Relationship between Nocturnal Blood Pressure and Left Ventricular Hypertrophy in Hypertensive Patients
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Abstract

Objective: Left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) is the most common target organ damage in hypertension. However, the association of LVH and circadian rhythm of blood pressure (BP) is unknown. The objective of the present study was to explore the relationship between circadian rhythm of BP and LVH using ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (ABPM).

Study design: A total of 325 untreated hypertensive patients were recruited. The patients were divided into two groups: hypertensive patients with LVH (n=121) and without LVH (n=204). Twenty-four-hour ABPM was performed in all the patients to collect the following parameters: 24-hour average systolic and diastolic pressure, daytime average systolic and diastolic pressure, nocturnal average systolic and diastolic pressure, and night to day BP ratio. The relationship between LVH and the various ABPM parameters was analyzed.

Results: We found that the average nocturnal systolic blood pressure (SBP) in hypertensive patients with LVH was higher than that in hypertensive patients without LVH (145 ± 16.1 mmHg versus 136 ± 12.7 mmHg, P<0.05). The average night to day SBP ratio in hypertensive patients with LVH was also higher than that in hypertensive patients without LVH (0.93 ± 0.04 versus 0.86 ± 0.04, P<0.05). Multiple regression analysis indicate that the average nocturnal SBP and the night to day SBP ratio were associated with LVH (Odds ratio (OR) 1.67, 95% CI: 1.31-3.21; OR: 1.88, 95% CI: 1.56-3.78) by adjustment for traditional covariates.

Conclusion: The average nocturnal SBP and the average night-day SBP ratio are independent risk factors of LVH in patients with hypertension.
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Introduction

The most common target organ damage associated with hypertension is left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) [1-3]. An epidemiologic study revealed that the incidence rate of LVH in hypertension in the Chinese population is up to 42.7% [4]. Previous research has shown that LVH is an independent risk factor for cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases (CCVD) including coronary heart disease, heart failure, and stroke [5-8]. Early detection, diagnosis and treatment of LVH are significantly important for the prevention of CCVD in patients with hypertension.

Although hypertension is a major cause of LVH, the degree of LVH is not in parallel with clinical blood pressure (CBP) [9]. CBP is influenced by temporary physical and mental conditions, which has contingency and short-term variability. So it cannot necessarily reflect the BP fluctuation amplitude, average BP level over a period of time and circadian rhythm of BP. However, current clinical research, evidence-based results and recommended guidelines for hypertension diagnosis and treatment recommendations are based on average value CBP, and rarely take into account the correlation between circadian rhythm of blood pressure and target organ damage. Ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (ABPM) can measure blood pressure within 24 hours, including 24-hour average BP, daytime and nocturnal average BP and night to day BP ratio. Now, the association between circadian rhythm of blood pressure status with left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) and its therapeutic implications are still inconsistent [10-12]. To address this issue, we explore the relationship between circadian rhythm of blood pressure and LVH in patients with hypertension. The results may provide the timing of drug administration for intervention of target organ damage in hypertension and prevention of hypertension-related cardiovascular diseases and death.

Subjects and Methods

Subjects

A total 989 consecutive untreated hypertensive patients (45 to 65 years of age) were continuously recruited from outpatients of the Chinese People’s Liberation Army General Hospital between September 2009 and June 2012 in this study. ABPM (24-hour) was performed in 325 patients. The inclusion criteria were based on the 1999 World Health Organization International Society of Hypertension (WHO-ISH) Guidelines and risk classification standard [13], in which hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure (SBP) ≥ 140 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure ≥ 90 mmHg. The exclusion criteria included secondary arterial hypertension, stroke...
A disease (C-MDRD) equation was used to estimate the estimated glomerular filtration rate eGFR: 

\[ eGFR \left[ \text{ml/min} \cdot 1.73 \text{ m}^2 \right] = 175 \times \text{standardized Scr} -1.154 \times \text{age} - 0.203 \times 0.742 \text{ (if female)} \] 

where Scr is serum creatinine. eGFR < 60 ml/(min·1.73 m²) was defined as renal dysfunction.

Biochemical variables determination

Blood sample collection after overnight fasting. Biochemical parameters including serum total cholesterol (TC), total triglyceride (TG), high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), creatine kinase (CK), creatine kinase isozyme (CK-MB), troponin T (TNT), blood glucose (GLU), urea nitrogen (BUN), and creatine (Cr) were determined using an automatic biochemical analyzer (Roche modular 7600 automatic biochemistry analyzer). The Chinese Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (C-MDRD) equation was used to estimate the estimated glomerular filtration rate eGFR:

\[ eGFR \left[ \text{ml/min} \cdot 1.73 \text{ m}^2 \right] = 175 \times \text{standardized Scr} -1.154 \times \text{age} - 0.203 \times 0.742 \text{ (if female)} \] 

Statistical analysis

All data were entered into Epidata database by specifically-assigned persons. All statistical analyses were performed with the SPSS13.0 statistical package (SPSS Inc, Chicago). Quantitative data was expressed by mean ± standard deviation (SD). All categorical variables were presented as numbers and percentages. A chi-squared (X²) test was used in testing categorical variables. Differences in baseline levels of characteristics between the two groups were tested using the Student’s t-test (t-test).

Multiple regression analysis was used to analyze the association between ABPM parameters with LVH adjusting for cardiovascular risk factors including age, gender, BMI, BP, GLU, eGFR, TC, HDL-C, LDL-C and TG. P<0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results

Baseline clinical characteristics

Baseline clinical characteristics between hypertensive patients with LVH and without LVH compared are shown in Table 1. Among the 325 patients with hypertension, 121 patients were concomitant with LVH and 204 patients were not concomitant with LVH based on LVMI. No significant differences was found in age, gender, systolic and diastolic pressure, blood glucose, cholesterol, triglyceride, low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), estimation of glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) and high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) between hypertensive patients with and without LVH (P>0.05). The BMI in hypertensive patients with LVH was significantly higher than that in hypertensive patients without LVH (P<0.05) (Table 1).
Table 1: Clinical Characteristics

Abbreviations: BMI: Body Mass Index; BP: Blood Pressure; SBP: Systolic Blood Pressure; DBP: Diastolic Blood Pressure; HDL-C: High Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol; LDL-C: Low Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol; TC: Total Cholesterol; TG: Triglyceride; eGFR: estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate

Smoking was classified as average one pack of cigarettes per week over one year.

Drinking was classified as average > 500 ml white or red wine per week over two years.

Clinical characteristics of age, BMI, SBP, DBP, glucose, HDL-C, LDL-C, TG and TC values are given as mean (SD); categorical variables are presented as percentages.

P<0.05 with statistical significance.*P<0.05, vs control

AMBP variables in hypertensive patients with and without LVH

The nocturnal average SBP (145 ± 16.1 mmHg) in hypertensive patients with LVH was higher than that in hypertensive patients without LVH (136 ± 12.7 mmHg, P<0.05). The average night-day systolic pressure ratio (0.93 ± 0.04) in hypertensive patients with LVH was also higher than that in hypertensive patients without LVH (0.86 ± 0.04, P<0.05). The percentage of non-dipper (night-day blood pressure ratio>0.9) in the LVH group was higher than that in the non-LVH group (68% versus 46%). No statistical significance was found in the following parameters: 24-hour SBP, 24-hour DBP, daytime SBP, daytime DBP, nocturnal SBP, nocturnal DBP and daytime-nocturnal SBP. The previous results suggested that the nocturnal SBP could increase the patients with hypertension concomitant with LVH (Table 2).

Table 2: 24 hour Ambulatory Systolic Blood Pressure variables in hypertensive patients with and without LVH

Association between AMBP variables and LVH

Multiple regression analysis was used to analyze the association ABPM parameters with LVH adjusting for age, gender, BMI, GLU, eGFR, TC, HDL-C, LDL-C and TG. We found that the average nocturnal systolic pressure and the night to day systolic pressure ratio were the risk factors of LVH (odds ratio (OR): 1.67, 95% CI: 1.31-3.21; OR: 1.88, 95% CI: 1.56-3.78) (Table 3).
The normal BP circadian rhythm typically presents nocturnal BP decrease by 10–20% compared with daytime BP on waking. However, previous study has shown that 13.2% of subjects with normotension have isolated nocturnal hypertension, and 25% to 35% of hypertensive patients have isolated nocturnal hypertension [17]. Moreover, Consistent studies have reported that isolated nocturnal hypertension was also associated with cardiac, vascular, renal, and cerebrovascular target organ damage [18], which may explain the consistent association between nocturnal increase in BP with substantial cardiac morbidity [19,20]. In the present study, we found the positive associations of nocturnal SBP with LVH. The pathophysiology underlying mechanism to explain this association of nocturnal hypertension and LVH remains uncertain. First, the nocturnal increase in BP is mainly due to the increase in sympathetic nervous activity and decrease in parasympathetic nervous activity during nighttime sleep. Consistent studies have indicated that catecholamine (norepinephrine and epinephrine) excretion in untreated essential hypertensive patients with blunted nocturnal BP decline compared with dipper counterparts. Therefore, sympathetic activation in human hypertension may be responsible for circadian BP variations. The sympathetic nervous activity at night can further activate the rennin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS) in patients with hypertension, leading to a pronounced increase in secretion of Angiotensin II and aldosterone. Angiotensin II stimulates directly cardiac muscle cells and lead to myocardial hypertrophy. Aldosterone can induces myocardial fibrosis via production of increasing collagen, eventually leading to myocardial hypertrophy [21].

Night to day BP ratio is an important index of presenting circadian rhythm. Our study found that the night to day SBP ratio in hypertensive patients with LVH was higher than that in hypertensive patients without LVH. Further study found that night to day SBP ratio was also an independent risk factor of LVH in hypertension. Consistent study has been demonstrated that hypertensive patients with the increase of night to day blood pressure ratio could higher risks of cardiovascular events [22,23]. In addition, the relation between night blood pressure and left ventricular hypertrophy has been reported [24], but the strength of our present study must be considered compared with previous study. Firstly, all hypertensive patients were not taking antihypertensive drug, which excluded the effects of antihypertensive therapy on left ventricular hypertrophy. Secondly, all patients of our study were in-patients. Thus the clinical data including blood pressure and risk factor is more reliable. Thirdly, the echocardiographic examination was performed by the physician echocardiographers to determine LVH. The results of our study were more accurate and reliable. Moreover, previous study indicates that modification of drug-administration timing can alter the circadian rhythm of BP. The relationship between drug-modification in circadian rhythm of BP and cardiovascular events will be provided in further study.

Conclusion

The nocturnal SBP and the night to day SBP ratio are independent risk factors of LVH in hypertensive patients. The timing of drug administration in hypertensive patients needs to be further investigated by prospective studies.

Limitations

Several limitations must be considered. Firstly, the patients were initially diagnosed as hypertension. The duration of hypertension was unclear. The duration of hypertension were not assessed in the model of multiple regression. The power value may have been limited. Secondly, the research was a cross-sectional study and the causal relationship between the nocturnal systolic pressure and LVH was uncertain. Further prospective studies should clarify the influence of nocturnal blood pressure on LVH and related cardiovascular events. Thirdly, the sample of our present study was small, which can lead to a limited in statistical power. Thus further studies with a larger sample size are needed.
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