

## Progress in Dairy Cattle Selection

De Mello F\*, Kern EL and Bertoli CD

Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agronomy, Brazil

### Abstract

Advances in animal selection have allowed greater genetic gains in milk production. These advances conducted to increase profitability due to higher productivity. In this short communication, different methods used in dairy cattle selection will be discussed. This brief history aims to report the first selection methods used; its progress and current perspectives of selection by genomic information.

**Keywords:** Marker-assisted selection; Breeding value; Molecular markers; Genomic selection

### Introduction

The current level of genetic improvement in dairy herds is the result of advances in methodologies able to identify genetically superior animals with greater efficiency. However, the traditional selection depends strongly on the number of phenotypic records to increase the accuracy of estimates. Thus, traits difficult to measure, such as those later expressed, limited to sex or of low heritability are more difficult to be improved [1,2]. From the bovine genome sequencing in 2009, a new breakthrough was possible in selection methodologies, mainly for production traits like mentioned above. Historically, new selection criteria (measured traits) were introduced into genetic evaluations to increase the accuracy of the estimations by identifying the effects affecting production traits.

Dairy cattle breeding followed the progress of selection methodologies. Initially, the herds were selected from group deviations to predict the animal breeding value [3]. Based on the methodology developed by Henderson in the mid-40 a large advancement was possible. Henderson, modifying the normal equations of the least squares method, developed the basis for the methodology of mixed models (MMM) [4]. From the advances in computer science, it was possible to implement sire model methodologies and, finally, an animal model that allowed solving the complex equations of the mixed models. The genetic evaluation using the animal model for estimates breeding value (EBV) has been widely accepted, and the model is currently being worldwide used in its various applications.

The advent of molecular biology techniques enabled to access genomic information allowing distinguishing individuals by differences in the nucleotide sequences, so-called molecular markers. Molecular markers associated with production traits enabled the selection of individuals without influence of the environment by marker-assisted selection (MAS).

Despite the progress afforded by MAS using this methodology it is still timely in breeding programs of dairy cattle due to most traits of interest are governed by a large number of loci. The use of a limited number of loci by this methodology can result in capture only part of the proportion of genetic variance, conditioned on small genetic gains [5]. Moreover, the complexity of the calculations involved the estimation of breeding values with incorporating of information molecular markers hampers the use of MAS [6].

A major turning point came from the final sequencing of the bovine genome, following the genomic sequencing of other species [7]. The

bovine genome project allowed the identification of ~35 million SNP (single nucleotide polymorphism) [8]. The SNP are scattered densely in the genome allowing the development of new methodologies based on dense panels of markers. Advances in genotyping technologies has enabled more effective implementation of the methodology proposed by Meuwissen in 2001 [9].

A new technology called genomic selection is revolutionizing dairy cattle breeding allows estimating breeding value (GEBV) based on the genotype of the hundreds of thousands of SNP densely distributed throughout the genome [10]. The genomic breeding values (GEBV) are predicted as the sum of effects of all markers [9]. Traditional selection methodologies are dependent on the phenotypic record of the animal and its offspring to estimate the breeding value accurately. In contrast, the genomic selection allows to estimate the genomic value of each individual without using phenotypic data, based only on the previously estimated SNP effect in a reference population [11]. The reference population is a herd with phenotype data, pedigree and genotypes known.

The efficiency of genomic selection versus traditional breeding programs has been reported in several studies, which suggests an increase of at least 50% in the annual genetic gain using genomic selection [12-14]. In addition, there is a decrease of around 92% in costs by not carrying of the progeny test [12].

The most common problem of genetic selection, particularly in dairy cattle is related to the intensive use of the best animals for a long period, leading to inbreeding. According to Daetwyler et al. [15], the genomic selection can reduce the inbreeding rates by generation compared to traditional BLU methodology, besides being used for identifying animals with lower degree of kinship, thus increasing the genetic diversity of certain populations with high inbreeding levels [16]. However, due to lower generation interval the use of genomic selection can increase inbreeding per year [17].

**\*Corresponding author:** De Mello F, Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agronomy, Brazil, Tel: +55 51 33086048; E-mail: [fernandade.mello@gmail.com](mailto:fernandade.mello@gmail.com)

**Received** December 12, 2013; **Accepted** January 27, 2014; **Published** January 29, 2014

**Citation:** De Mello F, Kern EL, Bertoli CD (2014) Progress in Dairy Cattle Selection. Adv Dairy Res 2: 110. doi: [10.4172/2329-888X.1000110](http://dx.doi.org/10.4172/2329-888X.1000110)

**Copyright:** © 2014 De Mello F, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Many barriers are found for the implementation of this promising methodology, such as the high cost to genotype the reference populations, ideal racial composition [18,19], adaptation of genetic evaluations to include genomic information, managing long-term gain with genomic selection and computational challenges [20]. Nevertheless, this new methodology has showed great impact, especially on dairy cattle, because it allows obtaining estimates of genomic value more accurately mainly in young animals [19] therefore reducing the generation interval considerably. Moreover, the genomic selection will enable to reduce the production costs through precocious selection; before the productive/reproductive age due to prediction of breeding values of animals without phenotypic information database.

## References

- Calus MPL, de Haas Y, Pszczola M, Veerkamp RF (2013) Predicted accuracy of and response to genomic selection for new traits in dairy cattle. *Animal* 7:183–191.
- Sørensen LP, Madsen P, Mark T, Lund MS (2009) Genetic parameters for pathogen-specific mastitis resistance in Danish Holstein Cattle. *Animal* 3: 647–656.
- Lush JL (1956) *Animal Breeding Plans*, Iowa State College Press, Ames, USA.
- Henderson CR (1984) *Applications of Linear Models in Animal Breeding* (3rd edn), University of Guelph, Guelph, ON.
- VanRaden PM, VanTassell CP, Wiggans, GR, Sonstegard TS, Schnabel RD, Taylor JF, Schenkel F (2009) Invited review: reliability of genomic predictions for North American Holstein bulls. *J Dairy Sci* 92: 16–24.
- Baruch E, Weller JI (2009) Incorporation of genotype effects into animal model evaluations when only a small fraction of the population has been genotyped. *Animal* 3: 16–23.
- Elsik CG, Tellam RL, Worley KC, Gibbs RA, Muzny DM, et al. (2009) The genome sequence of taurine cattle: a window to ruminant biology and evolution. *Science* 324: 522–528.
- Gibbs RA, Taylor JF, Van Tassell CP, Barendse W, Eversole KA, et al (2009) Genome-wide survey of SNP variation uncovers the genetic structure of cattle breeds. *Science* 324: 528–532.
- Meuwissen TH, Hayes BJ, Goddard ME (2001) Prediction of total genetic value using genome-wide dense marker maps. *Genetics* 157: 1819–1829.
- Hayes BJ, Bowman PJ, Chamberlain AJ, Goddard ME (2009) Invited review: Genomic selection in dairy cattle: progress and challenges. *J Dairy Sci* 92: 433–443.
- Weigel KA, Hoffman PC, Herring W, Lawlor TJ (2012) Potential gains in lifetime net merit from genomic testing of cows, heifers, and calves on commercial dairy farms. *J Dairy Sci* 95: 2215–2225.
- Schaeffer LR (2006) Strategy for applying genome-wide selection in dairy cattle. *J Anim Breed Genet* 123: 218–223.
- Pryce JE, Goddard ME, Raadsma HW, Hayes BJ (2010) Deterministic models of breeding scheme designs that incorporate genomic selection. *J Dairy Sci* 93: 5455–5466.
- Lillehammer M, Meuwissen TH, Sonesson AK (2011) A comparison of dairy cattle breeding designs that use genomic selection. *J Dairy Sci* 94: 493–500.
- Daetwyler HD, Villanueva B, Bijma P, Woolliams J A (2007) Inbreeding in genome-wide selection. *J Anim Breed Genet* 124: 369–376.
- Hayes B, Goddard ME (2010) Genome-wide association and genomic selection in animal breeding. *Genome* 53: 876–883.
- Van Marle-Köster E, Visser C, Berry DP (2013) A review of genomic selection - Implications for the South African beef and dairy cattle industries. *S Afr J Anim Sci* 43: 1–16.
- Brito FV, Neto JB, Sargolzaei M, Cobuci JA, and Schenkel FS (2011) Accuracy of genomic selection in simulated populations mimicking the extent of linkage disequilibrium in beef cattle. *BMC Genet* 12: 80.
- Van Grevenhof EM, Van Arendonk JA, Bijma P (2012) Response to genomic selection: The Bulmer effect and the potential of genomic selection when the number of phenotypic records is limiting. *Genet Sel Evol GSE* 44: 26.
- Tsuruta S, Misztal I (2008) Technical note: Computing options for genetic evaluation with a large number of genetic markers. *J Anim Sci* 86: 1514–1518.

Citation: De Mello F, Kern EL, Bertoli CD (2014) Progress in Dairy Cattle Selection. Adv Dairy Res 2: 110. doi: 10.4172/2329-888X.1000110

## Submit your next manuscript and get advantages of OMICS Group submissions

### Unique features:

- User friendly/feasible website-translation of your paper to 50 world's leading languages
- Audio Version of published paper
- Digital articles to share and explore

### Special features:

- 300 Open Access Journals
- 25,000 editorial team
- 21 days rapid review process
- Quality and quick editorial, review and publication processing
- Indexing at PubMed (partial), Scopus, EBSCO, Index Copernicus and Google Scholar etc
- Sharing Option: Social Networking Enabled
- Authors, Reviewers and Editors rewarded with online Scientific Credits
- Better discount for your subsequent articles

Submit your manuscript at: <http://www.omicsonline.org/submission/>