis (USA). Poly(ε-caprolactone) (PCL) (Mw=14 kDa) was purchased from Aldrich (USA). L-α-phosphatidylcholine (PC), glycerol tripalmitate and Pluronic F-127 were purchased from Sigma (USA). Dichloromethane (DCM) (99.9%, HPLC grade) and Micrococcus lysodeikticus (ATCC No. 4698) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Germany). All other chemicals used were analytical grade.

Preparation of lipid–polymer hybrid nanoparticle (LPNs)

The LPNs were prepared by a modified w1/o/w2 double-emulsification-solvent-evaporation method. Briefly, 100, 200 or 300 mg PCL and 45 mg PC/glycerol tripalmitate mixture were dissolved in 8 ml DCM:acetone mixture as organic solvents to form the oil phase, while 10 mg of lysozyme was dissolved in 1 ml aqueous Tween 80 or sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) solution to form the internal aqueous phase (w1). Next, the aqueous protein solution was emulsified in the organic solution by sonication for 2 min in an ice bath. The resultant nano-emulsion was poured into 10 ml 1% (w/v) Pluronic F-127 solution (w2) and was sonicated again for 5 min in an ice bath. Afterwards, the nano-emulsion was stirred overnight at room temperature to evaporate organic solvents, and the resultant NPs were collected by centrifugation using Vivaspin 20 centrifugal concentrator (Sigma-Aldrich, USA), washed with ultrapure water (MilliQ water) and lyophilized to obtain using Vivaspin 20 centrifugal concentrator (Sigma-Aldrich, USA), and was sonicated again for 5 min in an ice bath. The resultant nano-emulsion was poured into 10 ml 1% (w/v) Pluronic F-127 solution (w2) and was sonicated again for 5 min in an ice bath. Afterwards, the nano-emulsion was stirred overnight at room temperature to evaporate organic solvents, and the resultant NPs were collected by centrifugation using Vivaspin 20 centrifugal concentrator (Sigma-Aldrich, USA), washed with ultrapure water (MilliQ water) and lyophilized to obtain free flowing powder. Compositions used for LPNs are shown in Table 1.

Physicochemical characterizations of lysozyme-loaded LPNs

The size, polydispersity index and zeta potential of the LPNs in an aqueous suspension were measured by dynamic light scattering (DLS) using the Malvern Zetasizer (Malvern, UK); all measurements were taken at 25°C.

The drug encapsulation efficiency was determined from the ratio of the encapsulated lysozyme to the lysozyme initially added. The amount of lysozyme was determined by using microBCA protein assay reagent kit according to the instructions of the manufacturer [6,16]. All experiments were performed in triplicate. Background readings were corrected for using the supernatant of blank LPNs of a corresponding batch. The protein loading was determined from the ratio of the encapsulated protein amount to the whole LPNs mass (i.e. protein+polymer+lipid) [17]. The LPNs production yield was determined from Equation (1). Production yield (%)=(Total LPNs amount (mg))/(Total solid material amount (mg)) x100 (1).

Table 1: Compositions of lipid-polymer hybrid NPs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulation code</th>
<th>Internal aqueous phase</th>
<th>Amount of PCL (mg)</th>
<th>Amount of PC (mg)</th>
<th>Amount of glycerol tripalmitate (mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NPN1</td>
<td>5% SDS</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPN1</td>
<td>5% Tween 80</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPN2</td>
<td>5% SDS</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPN3</td>
<td>5% SDS</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPN4</td>
<td>5% SDS</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPN5</td>
<td>5% SDS</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPN6</td>
<td>5% SDS</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPN7</td>
<td>5% SDS</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPN8</td>
<td>5% SDS</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Estimation of bioactivity of lysozyme

Lysozyme activity was determined using the decrease in optical dispersion at 450 nm of a M. lysodeikticus suspension. Briefly, 0.2 mg/ml dispersion of M. lysodeikticus (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) was prepared in a 66 mM phosphate buffer pH 6.6. To 2.9 ml of this suspension, 0.1 ml of the lysozyme-containing solution from the encapsulation efficiency was added, and the decrease in time of the OD450 nm monitored. Enzyme activity was deduced from the slope of the curve [18].

Statistical analysis

Results were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD) from at least three separate measurements. A one-way analysis of variance followed by post hoc Tukey’s multiple comparison tests was used to assess statistical difference. All analyses were performed by SPSS for Windows statistical software version 11.5. Significance was established when the p value was less than 0.05.

Results and Discussion

Herein we investigate the feasibility of creating LPNs containing PCL and PC/glycerol tripalmitate mixture as the polymer and lipid constituents, respectively. The PCL is one of the most widely used biodegradable polymer in the preparation of nanoparticles due to its great biocompatibility and biodegradability properties [14]. However, it is not water soluble and this poses a significant challenge to encapsulating hydrophilic drugs into water-insoluble polymers efficiently. The hydrophobic ion-pairing (HIP) technique has attracted great interest in the field of water-soluble protein/polymer delivery [19]. With the HIP technique, it is possible to increase the liposolubility of the protein by the complex formed, thereby increasing the solubility of more protein/peptides in organic solvents, and allowing homogeneous mixing of the complex with water-insoluble polymers materials for encapsulating more protein/peptides [20]. Furthermore, for many proteins, this solution in organic solvents occurs with retention of their native structure and maintenance of their enzymatic activity [21]. In this study, lysozyme was combined with sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) to increase its lipophilicity. While 20.80% of encapsulation efficiency was obtained with 5% (w/v) Tween 80 as emulsifier in the inner aqueous phase, use of 5% (w/v) SDS solution as the inner aqueous phase caused a significant increase in the encapsulation efficiency of LPNs. Additionally, a significant decrease occurred in the size of the LPNs by using SDS as emulsifier for primary emulsification (Table 2). This decrease in particle size is believed to be due to more stable primary emulsion depending on using lysozyme: SDS complex in the inner aqueous phase. The stabilization effect led to the decrease in the size of LPNs.

Effects of PCL concentration on the size of LPNs were found to be similar for non-hybrid polymeric NPs. It was observed that, as the amount of PCL was increased from 100 mg to 300 mg, the size of LPNs decreased. The stabilization effect led to the decrease in the size of LPNs.

Table 2: Physicochemical characterization of lipid-polymer hybrid NPs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulation code</th>
<th>Particle size (nm)</th>
<th>Polydispersity index</th>
<th>Zeta Potential (mV)</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NPN1</td>
<td>107.9 ± 0.40</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.001</td>
<td>-3.28 ± 0.19</td>
<td>68.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPN1</td>
<td>470.4 ± 22.80</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.048</td>
<td>-8.30 ± 0.34</td>
<td>91.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPN2</td>
<td>90.2 ± 0.24</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.013</td>
<td>-6.52 ± 0.082</td>
<td>85.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPN3</td>
<td>116.7 ± 1.84</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.007</td>
<td>-5.82 ± 0.31</td>
<td>85.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPN4</td>
<td>121.7 ± 2.66</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.014</td>
<td>-8.90 ± 0.18</td>
<td>73.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPN5</td>
<td>112.32 ± 0.30</td>
<td>0.095 ± 0.009</td>
<td>-10.9 ± 0.40</td>
<td>71.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPN6</td>
<td>117.1 ± 2.63</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.031</td>
<td>-10.5 ± 0.058</td>
<td>64.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPN7</td>
<td>110.43 ± 1.68</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.024</td>
<td>-21.77 ± 0.93</td>
<td>55.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPN8</td>
<td>124.53 ± 2.26</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.004</td>
<td>-17.33 ± 1.27</td>
<td>57.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
be explained on the basis of the viscosity of organic phase which was increased due to higher concentration of the PCL and caused larger droplets in the emulsion resulting in increased size. Similarly, the improved entrapment efficiency with higher amount of PCL could be explained on the basis of PCL viscosity in the organic phase (Figure 1a).

The PC:glyceryl tripalmitate lipid layer functions as a surfactant stabilizer of the w1/o/w2 emulsion, and subsequently functions as stabilizer of the NP suspension upon evaporation of the oil phase. Therefore, the optimal amount of lipid required to prepare the LPNs were determined. As shown in Table 2, the size of the naked PCL NPs (NPN1) was not different significantly from LPNs (p>0.05).

The results indicated that the size of LPNs were not significantly changed with increasing the ratio of PC:glyceryl tripalmitate (p>0.05). On the other hand, the PC:glyceryl tripalmitate ratio was found to influence encapsulation efficiency of LPNs. As shown in Figure 1b, higher PC:glyceryl tripalmitate ratios led to higher encapsulation efficiencies. Lipid layer acts as a molecular barrier that keeps the encapsulated substance inside the polymer during the encapsulation process, resulting in high encapsulation efficiency [20].

When concentration of PCL in organic phase was increased from 100 mg to 300 mg, the zeta potentials of LPNs were not significantly changed (p>0.05). However, the zeta potentials of LPNs were tended to decrease (more negative) with increasing the ratio of PC:glyceryl tripalmitate.

The production yield was defined as the mass of LPNs produced divided by the initial mass of the polymer and the lipid. According to Table 2, the production yield of range 55.25–91.36% in the LPNs formulations was obtained.

One of the major problems associated with solvent evaporation method is instability arising during particles formulation. The primary emulsion is produced by dispersing an aqueous solution of a protein into an immiscible organic solution of polymer. During this process, the protein is exposed at the interface to the organic solvent resulting its denaturation. Denaturation of proteins during lyophilization and during storage also decreases the potency of entrapped protein [21]. Thus, to determine effect of encapsulation process on the biological activity of lysozyme, bioactivity assay was carried out on the lysozyme extracted from LPNs by using turbidimetric method. According to the results of bioactivity assay, 63.86% bioactive lysozyme was recovered from the LPNs (Figure 2).

Conclusions

The lysozyme-loaded LPNs were successfully formulated by using PCL as hydrophobic polymeric core material. Physicochemical properties of LPNs were depended from concentration of PCL and ratio of PC:glyceryl tripalmitate. Additionally, preparation of LPNs succeeded to maintain the biological activity of the encapsulated proteins. Therefore, we believe that the LPNs prepared by using PCL are an attractive alternative to prepare peptide/protein delivery systems.
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