



Rehabilitation and Functional Evaluation Following Anatomical Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction

Ellen Cristina R Felix^{1,2}, Tiago Lazzaretti Fernandes^{1,2}, Natália Mariana S Luna^{1,2}, Daniele S Lizier^{1,2}, Júlia Maria D'Andrea Greve^{1,2} and Arnaldo José Hernandez^{1,2}

¹Sports Medicine Group of Institute of Orthopedics and Traumatology, Hospital das Clínicas, School of Medicine, University of Sao Paulo, Brazil

²Kinesiology Laboratory (LEM), Institute of Orthopedics and Traumatology, Hospital das Clínicas, School of Medicine, University of Sao Paulo, Brazil

Introduction

The anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injury is a highly disabling injury for the sport, once it alters the sensory data transmitted by mechanoreceptor and its consequence is instability, decrease of proprioception and postural control [1–4].

The mainstay of treatment is surgical management with the purpose of reconstructing anatomically the native ACL to better restore kinematics, this technique is known as Anatomic Reconstruction [5]. The torn ACL fibers are used with the graft to preserve the mechanoreceptors and to have better postural control [6].

Despite there being researches supporting higher functional results after this technique, there are evidence of the existence of proprioceptive, motor and postural control deficits even after the postoperatively rehabilitation [3]. For this reason, the sensory information and functional outcome after ACL reconstruction still needs to be more investigated [3].

A variety of protocols have been published in recent years. They are commonly divided into phases, and they aim to obtain full range of motion, strengthening, neuromuscular control, improve functional outcomes and provide a safe return to sport [7–10]. However, in the protocols, new criterias for lower limb function should be developed, the exercises periodization should be described, and the progression into the phases should occur after the patients can complete all the task's phases.

Besides the importance of performing the protocol correctly, the athlete's deficits do not occur after the return to sport. It is essential to establish objective criteria in order to accurately assess an athlete's ability through the end of the stages of the rehabilitation and safe return to sport.

At the Group of Sports Medicine - Institute of Orthopedic and Traumatology of the University of São Paulo Medical School (IOT HC-FMUSP), Medical Center of excellence FIFA, the remain ACL fibers are preserved as close as possible. The postoperative rehabilitation after the anatomical reconstructions using hamstrings tendon graft follows the protocol in Table 1. It is a patient tailored rehabilitation as Zafagnini et al [11] described. The program is divided into 5 phases: Immediate, early, intermediate, late and return to competition, with specific determinant goals for phase progression. At this type of progression criteria, patients are prepared to progress to next stage if they have ability to perform all the phase's task. Emphasis is put on early range of motion, preservation of quadriceps function, progression of activities and not exceeding the limits of the involved tissue healing properties

When combined injuries occur, during the preoperative stage, modifications to the rehabilitation process are warranted. If a meniscal repair is performed concurrently with ACL reconstruction, the modifications include restricted weight-bearing and knee flexion is limited to less than 90° for 4 weeks. For meniscectomy the protocol is not changed.

Usually, microfracture with ACL reconstruction is followed with no weight-bearing. However, in cases when the cartilage lesion is on non-contact area in full extension, the weight bearing is restricted and progresses gradually, until no restriction, during 8 weeks.

Currently, the measurement of muscle strength, stability, neuromuscular function and control is recommended. However, there's a lack of objective assessment in the published literature, regarding the release for unrestricted activities [12].

Unlike other protocols, in our group, the athletes following the ACL reconstruction have been evaluated not only by the Hop Test, isokinetic dynamometry, questionnaires such as IKDC and Lysholm, but also by posturography. Besides, the latter has been applied but it is not the routine for all patients. The posturography evaluation is carried out by four tests in single leg stance: eyes open, eyes closed, the squat (0°-45°) and the kick movements. However, the squat and kick movements are not validated. The last test is done from the internal rotation of the trunk on the support limb and hip simulating a kick with the lateral border of the foot. The movements are continuously repeated during each test and the speed of movement occurs according to the strategy adopted for each subject.

Each test is done three times on one leg support. The eyes opened the eyes closed conditions last 30 seconds, and the tests others tests last 10 seconds each. The variables obtained are sagittal and frontal plane, velocity, Area 95 (95% of the ellipse given by the trajectory of the Center of pressure area) of displacement.

The single-leg hop test is performed hopping forward as far as possible with hands behind the back, landing on the same leg. Three trials are performed and the distance of jump.

Quadriceps and hamstring isokinetic strength is assessed at velocities of 60°/s and 240°/s with the dynamometer before, 6 and 12 months after ACL reconstruction. The limitation of this protocol is that two postural control tests have yet to be validated.

Final Considerations

Although the ACL injury is widely studied, future researches

***Corresponding author:** Tiago Lazzaretti Fernandes, Institute of Orthopedics and Traumatology, Hospital das Clínicas, School of Medicine, University of Sao Paulo, Brazil, Tel: 55 11 30696486, Fax: 55 11 30696041; E-mail: tiago.lazzaretti@usp.br

Received June 19, 2014; **Accepted** October 31, 2014; **Published** November 01, 2014

Citation: Felix ECR, Fernandes TL, Luna NMS, Lizier DS, Greve JMDA, et al. (2014) Rehabilitation and Functional Evaluation Following Anatomical Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction. J Yoga Phys Ther 5: 176. doi:10.4172/2157-7595.1000176

Copyright: © 2014 Felix ECR, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Phase	Goals	Activities
Immediate postoperative (1 week)	Attain full extension; Range of motion 0°-90°; Gait with crutches and non weight-bearing; Quadriceps strengthening 0°	Patellar mobilization; Isometric quadriceps exercise
Early postoperative (2 week)	Walking with crutches; Range of motion 0°- 120°; Open kinetic chain 45°-70°; Strengthening	Patellar mobilization ; Co-contraction of quadriceps/ hamstrings 30°; Straight leg raise exercise in four planes; Hip strengthening exercises
Intermediate postoperative	Full extension; Full weight bearing; Normal gait pattern; Open kinetic chain 45°-90°; Increase strength; Weight bearing activities; Balance and neuromuscular re-education exercises	Co-contraction of quadriceps/hamstrings 30°; Core and hip strengthening exercises training; Step exercise; Stair climbing; Core strength training Bicycle Lateral/Forward step-ups/downs; Lateral Lunges; Gastroc/soleus stretching; Hamstring stretching;
Late postoperative	Full motion and symmetrical strength in both knees; Open kinetic chain (0°-90°); Increase strength and endurance; Increase neuromuscular control	Wall squats 0° to 70°; Body-weight squat 45°-90°; Lateral/Forward step-ups/downs; Lateral Lunges; Increase jogging/running program; Zig-zag running; Sport-specific exercises;
Return to competition	Symmetrical limbs; Normal knees; Full competition	Functional progression; Return to competition; Running; Plyometric exercises;

Table 1: ACL Rehabilitation protocol of Sports Medicine of IOTHC/FMUSP.

with different techniques of quantitative assessments are still needed as well as exercise progression criteria. They might also influence the return to sports and may be taken into consideration, both during the rehabilitation and at evaluation of the treatment.

References

- Dai B, Herman D, Liu H, Garrett WE, Yu B (2012) Prevention of ACL injury, part I: injury characteristics, risk factors, and loading mechanism. *Res Sports Med* 20: 180-197.
- Palm HG, Brattinger F, Stegmüller B, Achatz G, Riesner HJ, et al. (2012) Effects of knee bracing on postural control after anterior cruciate ligament rupture. *Knee* 19: 664-671.
- Ageberg E, Roberts D, Holmström E, Fridén T (2005) Balance in single-limb stance in patients with anterior cruciate ligament injury: relation to knee laxity, proprioception, muscle strength, and subjective function. *Am J Sports Med* 33: 1527-1535.
- Thatia Regina Bonfim, Débora Bevilaqua Grossi, Cleber Antônio Jansen Paccola, José angelo Barela JAB (2009) Effect of additional sensory information in the proprioception and postural control of individuals with acl lesion. *Acta Ortop Bras* 17:291–296.
- Hofbauer M, Muller B, Murawski CD, van Eck CF, Fu FH (2014) The concept of individualized anatomic anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 22: 979-986.
- Nakamae A, Ochi M, Adachi N, Deie M, Nakasa T (2012) Clinical comparisons between the transtibial technique and the far anteromedial portal technique for posterolateral femoral tunnel drilling in anatomic double-bundle anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Arthroscopy* 28:658–666.
- Yabroudi MA, Irrgang JJ (2013) Rehabilitation and return to play after anatomic anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Clin Sports Med* 32: 165-175.
- Myer GD, Paterno M V, Ford KR, Quatman CE, Hewett TE (2006) Rehabilitation after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: criteria-based progression through the return-to-sport phase. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther* 36:385–402.
- Adams D, Logerstedt D, Hunter-Giodano A, Axe M J, Synder-Mackler L (2012) Current Concepts for Anterior Cruciate Ligament reconstruction: A criterion-Based Rehabilitation progression. *J Orthop Sport Phys Ther* 42:601–614.
- Kruse LM, Gray B, Wright RW (2012) Rehabilitation after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a systematic review. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 94: 1737-1748.
- Zaffagnini S, Grassi A, Marcheggiani Muccioli GM2, Tsapralis K3, Ricci M3, et al. (2014) Return to sport after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction in professional soccer players. *Knee* 21: 731-735.
- Feller J, Webster KE (2013) Return to sport following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Int Orthop* 37: 285-290.

Citation: Felix ECR, Fernandes TL, Luna NMS, Lizier DS, Greve JMDA, et al. (2014) Rehabilitation and Functional Evaluation Following Anatomical Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction. *J Yoga Phys Ther* 5: 176. doi:[10.4172/2157-7595.1000176](https://doi.org/10.4172/2157-7595.1000176)

Submit your next manuscript and get advantages of OMICS Group submissions

Unique features:

- User friendly/feasible website-translation of your paper to 50 world's leading languages
- Audio Version of published paper
- Digital articles to share and explore

Special features:

- 350 Open Access Journals
- 30,000 editorial team
- 21 days rapid review process
- Quality and quick editorial, review and publication processing
- Indexing at PubMed (partial), Scopus, EBSCO, Index Copernicus and Google Scholar etc
- Sharing Option: Social Networking Enabled
- Authors, Reviewers and Editors rewarded with online Scientific Credits
- Better discount for your subsequent articles

Submit your manuscript at: <http://www.omicsonline.org/submission>