

## Production and Composition of Milk are affected by Multivariate Factors

Young W Park\*

Georgia Small Ruminant Research and Extension Center, Fort Valley State University, Fort Valley, GA 31030, USA

\*Corresponding author: Young W Park, Georgia Small Ruminant Research and Extension Center, Fort Valley State University, Fort Valley, GA 31030, USA, Tel: 478-827-3089; Fax: 478-825-6376; E-mail: parky@fvsu.edu

Received date: Sep 12, 2016; Accepted date: Sep 18, 2016; Published date: Sep 30, 2016

Copyright: © 2016 Park YW. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

### Description

Compositions of milk of mammalian species are affected by a variety of factors. Yield and composition of milk varies with species, diet, breed, season, locality, individual animals within breed, stage of lactation, parity, environmental conditions, feeding and management conditions, etc. [1-5]. The basic composition of goat milk is similar to that of cow milk. On the average, caprine milk contains 12.2% total solids, which is consisted of 3.5% protein, 3.8% fat, 4.1% lactose and 0.8% ash. Cow milk has lower protein, fat and ash, and higher lactose than goat milk does. In the milk production curve of ruminant species, it has been known that the total solids, fat, and protein contents of the milk are high in early lactation, fall rapidly and reach a minimum during the 2<sup>nd</sup> to 3<sup>rd</sup> months of lactation, and then increase towards the end of lactation. This phenomenon results in an inverse relationship between the amount of milk yield and concentration levels of these components in the milk [1,2,4]. There are no significant differences in levels of total solids and caloric values among cow, goat and human milks [2,4]. The marked difference exists in the proportion of energy derived from lactose, fat and protein. Fat, protein and lactose in cow and goat milks account for approximately 50, 25, 25% of the energy, while those in human milk contribute 55, 7, and 38% of the milk energy [4,6]. The most prominent difference in basic composition between cow (or goat) milk and human milk occurs in protein and ash contents [4]. Cow and goat milk have 3 to 4 times higher levels of the two components than in human milk, which is attributed to species specific and virtually related to growth rates of the new-born of respective species [4].

Nutrient content of the diet has an important effect on lactation performance, milk composition, digestion and metabolism of dairy animals. Hence, it is essential to determine requirements of each nutrient in the diet of lactating animals, especially in crude protein levels. In modern nutritional science, it has been shown that dietary nutrients either directly or indirectly can alter gene expression. Thus, nutrients of the diet can influence the expression of protein and signaling and metabolic status of cells, tissues, organs, as well as the entire organism [7]. The field of nutrition in monogastric animals has been revolutionized by the concept that food components can affect biological functions of body cells by interacting with transcriptome [8]. This premise also has the potential in application for the field of ruminant nutrition, including dairy cows for the aspect of efficiency and quality of milk production.

With the advent of artificial insemination and the introduction of cross breeding, dairy cow and small ruminant species such as goats and sheep have made significant improvement in milk production through cross breeding with high producing breeds within a same species. Expansion of dairy production in developing and underdeveloped countries has been constrained due to inadequate nutrition, disease, lack of support services and inadequate information

on how to improve animal breeding, marketing and processing. Non-bovine species such as buffalo, goat, sheep and mare have made significant contributions to the economy and wellbeing of many developing countries as source of meat, milk, fiber, hide and other animal products. However, the contributions of these species have been below their expected potentials in some countries due to prevalent livestock diseases, poor management system and poor genetic performance.

In global perspective, the sustainability of dairy production requires improvement in feed efficiency and reduction in loss of nutrients in the environment. When high quality forage diets are fed to ruminants, majority of dietary proteins are rapidly degraded. This situation releases 56 to 65% of dietary protein nitrogen (N) in the rumen by microbial fermentation and degradation [9]. Significant losses of the degraded dietary N into urine (25-35%) as urea occur after ammonia is absorbed through rumen wall [10]. This urea N is the main source of loss of volatile N to the environment [11]. Therefore, losses of dietary N can be prevented by reduction of protein degradation in the rumen. It has been found that condensed tannins (CT) in the forages can reduce ruminal protein degradation and can increase intestinal protein flow if moderate doses are included in the diet such as 20 to 40 g·kg<sup>-1</sup> CT in dry matter (DM) [12]. Since these condensed tannins are prevalent in many plants, they can be utilized in the ruminant diets.

Parasites have been one of the major villains causing substantial losses in production efficiency of small ruminant dairy species. Due to the widespread prevalence of resistance of gastrointestinal nematodes (GIN) to commercial synthetic anthelmintics, the use of these drugs alone has been no longer effective and sustainable method for long-term anthelmintic parasite control in small ruminants such as goats, sheep, llamas and alpacas [13,14]. The plant sericea lespedeza (*Lespedeza cuneata*) is known to be a warm-season low-input perennial legume which is well-adapted to the southern regions of the US. This legume has a potential as a natural, non-synthetic alternative to anthelmintics for parasite control in small ruminant, because of its high concentration of a unique type of condensed tannin [14]. The use of sericea lespedeza for natural parasite control agent in dairy and meat animals by either feeding fresh legume or dried (hay, leaf meal, pellets) forms has shown to have highly significant anthelmintic effect against both GIN and coccidia in goats and sheep, by exhibiting a great reduction of these parasites in small ruminant dairy species.

### References

1. Schmidt GH (1971) Biology of Lactation. Freeman and Co. San Francisco pp: 182-195.
2. Haenlein GFW, Caccese R (1984) Goat milk versus cow milk. In: Haenlein GFW, Ace DL (edr.) Extension Goat Handbook. USDA Publ., Washington DC. E-1 p: 1.

3. Park YW, Chukwu HI (1989) Trace mineral concentrations in goat milk from French-Alpine and Anglo-Nubian breeds during the first 5 months of lactation. *J Food Compos and Anal* 2: 161-169.
4. Park YW (2006) Goat Milk- Chemistry and Nutrition. In: *Handbook of Milk of Non-Bovine Mammals*. Y.W. Park and G.F.W. Haenlein, eds. Blackwell Publishers, Ames, Iowa and Oxford, England pp: 34-58.
5. Park YW, Marnet PG, Yart L, Haenlein GFW (2013) Mammary secretion and lactation. Chapter 3. In: *Milk and Dairy Products in Human Nutrition*. Y.W. Park and G.F.W. Haenlein, eds. Wiley-Blackwell Publishers, Oxford, UK pp: 31-45.
6. Park YW, Juárez M, Ramos M, Haenlein GFW (2007) Physico-chemical characteristics of goat and sheep milk. *Special Issue book on Goat milk and Sheep milk. Small Ruminant Res* 68: 88-113.
7. Bionaz M (2014) Nutrigenomics Approaches to Fine-Tune Metabolism and Milk Production: Is This the Future of Ruminant Nutrition?. *Adv Dairy Res* 2: e107.
8. Mutch DM, Wahli W, Williamson G (2005) Nutrigenomics and nutrigenetics: the emerging faces of nutrition. *FASEB J* 19: 1602-1616.
9. Yang SY, Ningrat RWS, Eun JS, Min BR (2015) Effects of Supplemental Virgin Coconut Oil and Condensed Tannin Extract from Pine Bark in Lactation Dairy Diets on Ruminal Fermentation in a Dual-flow Continuous Culture System. *J Adv Dairy Res* 2015 4: 160.
10. Min BR, McNabb WC, Barry TN, Peters JS (2000) Solubilization and degradation of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (EC 4.1.1.39; Rubisco) protein from white clover (*Trifolium repens*) and *Lotus corniculatus* by rumen microorganisms and the effect of condensed tannins on these processes. *J Agric Sci Camb* 134: 305-317.
11. Paul JW, Dinn NE, Kannangara T, Fisher LJ (1998) Protein content in dairy cattle diets affects ammonia losses and fertilizer nitrogen value. *J Environ Qual* 27: 528-534.
12. Min BR, Barry TN, Attwood GT, McNabb WC (2003) The effect of condensed tannins on the nutrition and health of ruminants fed fresh temperate forages: A review. *Anim Feed Sci Technol* 106: 3-19.
13. Terrill TH, Rowan AM, Douglas GB, Barry TN (1992) Determination of extractable and bound condensed tannin concentrations in forage plants, protein concentrate meals and cereal grains. *J Sci Food Agric* 58: 321-329.
14. Hoste H, Torres-Acosta JFJ, Quijada J, Chan-Perez I, Dakhill MM, et al. (2016) Interactions Between Nutrition and Infections With *Haemonchus contortus* and Related Gastrointestinal Nematodes in Small Ruminants. *Adv Parasitol* 93: 239-351.