Regulatory T-Cells Support Stem Cell Therapy in Safe Minimization of Immunosuppression in Living Donor Renal Transplantation
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Introduction

Transplantation is well accepted therapy for end organ failure. However it has its own disadvantages in the form of life-long immunosuppression to prevent rejection of the grafted organ. It is a well-known fact that graft survival improves significantly with better HLA-matched donor. However it is not possible to get a good matched kidney for all the patients. In addition, even with good matched organs the patients are kept on standard maintenance immunosuppression universally. Attempts to minimize immunosuppression are going on at different centres with some success [1-5]. We have been using stem cell therapy (SCT) for safe and effective minimization of immunosuppression in living donor renal transplantation (LDRT) [5]. Recently T-regulatory cells (T-regs) (CD4+CD25highCD127neg/low) are identified for their immunosuppression in living donor renal transplantation (LDRT). T-regs have been identified for their immunosuppression in living donor renal transplantation (LDRT). T-regs have been identified for their immunosuppression in living donor renal transplantation (LDRT) and are involved in shutting down immune responses after successfully eliminating invading organisms. Absence of T-regs was found to be associated with autoimmune disorders [6]. Studies were carried out in transplantation to correlate the levels of T-regs with graft function status [7-9]. T-regs are believed to be associated with sustained tolerance in solid organ transplantation. However there is no report of in vitro generation of T-regs and then using them in vivo. This study aims to present our initial experience of inducing in vitro generated T-regulatory cells (T-regs) in LDRT.

Material and Methods

Study design

This was a prospective open-labeled, non-blinded randomized three-armed single center clinical trial of LDRT using our tolerance induction protocol (TIP) with infusion of donor-derived stem cells (SC) and T-regs in group-1, SC in group-2 and no cell therapy in group-3. Patients were recruited between 2012 and 2013 into the protocols by explaining in detail all the procedures, risks, and possible benefits to each prospective allograft recipient and obtaining his/her written informed consent. Study design and consent forms were approved by the Institutional Review Board (IC-SCRT No: 3/12).
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Abstract

Background: Stem cell therapy (SCT) has encouraging results in tolerance induction in living-donor renal transplantation (LDRT). T-regulatory cells (CD4+CD25highCD127neg/low) promote tolerogenicity. We report initial experience of LDRT using SCT with Tregs.

Material and methods: In this prospective study of demographically well-balanced 3 groups of 30 LDRT patients each, group-1 underwent donor hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) and adipose-tissue-derived mesenchymal stem cell (AD-MSC) infusion in thymic and portal circulation under non-myeloablative conditioning pre-transplant, and Treg infusion post-transplant, group-2 received SCT alone, and group-3 were transplanted with standard triple immunosuppression. Tregs were derived from co-cultured donor AD-MSC and recipient peripheral mononuclear cells. Maintenance immunosuppression was low dose Tacrolimus + prednisone in groups-1 and 2.

Results: Mean infused CD34+ (N x106/kgBW) were 2.7 in group-1, 2.2 in group-2, ADMSC (N x104/kgBW), 1.37 in group-1 and 1.34 in group-2, and Tregs (N x104/kgBW) were 2.21. There were no untoward effects of SCT. Over mean follow-up of 19.34 months in group-1 and 20.6 months in group-2 there was 100% patient + graft survival. In group-3 over a mean follow-up of 20.55 months, there was 100% patient survival and 93.3% graft survival. Their mean serum creatinine (mg/dL) was 1.35, 1.4 and 1.3 respectively. There were 2 acute rejection episodes in group-1, 5 in group-2 and 7 in group-3, and 1 chronic rejection in group-3. Severity was more in group-3. Tregs in periphery were 3.63% in group-1, 3 % in group-2 and 1.9% in group-3.

Conclusion: Tregs support SCT in safe minimization of immunosuppression in LDRT.
Protocol for group-1

Patients were subjected to lymphocyte cross-match (LCM), flow-cross-match (FCM) with T and B-cells and single antigen (SA) on day-0 followed by subtotal lymphoid irradiation (STLI) of 200 centigray for 5 days to create space. Cultured bone marrow (CBM) derived hematopoietic stem cells (HSC) and adipose tissue derived mesenchymal stem cells (AD-MSC) generated from kidney donors were administered in the recipient’s thymus and portal circulation on day-10, and peripheral blood stem cells (PBSC) obtained from leucopheresed donors were infused in periphery. Repeat LCM, FCM and SA were carried out on day-16. If immunologically favorable, the patients were transplanted on day-18 under cover of rabbit antithymocyte globulin (rATG), 1.5 mg/kg body weight (BW). Methylprednisone, 500 mg intravenously (IV) was given on 17th and 19th day. Immediate posttransplant immunosuppression consisted of Tacrolimus, 0.06 mg/kgBW, and prednisone, 20 mg/day. Bortezomib, 1.3 mg/m² subcutaneously and methylprednisone, 125 mg IV were administered every third day for a total of 4 doses beginning on day 20. In vitro generated T-regs were infused peripherally 1 month posttransplant (Figure 1).

Protocol for group-2 was same as for group-1 except T-regs

Group-3 patients were not subjected to SCT. They underwent LDRT after LCM, FCM and SA under the cover of methylprednisone and rATG (as in groups 1 and 2).

Immune status monitoring

LCM was performed as per American Society of Histocompatibility and Immunogenetics (ASHI) guidelines using CDCC technique, FCM for T and B-cells was performed by FACSscan [Beckton Dickinson (BD) Sciences, USA], and SA was performed using luminex platform. The tests were considered as negative if antihuman globulin (AHG) was <20%, T and B-cell FCM <50 and 100 median channel shift (MCS) respectively, and donor specific antibodies (DSA) had mean fluorescent intensity (MFI) <2000.

Chimerism

A subset of patients with cross-gender donors was subjected to study peripheral blood lympho-hemopoietic chimerism at 1 month and 12 months posttransplant. Peripheral blood (2.5 mL in sodium EDTA) was collected, and red cells were lysed using RBC lysis buffer following spinning with phosphate buffered saline at 4-10°C for 10 minutes. The lysed cell button was subjected to cytopsin, slides were stained with Giemsa stain, de-stained for XX/XY probes (Vysis probes-Skyvision Cytogenetics Workstation, Germany) and observed under immunofluorescent microscope.

Stem cell generation and infusion

HSC, AD-MSC and PBSC were generated as per our previous protocols [5]. For HSC, 100 ml of BM was aspirated from posterior superior iliac crest of donors on day 3 under local anesthesia after cytokine stimulation using GM-CSF, 300 µg twice a day for 2 days. It was collected in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s medium (MEM) with high glucose, essential amino acids, 20% human albumin, growth factors and antibiotics. The medium was replenished every other day till 10 days. On 10th day, the supernatant was removed and cultured marrow was mixed with AD-MSC after testing its viability, sterility and quantification. The adipose tissue resected from donor’s abdominal pad of fat on day 1 under local anesthesia was collected in medium containing alpha MEM, 20% human albumin, appropriate antibiotics and minced into tiny pieces. Then it was transferred in to medium containing collagenase type 1 for digestion at 37°C for 1 hour on a locally designed shaker of Petri dishes (35-40 RPM). The contents of the medium processed after centrifugation at 780 RPM for 8 minutes and then the supernatant and pellets were separately cultured in medium of the same composition in 100 cm² and 25 cm² dishes (Sarsted), respectively, at 37°C with 5% CO₂ for 10 days. The medium
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**Figure 1:** Tolerance induction protocol for group-1.
was replenished on alternate days and at the end of 10 days the cells were harvested by trypsinization. The collected cells were subjected to flow cytometric analysis. CD45 (perCP) negative and CD90 (PE) positive events were counted before the cells were mixed with cultured BM for portal infusion. Infusion of HSC and AD-MSC was carried out under C-arm guidance through femoral artery catheterization. Three ml SC were infused in thymus and remaining in portal circulation. PBSC were collected from leukopheresed cytokine stimulated donors using GM-SF, 300 microgram subcutaneously, twice a day for 2 days before peripheral infusion.

T-reg generation, characterization and infusion

Ten gram adipose tissue was resected from donor abdominal pad of fat on 10th posttransplant day and processed for AD-MSC generation by similar methodology described above [5]. On 20th posttransplant day peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) were isolated from 50 ml blood collected from antecubital vein of recipients. It was layered on Histoprep-cell separation medium (Germany), centrifuged (at 2000 RPM X 18 minutes) and white ring of mononuclear cells was collected. PBMC were divided into two parts; one treated as responder PBMC (R.PBMC) and second part subjected for irradiation (7 Gray) was treated as stimulator PBMC (S.PBMC). R.PBMC and S.PBMC were co-cultured with AD-MSC in RPMI 1480 medium supplemented with IL-2 (500 U/ml) (In vitrogen, Germany) for 9 days. On 30th posttransplant day, Tregs were isolated, checked for sterility, viability and analysed for characterization followed by infusion into their thymus. Figure 2 depicts T-reg generation and characterization.

Post transplant monitoring

All patients were monitored initially in-house for 10-15 days postransplant every day and then in the same outpatient clinic twice a week for the first 1 month, weekly for the next 2 months, fortnightly for 4th month, monthly for 5th and 6th month, every 2 months for next 6 months and 3 monthly thereafter. However in event of fever, gastrointestinal disturbance or any other complaints or suspicion of graft dysfunction they were called immediately to the clinic. Posttransplant monitoring for all groups included complete blood counts, urine examination and serum creatinine (SCr) to determine the graft function status, and rule out infections including graft versus host disease (GVHD) / BM suppression in groups-1 and 2. Tregs were tested
at three monthly intervals along with viral infection status for HIV, HBsAg and HCV by ELISA and BK polyoma virus by urine cytology for decoy cells. DSA testing was planned every 3 months by qualitative technique. Tregs was measured in peripheral blood of patients of all groups using CD127 mAb (PerCP-Cy), CD4 mAb (phycoerythrin [PE]), CD25 mAb (fluorescein isothiocyanate [FITC]) and CD152 m–allophycocyanin [APC] (BDBioscience, USA) according to manufacturer’s protocol.

**Immunosuppression monitoring**

For group-1 and group-2, Tacrolimus, 0.06 mg/kg body weight (BW)/ day was administered with Prednisone, 20 mg/day. After 6 months posttransplant, Tacrolimus was replaced by Sirolimus, 1 mg/day or mycophenolate sodium, 360 mg, twice a day. Prednisone was tapered to 5-10 mg /day by the end of 1 month posttransplant.

Group-3 patients were maintained on standard triple immunosuppression of Tacrolimus, 0.08 mg/kgBW/day switched to Sirolimus, 2 mg/day at 6 months, Mycophenolate sodium, 720 mg, twice a day and Prednisone, 20 mg/day tapered to 10 mg/day by the end of 1 month posttransplant. Tacrolimus levels were measured at weekly intervals for the first month posttransplant, fortnightly for the next 2 months and monthly thereafter (Siemens RxL Max, according to manufacturer’s protocol) maintaining trough levels, 4-7 ng/mL. Sirolimus levels were also maintained at same levels as tacrolimus and were measured every month along with urinary proteins to rule out proteinuria. Mycophenolate sodium level measurement was not performed.

Graft biopsy was performed for dysfunction in all groups and managed as per standard guidelines as mentioned in our previous manuscript [10,11]. Acute B-cell mediated rejections were treated with one cycle of bortezomib and four plasmapheresis sessions (with 40 ml/kgBW/session), and r-ATG was administered for T-cell mediated rejections which did not respond to methylprednisone. Third immunosuppressant was added in event of failure of recovery. Protocol biopsies were planned after completion of 100 days of stable graft function in a subset of patients of groups 1 and 2 after their informed written consent.

**Patient- donor demographics**

All groups were fairly balanced and had 30 patients each with predominant male patients and predominant original disease was unexplained renal failure (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>Group-1</th>
<th>Group-2</th>
<th>Group-3</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protocol (n=30)</td>
<td>AD-MSC+HSC+Tregs</td>
<td>AD-MSC+HSC</td>
<td>Controls</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male :Female</td>
<td>24:6</td>
<td>30:0</td>
<td>28:2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>34.9 ± 9.45</td>
<td>35.4 ± 12.5</td>
<td>30.9 ± 9.7</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donors: Parents/ Spouse/ Sibs/ others</td>
<td>15/9 / 5 / 1</td>
<td>11/7 /4 / 8</td>
<td>15 / 10 / 3 / 2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donor age (years)</td>
<td>46.5 ± 10.1</td>
<td>44.3 ± 10.1</td>
<td>43.7 ± 10.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original disease: Unexplained / DN/ HTN/ Others</td>
<td>14/7/5/4</td>
<td>15/3/4/8</td>
<td>11/0/3/16</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLA match</td>
<td>3.03 ± 1.3</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.35</td>
<td>2.3 ± 1.21</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CELL COUNT OF INFUSED CELLS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean HSC infused (n x 10^6 cells/kgBW)</td>
<td>9.62 ± 5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean CD34+ (n x 10^6 cells/kgBW)</td>
<td>2.7 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean AD- MSC infused (n x 10^6 cells/kgBW)</td>
<td>1.37 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Tregs infused (n X 10^2/ KgBW)</td>
<td>2.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1:** Patient-donor demographics.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS version 20). Data are expressed as mean ± SD for continuous variables and numbers for categorical variables. Continuous variables were compared using Student’s t test and analysis of variance. Chi square test of Fisher exact test were used to assess the effect of change in differences in categorical variables. Surivivals were examined using Kaplan-Meier analysis and compared using the log-rank test for survival analysis between the groups. P<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

**Results**

No infections/adverse side effects including GVHD were observed in groups 1 and 2. The mean HSC (n x 10^6 cells/kgBW) generated and infused in group-1 were 9.62 ± 5.5 vs. 12.1 ± 10.1 in group-2 with mean CD34+(n x 10^6 cells/kgBW) count of 2.7 ± 2.4 in group-1 versus 2.2 ± 3.2 in group-2. Mean AD-MSC (n x 10^6 cells/kgBW) infused were 1.37 ± 0.5 in group-1 versus 1.34 ± 0.6 in group-2. Mean T-reg infused in group-1 were 2.21 x 10^6 cells/kgBW (Table 2).

Over a mean follow-up of 19.34 ± 4.2 months in group-1 and 20.60 ± 3.67 months in group-2 there was 100% patient and graft survival. Over a mean follow-up of 20.55 ± 3.23 months in group-3 there was 100% patient and 93.3% graft survival, depicted in Kaplan Meier analysis (p=0.13) (Figure 3). One patient lost his graft in group-3 at 3 months posttransplant due to severe acute T+B cell mediated rejection which did not respond to treatment and second patient lost his graft to chronic rejection at 8 months posttransplant.

Mean SCr of all groups remained between 1.2 to 1.4 mg/dL. Acute rejection (AR) with Banff score <a1 at1 av1 ai2 and PTC score 0 was observed in 2 (6.7%) patients of group-1, 3 (10%) of group-2 and 2 (6.7%) of group-3. AR with Banff score ≥ a1 at1 av1 ai2 and PTC score >0 was observed in 2 (6.7%) patients of group-2 and 16 (16%) of group-3. None of the patients of group-1 and 2 had chronic rejections (CR) whereas 1 patient of group-3 had CR with score ≥ c1 t1 cv1 ci2.

All patients of group-1 and 28 (93.3%) patients of group-2 were on two immunosuppressants; and 2 (6.7%) patients of group-2 required additional Mycophenolate sodium, 360 mg twice a day. Group-3 patients required triple immunosuppression. Protocol biopsy was performed in 3 patients of group-1 and 3 patients of group-2 at 1 year posttransplant and all were normal (Figure 4a).

**Clinical outcomes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group-1</th>
<th>Group-2</th>
<th>Group-3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean CD8+ T cells</td>
<td>1807 ± 1121</td>
<td>1580 ± 1221</td>
<td>1574 ± 1156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean CD4/CD8 ratio</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Tregs</td>
<td>2.21 ± 0.5</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2:** Clinical outcomes.

**Statistical Analysis**

Continuous variables were compared using Student’s t test and analysis of variance. Chi square test of Fisher exact test were used to assess the effect of change in differences in categorical variables. Surivivals were examined using Kaplan-Meier analysis and compared using the log-rank test for survival analysis between the groups. P<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

No infections/adverse side effects including GVHD were observed in groups 1 and 2. The mean HSC (n x 10^6 cells/kgBW) generated and infused in group-1 were 9.62 ± 5.5 vs. 12.1 ± 10.1 in group-2 with mean CD34+(n x 10^6 cells/kgBW) count of 2.7 ± 2.4 in group-1 versus 2.2 ± 3.2 in group-2. Mean AD-MSC (n x 10^6 cells/kgBW) infused were 1.37 ± 0.5 in group-1 versus 1.34 ± 0.6 in group-2. Mean T-reg infused in group-1 were 2.21 x 10^6 cells/kgBW (Table 2).

Over a mean follow-up of 19.34 ± 4.2 months in group-1 and 20.60 ± 3.67 months in group-2 there was 100% patient and graft survival. Over a mean follow-up of 20.55 ± 3.23 months in group-3 there was 100% patient and 93.3% graft survival, depicted in Kaplan Meier analysis (p=0.13) (Figure 3). One patient lost his graft in group-3 at 3 months posttransplant due to severe acute T+B cell mediated rejection which did not respond to treatment and second patient lost his graft to chronic rejection at 8 months posttransplant.

Mean SCr of all groups remained between 1.2 to 1.4 mg/dL. Acute rejection (AR) with Banff score <a1 at1 av1 ai2 and PTC score 0 was observed in 2 (6.7%) patients of group-1, 3 (10%) of group-2 and 2 (6.7%) of group-3. AR with Banff score ≥ a1 at1 av1 ai2 and PTC score >0 was observed in 2 (6.7%) patients of group-2 and 16 (16%) of group-3. None of the patients of group-1 and 2 had chronic rejections (CR) whereas 1 patient of group-3 had CR with score ≥ c1 t1 cv1 ci2.

All patients of group-1 and 28 (93.3%) patients of group-2 were on two immunosuppressants; and 2 (6.7%) patients of group-2 required additional Mycophenolate sodium, 360 mg twice a day. Group-3 patients required triple immunosuppression. Protocol biopsy was performed in 3 patients of group-1 and 3 patients of group-2 at 1 year posttransplant and all were normal (Figure 4a).
patients had DSA class-2 while 5 had no antibodies. However there was no correlation of DSA with graft function in groups 1 and 2. In group-3 out of 3 patients with DSA class-2, 1 patient developed rise in SCr to 1.95 mg/dL from 1.3 mg/dL in spite of anti-rejection therapy and triple immunosuppressants. DSA was tested in 7 patients of group-1 and group-2, and 8 patients of group-3 at 1 year posttransplant. DSA class-2 was observed in 1 patient of group-1 while 7 patients had no antibodies. In group-2, 2 patients showed DSA class-1 while 5 had no antibodies. In group-3, 3 patients had DSA class-2 while 5 had no antibodies. However there was no correlation of DSA with graft function in groups 1 and 2. In group-3 out of 3 patients with DSA class-2, 1 patient developed rise in SCr to 1.95 mg/dL from 1.3 mg/dL in spite of anti-rejection therapy and triple immunosuppressants.
immunosuppression, while the other 2 patients have maintained stable graft function with SCR of 1.3 mg/dl after anti-rejection therapy.

T-regs were maintained at 3-3.5% in the entire posttransplant period in group-1, 2.9-3.3% in group-2 (p= NS), and 1.4-2.1% in group-3 (p<0.01).

Mean chimerism at 1 month and 12 months in group-1 was 33.6 ± 2.8% and 22.4 ± 1.7% and in group-2 it was 24.9 ± 2.5% and 14.6 ± 0.97% respectively (Figure 4b). No chimerism was observed in group-3 (p<0.01).

Discussion

Transplantation has become an acceptable option to dialysis for chronic renal failure however the major drawback to its own success is requirement of life-long immunosuppression which often compromises quality of life due to risk of metabolic disorders including diabetes, infections, and malignancy in long-run. The other problems are compliance and prohibitive cost of the care especially where the financial burden lies on the family in a developing country. Since transplantation tolerance (stable graft function on zero immunosuppression, with no rejections while keeping immune system intact) is still far from the reach of transplanters in general, the only practical solution is transplantation with effective immunosuppression minimization while extending the benefits of tolerance.

Owen’s observation of naturally occurring chimerism in cattle twins was applied to kidney transplantation by Salvatierra and others [12,13]. They found that DST pre-transplant resulted into excellent graft survival in one-haplo-mismatch transplants and even in limited zero-match transplants. The effect of DST was found to last for 4 years. Brennan et al. found that even donor BM infusion with DST helped in improving long term graft survival [14]. They observed that there was no risk of GVHD and that both DST and DBM acted as potential non-invasive biomarkers for stable graft function.

For living donors, Owen et al. reported microchimerism with concomitant persistence of soluble donor HLA antigen was believed to be the most effective mechanism for improving graft outcome. Yang et al. carried out rodent studies by infusing single dose of splenocytes from 2C-F-1 transgenic mice expressing a T-cell receptor specifically recognizing Ld, (an MHC-class 1 molecule) into recipient mice followed by skin transplantation [15]. They found that skin grafts lasted long without the need of any immunosuppression and also deleted donor reactive T-cells in periphery of recipient after infusion of DST. There was also significant rise in IL-4 level in sera of mice who received DST.

We have been using DST and HSC since 1998 and we added AD-MSC to this combination to improve the graft outcome while minimizing immunosuppression. Our protocols have evolved over the years with enrichment of our experience. We found that PBSC had better outcome than DST since it is rich in regulatory cells [5]. Apart from excellent 1 year patient and graft survival in our study population of more than 1300 patients, we have been able to achieve about 74.7% graft and 81.8% patient survival for 5 years with safe minimization of immunosuppression [5]. We added AD-MSC which were generated by indigenously developed techniques, to take the advantage of immunomodulatory, immunosuppressive and tolerogenic properties of MSC tested in skin transplants in baboons and in vitro experiments by Bartholomew et al. and in humans by Aggarwal et al. [16,17]. Our previous experience of using AD-MSC+HSC vs. HSC alone has also established the benefits of combined use of AD-MSC and HSC in terms of increased survival and sustained decrease in immunosuppression requirement [10].

While using AD-MSC we started observing their long term benefits in the form of control of chronic rejections for which no answer has been found as yet. While searching for the reasons, we found out that MSC generate and recruit Tregs to control proliferation of rejecting cells. Ge et al. have shown that MSCs generate CD4+CD25+FoxP3+ Tregs in vivo in renal allograft recipients and thereby induce tolerance [18]. MSC generate CD4+CD8+ regulatory cells also which inhibit allogeneic lymphocyte proliferation. There are at least two mechanisms by which MSC generate Tregs: direct cell-to-cell contact in which MSC directly act with NK cells, CD4+ cells, dendritic cells, and the other mechanism is through secretion of soluble factors like prostaglandin E2 and tumor growth factor-alpha [19,20]. The beneficial role of Tregs was studied by Amirzargar et al. in 57 renal allograft recipients with stable graft function for 6 months post-transplant [21]. CD4+CD25+FoxP3+ and CD8+CD28- Tregs, myeloid dendritic cells type 1 and type 2, and plasmacytoid dendritic cells of these patients were measured pre-transplant, and at 2 weeks, 1, 3, and 6 months after transplantation. They observed that mean Tregs decreased 2 weeks post-transplantation and then increased subsequently within 2 months of transplantation. The frequency at 3 months could predict the frequency at 6 months post-transplantation. Thus they concluded that Tregs could be considered as potential non-invasive biomarkers for stable graft function.

The most potent mechanisms by which Tregs exert their tolerogenic effects on the grafts are through the phenomenon of “linked suppression” and “infectious tolerance” [22-25]. Tregs produce huge amounts of immune suppressive cytokines like TGF-alpha, IL-10 and IL-35, which are known to inhibit a wide spectrum of cellular activities. Through these cytokines Tregs block the cytotoxic effects of Th1 cells and neighbouring cells by direct interaction of the epitopes. This phenomenon is described as “linked suppression”. In addition they convert the cytotoxic properties of rejecting cells into regulatory cells through the process of demethylation. This phenomenon is called

Figure 4: a) On left, peripheral blood lympho-hematopoietic chimerism demonstrated by fluorescent in situ hybridization of the same patient performed on the day of protocol biopsy (cells with 2 green dots depict female cell with XX chromosomes highlighted by circle, against cells with green and red dots depicting male cell with X and Y chromosomes). b) On right. Normal protocol biopsy of patient belonging to group-2; 44 years old man underwent renal transplantation for chronic renal failure due to unexplained etiology, with 38 years old wife’s kidney (HLA 0/6 match) on 17th August, 2012, biopsy date 2nd August, 2013. Immunosuppression: Sirolimus, 1 mg/d day and Prednisone, 5 mg/day. Periodic Acid Schiff stain, x 200.
“infectious tolerance”. Thus although the life of T-cells including Tregs is finite, the phenomena of “infectious tolerance” and “linked suppression” have immortalized the tolerogenicity of Tregs.

This prompted us to generate Tregs in vitro and subsequently use them in clinic. There are mainly two kinds of Tregs; the Induced T-regulatory cells (iTregs) and naturally occurring Tregs (nTregs), both are identified as CD4+ CD25+ Foxp3+. However there is one major difference between them, iTregs develop from mature CD4+ T-cells outside the thymus, whereas nTregs mature within the thymus [25-28]. Both iTregs and nTregs share a similar function including inducing induction and maintenance, however iTregs have recently been shown to be essential to support and supplement nTregs, in part by expanding TCR diversity within regulatory responses. Epigenetic differences have been observed between nTreg and iTregs, with the former having more stable Foxp3 expression and wider demethylation as compared to iTregs [27,28].

The present study has several unique features. To our knowledge although attempts to induce transplant tolerance have been made by different ways, this is the only study which has shown in vitro generation of different kinds of SC and Tregs and has used them in LTDR in combination with conditioning regimen/induction therapies. The results in terms of safety, cost and efficacy are encouraging [29-39]. Total cost of transplantation including SC and Treg generation is about USD 5500 compared to standard transplantation costing about USD 5000 in our center. Posttransplant care is about USD 50 per month vs. USD 200 per month in standard transplantation and one event of infection incurs an additional budget of minimum USD 500. Noncompliance is also eliminated here. We use non-myeloablative conditioning to create space for grafting of stem cells in addition to depletion of rejecting cells [40,41]. We believe that both central and peripheral tolerance are mandatory, hence we infused cells in portal and thymic circulation [42-47]. We have observed sustained chimerism in patients subjected to cell therapy. However we are not able to decipher its effect on graft function since in our past experience we have not found any correlation between chimerism and tolerance.

Conclusion

Tregs support SCT in safe minimization of immunosuppression in LTDR and opens the gateway to tolerance. This study will also establish proper place of cell therapy in the field of organ transplantation.
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