Recurrent Lumbar Disc Herniation in Pregnant Patient: A Case Report
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Abstract

Introduction: Lower back and leg pain are frequently seen pathologies in pregnancy. However, a small proportion of these complaints develop in association with lumbar disc hernias. Due to maternal and fetal factors, diagnosis and treatment during pregnancy has to be different than for non-pregnant patients.

Methods: A 36 year old, 28-week pregnant patient presented with ever-increasing pain in the right leg, lower back, and reduced power in the extensor hallucis longus. The patient had undergone surgery at another clinic about 2.5 years ago because of right L4-5 disc herniation. Therefore the patient was evaluated by lumbar magnetic resonance images [MRI]. The MRI determined the right L4-5 recurrent disc herniation.

Results: The patient underwent successful surgery in the lateral decubitus position under general anesthesia. No complications developed in mother or fetus.

Conclusion: Recurrent lumbar disc herniation during pregnancy has not been reported. A lumbar micro-discectomy procedure for a pregnant patient resulted in a successful clinical outcome.
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Introduction

Low back and radicular pain during pregnancy are seen in approximately half of all pregnant females [1]. Although lumbar disc herniation (LDH) accompanied by radiculopathy and low back pain occurs frequently, there are very few published cases of LDH surgery in pregnancy [1-8]. The most important reason for this disproportionality is the difficulty of surgical practice. Problems such as possible damages to the fetus, problems in selection of the appropriate surgery position and the effects of the anesthetic agents to the fetus lead to delays in decision-making for surgery [3-5]. Similarly, treatment may be delayed as far as possible as potential damage to the fetus would affect not only the newborn but also the parents.

The aim of this study was to present the results of surgery applied because of recurrent LDH in a patient in the 3rd trimester of pregnancy.

Case Report

A 36-year old, 28-week pregnant patient presented with ever increasing pain in the right leg and lower back. The family doctor had given treatment of 2 weeks bed rest and paracetamol. With an increase in radicular pain especially in the right leg, and development of reduced power in the extensor hallucis longus (EHL), she was referred to the neurosurgery outpatient clinic. During this period, the patient experienced sleep loss, limited movement and was confined to bed.

Previously, the patient had undergone surgery at another clinic about 2.5 years ago because of right L4-5 disc herniation.

Until a month ago, the patient who had no complaints about disk herniation was treated because of back and leg pain at the physical and rehabilitation clinic. During this period, the patient was on bed rest and was treated with medication. However, L4 disc herniation was determined in the patient by lumbar magnetic resonance images (MRI) with the increase of complaints and the development of neurologic deficit.

When the patient consulted our clinic, hypoesthesia was detected in the right L4 dermatome in the physical examination. The straight leg raise test was positive at 30°. Right EHL power was found to be 2/5, and right tibialis anterior muscle power was found to be 3/5. The visual analog scale (VAS) was 9.

In the lumbar MRI of the patient, a disc hernia extrusion compressing the right L1 root was determined on T2 isointense. A right L1 laminectomy defect was also seen (Figure 1). Since the patient was pregnant, gadolinium, which is used for elimination and exclusion when making a definitive diagnosis in most recurrent disc cases, was not applied.

Surgical treatment was recommended because of the progressive increasing neurological deficit and as the pain had not responded to conservative treatment.

Surgical technique

Anesthesia induction was applied with 2 mg/kg propofol and 0.5 mg/kg rocuronium intravenous, following 100% oxygen with 5-minute pre-oxygenation. Anesthesia maintenance at 50% O2, 50% air and 5% desflurane was ensured by remifentanil infusion in the titration range of 0.05-0.2 µg/kg/minute. During the surgery, no neuromuscular blocker antagonist was used. A gynecology specialist monitored fetal heartbeats and fetal movements preoperatively, intraoperatively and postoperatively.

After intubation, the patient was laid in the lateral decubitus position with the right side uppermost (Figure 2) with the spinal process levels showing about 2-3 cm of shift with the skin incision line. As it was not possible to check the level by preoperative fluoroscopy, the sacrum was felt manually and the incision was extended to L5-S1.
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level. An automatic retractor for micro-discectomy was placed at L₄, then partial hamilaminectomy was extended laterally and upwards. The L₅ root was found and decompressed, the extruded disc material attached to the root and dura was removed. Entering the L₄-5 space and hyperventilation are critically important and must be treated immediately [14].

Appropriate positioning of the patient is essential in surgical interventions to be applied in pregnancy. At the beginning of the first and second trimester, the pregnant patient can be positioned in the same way as non-pregnant individuals. In the Relton-Hall frame spinal surgical Table 1, the abdominal area and sternum are under no pressure in the four-poster spinal frame system, as the patient is prone and the abdomen is supported from the iliac crests and lateral pelvis and in the four-poster spinal frame system, as the patient is prone and the abdomen is supported from the iliac crests and lateral pelvis and in the four-poster spinal frame system, as the patient is prone and the abdomen is supported from the iliac crests and lateral pelvis and from the lateral chest area [4-6,14]. The Wilson frame spine surgical systems are similar [15]. Difficulties arise for the lateral position in the traditional micro-discectomy approach. The left lateral discus position bears maternal risks with compression of the aorta-cava and in the right lateral discus position there can be compression of the inferior vena cava [5]. Even though there are many cases in literature of surgery in pregnancy for LDH and lumbar spinal stenosis, there is no case of surgery due to recurrent disc hernia [4-8].

In summary, pregnancy alone is not a contraindication for non-obstetric surgery. In symptomatic cases, MRI is the most dependable diagnostic tool in current use. In cases accompanied by neurological deficit and intense pain, when MRI shows LDH, then surgery may be indicated. A lumbar micro-discectomy procedure for a pregnant patient resulted in a successful clinical outcome.
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