Quantitative and Functional Antibody Responses to the 13-Valent Conjugate and/or 23-Valent Purified Polysaccharide Vaccine in Aging HIV-Infected Adults

Jennifer A Ohtola1, Jessica L Saul-McBeth1, Anita S Iyer1, David J Leggat1, Sadik A Khuder1,4, Noor M Khaskhely1 and MA Julie Westerink1,2,3

1Department of Medicine, University of Toledo College of Medicine & Life Sciences, Toledo, Ohio
2Department of Pathology, University of Toledo College of Medicine & Life Sciences, Toledo, Ohio
3Department of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, University of Toledo College of Medicine & Life Sciences, Toledo, Ohio
4Department of Public Health and Preventative Medicine, University of Toledo College of Medicine & Life Sciences, Toledo, Ohio

Abstract

Background: The number of aging human immunodeficiency virus-infected (HIV+) individuals living in the United States has substantially grown over the past two decades. Advanced age and HIV infection both increase susceptibility to Streptococcus pneumoniae infection due to B cell dysfunction. The combined impact of these factors on pneumococcal vaccine responses remains unknown.

Methods: We assessed serum immunoglobulin (Ig) G and IgM levels and opsonophagocytic killing assay (OPA) titers to pneumococcal serotypes 14 and 23F in HIV+ subjects and HIV-uninfected (HIV−) controls 50-65 years old. HIV+ individuals with CD4+ T cell counts (CD4) ≥200 and ≥1 year of antiretroviral therapy (ART) received either a dose of the 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine followed by the 23-valent pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine 8 weeks later (PCV/PPV) as currently recommended (n=15) or a single dose of PPV only (n=22). HIV− controls received PCV/PPV (n=14).

Results: HIV+ PCV/PPV and PPV groups exhibited similar increases in IgG levels and OPA titers for both serotypes after immunization. Postvaccination IgM levels for serotype 23F, but not 14, were significantly higher in HIV+ PCV/PPV compared to PPV groups. IgG and IgM levels for serotype 14 and OPA titers to serotype 23F were significantly reduced in HIV+ compared to HIV− PCV/PPV groups. Serotype-specific IgG levels correlated with OPA titers for all groups.

Conclusions: Our data suggest that the recommended PCV/PPV regimen may not significantly improve quantitative or functional antibody responses compared to PPV only in aging HIV+ subjects. Continued efforts aimed at improving vaccine responses in this high risk population are warranted.
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Introduction

Streptococcus pneumoniae infections, including pneumonia and invasive pneumococcal disease (IPD), remain a significant cause of HIV-associated morbidity and mortality despite several clinical advances. Widespread pediatric pneumococcal immunization, due to indirect effects, and use of ART have resulted in substantial reductions in IPD incidence [1,2]. However, disease burden persists in HIV+ individuals despite higher CD4 counts and is 20-40 fold higher than in age-matched uninfected individuals [1,3]. Increased rates of recurrence and severe infections are also associated with HIV infection [4,5].

The population of aging HIV+ individuals has rapidly expanded due to the success of ART in reducing mortality combined with the increased rate of new diagnoses in older adults [6-8]. Evidence suggests age influences the course of HIV infection by accelerating the development of comorbidities and decreasing the duration of clinical latency in older patients [9,10]. An estimated one-half of HIV+ individuals living in the United States are now ≥50 years old [9]. Advanced age is a significant risk factor for pneumococcal disease in HIV+ and HIV− individuals [3,11]. Both aging and HIV infection contribute to B cell dysfunction, resulting in decreased responses to vaccination [9-12].

Recommendations for prevention of bacterial pneumonia in HIV+ adults include use of ART, smoking cessation, and vaccination against influenza and S. pneumoniae [13]. Prior vaccination guidelines for HIV+ adults in the United States recommend a single dose of PPV at diagnosis, followed by revaccination 5 years later, and again after age 65 [14]. However, the effectiveness of PPV in HIV+ adults has been a subject of debate [15,16]. Several factors impacting efficacy, including timing of vaccination and degree of immunocompromise, remain ill defined.

In an effort to improve protection against pneumococcal infection, PCV was added to the vaccination recommendations for adults with immunocompromising conditions. For HIV+ pneumococcal vaccine-naïve individuals and those vaccinated with a primary dose of PPV ≥5 years prior, the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) recommends a single dose of PCV followed by a dose of PPV at least 8 weeks later [14]. Immunogenicity studies conducted in HIV+...
adults have thus far, however, yielded inconsistent results regarding the superiority of PCV alone or in combination with PPV over the prior recommendation [17-23]. Thus, the potential value of PCV in the HIV+ population remains to be established.

The combined impact of immunosenescence and HIV infection on responses to pneumococcal vaccines may be an important consideration in the clinical management of older HIV+ adults. The goal of the current study was to compare quantitative and functional antibody responses generated from the recommended PCV/PPV regimen to a single dose of PPV in HIV+ adults 50-65 years old.

Methods

Design and study population

Volunteers 50-65 years old were recruited between April 2012 and January 2015 at the University of Toledo Medical Center. Written, informed consent was obtained from all subjects. The study was monitored and approved by the Institutional Review Board at the University of Toledo. Exclusion criteria included: active infection (except HIV), PPV <5 years prior, pregnancy, immunosuppressive medications, and history of cancer, autoimmune disease, bleeding disorders, immunoglobulin therapy, organ transplantation, splenectomy, and end stage renal or liver disease. Volunteers were questioned about any prior hospitalizations consistent with pneumococcal infection. Eligibility criteria for HIV+ participants were further defined as current CD4 >200, HIV viral load ≤400 copies/ml, and ART for ≥1 year. Adherence to ART was confirmed by patient's self-report and review of medical records. HIV– individuals received a single dose of PCV (Prevnar 13®; Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc.) followed by one dose of PPV (Pneumovax 23®; Merck & Co., Inc.) 8 weeks later (PCV/PPV, n=14). HIV+ individuals received either PCV/PPV (n=15) or a single dose of PPV (n=22). All participants who received PCV/PPV were followed up at 2 months (8 weeks; PPV immunization) and 3 months after study enrollment. Participants who received PPV were followed up at 1 month after enrollment.

Laboratory

Blood samples were collected at each study visit. Serum samples were used to measure capsular pneumococcal polysaccharide-specific antibody responses pre- and 1 month postvaccination with PPV (post-PPV) to serotypes 14 and 23F (included in PCV and PPV). These serotypes were selected due to their historically high prevalence in the HIV+ population and inclusion in our previous studies [24,25]. Serotype-specific IgG and IgM serum levels were detected by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) as previously described using 89SF or 007SP as standards. Opsonophagocytic killing assay (OPA) was performed as previously described [26,27] to determine functional antibody responses. Data were analyzed using the Opsotiter1 software program (University of Alabama at Birmingham). OPA titers were defined as the reciprocal of the serum dilution that killed 50% of target bacteria (compared to serum-free control) during 45 minutes of incubation at 37°C.

Statistical Analysis

Participant characteristics were represented as mean (range) for numerical values and number (percentage) for categorical values. Serotype-specific serum IgG and IgM levels (µg/ml) and OPA titers were reported as geometric mean concentrations or titers (95% confidence interval), respectively. Responders to vaccination were determined as previously defined [17,18,20]. Serotype-specific IgG and IgM responses were defined as a ≥2-fold increase from baseline and post-PPV levels ≥1 µg/ml. A positive OPA response was defined as a ≥4-fold increase from baseline post-PPV. IgG and IgM levels and OPA titers were log-transformed to approximate normal distribution prior to statistical analysis. Pre- to 1 month post-PPV comparisons between groups were calculated by analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) with Bonferroni correction to adjust for differences in baseline levels. Post-PPV antibody responses were compared using analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Dunnett’s post-hoc test, with HIV+ PCV/PPV designated as the control group. The number of responders from each group were compared using the Fisher’s exact test. Correlations were determined by Pearson’s correlation coefficient. All statistical analyses were performed using the SAS software package (version 9.3; SAS Institute). P values<0.05 were considered significant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>PPV (N=22)</th>
<th>PCV/PPV (N=15)</th>
<th>PCV/PPV (N=14)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demographic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (range)</td>
<td>55.2 (50-64)</td>
<td>54.8 (49-63)</td>
<td>55.6 (50-64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (%)</td>
<td>20 (91)</td>
<td>12 (80)</td>
<td>6 (43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>7 (32)</td>
<td>8 (53)</td>
<td>1 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>15 (68)</td>
<td>5 (33)</td>
<td>12 (86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>2 (13)</td>
<td>1 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical History</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior PPV ≥5 years (%)</td>
<td>18 (82)</td>
<td>13 (87)</td>
<td>1 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiving ART ≥1 year (%)</td>
<td>22 (100)</td>
<td>15 (100)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nadir CD4* T cell count (cells/µl)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;200 (%)</td>
<td>10 (46)</td>
<td>5 (33)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤200 (%)</td>
<td>12 (55)</td>
<td>10 (67)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory Data at Enrollment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV viral load (copies/ml)</td>
<td>622 (230-1599)</td>
<td>717 (331-1298)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤400 (%)</td>
<td>22 (100)</td>
<td>15 (100)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4* T cell count (cells/µl)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (range)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PCV/PPV groups received PCV followed by PPV 8 weeks later. Data are no. (%) of subjects, unless otherwise noted. Abbreviations: HIV: Human Immunodeficiency Virus; PPV 23: Valent Pneumococcal Polysaccharide Vaccine; PCV 13: Valent Pneumococcal Conjugate Vaccine.

Table 1: Baseline Characteristics of Study Participants.
Results

Subjects

Baseline characteristics of the 51 participants (37 HIV+ and 14 HIV–) included in this study are reported in Table 1. CD4 counts at enrollment were similar between HIV+ groups. Other clinical characteristics, including nadir CD4 counts and HIV viral load, did not differ between HIV+ groups. All HIV+ subjects were adherent to ART ≥1 year. Differences in the distributions of sex and race in the HIV– group compared to the HIV+ groups were noted. A larger proportion of HIV+ participants had been immunized with PPV ≥5 years prior (84%) compared to HIV– (7%).

Serum antibody levels to serotypes 14 and 23F

Pre- to 1 month post-PPV IgG levels were significantly higher in all groups for both serotypes (P<0.009; Figure 1). For IgM, significant increases pre- to post-PPV were observed only for serotype 14 in the HIV+ PPV group and for serotype 23F in the HIV+ PCV/PPV group (P<0.05). IgM levels significantly increased pre- to post-PPV in the HIV– PCV/PPV group for both serotypes (P<0.001). Post-PPV antibody levels were compared between HIV+ PPV and PCV/PPV groups and between HIV+ and HIV– PCV/PPV groups. In HIV+ PPV and PCV/PPV groups, post-PPV IgG levels for both serotypes were similar. Post-PPV IgM levels for serotype 23F, but not 14, were significantly lower in the HIV+ PPV compared to HIV+ PCV/PPV groups (P<0.05). Post-PPV IgG and IgM levels were significantly reduced for serotype 14 only in HIV+ compared to HIV– PCV/PPV groups (P<0.05). The number of subjects that had positive IgG or IgM responses (defined as

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HIV-infected PPV</th>
<th>HIV-infected PCV/PPV</th>
<th>HIV-uninfected PCV/PPV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IgG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>10 (45.5)</td>
<td>4 (26.7)</td>
<td>2 (14.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6 (27.3)</td>
<td>5 (33.3)</td>
<td>2 (14.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6 (27.3)</td>
<td>6 (40.0)</td>
<td>10 (71.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HIV-infected PPV</th>
<th>HIV-infected PCV/PPV</th>
<th>HIV-uninfected PCV/PPV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IgM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>20 (90.9)</td>
<td>12 (80.0)</td>
<td>8 (57.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2 (9.1)</td>
<td>3 (20.0)</td>
<td>35 (7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (7.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OPA Titer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HIV-infected PPV</th>
<th>HIV-infected PCV/PPV</th>
<th>HIV-uninfected PCV/PPV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (9.1)</td>
<td>1 (6.7)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3 (13.6)</td>
<td>4 (26.7)</td>
<td>1 (7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>17 (77.3)</td>
<td>10 (66.7)</td>
<td>13 (72.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Post-PPV antibody levels were compared between HIV+ PPV and PCV/PPV groups and between HIV+ and HIV– PCV/PPV groups. In HIV+ PPV and PCV/PPV groups, post-PPV IgG levels for both serotypes were similar. Post-PPV IgM levels for serotype 23F, but not 14, were significantly lower in the HIV+ PPV compared to HIV+ PCV/PPV groups (P<0.05). Post-PPV IgG and IgM levels were significantly reduced for serotype 14 only in HIV+ compared to HIV– PCV/PPV groups (P<0.05). The number of subjects that had positive IgG or IgM responses (defined as

PCV/PPV groups received PCV followed by PPV 8 weeks later. Responders were defined as ≥2-fold increase and ≥1 µg/ml in IgG (top panel) and IgM (middle panel) levels or ≥4-fold increase in OPA titers (bottom panel) 1 month postvaccination with PPV. P ≥0.05 for all comparisons between groups. Abbreviations: IgG: Immunoglobulin G; IgM: Immunoglobulin M; OPA: Opsonophagocytic Killing Assay; HIV: Human Immunodeficiency Virus; PPV 23: Valent Pneumococcal Polysaccharide Vaccine; PCV: 13-Valent Pneumococcal Conjugate Vaccine.

Table 2: Number (percentage) of responders to the indicated number of serotypes after vaccination.

*P <0.05 versus prevaccination antibody level. **P <0.05 versus post-PPV HIV-infected PCV/PPV antibody level.


Figure 1: Serotype-specific serum IgG (top panels) and IgM (bottom panels) antibody levels were measured in aging HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected adults. Scatter dot plots include geometric mean concentrations (horizontal black line) and 95% confidence intervals (error bars).
≥2-fold increase and post-PPV levels ≥1 µg/ml) were similar for all groups, although more frequent in HIV− individuals (Table 2). Positive responses were lower for IgM than IgG for both serotypes.

Serum OPA titers to serotypes 14 and 23F

Significant increases in serotype-specific OPA titers from pre- to 1 month post-PPV occurred in all groups (P<0.0001, Figure 2). Post-PPV OPA titers were compared between HIV+ PPV and PCV/PPV groups and between HIV+ and HIV− PCV/PPV groups. Post-PPV OPA titers were similar between HIV+ PPV and PCV/PPV groups. In HIV+ PCV/PPV compared to HIV− PCV/PPV groups, post-PPV OPA titers were significantly reduced for serotype 23F only (P<0.05). Positive OPA responses (defined as ≥4-fold increase) were similar between all study groups (Table 2).

Correlations between post-PPV antibody levels and OPA titers

For all groups, there were significant correlations observed between post-PPV serotype-specific IgG levels and OPA titers (Figure 3). There was a strong correlation for serotype 23F in the HIV+ PPV group. In the HIV+ PCV/PPV group, there was a strong correlation for serotype 14. Moderate to strong correlations for both serotypes 14 and 23F were observed in the HIV− PCV/PPV group. There were no significant correlations between post-PPV serotype-specific IgM levels and OPA titers in any of the study groups.

Discussion

The current study is the first to our knowledge to assess the impact of a combined PCV/PPV regimen in older HIV+ individuals. Our findings suggest that this approach may offer no clear improvement in long-term antibody responses between HIV+ participants who were previously vaccinated with PPV, in contrast to our HIV− subjects. Although the number of individuals analyzed was limited, we found no differences in antibody responses after vaccination in our HIV+ subjects.

Independent of HIV infection, increased age is associated with reduced responses to pneumococcal vaccination [11]. Impairment of functional responses in older adults may result from reduced serotype-specific IgM levels post-PPV [11,24,32]. We have demonstrated that serotype-specific IgM memory B cells are also reduced in elderly individuals post-PPV compared to younger volunteers [24]. A strength of our study is the use of age-matched uninfected controls. Minimal increases in the frequency of serotype-specific IgM responses were observed for all groups. Therefore, although HIV− participants had higher IgG levels and OPA titers, immunosenesence likely impacted humoral responses in all of our subjects. We investigated peripheral B cell subpopulations responding to PCV and/or PPV in these individuals to further delineate the impact of aging and HIV infection on vaccine responses in a separate study [33].

The finding that PPV and PCV/PPV elicited similar responses is consistent with some [19,22], but not all [18,20], previous studies in HIV+ individuals. Increased immunogenicity with PCV observed in other studies may be of limited duration, however, as the number of responders decline as early as 6 months postvaccination [17,20]. The transient nature of antibody responses has also been a longstanding issue with PPV in HIV+ individuals [15]. Potential differences in duration of antibody responses in our study subjects are currently being assessed.

Immunological hyporesponsiveness to repeated vaccination is a potential concern [34]. The majority of our HIV+ participants were previously vaccinated with PPV, in contrast to our HIV− subjects. Although the number of individuals analyzed was limited, we found no differences in antibody responses between HIV+ participants who were naïve and those vaccinated with PPV ≥5 years prior, regardless of whether they received PPV or PCV/PPV. This finding is consistent with some [19,22], but not all [18,20], previous studies in HIV+ individuals. Increased immunogenicity with PCV observed in other studies may be of limited duration, however, as the number of responders decline as early as 6 months postvaccination [17,20]. The transient nature of antibody responses has also been a longstanding issue with PPV in HIV+ individuals [15]. Potential differences in duration of antibody responses in our study subjects are currently being assessed.
with other revaccination studies [17,21,26,35]. The diminished antibody responses in our HIV+ subjects are therefore unlikely due to prior vaccination.

Similar to previous findings, we observed increases in antibody responses after PCV that were not significantly enhanced by the subsequent dose of PPV (data not shown) [18,19]. As an alternative to single doses or combinations of PCV and PPV, several studies have evaluated antibody responses in HIV+ individuals given consecutive doses of PCV [18,21,23,35]. Additional doses of PCV after an initial dose appear to have limited impact on antibody responses. Several issues regarding this approach remain, including optimal dosing intervals, number of PCV boosters, and limited serotype coverage of PCV compared to PPV. Increased incidence of non-PCV serotypes continues to be a concern, particularly for high-risk populations [2,36]. Thus, it remains unclear how PCV may be utilized in aging HIV+ individuals to improve antibody responses and protection against disease.

Vaccine responses were assessed in the current study using established immunological parameters. We found significant correlations between serotype-specific IgG concentrations and OPA titers post-PPV; however, we also observed OPA responses in individuals lacking a positive IgG response. Discrepancies between these assays have been reported in several adult populations including the elderly and immunocompromised. The OPA assay is generally regarded as a better measure of protection compared to antibody concentrations as it mimics the host phagocytic response [37]. Serological criteria for evaluation of pneumococcal vaccines in infants have been established, but correlates of protective pneumococcal immunity in adult populations are lacking [38]. Thus, it is possible that PCV/PPV elicited better protection in HIV+ subjects compared to PPV even though conventional assays did not indicate it, emphasizing that defined criteria to predict protection are urgently needed in adults.

Pneumococcal disease is preceded by asymptomatic nasopharyngeal carriage. PPV does not appear to affect pneumococcal colonization [39]. Several studies indicate that conjugate vaccines reduce acquisition of vaccine-type carriage in vaccinated children, resulting in decreased transmission of vaccine-type serotypes to adults [39-41]. PCV may also directly reduce nasopharyngeal colonization in adult populations. Immunological parameters currently utilized in immunogenicity studies exclude any potential impact of PCV on mucosal defenses that could contribute to colonization and protective immunity. Increases in serotype-specific IgG or IgA concentrations have been detected in the lung fluid of HIV+ subjects and saliva of immunocompetent adults following conjugate vaccination [42,43]. Presently, mucosal antibody levels and nasopharyngeal colonization are not routinely measured in immunogenicity studies or efficacy trials, but should be considered as possible measures of protection in addition to ELISA and OPA assays.

Clinical trials evaluating the efficacy of PPV in HIV+ adults have

---


**Figure 3:** Correlations between post-PPV antibody levels and OPA titers in aging HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected adults.

**Abbreviations:** IgG: Immunoglobulin G; IgM: Immunoglobulin M; HIV: Human immunodeficiency virus; OPA: Opsonophagocytic Killing Assay; PPV, 23: Valent Pneumococcal Polysaccharide Vaccine; PCV, 13: Valent Pneumococcal Conjugate Vaccine.
failed to demonstrate a clear reduction in pneumococcal disease [15,16]. While one study demonstrated vaccine efficacy of 49% against IPD [44], another trial in Uganda reported possible detrimental effects [45]. The only trial among HIV+ adults examining the conjugate vaccine to date found 74% efficacy against recurrent vaccine-type IPD; however, protection was greatest within the first year only [46]. A 9-valent conjugate vaccine administered to HIV+ children also reduced vaccine-type IPD, but had no significant impact on pneumonia [47]. In elderly individuals, PPV reduced the risk of IPD with an estimated 55% efficacy, but its effectiveness in preventing nonbacteremic pneumonia is controversial [48,49]. Recently, a large randomized trial conducted in the Netherlands examining the impact of PCV in older adults reported a vaccine efficacy of 75% for vaccine-type IPD and 45% for vaccine-type nonbacteremic community-acquired pneumonia [50]. However, the study did not include comparison with PPV. Large scale efficacy trials evaluating PCV versus PPV in aging HIV+ individuals are unlikely. Lack of clear, direct clinical evidence that PCV or PPV provides protection against all vaccine-type pneumococcal disease in older and HIV+ adults further emphasizes the need for studies investigating immunological mechanisms responsible for increased risk and development of alternative vaccination approaches.

We recognize that our study has several limitations. Our sample size was small, limiting the power of the current study. In a separate study, we observed that PCV did not enhance cellular responses to vaccination with PPV in these subjects [33], supporting the findings of noninferiority between vaccination regimens in the current manuscript at the antibody level. Our study evaluated only 2 serotypes, and thus it is unknown what impact an initial dose of PCV may have on other serotypes. We selected serotypes 14 and 23F based on their differences in immunogenicity and inclusion in both PCV and PPV. In addition, we did not measure serum IgA concentrations, as IgA levels in respiratory mucosal tissues, and not serum, are likely to confer protection. It has been shown that serum IgA levels do not correlate with salivary IgA levels in adults immunized with conjugate vaccine [43].

In conclusion, we determined that PCV/PPV may not demonstrate a clear immunological advantage compared to PPV alone in older HIV+ individuals, and antibody responses to PCV/PPV were reduced compared to HIV- PCV/PPV controls. Development of more effective vaccination strategies in the aging HIV+ population may be reduced compared to HIV‒ PCV/PPV controls. Development of more HIV+ individuals, and antibody responses to PCV/PPV were been shown that serum IgA levels do not correlate with salivary IgA mucosal tissues, and not serum, are likely to confer protection. It has
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