Regeneration of Limbal Stem Cells in the Presence of Silver and Gold Nanoparticles
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Abstract

To evaluate the potential of silver and gold nanoparticles in the healing of cornea damages cell regeneration was tested. Corneal limbal cell regrowth and structural changes in chromatin structure were studied in the presence of silver (AgNPs, 10 nm) and gold nanoparticles (AuNPs, 100 nm). An in vitro cell scratch model served to follow limbal cell growth by long-term scanning micro-photography. AgNPs exerted only a moderate delay on cell regeneration. Low concentration (80 ppm) of AuNPs did not affect severely, 160 and 320 ppm extended the repopulation of limbal monolayer in a included: a) decondensed veil-like, b) fibrillary chromatin, c) supercoiled ribbon structures, d) visible chromosomes as chromatin bodies, e) early linear forms, and e) finally as metaphase chromosomes. Characteristic distortions in chromatin structures took place in nuclei in the presence of nanoparticles. Ag nanoparticles prevented the formation of linear and metaphase chromosomes. Gold particles (80 ppm) allowed the formation of chromatin bodies, but not more condensed chromosomal forms. Higher concentrations of AuNPs prevented the formation of ribboned chromatin and higher chromosomal structures. Results show that cell regeneration and chromatin toxicity are dose dependent. In spite of their lower size, Ag nanoparticles (10 nm) were less toxic than larger Au particles (100 nm).
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Introduction

Increasing amount of different types of nanoparticles has been produced since the early 1990s [1,2]. The logarithmic growth of publications related to gold nanoparticles [3] kept its momentum and reached a total of 54,243 publications by the end of 2014. The number of silver nanoparticle publications was significantly lower corresponding to 30,748 publications [4]. The medical interest in these nanoparticles is reflected by the 15,734 gold and 7,592 silver nanoparticle publications in PubMed [5]. To avoid immunological and inflammatory reactions for tissue reconstructions, inert materials, noble metals among them are considered we have tested their drug-infused nanoparticles have to be tested.

Gold nanoparticles aimed to enhance drug delivery, in vitro diagnostics, and the design of novel biomaterials, bioimaging, therapy and active implantation [3]. One of the major interests in designing antineoplastic conjugated gold nanoparticles was to efficiently deliver drugs to cancer stem cells to improve the anticancer efficacy [7]. Despite the expectations of biomedical applications little is known regarding the short and long term effects of gold nanoparticles in cells. In vitro and in vivo toxicology studies of air pollution confirmed that industrially manufactured ultrafine inflammogenic nanoparticles are more toxic and generate reactive oxygen species to a greater extent than larger so called fine particles [8].

The antibacterial effect of silver known for centuries remained the most attractive property of silver that nanotechnology exploited in the textile, cosmetic industry and in the production of antisepsics. However, similarly to nanogold, the toxicity of nanosilver products remained questionable.

It was proposed that the nanoparticle screening strategy could involve physicochemical characterization, cellular and non-cellular in vitro as well as in vivo assays [9]. These strategies are consistent with the problems arising from the broad class of nanomaterials, their different sizes, shapes with modified surfaces, surface area per unit mass, shifting the nanoparticle toxicology towards in vitro tests rather than using expensive and ethically debated animal experiments [10]. It was suggested that the induction of oxidant stress by nanoparticles and the measurement of reactive species could be a reliable basis for the development of in vitro screening methodology [11].

Although, the eye, particularly the cornea is subjected to nanoparticles day by day, data regarding corneal toxicity in the presence of nanoparticles are missing. Eyedrops containing mucoadhesive nanoparticle drugs can be delivered to the surface of the eyeball for an extended period of time [12]. Before using mucoadhesive nanocomposites carrying antibiotics in the eyedrops such as chloramphenicol, rifampicin (Figure 1), the components of the drug-infused nanoparticles have to be tested.

As the ophthalmological application of silver and gold nanoparticles could be considered we have tested their in vitro toxicity on human limbal stem cells of the corneal epithelium by measuring limbal cell regeneration and by following their effect on the intermediates of chromatin condensation. Limbal cell repopulation was tested using
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Figure 1: Schematic representation of antibiotics encapsulated nanoparticle for the treatment of cornea damages. Modified with permission [15].

Figure 2: Regeneration of damaged surface in the absence of nanoparticles. (a) Control scratch model to mimic the regeneration of monolayer. Regeneration curve plotted as a function of time. Four subphases of regeneration were distinguished (i-iv). (b) Monitoring regeneration of limbal monolayer in scratch model by long-term scanning microscopy. Limbal stem cell culture was grown until confluency of monolayer was attained (48 h in glass bottom dish). The monolayer was scratched with a sterile 20 gauge hypodermic needle. The empty surface caused by the scratch is indicated by the black ink from the beginning (0 min) of time lapse imaging to near confluency (260 min). White number at the bottom of each panel indicates the time of photography in min from the beginning of time-lapse image analysis.

an in vitro scratch model and long-term scanning microscopy. This analytical tool shows minute-by-minute the cellular changes taking place in the cell culture for an extended period of time up to three weeks. We have found that the due to their reversible effect silver nanoparticles are applicable in ophthalmology. The long regeneration time of cell cultures in the presence of nanogold particles questions the applicability of gold nanoparticles, particularly at higher concentrations and low particle size.

Methods

Materials

Gold nanoparticles (AuNPs, 100 nm in diameter), OD 1, stabilized suspension in citrate buffer were the products of Sigma-Aldrich Kft., Budapest, Hungary. The applied nanogold concentrations: 80, 160 and 320 ppm correspond to 0.41, 0.81 and 1.63M, respectively. Nanosilver particles (AgNPs, 10 nm) were obtained from Dr. Juice Pharma Kft., Miskolc, Hungary. Dilutions of the nanosilver stock solution 140, 200 and 320 ppm correspond to 1.13, 1.84 and 2.94 µM, respectively. Dilutions of nanoparticles were made with Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium Nutrient Mixture (DMEM-HAM’S F12) (Sigma-Aldrich, Budapest, Hungary) supplemented with 2 mM L-glutamine, 23 mM sodium bicarbonate, 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS) and 1% PSN (penicillin, streptomycin and neomycin). To eliminate bacterial contamination, PSN containing antibiotics were used as a sterile-filtered solution.

DABCO (1, 4-diazobicyclo-(2,2,2)-octane), Penicillin-Streptomycin-Neomycin antibiotics (PSN-375963) were from Sigma-Aldrich, Budapest, Hungary, 2, 6- diamino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) was the product of Braunschweig Chemie (Braunschweig, Germany). Dextran T-150 was purchased from Pharmacia-Biochemicals (Uppsala, Sweden).

Antifade Medium consisted of 90% glycerol, 2% (w/w) DABCO, 20 mM Tris-Cl, pH 8.0, 0.02% sodium azide and 25 ng/ml DAPI for blue fluorescent total staining of DNA. Hypotonic Buffer for reversible permeabilization contained 9 mM HEPES, pH 7.8, 5.8 mM dithiothreitol, 4.5% dextran T-150 and 4.5 mM MgCl₂. Swelling Buffer consisted of 50 mM KCl, 10 mM MgSO₄, 3 mM dithiothreitol and 5 mM NaPO₄, pH 8.0. Fixative solution contained methanol:glacial acetic acid (3:1).

Long-term scanning microscopy and image analysis

Long-term scanning (LTS) microscopy system was developed for the visualization and analysis of mammalian cell growth up to three weeks [13,14]. The in vitro scratch model and its combination with TLS have been described [15].

Limbal scratch model mimicking corneal epithelial growth

The scratch wound assay mimics cell behaviour during wound healing in vitro in a confluent cell layer. This model referred to as scratch model mimics the regeneration of damaged corneal epithelium faithfully by avoiding cell monolayer shrinkage and artifact formation. Detailed description of limbal stem cell isolation has been recently described [15]. Briefly, control limbal cells in glass bottom dish were grown in DMEM-F12 +10% FBS +1% PSN in carbon dioxide incubator at 37°C at 5% CO₂ until confluency (~48 h) was reached. The monolayer of limbal cells was scratched with the sharply cut metal tip of a sterile 20 gauge hypodermic needle. Time-lapse video microscopy combined with image analysis provided a convenient and reliable method of testing the regeneration of defect area. Limbal cells were grown in the presence of nanoparticles for the same period of time as the control population in the carbon dioxide incubator at 37°C at 5% CO₂ and at confluency scratched and further grown until the homogeneity of the culture was regained.

Determination of silver nanoparticle size by scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

Silver particles (AgNPs) were fixed for 30 min at 0°C with 2.5% glutaraldehyde in Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS). After washing with PBS, particles were fixed for a further 30 min at 0°C with 2.5% osmium tetroxide in the same buffer. AgNPs were dehydrated stepwise, using increasing concentrations of ethanol in the range of 50–100%, coated with gold for viewing by a Hitachi S 4300 scanning electron microscope.
Regeneration of damaged limbal monolayer in the presence of nanoparticles

Seven cell cultures, including control and two types of nanoparticles of medical importance were tested by the scratch model in:

Control cells,

i) Grown in the absence of nanoparticles,

Cells grown in the presence of

ii) 140 ppm Ag nanoparticles,

iii) 200 ppm AgNPs,

iv) 320 ppm AgNPs,

v) 80 ppm Au nanoparticles,

vi) 160 ppm AuNPs, and

vii) 320 ppm AuNPs.

The area of the scratch devoid of cells and the regeneration of the monolayer were followed by time-lapse microscopy until the scratched surface disappeared and confluency was restored. As the damaged areas varied from experiment to experiment, the area of defect was determined by photomicrography using the computer-assisted ImageJ processing program of NIH and expressed as the percentage of residual epithelial defect at each time point.

Limbal stem cell isolation and growth mimicking corneal epithelial regeneration, reversible permeabilization of cells, isolation of nuclei, spread of nuclear structures and their fluorescence visualization have been recently described [15].

Results

Regeneration of limbal monolayer

The regeneration of damaged monolayer was followed by time-lapse microscopy in a control experiment after scratching the surface of the confluent monolayer of limbal cell culture that was not subjected to nanoparticle treatment (Figure 2a). The regeneration curve of control cells was divided into four phases. (i) In the first phase lasting for about 10 min, a further −10% increase in damaged surface was observed. (ii) The second phase (~100 min) resulted in a steep decrease of damaged surface corresponding to the reattachment of the burst open rim of the monolayer. (iii) The third, adhesion phase represented the firm binding of cells to the underlying substrate and lasted for about 120 min. (iv) In the final phase taking ~30 min, complete confluency was attained (Figure 2a). The healing of the monolayer after scratching its surface monitored by long-term scanning microscopy showed that the regeneration of the torn apart monolayer up to complete confluency in healthy untreated cells took about 260 min (Figure 2b).

Regeneration of limbal cell monolayer in the presence of silver nanoparticles

The regeneration curve of the damaged monolayer after treatment with 140 ppm AgNPs differed to some extent from that of the control population of limbal stem cells and complete regeneration was attained in about 340 min (Figure 3a):

(i) The first subphase (~20 min) showed an 18% extension of the damaged surface relative to the original (0 min) damage.

(ii) The reattachment phase took about 100 min corresponding to the attachment of control cells.

(iii) The cell adhesion phase (160 min) was steep and lasted longer than that of the control (100 min).

(iv) The final phase to obtain complete confluency was ~60 min, longer than the control (30 min).

The size of AgNPs determined by Scanning Electron Microscopy was uniform (9.9 nm, SD ± 1.1 nm) (Figure 3b). The visualization of the healing of the scratched surface in the presence of 140 ppm AgNPs (Figure 3c) revealed a process with a similar length of regeneration of limbal monolayer repopulation as seen in the absence of silver nanoparticles (Figure 2b).

The four subphases of regeneration of the damaged monolayer (i – iv) in the presence of 340 ppm AgNPs are shown in Figure 4a.

(i) The first subphase was longer and lasted for about 350 minutes. In this phase the area of the damaged surface extended greatly, to more than twice of its original size.

(ii) The reattachment phase was only a short period (~30 min) seen as a steep decrease of the damaged surface that still remained 150% of the original damaged area.

(iii) The cell adhesion phase lasted for about 200 minutes, resulting in the decrease of the damaged surface to its original size.

(iv). The cell culture regeneration was completed after about 1000 min (Figure 4a). The visualization of growth of individual cells by time-lapse microscopy showed that the culture approached confluency after 800 min (Figure 4b).

Effect of gold nanoparticles on limbal monolayer regeneration

Gold is regarded a bio-inert metal, but the cellular toxicity of AuNPs is debated. The toxicity of nanoparticles is known to be size-dependent with small (5-10 nm) particles being more toxic than large (100 nm) ones [16]. We have used the less toxic, large 100 nm AuNPs at increasing concentrations (80, 200 and 320 ppm) to see the dose dependent toxicity and to decide whether larger gold nanoparticles or smaller silver nanoparticles (5 nm) are more toxic.

Regeneration of monolayer after 80 ppm AuNP treatment

The dose-dependent effect of AuNPs on in vitro regeneration of monolayers of limbal cells is seen as regeneration curves in Figure 5a-5c. At low (80 ppm) AuNP concentration the regeneration time was not longer than that of the control population (260 min). In the first subphase the empty area of the scratched monolayer showed an additional increase from 100% to 130%. The slope of the regeneration profile in the presence of 80 ppm AuNPs (Figure 5a) was similar to the treatment with 140 ppm silver nanoparticles (Figure 3a).

Regeneration of limbal monolayer after 200 ppm AuNP treatment

The panel of (Figure 5b) shows that the initial damaged area of the limbal monolayer increased to about 140%. The reattachment period taking ~100 min, the slope of the regeneration curve was extended particularly in the adhesion and final phases to 900 min.

Regeneration of limbal monolayer treated with 320 ppm AuNPs

In the initial subphase the damaged surface increased from 100% to 220% indicating that in presence of AuNPs the monolayer suffered a...
Figure 7) shows retracted chromatin condensation caused by Ag nanoparticles in limbal cell cultures. The growth of limbal cells in the presence of 140 ppm AgNPs for 24 h did not cause drastic distortions in chromatin structures in the early stages of condensation (Figure 7A/1-4). Round chromatin veil was seen rarely, but polarized and distorted (Figure 7B/1-4). Lagging elongation of chromatin veil could be explained by the reduced activity of chromatin supercoiling (Figure 7C/1-4). Due to the inefficiency of supercoiling the formation of early visible forms of chromosomes was not only delayed, but the chromatin remained trapped in prematurely condensed long, thin ribbons (Figure 7D/1-4 and 7E/1-4). Inefficient coiling of thin ribbons resulted in smaller than regular chromatin bodies with considerable part of chromatin veil remaining decondensed (Figure 7F/1-3). The most condensed structures occasionally reached the stage of chromatin bodies (Figure 7F/4), but not the stages of elongated or metaphase chromosomes.

High (320 ppm) AgNP concentration did not cause drastic changes in chromatin condensation relative to lower (140 ppm) AgNP concentration (Figure 7, right panels). Chromatin structures were smaller than the average, but the absence of apoptotic bodies excluded the possibility of apoptotic cell death. Outside the nuclear shrinkage, early phases of chromatin condensation could be characterized by the rejection of decondensed chromatin veil around the nucleus (Figure 7A/5-8), and by its expulsion as a comet-like tail (Figure 7B/5-8). The opening of nuclei (Figure 7C/5-8) allowed the formation of semicircular, decondensed chromatin forms (Figure 7D/5-8), and chromatin ribbons (Figure 7E/5-8). These structures were condensed occasionally to higher order folding of chromatin bodies (Figure 7F/5-8).

Chromatin changes induced by AuNPs

The treatment of limbal cells at lower (80 ppm) nanogold concentration caused similar changes in chromatin structures as silver nanoparticles at 140 ppm concentration (left panels in Figure 8). Nuclei containing chromatin veil were distorted (Figure 8A/1-4). Polarization of chromatin veil (Figure 8B/1-4 and 8C/1-4) and uncoupled ribbons (Figure 8D/1-4) dominated the pictures. Occasionally condensed nuclei with comet-like long decondensed tails were seen (Figure 8E/1-2). Long chromatin ribbons (Figure 8E/3-4) could turn to chromatin bodies (Figure 8F/1-3), but early elongated prochromosomes (Figure 8F/4) were rarely seen and metaphase chromosomes were absent.

High concentration (320 ppm) of AuNPs prevented supercoiling, manifested in the presence of unregular thin chromatin ribbons, the formation of chromatin bodies was prevented, early elongated and metaphase chromosomes were missing (right panels of Figure 8).

Discussion

Silver nanoparticle size (5, 20 and 50 nm) is known to affect cytotoxicity with smaller nanoparticles causing higher cellular toxicity and 4-9% apoptosis in A549, SGC-7901, HepG2 and MCF-7 cells [18]. Similar examination of size-dependent cellular toxicity of AgNPs confirmed that smaller sized AgNPs (10 nm) had a greater ability to
Figure 4: Regeneration of damaged limbal cell monolayer in the presence of 320 ppm concentration of silver nanoparticles. Limbal cells were grown in the presence Ag nanoparticles to confluency. (a) Repopulation plotted as a regeneration curve. Four subphases of regeneration were distinguished (i-iv) as in Figure 2a). (b) Monitoring limbal stem cell regrowth in the presence of 320 ppm Ag nanoparticles in glass bottom dish. After reaching confluency the surface of the monolayer was scratched with a sterile 20 gauge needle and regeneration traced. Black numbers indicate the time of photography in minutes from the beginning of time-lapse image analysis.

Figure 5: Regeneration of damaged limbal cell monolayer in the presence of gold nanoparticles. Cells were grown in the presence of 80, 160 or 320 ppm Au nanoparticles. After attaining confluency the surface of the monolayer was scratched and regeneration traced by time-lapse imaging until the disrupted area was healed. Regeneration curves after: (a) 80 ppm Au nanoparticle, (b) 200 ppm Au nanoparticle, and (c) 320 ppm Au nanoparticle treatments. Monitoring limbal stem cell regrowth: (d) in the presence of 80 ppm Au nanoparticles and (e) in the presence of 320 ppm Au nanoparticles. Black numbers indicate the time of photography in minutes from the beginning of time-lapse image analysis.

Figure 6: Intermediates of chromatin condensation in control cells. Limbal cells were grown in T-25 flask in the absence of nanoparticles for 24 h, harvested, reversibly permeabilized and subjected to chromatin isolation and visualization as described in the Methodology. Transitory changes of chromosome condensation: chromatin veil (a-d), polarized veil (e-h), chromatin ribbon (i-q), chromatin bodies (early forms of visible chromosomes) (r-t), elongated forms (u-w) and metaphase chromosomes (x). Bars, 5 µm each.

Induce apoptosis in MC3T3-E1 and PC12 cells than the larger sized AgNPs (50 and 100 nm) [16]. Recent studies doubted the safety of nano-sized gold particles [19], due to their electrical, chemical, mechanical, thermal and optical properties [1,19-21]. Indeed, gold nanoparticles unlike the bioinert bulk gold, are able to enter cells and exert adverse effects by interacting with membranes and smaller subcellular compartments, while unable to enter the nucleus [22-26]. Most of the studied gold nanoparticles had dimensions less than 100 nm and entered cells via receptor mediated endocytosis [22,24,27]. Conflicting reports indicate that gold nanoparticles can be both nontoxic and toxic. Consequently in vitro results remained inconclusive regarding the toxicity of nanogold [28]. In spite of the fact that available data vary widely in their methods and conclusions [29], most of the nanotoxicological data come from in vitro studies.

Before antibiotics could be used in nanocomposites toxicity data of chloramphenicol and rifampicin were collected. We have compared antibiotic-induced cytotoxic effects and tested the regeneration of human limbal stem cells [15]. In the recent study the toxicity of two
clinically relevant nanoparticles were tested: small silver nanoparticles (10 nm), are able to diffuse into cells, and larger (100 nm), gold nanoparticles, which are unable to enter cells. In spite of expectations the regeneration of limbal cells in the presence of small silver nanoparticles was faster, than in the presence of larger gold nanoparticles.

Intermediates of chromatin condensation of healthy limbal cells resembled closely other mammalian tumor cells visualized earlier [17]. Beside the delayed regeneration of the damaged limbal cell monolayer, genotoxicity specific chromatin modifications were induced by nanoparticles. In the presence of silver nanoparticles chromatin condensation can be characterized by the rejection of decondensed chromatin veil around the nucleus, expulsion as a comet-like tail, lack of elongated and metaphase chromosomes and absence of apoptotic bodies. We have tested earlier the susceptibility of chromatin toward silver nitrate in human K562 erythroleukemia and human keratinocyte HaCaT cells. Changes in large-scale chromatin structures in the concentration range of 0.5 – 5 µM silver nitrate revealed that K562 cells were more susceptible to silver nitrate than HaCaT cells. Elevated silver nitrate concentrations (10-15 µM) caused nuclear shrinking with infrequent formation of apoptotic bodies. Typical nuclear changes induced by Ag⁺ ions involved the extrusion of decondensed chromatin seen as chromatin tail (comet) formation, that could be accounted for by the reduced activity of supercoiling [29]. The comparison of ionic and nanosilver indicates that Ag⁺ ions and nanosilver particles exert similar characteristic chromatin changes with little and negligible cell death.

In the presence of low AuNP concentration the reduced supercoiling activity could turn chromatin ribbons to chromatin bodies, but as elongated prechromosomes were only occasionally seen, metaphase chromosomes could not be formed. The concentration dependent inhibition of chromatin condensation became evident at higher AuNP concentrations, affecting early chromatin condensation, with regular chromatin ribbons being absent. Inhibition of early chromatin folding prevented the formation of higher chromosomal structures including chromatin bodies, elongated prechromosomes and metaphase chromosomes.

In spite of the delayed regeneration of limbal monolayer in the presence of silver nanoparticles, and prevention of final stages of chromatin condensation neither apoptotic nor necrotic cells were seen in the regenerating cell culture. We assume that small (10 nm) silver nanoparticles exert only cytostatic but no cytotoxic effects. Similar observations were made with the slightly toxic gold nanoparticles, at least at low concentration preventing the formation of compact structures at the final stage of chromosome condensation. To the opposite, higher concentration of AuNPs blocked chromatin ribbon formation indicating that gold nanoparticles interfere with chromatin supercoiling that is essential for chromosome condensation.

**Conclusion**

Treatment of limbal cells with silver and gold nanoparticles caused the delayed regeneration of the stem cell monolayer and prevented the completion of the chromosome condensation process. The moderate delay in regeneration time relative to control cells was similar when small (10 nm) silver or large (100 nm) gold nanoparticles were used. The lack of apoptotic cells in the presence of both types of nanoparticles indicated that the inhibition could be reversible. The idea of reversibility is in conformity with the observation of others that upon exposure of sublethal concentrations of AgNPs for long times, cells remained viable and their growth retarded. Similarly,
genotoxicity was found to be reversible upon removal of AgNPs from cell culture [30], suggesting that chromatin changes are not permanent. However, extended regeneration time associated with the treatment of higher concentrations (160, 320 ppm) of large AuNP particles could raise concern about smaller (<100 nm) nanogold particles that could be toxic alone or in combination with experimental drugs. As neither ionic silver, nor silver nanoparticles, nor nanogold particles generated apoptotic bodies, the cellular changes tested are likely to be reversible. By placing imaging of chromatin deformities in a broader perspective, changes in interphase chromatin structure are regarded early markers and sensitive indicators of chromosome abnormalities before metaphase chromosomes would be formed. Observations regarding the low toxicity of antibiotics (rifampicin and chloramphenicol [15]) and the reversible effect of AgNPs could establish the safe use of silver nanocomposites in ophthalmology. The design of silver nanocomposites carrying antibiotics is under way. The slower regeneration in the presence of gold nanoparticles poses some concern, thus their ophthalmological use is not considered.
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Appendix and Supplementary data

Supporting videos associated with this article can be found in the online version at http://dx.doi.org/ as Video 1 for Figure 2b, Video 2 for Figure 3c, Video 3 for Figure 4b, Video 4 for Figure 5a, Video 5 for Figure 5d and Video 6 for Figure 5e.
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